

**The Oversight Committee  
For  
Implementation of the Amended Interlocal Agreement for  
Public School Facility Planning, Broward County, Florida**

**ANNUAL STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF  
THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR  
PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING**

**JANUARY – DECEMBER 2009**

**April 14, 2010**

## Table of Contents

## Pages

A. Introduction	1
B. Report Summary	2
C. Conclusion	7
D. Status Report on Implementation of the Agreement January 2009 – December 2009	8

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A:	2009 Staff Working Group Meeting Attendance Sheet
Attachment B:	List Depicting Action by Local Government Regarding Inclusion of School Board Representative on Local Planning Agency
Attachment C:	Residential Developments with Recommendations to Provide Mitigation for Anticipated Student Impact, School Year 2009/2010
Attachment D:	Approval/Effective Dates Regarding Public School Concurrency
Attachment E-1:	Broward County Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPA's), Plats and Site Plans
Attachment E-2:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPA's), Plats and Site Plan Applications
Attachment F:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Vested Site Plans
Attachment G-1:	List of County Residential Plats Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination
Attachment G-2:	List of Residential Site Plans Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination

## A. INTRODUCTION

To comply with State law, the Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning (ILA) between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC), the Broward County Commission, and 27 Municipalities in Broward County was amended in 2008 to incorporate public school concurrency provisions. It should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake which was a signatory to the first ILA in 2003, has thus far not signed the Amended ILA, but is still presumed to be making efforts to seek exemption from the state regarding participation in the Agreement. Therefore, the Village was not evaluated in this Report to determine if it complied with any of the provisions of the Amended ILA.

The incorporation of public school concurrency provisions into the ILA allows for proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications to be denied if permanent capacity is not available to accommodate students anticipated from such development applications. The Amended ILA consists of fifteen (15) Articles with approximately ninety-two (92) specific requirements.

The Agreement is overseen by a fifteen (15) member Oversight Committee that consists of School Board Members, County Commissioner, Municipal elected officials, and community stakeholders; five each appointed by the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and the 27 Municipalities through the Broward League of Cities. The Committee meets quarterly each calendar year to conduct public hearings regarding implementation of the Amended ILA and other related matters, and during one of the quarterly meetings, issues an Annual Report as required by the Amended Agreement to the School Board, Broward County, the 27 participating Municipalities and the general public regarding the successes and failures of implementation of the Amended Agreement in the preceding calendar year.

This Report examines thirteen (13) pertinent Articles of the Agreement containing seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. The Articles are as follows: Joint Meetings; Student Enrollment and Population Projections; Coordination and Sharing of Information; School Site Selection, Significant Renovations and Potential School Site Closures; Supporting Infrastructure; Plan Review, Consistency Determination; Public School Concurrency; Collocation and Shared Use; Resolution of Disputes, Oversight Process; Effective Date and Term, and Amendment Procedures.

Additionally, this Report indicates that in 2009, the parties to the Agreement successfully complied with sixty-eight (68) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Agreement. However, the Report also flags **nine (9) of the seventy-seven (77) specific requirements of the Agreement as areas needing resolution**. The **nine** areas include:

1. Conclusions that the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not amended their land development codes (LDC) to adopt public school concurrency provisions. (Subsection 8.2(a))
2. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities to ascertain residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications that received final approval from their governing bodies. (Subsection 8.2(b))
3. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities, and specifically, the nonprovision of a residential site plan (or functional equivalent) application by the City of Hollywood to the School District for review before the application received final approval from the governing body. (Subsection 8.6(a))

4. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities to ascertain the exempt status of proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications. (Subsection 8.11(a))
5. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities to ascertain the vested status of proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications. (Subsection 8.11(b)(1))
6. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities to ascertain the vested status of proposed residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications for which school impacts may have been satisfied. (Subsection 8.11(b)(3))
7. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities to enable the District to review residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) application(s) before they received final approval from the governing body. (Subsection 8.13(a))
8. The nonprovision of quarterly reports to the School District by several Municipalities, and the non submittal of the required "Public School Impact Application" (PSIA) regarding proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) application(s) to the local government, to enable review of the application(s) by the School District. (Subsection 8.13(b))
9. Conclusions that the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not established PSC management systems. (Subsection 8.13(c))

**B. REPORT SUMMARY**

Results of the coordination between the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities regarding the requirements of the thirteen (13) specific Articles of the Agreement and the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements are delineated below.

**Article II: Joint Meetings**

Subsection 2.1 of this Article requires the SWG, a Group created by the Amended Interlocal Agreement to meet at least annually to address growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities. The Group's By-Laws requires it to meet quarterly, and in 2009, the SWG met five times (four of which were regularly scheduled meetings, and one special meeting). School Board and Broward County staff representatives attended all five meetings. Some Municipalities also attended all five meetings and some did not. However, the parties satisfied the provisions of Article II.

**Article III: Student Enrollment and Population Projections**

The entities coordinated the generation and utilization of population and student enrollment projection data. The parties complied with the provisions of the Article.

#### **Article IV: Coordination and Sharing of Information**

The Superintendent provided the tentative 2009/10 – 2013/14 District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with their comprehensive plans, and included schools scheduled for renovations in the Plan. The District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on May 27, 2009, and approved by the School Board on July 22, 2009. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the newly adopted DEFP which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. Also, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District, and the County provided the list of approved residential plat and adopted land use plan amendments to the Superintendent. The parties complied with the provisions of the Article.

#### **Article V: School Site Selection, Significant Renovations, and Potential School Site Closures**

The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2009. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2009. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2009/10 - 2013/14 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities. The parties satisfied the provisions of Article V.

#### **Article VI: Supporting Infrastructure**

The School District continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. School District staff conducts Design Review Committee Meetings on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases and needs and ideas are communicated at these meetings. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions, and these Plans were presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governmental agencies and Municipal officials. As such, the provisions of Article VI were satisfied.

#### **Article VII: Plan Review; Consistency Determination**

The School District sent written notice to the Town of Lauderdale-By-the-Sea which recently joined the amended ILA as a signatory, advising it of the Board's appointed representative to its local planning agency (LPA). Also, the District continues to participate in Broward County land use plan amendment and platting processes, and other growth management issues. The twenty-seven (27) Municipal parties to the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. (Subsection 7.2, See Attachment "B"). In 2009, a School Board representative attended one LPA meeting in the City of Parkland. However, the representative did not attend a noticed meeting in the Town of Davie due to constraints, and did not attend any meetings in other Municipalities either because the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, or because the Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance. (Subsection 7.2)

In 2009, staff reviewed eight residential land use plan amendments (LUPA), and one rezoning application that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. (Subsections 7.3) (See Attachment "C").

The appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings. Additionally, District staff reviewed several non-residential LUPA, plat, site plan and other growth management related applications. The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications were classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review". Also, Broward County and the Municipalities considered issues listed in Subsection 7.10 of the Agreement when reviewing comprehensive plans and rezoning applications, and provided workshop notices regarding community development plans to the Superintendent. School District staff attended community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County. Overall, the provisions of Article VII were satisfied by the signatories.

### **Article VIII: Public School Concurrency**

The signatories addressed or satisfied the following provisions of Article VIII, Subsections 8.1, 8.2(c), 8.4, 8.5, 8.7, 8.9, 8.13(d), 8.13(e), 8.13(f), 8.14, 8.16, and 8.17. However, the following Subsections depicted below contain issues that need resolution.

**Subsection 8.2(a)** of this Article requires the County and Municipalities to within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments in accordance with the Amended ILA becoming effective shall amend their respective LDC and adopt required PSC provisions. However, data indicates that the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not amended their LDC to adopt PSC provisions. **Therefore, Subsection 8.2(a) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.2(b)** of this Article requires that the County and Municipalities shall: 1.) Not approve or issue any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) that is not exempt or vested pursuant to Subsection 8.11 of this Amended ILA until the District has reported that the school concurrency requirement has been satisfied. 2.) Maintain data for approved residential development that was the subject of PSC review, and provide information to the District regarding approval or denial of the developments no later than 15 days after final approval of the application by the governing body.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required by this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to ascertain whether the residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications received final approval from their governing bodies. **Therefore, Subsection 8.2(b) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.6(a)** of this Article requires that all proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications containing residential units shall be reviewed to ensure that adequate school capacity will exist prior to or concurrent with the impact of the proposed residential development to accommodate the additional student growth at the adopted LOS.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required by this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to ensure compliance with

the Subsection; and specifically, the City of Hollywood did not provide a residential site plan application to the School District for review before the application received final approval from its City Commission. **Therefore, Subsection 8.6(a) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.11(a)** of this Article requires that residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) shall be exempt from PSC requirements if they meet the following: 1.) Generates less than one student in the relevant concurrency service area (CSA); 2.) Are age restricted communities with no permanent residents under the age of eighteen (18); and 3.) Are contained within pertinent developments that are exempted by Florida Statutes.

In the later part of 2009, it was determined by the Oversight Committee that the School District shall make the determinations to ensure compliance with this Subsection. Additionally, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required to ensure compliance with this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to ensure compliance with the Subsection. **Therefore, Subsection 8.11(a) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.11(b)(1)** of this Article requires that any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) located within a previously approved comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which is subject to a mitigation agreement in accordance with the following: 1.) The mitigation to address the impact of the new students anticipated from the development has been accepted by the School Board consistent with School Board Policy 1161, and; 2.) A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant has been properly executed and recorded by the Developer or the development is located within a boundary area that is subject to an executed and recorded tri-party agreement consistent with School Board Policy 1161 as may be amended from time to time.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required by this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to ascertain the vested status of residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities. **Therefore, Subsection 8.11(b)(1) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.11(b)(3)** of this Article requires that any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which is included within a residential plat or development agreement for which school impacts have been satisfied for the dwelling units included in the proposed site plan (or functional equivalent) is vested from the requirements of PSC.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the vested status of these types of applications shall be made by the Municipalities and information regarding the applications shall be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to insure that the residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities were indeed vested. **Therefore, Subsection 8.11(b)(3) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.13(a)** of this Article requires that Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board shall ensure that the LOS established for each school type and CSA is maintained. Additionally that no residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application or amendments thereto shall be

approved by the County or Municipalities, unless the residential development is exempt or vested from the requirements specified in Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement, or until a School Capacity Availability Determination Letter (SCAD) has been issued by the School District indicating that adequate capacity is available.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required by this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to enable the District to review the residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) application(s) before they received final approval from the governing body. **Therefore, Subsection 8.13(a) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.13(b)** of this Article requires that any applicant submitting a plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application with a residential component that is not exempt or vested under Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement is subject to PSC requirements and shall be required to submit a PSIA to the Local Government for review by the School District.

In 2009, the majority of the SWG Members agreed that the information required by this Subsection will be provided to the District in a quarterly report. However, data indicates that several Municipalities did not consistently provide the required quarterly report to the School District to enable compliance with the provisions of this Subsection. **Therefore, Subsection 8.13(b) of the Article needs resolution.**

**Subsection 8.13(c)** of this Article requires that local governments shall ensure that the applications for residential plat or site plans (or their functional equivalent) are complete and transmit the PSIA to the School District for review. However, data indicates that the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not established PSC management systems to enable implementation of PSC requirements within their jurisdiction. **Therefore, Subsection 8.13(c) of the Article needs resolution.**

#### **Article X: Resolution of Disputes**

This Article outlines how disputes between the parties should be resolved. Since inception of the Agreement, none of the Agreement's signatories have invoked utilization of this Article to resolve any disputes between the parties.

#### **Article XI: Oversight Process**

This Article authorized the creation of the Oversight Committee. In 2009, the School Board reappointed one of its representatives to the Committee. In 2009, Broward County reappointed four of its representatives to the Committee, and also made one new appointment. Also, in 2009, Municipalities via the Broward League of Cities, reappointed one of its representatives, and three new representatives to the Oversight Committee. Thus, the parties met the requirements of Article XI.

#### **Article XII: Special Provisions**

The evaluation of this Article is not necessary.

### **Article XIII: Effective Date and Term**


Attachment "D" depicts the dates the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities approved the Amended ILA, and as called for in this Article, the Agreement became effective based upon the signatures of the School Board, the County and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the Municipalities which include at least fifty percent (50%) of the population within Broward County. The requirements of Article XIII were met by the parties.

### **C. CONCLUSION**

As earlier stated, the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities during the period from January through December 2009 successfully complied with sixty-eight (68) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Amended ILA. Additionally, it should be noted that the vast majority (7) of the nine (9) areas that are indicated as needing resolution in the Report, relate to the nonprovision of quarterly reports by several Municipalities to the District to ascertain compliance with the pertinent Subsections. However, the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not established PSC management systems to enable implementation of PSC requirements within their jurisdictions. Thus, the resolution of the nine (9) areas cited in the Report may further the successful implementation of the Amended ILA in 2010.


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

<b>SECTIONS</b>	<b>FREQUENCY</b>	<b>SCHOOL BOARD</b>	<b>BROWARD COUNTY</b>	<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>
<b>JOINT MEETINGS</b>				
2.1 - Hold annual Staff Working Group (SWG) meetings.	Quarterly	Consistently attended by School Board representatives.	Consistently attended by Broward County representatives.	Quorum was met at every regularly scheduled meeting. Attachment "A" depicts representatives that attended meetings and those that did not attend meetings in the period covered by the Annual Report.
2.2 - The SWG shall prepare an annual assessment report on the effectiveness of public school concurrency (PSC).	Annually by December 31 of each year.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2009 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2009 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2009 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.
<b>STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND POPULATION PROJECTIONS</b>				
3.1 - School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to coordinate and base plans upon consistent projections of population and student enrollment. Provide five-year student enrollment and countywide population projections to SWG.	Annually, September of each year.	2009/10-2013/14 five year enrollment projections are distributed from the School Boundaries Web site in October following the 20th day enrollment count (9/21/09).	Broward County provided the Five-year Countywide population projections to the School District and the Municipalities, and at the September 3, 2009 SWG meeting.	The Municipalities reviewed the provided Five-year Countywide population projections, and participated in its review at the September 3, 2009 SWG meeting.
3.2 - Superintendent to use student population projections provided by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conference and development trends data provided by the local governments during preparation of student enrollment projections.	Ongoing	Each year, staff prepares student enrollment projections based on a variety of factors. Such factors are but not limited to the demographic, revenue and education estimating conference, and forecasted Certificates of Occupancy supplied by each local government.	N/A	N/A
3.3 - Broward County to provide population projections to verify geographic distribution of countywide public school student projections.	Ongoing	Staff coordinated with Broward County to acquire the pertinent annual County population trends and projections. This data becomes a component of the District's five-year student enrollment projections.	Broward County provided the countywide population trends and projections to School District staff.	N/A
<b>COORDINATION AND SHARING OF INFORMATION</b>				
4.1 - Commencing no later than June 30, 2007, and annually thereafter, the Superintendent shall submit the tentative District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with the local government comprehensive plan.	Annually, June of each year.	Due to drastic declines in revenues the process for developing the DEFP was extended this year to provide ample time to vet the issues and for School Board Workshops to get consensus on the plan prior to presenting the tentative DEFP to the Public and for approval on July 22, 2009. The tentative DEFP was provided to Broward County and Municipalities on July 14, 2009. In the correspondence, the entities were advised to share the information with their elected officials and provide necessary comments to District staff. Municipalities were advised of the Public Hearing on July 22, 2009 and were encouraged to attend or provide feedback.	Broward County received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.	Municipalities received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.
4.2 - Include schools scheduled for renovations in the tentative DEFP.	Annually	The School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2009/10 - 2013/14 tentative DEFP.	N/A	N/A
4.3 - Coordinate development of the Five-Year Educational Plant Survey with the SWG.	Once in five years.	The District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on 5/27/09, and approved by the School Board on 7/22/09. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the newly adopted District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities.	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
4.4 - Commencing August 31, 2007 and annually thereafter, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities shall provide the Superintendent with a report on growth and development trends within their jurisdiction.	Annually, by August 31 of each year.	Staff coordinated the collection of five-year municipal Certificate of Occupancy data with the County, and received the development trends report from the County and Municipalities.	The County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District.	The County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District.
4.5 - The County to provide list of residential plats approved by the Broward County Commission during that preceding month to the Superintendent.	Monthly	N/A	As applicable, Broward County consistently provided this information to the School District on a monthly basis.	N/A
4.6 - The County to provide list of land use plan amendment adopted or denied by the Broward County Commission to the Superintendent.	Periodically, no later than the 15th day of each month	N/A	As applicable, Broward County Planning Council consistently provided the information to the School District.	N/A
<b>SCHOOL SITE SELECTION, SIGNIFICANT RENOVATIONS, AND POTENTIAL SCHOOL SITE CLOSURES</b>				
5.1 - School Board staff to review potential sites for new schools, closure of existing schools and significant renovations consistent with School Board Policy 5000. Include the recommendations in the DEFP.	Annually	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2009 .	N/A	N/A
5.2 - Site Review Committee to submit list of potential new schools, closure of existing schools and renovations to local governments for an informal consistency review with the comprehensive plan.	Periodically	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2009. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2009. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2009/10 - 2013/14 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
Expand the Superintendent's Site Review Committee to include a permanent local government representative and a floating member. Amend School Board Policy 7000 to list membership of the Committee.	As necessary	In 2004, School Board Policy 7000 was amended to include all representatives in accordance with provisions of the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and subsequently amended in 2008 to include additional representatives.	Broward County is represented on the Site Review Committee.	The current Municipal permanent representative to the Site Review Committee is Mayor Debby Eisinger of Cooper City.
5.3 - The Superintendent to coordinate site plan information for new schools with affected local governments in accordance with state statutes.	As necessary	Site plan information is shared with affected local governments during Design Review Committee (DRC) meetings. These meetings are conducted by school district staff during the schematic and design development phases of all major projects. These meetings are open to all governing agencies.	N/A	N/A
5.4 - Pursuant to Section 1013.33(11), at least 60 days prior to acquisition or leasing information of property for new public educational facility, Superintendent to provide written notice to pertinent local government. Local government to provide comments within 45 days indicating plans consistency with local government's land use and comprehensive plan to the Superintendent.	As necessary	No new potential school sites were acquired in 2009. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>5.5 - If a local government determines that a proposed school site is consistent with the comprehensive plan pursuant to this Agreement, or at any other time when such a determination is made, the School Board shall follow the procedures contained in Section 1013.33(12), F.S., as may be amended. If a local government determines that the proposed school site is inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, the School Board may request a plan amendment consistent with the local government's plan amendment procedures and requirements.</p>	As necessary	No new potential school sites were acquired in 2009. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A
<p><b>SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE</b></p> <p>6.1 - The School Board and affected local governments will jointly determine the need for and timing of on-site and off-site improvements to public facilities necessary to support each new school or proposed significant renovation.</p>	As necessary	The School Board continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. An appropriate forum for communicating needs and ideas occurs at the Design Review Committee Meeting which is conducted by School District staff on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans should be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions. The Master Plan is presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governing agencies and Municipal officials.	The County continues to work closely with the School Board, Municipalities and developers.	The Municipalities continue to work closely with the School Board, the County and developers.
<p><b>PLAN REVIEWS; CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION</b></p> <p>7.1 - School Board to appoint representatives to sit on Broward County and pertinent municipal local planning agency (LPA).</p> <p>7.2 - Local governments to take action to include School Board representatives on LPA and enable the representatives to attend meetings at which the LPA considers comprehensive plan amendment and rezoning applications that would increase residential density.</p> <p>7.3 - Broward County and Municipalities agree to provide to the Superintendent, rezoning and comprehensive plan amendment applications that will increase residential density. The Superintendent shall review the applications and provide report indicating anticipated student impact to the local government. The County and Municipalities shall provide deadline for receiving comments from the Superintendent, however, the deadline shall be no less than 45 days from the date the information is provided. The County and Municipalities will notify the Superintendent in writing when the application receives final approval.</p>	<p>Immediately</p> <p>Immediately</p> <p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The Signatories of the Amended ILA were sent written notification regarding the appointed School Board's representative to Broward County and Municipalities when the Agreement was initially executed. Also, in August 2009, the School District sent a letter to the most recent signatory to the Agreement, the Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, informing the Town of the appointed School Board representative(s).</p> <p>In 2009, a School Board representative attended one LPA meeting in the City of Parkland. However, the representative did not attend a noticed meeting in the Town of Davie due to constraints, and did not attend any meetings in other Municipalities either because the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, or because the Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance.</p> <p>In 2009, staff reviewed eight residential land use plan amendments (LUPA's), and one rezoning application that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. (See Attachment "C").</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Broward County took action on 8/5/03 to include School Board representative on the County's LPA. In 2009, the County did not request School Board representative(s) to attend any LPA meetings. This is because the County has not had any LPA meetings that necessitated School Board representative's attendance.</p> <p>Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding LUPA applications that were reviewed by the Broward County Planning Council. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>To date, 27 of the 28 Municipalities listed on the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. However, it should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake being the 28 Municipality has thus far not signed the Agreement, has not made the appointment, but is still presumed to be making efforts to seek exemption from the state regarding participation in the Agreement.</p> <p>The LUPA and rezoning applications reviewed by the District in 2009 were located in the Cities of Coconut Creek and Coral Springs, Town of Davie, Cities of Lauderhill, Margate and Sunrise. As of this date, some projects are still pending or have not received final approval by the Municipal governing body. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.</p>

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.4 - School Board to continue participation in the Broward County land use plan amendment review process.	Ongoing	In 2009, the appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.5 - School Board to continue to review non-residential development and other pertinent development applications that may affect school properties, and as necessary participate on other growth management issues.	Ongoing	In 2009, the District reviewed several non-residential LUPA, plat, and site plan applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.6 - Broward County and Municipalities to provide public notice of land use and comprehensive plan amendments, rezonings, development of regional impact applications and other residential or mixed-use projects with residential component pending before them that may affect student enrollment, projections and school facilities to the Superintendent. Notice to be provided at the same time as provided to the public under County or Municipal ordinance.	Ongoing	N/A	As applicable, Broward County complied with this requirement in 2009.	As applicable, a majority of the Municipalities complied with this requirement in 2009.
7.7 - The review of LUPA and rezoning application by the Superintendent shall be classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review", and applicants may delineate the residential type, units and bedroom mix of the project if known; if not specified, the review shall be based upon the maximum student generation rates for that residential type.	Ongoing	The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications complied with the requirements of this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
7.8 - Written comments provided by the Superintendent to the County and Municipalities regarding the "Public Schools Consistency Review" will specify the anticipated student impact, capacity status of affected schools, depict ten year student enrollment projects by planning area, planned capacity improvements, identify available alternatives, and state that the proposed development will be subject to public school concurrency review at the time of plat and site plan review.	Ongoing	At the minimum, the reports issued for "Public Schools Consistency Review" projects in 2009 contained all the information required by this Subsection.	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.9 - If the "Public Schools Consistency Review" indicates that capacity is not available at the impacted school(s), or anticipated in the District Educational Facilities Plan, the applicant may choose to offer, and the School Board may consider the voluntary mitigation to address the anticipated impact. The voluntary mitigation shall be limited to the options listed in this Subsection.	Immediately	No voluntary mitigation was offered for any of the LUPA and rezoning applications with increased density that were reviewed by the School District in 2009. (See Attachment "C").	N/A	N/A
7.10 - Broward County and Municipalities may consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School Board comments when reviewing comprehensive plan and rezoning applications.	Ongoing	N/A	Broward County as appropriate considers issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.	The Municipalities as appropriate consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.
7.11 - County and Municipalities to provide notice to the Superintendent to enable the District to participate and provide comments in workshops regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities.	As necessary	In 2009, School District staff attended community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County. However, District staff did not attend a noticed workshop in the City of Lauderdale Lakes because school related issues listed on the Agenda had already been addressed in an educational tri-party agreement between the City, the School Board and Broward County.	The County provided notice to the School District staff regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities.	Thus far in 2009, only the City of Lauderdale Lakes has provided notice to School District staff regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities.
<p><b>PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY</b></p> <p><b>8.1 Required Elements of Public School Concurrency</b></p> <p>8.1(a) - The amendments to public school facilities element (PSFE) and related amendments to the capital improvement element (CIE) and the intergovernmental coordination element (ICE) in the County and Municipal comprehensive plans to satisfy Sections 153.3177 and 163.3180 F.S. are being adopted into the comprehensive plans of the County and Municipalities concurrently with the execution of the Amended ILA by the County and municipalities.</p>	Immediately	N/A	The County complied with this Subsection on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Pertinent Municipalities complied with this Subsection on the dates depicted in Attachment "D".

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p><b>8.1(b)</b> - The experience under the revised comprehensive plans and the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP shall be reviewed each year by the County and Municipalities at the SWG meeting to determine whether updates to the comprehensive plans are required. The Five-Year DEFP shall be updated annually to add a new fifth year. Any other amendments to the comprehensive plans shall be transmitted in time to allow their adoption concurrently with update to the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP.</p>	<p>Annually by March 31</p>	<p>The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on August 25, 2009, and made available the DEFP to the County and Municipalities on September 25, 2009.</p>	<p>The County received and reviewed the Five-Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.</p>	<p>The Municipalities received and reviewed the Five Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.</p>
<p><b>8.1(c)</b> - School related amendments shall be provided to the School Board at least 60 days prior to transmittal or adoption if no transmittal is required. The School Board shall review the amendments and provide comments in writing if any, to the local government either (i) at least one week prior to the local planning agency (LPA) meeting on the amendment, or (ii) by attending and providing comments at the LPA meeting.</p>	<p>At least 60 days prior to transmittal</p>	<p>This Subsection does not require compliance in 2009.</p>	<p>This Subsection does not require compliance in 2009.</p>	<p>This Subsection does not require compliance in 2009.</p>
<p><b>8.1(d)</b> The County and Municipalities school-related element provisions must be consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies. Municipalities may choose to adopt all or a portion of the County's school-related element provisions by reference, or it may adopt its own provisions. If a Municipality adopts its own provisions, any goal, objective, policy or other provision relevant to the establishment and maintenance of a uniform district-wide school concurrency system shall be substantially the same as its counter part in the County and Municipalities comprehensive plans.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>The County's School Related Amendments are consistent with those of the Municipalities and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.</p>	<p>Municipalities School Related Amendments reviewed by the School District are consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.</p>
<p>If any school-related element amendment is proposed that affects the uniform district-wide school concurrency system, it shall not become effective in accordance with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. Municipalities and the County may adopt the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP either by reference or by restatement of the relevant portions of the adopted Five-Year DEFP, but the Municipalities and the County shall not attempt to modify the adopted Five-Year DEFP. To the extent feasible, the County and Municipalities agree to coordinate the timing of approval of the amendments.</p>		<p>N/A</p>	<p>Same as above</p>	<p>Same as above</p>

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.1(e) In addition to the other coordination procedures provided for in this Amended Interlocal Agreement, at the time of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), the County and Municipalities shall schedule at least one (1) SWG meeting with the School Board to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.	At time of the EAR	The School Board will participate in the SWG to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.	Broward County will participate in the scheduled SWG meeting to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.	The Municipalities will participate in the scheduled SWG meeting to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.
<b>8.2 Specific Responsibilities</b>  (a) Broward County and the Municipalities, within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments in accordance with this Amended Agreement becoming effective shall amend their respective Land Development Codes (LDC) and adopt the required public school concurrency (PSC) provisions, consistent with the requirements of this Amended Agreement. Such amendment shall include the public school concurrency management system outlining the development review process for proposed residential developments.	Within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments becoming effective	N/A	Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Broward County amended its LDC to adopt the PSC provisions.	Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Municipalities amended their LDC's to adopt the PSC provisions. The Attachment also indicates that the Cities of Pompano Beach and Tamarac have not adopted the required LDC's.
(b) Broward County and the Municipalities, in accordance with the Amended ILA shall: 1.) Not approve or issue any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) that is not exempted or vested pursuant to Subsection 8.11 of this Amended ILA until the District has reported that the school concurrency requirement has been satisfied. 2.) Maintain data for approved residential development that was the subject of PSC review. The data shall be provided to the District no later than 15 days after final approval of the application by the governing body, and must include information stated in this Subsection. 3.) Transmit residential plats and site plans (or their functional equivalents) and proposed amendments to such applications to the District for review and comment, consistent with Subsection 8.13 of this Amended ILA. 4.) Commencing August 31, 2007, and annually thereafter as a part of the growth and development trend required by Subsection 4.4, provide the total number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy to the School Board.	Ongoing	Attachments "G-1" and "G-2" depicts written notice received by the District regarding formal action taken by Broward County and Municipalities on the residential plats, site plans and (functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the District.	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by the Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by the their governing bodies on the applications. Also, the Attachment shows that the City of Hollywood approved a residential site plan application (City Project No. 08-DP-61) for seniors that was not provided to the School District for review. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) The School Board shall do the following: 1.) Annually prepare and update its adopted Five-Year DEFP, which for the purposes of PSC shall be considered the financially feasible Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. The Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan shall reflect the capacity needed to meet the adopted level of service standard (LOS) for each District elementary, middle and high school, during the five year period, but no later than the fifth year of the Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. 2.) Establish a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each District elementary, middle and high school and to ensure that the schools are operating at or below the adopted LOS.	Ongoing	The School Board held a public hearing on August 25, 2009, and adopted the Five-Year DEFP. Additionally, the District has established a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each elementary, middle and high schools, provided school related data to the County and Municipalities regarding update of their comprehensive plans, maintains data regarding capacity availability at elementary, middle and high schools, and established mechanism for the review of proportionate share mitigation.	N/A	N/A
3.) Commencing October 1, 2007, and annually thereafter, provide the County and Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. 4.) Review proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications for compliance with PSC requirements. 5.) As a component of the District's PSC management system, maintain data regarding available capacity at each elementary, middle and high school after factoring the student impact anticipated from the proposed residential development into the database. 6.) Review proposed proportionate share mitigation options for new residential development, and determine acceptability of such mitigation options. 7.) Prior to the effective date of PSC, amend School Board Policy 1161to incorporate public PSC provisions and delineate the District's PSC management system. 8.) As necessary, amend the DEFP to incorporate funds accepted as proportionate share mitigation.		On October 15, 2009, the District provided Broward County and as necessary Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update its comprehensive plans. Plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed in 2009 for PSC determinations are depicted in Attachment "G-1" and "G-2". The District also updated periodically and published, the "Public School Concurrency Planning Document" (PSCPD), which is used to maintain data regarding available capacity at each elementary, middle and high school after factoring the student impact anticipated from proposed residential development.	N/A	N/A
<b>8.3 Adopted School Board DEFP</b>				
(a) Same requirement as Subsection 8.2(c)(1)	Annually, on or before September 30th.	Same as above	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(b) At the minimum, the adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall specify all new construction, expansion and remodeling, which will add permanent capacity to elementary, middle and high schools, and also include information specified in Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the DEFP on August 25, 2009 and complied with this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
(c) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall include a description of each school project, a listing of funds to be spent in each fiscal year for the planning, preparation, land acquisition, and the actual construction and remodeling of each pertinent school project which adds capacity or modernizes existing facilities; the amount of capacity added, if any; and a generalized location map for planned new schools. Such location maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the DEFP on August 25, 2009 and complied with this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
(d) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall identify the five-year projected student enrollment, permanent capacity and utilization percentage of all elementary, middle and high schools.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the DEFP on August 25, 2009 and complied with this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
(e) The adopted school boundaries for each elementary, middle and high school, as annually conducted by the School Board shall also become the adopted concurrency service area (as referenced in Section 8.8), and shall be consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP. The school boundaries maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The adopted school boundaries are consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP.	N/A	N/A
<b>8.4 Transmittal</b>  (a) In addition to the provisions pertaining to the Tentative District Educational Facilities Plan as delineated in Article IV of this Amended Agreement, the School Board, upon completion and adoption of the Five-Year DEFP, shall make the DEFP available to the Local Governments no later than thirty (30) days after adoption of the District Educational Facilities Plan.	No later than 30 days after adoption	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on August 25, 2009. The adopted DEFP was made available to the County and Municipalities on September 25, 2009.	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<b>8.5 Comprehensive Plans - Development, Adoption and Amendment of the Capital Improvements Elements</b>  <b>(a)</b> Upon adoption of the Five-Year DEFP and transmittal to Local Governments, the County and Municipalities shall adopt the School Board's Five-Year "Adopted DEFP" or applicable sections of the Adopted DEFP as a part of the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of their Comprehensive Plans.	Ongoing	N/A	As applicable, Broward County will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.	As applicable, Municipalities will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.
<b>(b)</b> Any amendment, correction or modification to the adopted Five-Year DEFP concerning costs, revenue sources, or acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedications or proportionate share mitigation, once adopted by the School Board, shall be transmitted by the School District to the County and Municipalities within forty-five (45) days after the adoption. Within one hundred eighty (180) days, the County and Municipalities shall amend their CIE to reflect the changes. Such amendments may be accomplished by ordinance, and shall not be considered amendments to the comprehensive plan, pursuant to Section 163.3177 (6)(b)(1), Florida Statutes.	Ongoing	No amendments have been made to the School Board's Five-Year DEFP since transmittal of the document to Broward County and the Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
<b>(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</b>		N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>8.6 Public School Concurrency Standard</b>  <b>(a)</b> The PSC standard requires Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board to maintain the adopted LOS for Broward County Public Schools. The PSC standard requires that all proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications containing residential units shall be reviewed to ensure that adequate school capacity will exist prior to or concurrent with the impact of the proposed residential development, to accommodate the additional student growth at the adopted LOS.	Ongoing	Plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2009 are depicted Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by the Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2009 are depicted Attachment "G-2". Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by the their governing bodies on the applications. Also, the Attachment shows that the City of Hollywood approved a residential site plan application (City Project No. 08-DP-61) for seniors that was not provided to the School District for review. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<b>8.7 Commencement</b> (a) PSC described in this Amended Agreement shall commence upon the Comprehensive Plan Amendments related to the PSFE by the County and Municipalities becoming effective, and the execution of this Amended Agreement by the parties identified herein. However, PSC shall commence no earlier than February 1, 2008.	As applicable to the entity	In compliance with Subsection 8.2(c)(7), the School Board amended and adopted School Board Policy 1161 on January 15, 2008 and commenced implementation of PSC on February 1, 2008.	PSC became effective in Broward County on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	PSC became effective in each Municipality depicted in Attachment "D" on the date indicated for that Municipality.
<b>8.8 Concurrency Service Areas</b> EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) and (c) IS NOT NECESSARY				
<b>8.9 Adoption of Concurrency Service Areas</b> (a) Adoption of the CSAs shall be as delineated in School Board Policy 5000 to be amended consistent with the Amended Agreement, and as may be amended from time to time.	Ongoing	As required, the adoption of the CSAs are delineated in School Board Policy 5000. On March 25, 2009, the School Board adopted the 2009/10 effective CSA's for elementary, middle, and high schools.	N/A	N/A
(b) No later than forty-five (45) days after adoption of the CSAs, the School District shall transmit the new CSAs to the County and Municipalities. The County and Municipalities shall incorporate the adopted "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" and the School Board's process for modification of the CSAs contained in the "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of their Comprehensive Plans.	Ongoing	In March of 2009 the School Board adopted the 2009/10 effective CSA's for elementary, middle and high boundaries, and were transmitted to the County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
<b>8.10 Level of Service Standard</b> EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) IS NOT NECESSARY				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p><b>8.11 Exemptions and Vested Developments</b></p> <p>(a) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be exempt from the requirements of PSC: 1. All residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) which generates less than one student in the relevant CSA. 2. Any amendment to or replat of a residential plat or amendment to a residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which generates less than one additional student. (The former and latter developments shall be subject to the payment of school impact fees). 3. Any age restricted community with no permanent residents under the age of eighteen (18). Exemption for an aged restricted community shall only be available subject to a recorded Restrictive Covenant limiting the age of all permanent residents to eighteen (18) years and older. 4. As may otherwise be exempted by Florida Statutes.</p>	Ongoing	List of the residential plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications that were submitted to the School District in 2009, and reviewed by District to determine that they met this Subsection are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by the Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2009 are depicted Attachment "G-2". Also, Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by the their governing bodies on the applications. Also, the Attachment shows that the City of Hollywood approved a residential site plan application (City Project No. 08-DP-61) seniors that was not provided to the School District for review. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.
<p>(b) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be vested from the requirements of PSC: 1. Any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) located within a previously approved comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which is subject to a mitigation agreement in accordance with the following: (i.) The mitigation to address the impact of the new students anticipated from the development has been accepted by the School Board consistent with School Board Policy 1161, and; (ii.) A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant has been properly executed and recorded by the Developer or the development is located within a boundary area that is subject to an executed and recorded triparty agreement consistent with School Board Policy 1161 as may be amended from time to time. 2. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) that has received final approval, which has not expired prior to the effective date of public school concurrency.</p>	Ongoing	In 2009, the School District reviewed three plats, and one site plan (or functional equivalent) application that met the provisions of this Subsection. The four applications are in addition to other plat applications that were reviewed by the District (See Attachments "G-1" and "G-2").	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by the Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and formal action taken by the their governing bodies on the applications. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>3. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which is included within a residential plat or development agreement for which school impacts have been satisfied for the dwelling units included in the proposed site plan (or functional equivalent). In the transmittal of such residential site plan (or functional equivalent) to the School District, the County or Municipality shall state in the transmittal or provide written information indicating that the units in the application are vested. The County will provide the necessary information to the School Board and Municipalities to identify the vested plats and further specifics to be contained in the adopted land development regulations.</p>	Ongoing	<p>In 2009, the School District received information on one site plan application that met this Subsection, and the site plan application was located in the City of Parkland. Based on the interpretation noted in the "Interpretation Document Regarding the Amended Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning" that was established by the Oversight Committee, the application was considered an informational item, and therefore, was not reviewed for PSC determination (See Attachment "F").</p>	<p>In 2009, the County did not provide any information on vested site plan applications to the School District.</p>	<p>Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding this Subsection.</p>
<p>(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p><b>8.12 Public School Concurrency Management System</b></p> <p>SUBSECTIONS (a) and (b) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2 (a). SUBSECTION 8.12(c) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2(c)(7). THUS, EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p><b>8.13 Review Process</b></p> <p>(a) Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board shall ensure that the LOS established for each school type and CSA is maintained. No residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application or amendments thereto shall be approved by the County or Municipalities, unless the residential development is exempt or vested from the requirements specified in Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement, or until a School Capacity Availability Determination Letter (SCAD) has been issued by the School District indicating that adequate capacity is available. This shall not limit the authority of a Local Government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent, pursuant to its home rule or governmental regulatory powers for reasons other than school capacity.</p>	Ongoing	<p>Documentation regarding the achievement and maintenance of the adopted LOS by the School District is contained in LOS Plan, which is a component of the School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP. Also, the plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2009 are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".</p>	<p>Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by the Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.</p>	<p>Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and formal action taken by the their governing bodies on the applications. Also, the Attachment shows that the City of Hollywood approved a residential site plan residential site plan application (City Project No. 08-DP-61) for seniors that was not provided to the School District for review. It should be noted that the reporting procedure was changed between the first and second quarter which requires the School District to send out reminders to the local governments regarding provision of the Quarterly Report.</p>
<p>(b) Any applicant submitting a plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application with a residential component that is not exempt or vested under Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement is subject to PSC and shall be required to submit a Public School Impact Application (PSIA) to the Local Government, for review by the School District including (information called for in this Subsection).</p>	Ongoing	Same as above.	Same as above.	Same as above.

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) The Local Government shall ensure the applications for residential plat or site plans (or their functional equivalent) are complete and transmit them to the School District for review. Upon determination that the application is complete, the Local Government shall transmit the PSIA to the School District for review. This process does not preclude the Local Government from requiring that the applicant submit the PSIA directly to the School District for review.	Ongoing	N/A	The County has established a PSC management system.	Attachment "D" depicts Municipalities with or without established PSC management systems, and information in Attachment "E-2" indicates Municipalities that approved site plan (or functional equivalent) applications that were not transmitted to the School District or reviewed by the District.
(d) The School District will review the properly submitted and completed PSIA and verify whether or not sufficient capacity is available at the impacted CSA to accommodate students anticipated from the proposed development. The process for review of the application shall be as follows:  1. The School District shall review, on a first come, first serve basis, the completed PSIA. The SCAD Letter shall be sent to the applicant and the affected Local Government no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the PSIA. 2. Notification shall be provided to the applicant and affected Local Government if the application is incomplete. 3. THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION.  (e) <b>Student Generation rates Calculation</b> The determination of students anticipated from a proposed PSIA shall be based on the utilization of the effective, adopted and pertinent student generation rates contained within the Broward County Land Development Code (BCLDC). Update of the student generation rates shall be conducted at least once every three (3) years by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities.	Ongoing	The SCAD Letters issued for the received/reviewed PSIA were transmitted to the applicant, and as applicable to Broward County and the Municipalities within the maximum specified 45-days review period.	N/A	N/A
(f) <b>Utilization Determination</b> EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (f)(1) and (2) IS NOT NECESSARY	Ongoing/Three Year Update	As required, the School District applies the currently adopted student generation rates (SGR) to determine anticipated student impact from proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications. The current SGR were updated by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities, was adopted by the County Commission and became effective on June 2, 2008. On April 21, 2009, the School Board selected the firm of Duncan Associates to conduct an update to the current Study.	Broward County participated in the update of the current SGR, and will participate in the update that would be conducted by Duncan Associates.	Municipalities through the SWG participated in the update of the current SGR, and would participate in the update that would be conducted by Duncan Associates.

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>3. If it is determined that there is no permanent capacity at the assigned school(s) as determined by the procedure described in Subsection 8.13(f)2 above because the projected growth from a residential development causes the adopted LOS to be exceeded in the subject CSA, the School District may, if practical, utilize pertinent options delineated in School Board Policy 5000, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time to ensure maximum utilization at the CSA. Otherwise, all of the CSAs immediately adjacent to the primary impacted CSA will be examined for available capacity before a determination letter is issued indicating that the development has satisfied PSC.</p> <p>4. If necessary, the School District will reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization, except where such reassignment: (i.) Creates additional transportation cost impacts due to natural or physical barriers; or (ii.) Results in a violation of federal, State or School Board Policy.</p>	Ongoing	<p>In 2009, the School District's Capacity Allocation Team (CAT) (the Group responsible for the allocation of available excess permanent capacity from adjacent CSA's as called for in School Board Policy 1161) considered and allocated excess available permanent capacity to three plat applications reviewed by the District.</p> <p>In 2009, the School District did not reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization.</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>(g) Issuance and Term of Public School concurrency</b>				
EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
<b>8.14 Proportionate Share Mitigation</b>				
<p>(a) The School Board shall consider proportionate share mitigation pursuant to provisions of this Amended Agreement. Such consideration shall be consistent with the mitigation provisions outlined herein and delineated in School Board Policy 1161, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time, regarding PSC. If the proposed mitigation option is accepted and deemed financially feasible by the School Board, the applicant or Local Government shall enter into an enforceable and binding agreement.</p>	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(b) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
<b>8.15 Proportionate Share Mitigation Options</b>				
EVALUATION OF THE ENTIRE SUBSECTION 8.15 IS NOT NECESSARY				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<b>8.16 Formula for the Calculation of Proportionate Share Mitigation Options</b>				
(a) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
(b) A Mitigation contribution provided by a Developer to offset the impact of a residential development must be directed by the School Board toward a permanent school capacity project identified in the first three years of the School District's adopted Five-Year DEFP, or as appropriate, scheduled as a new project in the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP. If the School Board accepts proportionate share mitigation based on the latter, the Board shall amend the adopted Five-Year DEFP to include the proportionate share amount or value of the mitigation. Capacity projects identified within the first three (3) years of the Five-Year Capital Facility Plan shall be considered as committed in accordance with the pertinent Sections of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(c) If capacity projects are planned in years four (4) or five (5) of the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP within the same CSA as the proposed residential development, and if the School Board agrees, the Developer may pay his proportionate share to advance the improvement into the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP to mitigate the proposed development in accordance with the formula provided herein.	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(d) Guidelines for the expenditure of proportionate share mitigation funds towards permanent capacity identified in the adopted Five-Year DEFP, shall be as follows: 1. The School Board shall utilize monies paid by applicants, to provide needed permanent capacity at those schools identified in the District's development review report as being impacted by the development. 2. If site constraints or other feasibility issues make it impracticable for the School Board to provide the needed permanent capacity at the affected school(s) as delineated above, as feasible, the School Board will make efforts to provide the needed capacity at school(s) located immediately adjacent to the primarily impacted CSA(s) as found in the current Adopted Five-Year DEFP (s), thus relieving overcrowding at the primary identified impacted school(s).	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
3. If disbursement of the mitigation funds is not possible as outlined above, the funds will be spent in the applicable school impact fee service area delineated in the adopted BCLDC in a manner that ensures that the impact of the development is still addressed at the primary affected CSA or an adjacent CSA.	Ongoing	Same as above	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<b>8.17 Appeal Process</b> A Developer or Local Government receiving a SCAD Letter that indicates permanent capacity is not available may implement the applicable process outlined below.				
(a) A Developer adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such determination by written request to the School Board.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2009 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(b) If the School Board rules in favor of the Developer, School District staff shall issue a subsequent SCAD Letter based on the decision of the School Board. If the School Board does not rule in favor of the Developer or upholds the decision of District staff, the Developer may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2009 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(c) A Developer adversely impacted by a non-acceptance of proposed proportionate share mitigation made as a part of the PSC process may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(d) A Developer adversely impacted by a Local Government decision made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such decision using the process identified in the Local Government's regulations for appeal of development orders.	Ongoing	N/A	N/A	N/A
(e) A Local Government adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may initiate the process outlined in Subsection 10.1(a) of this Amended Agreement. If the issue cannot be resolved, the Local Government may appeal such determination to the School Board. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the decision of the School Board, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If either the School Board or the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2009 were appealed by local governments.	N/A	N/A

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(f) If the School Board does not accept proportionate share mitigation proposed by a Local Government, and such decision results in a dispute between the entities, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	In 2009, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
<p><b>COLLOCATION AND SHARED USE</b></p> <p><b>9.1</b> - During preparation of the DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, School Board and local governments are encouraged to collocate school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities.</p> <p><b>9.2</b> To enable the collocation/shared use of public school facilities with Local Government/civic facilities, the Local Governments shall in January of each year provide to the SWG information on Local Government public/civic facilities planned for inclusion in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities. Upon receipt of the information, the SWG shall forward the information to the School District. Also, the Local Governments shall examine the annually submitted School Board's Five-Year Tentative DEFP provided pursuant to Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement, and include in the written comments back to the School District information regarding the potential public/civic facilities that could be collocated with planned new schools delineated in the Five-Year Tentative DEFP.</p>	Ongoing  January of each year/ongoing	<p>The School Board through its staff representative on the SWG continues to participate in the collocation efforts.</p> <p>In 2009, the School District did not received any information via the SWG regarding the location of future local government public/civic facilities. This is because the County and Municipalities indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.</p>	<p>Broward County through its staff representative on the SWG, continues to participate in the collocation efforts.</p> <p>In 2009, Broward County indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.</p>	<p>Municipalities, through their SWG staff representatives continue to participate in the collocation efforts.</p> <p>In 2009, Municipalities indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.</p>
<p>This requirement shall not prevent the Local Government from providing information on collocation to the SWG through out the calendar year. Information provided to the SWG and School District shall at the minimum include the planned type of public facility, acreage and location/parcel map. Information provided shall be in hard copy and electronic copy. Upon receiving such information, the School District shall organize meetings with the subject Local Government(s) to further pursue and work towards the collocation of the facilities. The entities shall notify the SWG of their efforts towards collocation of the subject facilities. As part of efforts towards the collocation such facilities in Broward County, the SWG shall include in all of its meeting agendas, an agenda item relating to the provision information regarding collocation as stated herein. Subsequently, the SWG shall in its report to the Oversight Committee, advise the Committee of ongoing efforts towards collocation, including information on ftificates of occupancy to the School Board.</p>		Same as above		Same as above

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution


**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
9.3 - Separate legal agreement to address each collocated facility.	As necessary	The School Board has master recreation lease agreements (MRL) with Broward County and twenty-one (21) municipalities. It also has reciprocal use agreements with eighteen (18) municipalities.	Broward County Sheriff's Department has one MRL Agreement with the School Board.	Twenty-one (21) municipalities have MRL Agreements with the School Board. Also, eighteen (18) municipalities have reciprocal use agreements with the School Board. Furthermore, the County provided additional comments to the District on the MRL agreements to aid in the planned Study on collocation.
<b>RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES</b>				
10.1 - Dispute Resolution	As necessary	In 2009, the School Board did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2009, Broward County did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2009, no Municipality invoked nor was involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.
<b>OVERSIGHT PROCESS</b>				
11.1 - The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to each appoint five representatives to the Oversight Committee.	Immediately	In 2009, the School Board reappointed one of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.	In 2009, Broward County reappointed four of its representatives, and one new representative to the Oversight Committee.	In 2009, the Broward League of Cities reappointed one of its representatives, and three new representatives to the Oversight Committee.
11.2 - Municipalities to appoint their five representatives to the Oversight Committee through a mutually agreeable process.	Immediately	N/A	N/A	The municipalities continue to appoint all five municipal representatives to the Committee through the Broward League of Cities.
11.3 THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
<b>SPECIAL PROVISIONS</b>				
THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING  
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2009**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<b>EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM</b>				
<p>13.1 - This Amended Agreement shall become effective upon the signatures of the School Board, the County and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the Municipalities which include at least fifty percent (50%) of the population within Broward County. This Amended Agreement may be cancelled by mutual agreement of the School Board, the County and the respective Municipalities, unless otherwise cancelled as provided or allowed by law.</p>	Prior to December 31, 2008.	The School Board approved the Agreement on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Broward County adopted the Agreement on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Each pertinent Municipality adopted the Agreement on the dates depicted in Attachment "D".
<b>AMENDMENT PROCEDURES</b>				
<p>14.1 Process to Amend the Interlocal Agreement - NOT NECESSARY TO DEPICT PROCESS IN THIS REPORT</p>	Ongoing	In 2009, the School Board proposed amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and the proposed amendments are documented in the Second Amended ILA.	In 2009, Broward County did not propose any amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement.	In 2009, the Municipalities collectively via the SWG proposed amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement; and the proposed amendments are documented in the Second Amended ILA.
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>				
THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution

Source: The Amended Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning, December 3, 2009 Staff Working Group Meeting.

**THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA  
2009 STAFF WORKING GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET**

Attachment "A"

<b>Local Government/Agency</b>	<b>3/6/09 Meeting</b>	<b>6/4/09 Meeting</b>	<b>9/3/09 Meeting</b>	<b>10/29/2009 Meeting</b>	<b>12/17/09 Meeting</b>
Coconut Creek		X		X	X
Cooper City	X	X	X	X	X
Coral Springs	X	X	X	X	X
Dania Beach		X	X	X	X
Davie			X	X	
Deerfield Beach	X	X	X	X	X
Fort Lauderdale	X			X	X
Hallandale Beach	X		X	X	X
Hollywood	X				
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	N/A	N/A	X	X	X
Lauderdale Lakes		X			
Lauderhill	X	X		**	X
Margate		**	X	X	X
Miramar		X		X	X
North Lauderdale		**	X	X	X
Oakland Park	X	X	X	X	X
Parkland	X	X	X	X	X
Pembroke Park	X		X	X	X
Pembroke Pines	X	X	X	X	X
Plantation	X	X	X	X	X
Pompano Beach	X	X	X		X
Southwest Ranches	X	X	X	X	X
Sunrise	X	X	X	X	X
Tamarac				X	X
West Park	X	X	X	X	X
Weston	X	X	X	X	X
Wilton Manors	X	X	X	X	X
Broward County	X	X	X	X	X
Broward County Planning Council*	X	X	X	X	X
Broward County Public Schools*	X	X	X	X	X
South Florida Regional Planning Council*	X				

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

     Did not attend any meetings in 2009

\* Governmental Agency    \*\*City staff was present at the meeting, however, attendant was not the official SWG designee

x Denotes attendance by local Government Representative

**LIST DEPICTING ACTION BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
REGARDING INCLUSION OF SCHOOL BOARD REPRESENTATIVE  
ON LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY**

Number	City	Action Taken	Date Action Taken
1	Coconut Creek	X	7/8/05
2	Cooper City	X	10/8/03
3	Coral Springs	X	12/9/03
4	Dania Beach	X	10/26/04
5	Davie	X	10/8/03
6	Deerfield Beach	X	9/6/05
7	Fort Lauderdale	X	7/6/05
8	Hallandale Beach	X	10/16/06
9	Hollywood	X	11/5/03
10	Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	X	8/19/09
11	Lauderdale Lakes	X	10/11/05
12	Lauderhill	X	9/29/03
14	Margate	X	8/17/05
15	Miramar	X	3/3/04
16	North Lauderdale	X	12/2/03
17	Oakland Park	X	2/16/05
18	Parkland	X	4/7/04
19	Pembroke Park	X	9/24/08
20	Pembroke Pines	X	11/5/03
21	Plantation	X	11/12/03
22	Pompano Beach	X	1/24/06
23	Southwest Ranches	X	6/27/07
24	Sunrise	X	1/13/04
25	Tamarac	X	1/26/05
26	West Park	X	5/17/08
27	Weston	X	2/7/05
28	Wilton Manors	X	2/11/03
29	Broward County	X	8/5/03

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

 Local Governments that have not taken action

X Denotes that Local Government took formal action to include representative on the local planning agency

**RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS WITH RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROVIDE MITIGATION FOR ANTICIPATED STUDENT IMPACT  
SCHOOL YEAR 2009/2010**

ATTACHMENT "C"

No.	Project Name/Number	Existing Land Use/Zoning	Permitted Units & Type	Proposed Land Use/Zoning	Additional Units & Type	Total Number of Units and Type	Jurisdiction	Schools Impacted, School Year 2009/10					Students Generated	Total Students Generated	Requested Mitigation of Anticipated Students	Developer Agreed to Provide Mitigation	Agency Imposing Conditions	Mitigation Option	Date Reviewed	Developer/Owner
								Elementary	Students Generated	Middle	Students Generated	High								
1	Mainstreet at Coconut Creek, PC 05-12 PZ09010004	RAC	950 TH 350 GA 1,400 HR	RAC	100 TH 2,750 MR 900 HR	1,050 TH 3,100 MR 2,300 HR	Coconut Creek	Winston Park	89	Lyons Creek	37	Monarch	31	157	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/17/2009	Johns Family Partners, LLC
2	Hani Rezoning 09-Z-001	NC	0	RS-5	4 SF	4 SF	Lauderhill	Royal Palm	1	Lauderhill	0	Boyd Anderson	0	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/17/2009	City of Lauderdale
3	Rancho Margate/Celebration Point	NC	412 TH	RS-5	580 GA	580 GA	Margate	Liberty	15	Margate	4	Monarch	7	26	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/16/2009	Celebration Point Townhomes, Inc.
4	Florida Panther Entertainment District	I, C	0	LAC	960 MR 3,480 HR	960 MR 3,482 HR	Sunrise	Sawgrass	34	Bair	15	Plantation	12	61	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6/4/2009	Broward County Board of County Commissioners
5	Spring Lakes SBBC# 784-2009	ROS	0	RM-16, Comm	205 TH 845 GA	205 TH 845 GA	Coral Springs	James S. Hunt	85	Forest Glen	37	Coral Springs	48	170	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8/15/2009	Sample Road Investments LLC
6	Sunforest Apartments	R-10	499 GA	R-22	600 MR	499 GA 600 MR	Davie	Tropical	54	Seminole	23	South Plantation	25	102	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10/21/2009	EQR -Sombra 2008 GP, LLC
7	Debuis-Triple H Ranch	RR-10	68 SF	R-2	1,346 SF	1,346 SF	Parkland	Park Trails	323	Westglades	167	Stoneman Douglas	188	678	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
8	Aztec RV Park	R-4, L-5	513 SF	R-10, LM-10	1,026 TH	1,026 TH	Margate	Liberty	129	Margate	63	Coconut Creek	86	278	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
9	Hollywood RAC	RAC	15,100 TH	RAC	1,000 MR	15,100 TH 1,000 MR	Hollywood	Oakridge, Colbert, Hollywood Central	1,930	McNicol, Olsen, Attucks	932	South Broward, Hallandale	1,276	4,138	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	<b>Total</b>		<b>19,292</b>		<b>13,796</b>	<b>32,097</b>			<b>2,660</b>		<b>1,278</b>		<b>1,673</b>	<b>5,611</b>						

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SF: Single Family, 1,350; TH: Townhomes, 17,381; GA: Garden Apartments; 1,924; MR: Midrise, 5,660; HR: Highrise, 5,782

## APPROVAL/EFFECTIVE DATES REGARDING PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY

Local Governments/Entity	*Adoption/Effective Date For Amended ILA	** Effective Date For Comprehensive Plan Amendment	***Effective Date For Public School Concurrency	***Land Development Code Adoption Date
Coconut Creek	1/10/2008	8/26/2008	8/26/2008	6/11/2009
Cooper City	1/8/2008	7/29/2008	7/29/2008	5/11/2008
Coral Springs	2/12/2008	2/12/2008	4/29/2008	9/2/2008
Dania Beach	1/22/2008	4/8/2008	6/17/2008	8/1/2009
Davie	1/2/2008	6/17/2008	6/17/2008	9/17/2008
Deerfield Beach	1/8/2008	8/4/2008	8/4/2008	9/16/2008
Fort Lauderdale	2/4/2008	8/14/2008	11/8/2008	11/8/2008
Hallandale Beach	1/22/2008	5/7/2008	5/7/2008	5/7/2008
Hollywood	2/6/2008	5/7/2008	8/19/2008	12/17/2008
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	6/9/2009	Pending	Pending	Pending
Lauderdale Lakes	1/8/2008	1/8/2009	1/8/2009	6/1/2009
Lauderhill	1/14/2008	6/19/2008	6/12/2008	3/1/2009
Margate	1/22/2008	9/17/2008	9/17/2008	2/18/2009
Miramar	1/23/2008	5/20/2008	6/5/2008	7/2/2008
North Lauderdale	1/8/2008	9/25/2008	9/25/2008	12/9/2008
Oakland Park	12/12/2007	7/21/2008	7/23/2008	6/18/2008
Parkland	1/16/2008	5/21/2008	5/21/2008	3/4/2009
Pembroke Park	1/15/2008	8/13/2008	8/13/2008	3/12/2008
Pembroke Pines	1/16/2008	3/25/2008	4/23/2008	3/5/2008
Plantation	1/23/2008	11/4/2008	8/6/2008	8/6/2008
Pompano Beach	1/8/2008	7/17/2008	7/17/2008	Not yet adopted
Southwest Ranches	1/10/2008	9/15/2008	9/15/2008	7/10/2008
Sunrise	1/22/2008	6/9/2008	6/9/2008	12/9/2008
Tamarac	2/27/2008	9/4/2008	7/31/2008	Not yet adopted
West Park	2/6/2008	11/5/2008	8/20/2008	5/20/2009
Weston	1/22/2008	4/7/2008	8/18/2008	12/1/2008
Wilton Manors	1/15/2008	9/11/2008	9/11/2008	8/12/2008
Broward County	1/15/2008	4/18/2008	4/18/2008	4/18/2008
Broward County School Board	1/15/2008	N/A	N/A	N/A

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department  
N/A Not Applicable

Municipality has not adopted Land Development Code Regulations for Public School Concurrency

\* Amended ILA: Amended Interlocal Agreement For Public School Facility Planning

\*\* Comprehensive Plan Amendment: Includes Capital Improvement Element and the Intergovernmental Coordination Element

\*\*\* Per Local Municipalities

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S), PLATS AND SITE PLANS  
2009**

**ATTACHMENT "E-1"**

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS		
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	Date Received	Comments
1st Quarter	074-MP-07		1/15/2009	Approved - SBBC did not review				PC 07-19	7/13/2009	Approved -At the time of this entry, BCPC advised that this LUPA was not yet effective
	028-MP-07	580-2008	1/15/2009	Approved				PC 08-12	7/13/2009	Approved -At the time of this entry, BCPC advised that this LUPA was not yet effective
	030-MP-08	489-2008	2/12/2009	Approved						
	034-MP-91	615-2008	2/12/2009	Approved delegation requests amending the level of approved residential developments						
	053-MP-94	616-2008	2/12/2009	Approved delegation requests amending the level of approved residential developments						
	035-MP-07	500-2008	3/12/2009	Approved						
2nd Quarter	033-MP-08	438-2008	4/16/2009	Approved				PC 06-19	7/13/2009	Approved - At the time of this entry, BCPC advised that this LUPA was not yet effective
	050-MP-08	617-2008	5/1/2009	Approved				PC 09-1	7/13/2009	Approved
	025-MP-08	401-2008	6/8/2009	Approved 6/2/09, 160 GA				PC 09-2	7/13/2009	Approved
	091-MP-97	695-2009	6/8/2009	Approved delegation requests amending the level of approved residential developments, from 355 HR to 372 HR				PC 09-3	7/13/2009	Approved

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida  
BCPC: Broward County Planning Council

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S), PLATS AND SITE PLANS  
2009**

**ATTACHMENT "E-1"**

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS		
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	Date Received	Comments
	102-MP-05	473-2008	6/15/2009	Approved delegation request from 105(age restricted) TH to 133 TH				PC 09-4	7/13/2009	Approved - At the time of this entry, BCPC advised that this LUPA was not yet effective
	044-MP-94	750-2009	6/30/2009	Approved delegation request from 35 SF to Community Facility						
3rd Quarter										
	040-MP-08		9/29/2009	Approved 9/22/2009, 600 HR (plus non-residential						
	039-MP-04		9/29/2009	Approved 9/22/2009, 8 SF						
4th Quarter								PC 09-5	3/8/2010	Approved 12/8/2009, Dania Beach RAC

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

BCPC: Broward County Planning Council

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENT (LUPA), REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

ATTACHMENT "E-2"

2009

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			4/30/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity					
Cooper City								10/20/2009		No Activity										
Coral Springs								7/29/2009		No Activity			12/11/2009		No Activity			4/6/2010		No Activity
Dania Beach			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/14/2009		No Activity			10/15/2009		No Activity			1/2/2010		No Activity
Town of Davie			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/27/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			2/2/2010		No Activity
Deerfield Beach			4/7/2009		No Activity			7/21/2009		No Activity			10/1/2009		No Activity			12/1/2009		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale	533-2008	Rezoning, 16 SF (12-3 bed, 4-4 bed) 14 TH (4-2 bed, 10-3 bed) 11 MR	5/26/2009	2/17/2009	The Escape #1-Z-PUD-08		Site Plan 42 HR (3 Bed)	7/27/2009	7/17/2009	Pier 66 Improv. Program 86-R-07, awaiting final DRC sign off, reviewed by SBBC in 2007	549-2008	Site Plan 8 MR	10/8/2009	8/20/2009	Midtown Oasis, 74-R-08			1/20/2010		No Activity
		Site Plan 3TH (3-3 bed)	5/26/2009	2/18/2009	Yolanda II 127-R-07, not reviewed						780-2009	Site Plan 24 GA	10/8/2009	8/14/2009	Northwest Gardens 1, no final SCAD issued yet, only phase 1 approved					
Hallandale Beach			4/14/2009		No Activity		LUPA 53 GA (4-1 Bed, 42-2 Bed, 7-3 Bed)	7/16/2009		Highland Park Village, 17-09-PA,	765-2009	Site Plan, 1 SF	11/2/2009	8/21/2009	Federico Yanez, #60-09-DR			1/22/2010		No Activity
Hollywood			4/15/2009		No Activity	785-2009	Site Plan 643 Units	6/24/09		Received via LPA, 06-JPZ-110, Hillcrest Country Club, LP			10/23/2009		No Activity			1/14/2010		No Activity
							Site Plan 120 GA (Seniors)	7/27/2009	6/17/2009	Gardens of Driftwood, 08-DP-61, SBBC not reviewed										
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			N/A					N/A					10/15/2009		No Activity			1/25/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes																				
Lauderhill	705-2009	Rezoning 4 SF	4/8/2009	3/30/2009				8/20/2009		No Activity										
Margate		Rezoning RZ-3-2009	10/21/2009	Approved 3-4-2009	Toscana Villas			10/21/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity	District did not review as Site Plan	646 RV Lots DRC #12-09-08	1/11/2010	12/17/2009	Aztec RV Resort
Miramar			4/16/2009		No Activity		Rezoning 300 SF 320 GA	10/22/2009	6/17/2009	Waterview, 09-ZR-02, SB reviewed as a LUPA, not reviewed as a Rezoning			10/22/2009		No Activity			1/20/2010		No Activity
North Lauderdale			4/6/2009		No Activity			7/14/2009		No Activity			10/15/2009		No Activity			12/18/2009		No Activity
Oakland Park			10/22/2009		No Activity			7/21/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENT (LUPA), REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

ATTACHMENT "E-2"

2009

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter					
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	
Parkland	546-2008	Site Plan 168 SF	4/6/2009	3/18/2009 Exp. 9/18/2010				7/23/2009		No Activity			10/26/2009		No Activity						
Town of Pembroke Park			4/16/2009		No Activity			8/17/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			1/4/2010		No Activity	
Pembroke Pines			3/30/2009		No Activity			6/29/2009		No Activity			9/29/2009		No Activity		Land Use 25-50 High Residential	1/4/2010		Pembroke Tower, District will review	
Plantation			4/16/2009		No Activity	682-2009	Site Plan 407 MR	7/29/2009	Denied 7/1/2009	Madiera, PP07-0027		LUPA 229 MR	1/4/2010	Denied 9-16-09	Jacaranda Country Club, PD07-0001, CR# 4149, District reviewed 7/27/09			1/4/2010		No Activity	
Pompano Beach	418-2008	Plat 89 TH	4/6/2009	3/11/2009	Atlantic Yacht Club Villas Site Plan(032-MP-08),	791-2009	Site Plan 360 GA	10/28/2009	Approved 6/3/2009, approval not recognized because Plat must be approved first	Captiva Club #09-1200008			10/23/2009		No Activity	826-2009	Land Use 93 TH	1/26/2010	Approved 1-12-2010	Pines at Crystal Lake #2009-03	
Town of Southwest Ranches		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	1/6/2009 Expires 7/6/2009	SWR09-2349, SBBC did not review			7/13/2009		No Activity			10/13/2009		No Activity						
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	1/12/2009 Expires 7/12/2009	SWR08-2448, SBBC did not review																
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	1/16/2009 Expires 7/16/2009	SWR09-2368, SBBC did not review																
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	1/23/2009 Expires 7/23/2009	SW2340-08, SBBC did not review																
Town of Southwest Ranches		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	2/2/2009 Expires 8/2/2009	SWR09-2443, SBBC did not review																
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	2/5/2009 Expires 8/6/2009	SW2363-08, SBBC did not review																
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	2/9/2009 Expires 8/9/2009	SWR09-2404, SBBC did not review																
	650-2009	Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	3/4/2009 Expires 0/4/2009	SWR09-2396																
		Site Plan 1 SF	5/11/2009	3/26/2009 Expires 9/26/2009	SWR09-2413, SBBC did not review																

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENT (LUPA), REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

ATTACHMENT "E-2"

2009

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments
Sunrise		LUPA 300 TH 2,500 HR	5/20/2009	2/10/2009	PC 07-19, Amerifirst, Metropica DRI			7/20/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity			2/11/2010		No Activity
		LUPA 100 TH 1,650 HR	5/20/2009	2/10/2009	Westerra, PC 8-12															
		Site Plan 219 TH 1,149 HR	5/20/2009	1/27/2009 Expires 7/27/2009	08:39 (Artesia )															
Tamarac			10/19/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity					
Unincorporated			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/20/2009		No Activity			10/23/2009		No Activity			1/22/2010		No Activity
West Park			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/28/2009		No Activity			10/16/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity
Weston			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/28/2009		No Activity			10/16/2009		No Activity	District did not review	326HR Over 55 Units, #09-1976	1/12/2010	Approved 11/16/2009 , expires 11/16/2010	The Palace
Wilton Manors			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/23/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

- Did not provide any Quarterly Reports
- Did not provide Quarterly Report for subject quarter

**MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED VESTED SITE PLANS  
2009**

ATTACHMENT "F"

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received By SBBC	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			4/30/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity					
Cooper City								10/20/2009		No Activity										
Coral Springs								7/29/2009		No Activity			12/11/2009		No Activity			4/6/2010		No Activity
Dania Beach			9/9/2009		No Activity			9/9/2009		No Activity			10/15/2009		No Activity			1/22/2010		No Activity
Town of Davie			2/12/2010		No Activity			7/27/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			2/2/2010		No Activity
Deerfield Beach			9/11/2009		No Activity			7/21/2009		No Activity			10/1/2009		No Activity			12/1/2009		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			12/18/2009		No Activity			7/27/2009		No Activity			10/8/2009		No Activity			1/20/2010		No Activity
Hallandale Beach			4/14/2009		No Activity			7/16/2009		No Activity								1/22/2010		No Activity
Hollywood			4/15/2009		No Activity			10/23/2009		No Activity			10/23/2009		No Activity			1/14/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			N/A					N/A					10/15/2009		No Activity			2/25/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes																				
Lauderhill			4/8/2009		No Activity			8/20/2009		No Activity										
Margate			10/21/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			1/11/2010		No Activity
Miramar			4/16/2009		No Activity			10/22/2009		No Activity			10/22/2009		No Activity			1/20/2010		No Activity
North Lauderdale			12/18/2009		No Activity			12/18/2009		No Activity			10/15/2009		No Activity			12/18/2009		No Activity
Oakland Park			10/22/2009		No Activity			10/22/2009		No Activity			10/22/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity
Parkland	546-2008	168 SF	4/3/2009	3/18/2009 Expires 9/18/2010				7/23/2009		No Activity			10/26/2009		No Activity					
Town of Pembroke Park			4/16/2009		No Activity			8/17/2009		No Activity			10/21/2009		No Activity			1/4/2010		No Activity
Pembroke Pines													9/29/2009		No Activity			1/4/2010		No Activity
Plantation			4/16/2009		No Activity			7/29/2009		No Activity			1/4/2010		No Activity			1/4/2010		No Activity
Pompano Beach			10/28/2009		No Activity			7/27/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			1/26/2010		No Activity
Town of Southwest Ranches			5/11/2009		No Activity			7/13/2009		No Activity			10/13/2009		No Activity					
Sunrise			2/16/2010		No Activity			9/2/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity			2/11/2010		No Activity
Tamarac			10/19/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity			10/19/2009		No Activity					
Unincorporated BC			4/3/2009		No Activity			7/20/2009		No Activity			10/23/2009		No Activity			1/22/2010		No Activity
West Park								7/28/2009		No Activity			10/16/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity
Weston								7/28/2009		No Activity			10/16/2009		No Activity			1/12/2010		No Activity
Wilton Manors			10/28/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			10/28/2009		No Activity			1/11/2010		No Activity

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department  
SBBC: The School Board of Broward County,

- Did not provide any Quarterly Reports
- Did not provide Quarterly Report for subject quarter

**LIST OF COUNTY RESIDENTIAL PLATS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION  
2009**

No. of Plats	SBBC No.	Plat No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date District Received Notification from Broward County Re: Final Approval	Date Received Final County Commission Approval
1	615-2008	034-MP-91	1/28/2009	Sunrise	Sawgrass Lakes Plat-Parcel A-2	2/12/2009	2/10/2009
2	616-2008	053-MP-94	2/17/2009	Sunrise	Greaton Plat No. 2	2/12/2009	2/10/2009
3	418-2008	032-MP-08	2/26/2009	Pompano Beach	Atlantic Yacht Club Villas	Requested Extension 8/21/09 to 2/18/10	
4	500-2008	035-MP-07	3/13/2009	Miramar	Miramar Park of Commerce VI	3/12/2009	3/10/2009
5	438-2008	033-MP-08	3/20/2009	Fort Lauderdale	One Financial Plaza	4/16/2009	4/14/2009
6	738-2009	075-MP-02	3/31/2009	Davie	Pine Meadow Estates II	9/2/2009	8/25/2009
7	739-2009	039-MP-04	4/6/2009	Southwest Ranches	Escondido Estates	9/29/2009	9/22/2009
8	454-2008	067-MP-02	2/18/2009	Davie	Living Water Estates	Requested Extension 8/14/09 to 2/18/10 10/16/2009	10/13/2009
9	617-2008	050-MP-08	5/6/2009	Parkland	Parkland Village Replat One	4/28/2009	4/28/2009
10	751-2009	019-MP-06	5/13/2009	Southwest Ranches	Sutton Ranches		
11	752-2009	013-MP-09	5/13/2009	Southwest Ranches	Fisikelli Plat		
12	755-2009	084-MP-05	5/21/2009	Southwest Ranches	Mirza Acres		
13	756-2009	087-MP-05	5/21/2009	Southwest Ranches	Campbell-Mirza Estates		
14	762-2009	010-MP-08	6/23/2009	Davie	Norwegian Seamen's Church	10/16/2009	10/13/2009
15	781-2009	010-MP-85	7/28/2009	Fort Lauderdale	Willow Woods Retirement Community		
16	768-2009	017-MP-09	7/30/2009	Plantation	G & J Ansaroff Estates		
17	789-2009	041-MP-08	9/2/2009	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens III		
18	788-2009	018-MP-09	9/4/2009	Davie	Vietnamese Buddhist Cultural Center of FL		
19	790-2009	020-MP-09	9/4/2009	Coconut Creek	Lyons Commons		

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

\* Expired

Determined exempt by SBBC

**LIST OF RESIDENTIAL SITE PLANS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION  
2009**

No. of Site Plans	SBBC No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date District Received Notification from Local Government Re: Final Approval	Date Received Final Approval From Local Governing Body
1	613-2009	1/5/2009	Oakland Park	Urban Village @ 5th Avenue		
2	625-2009	1/15/2009	Hallandale Beach	SW 6 St. Duplex		
3	650-2009	2/2/2009	Southwest Ranches	Ragbeer Residence	5/11/2009	3/4/2009
4	682-2009	2/11/2009	Plantation	Madiera Apartments	7/29/2009	Denied 7-1-2009
5	695-2009	2/24/2009	Fort Lauderdale	Sunrise Harbour	6/8/2009	2/17/2009
6	748-2009	4/28/2009	Hallandale Beach	642 Palm Drive		
7	754-2009	5/20/2009	Hallandale Beach	530 Oleander Drive		
8	765-2009	7/15/2009	Hallandale Beach	Federico Yanez	11/2/2009	8/21/2009
9	780-2009	7/28/2009	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens 1	10/8/2009	8/14/2009
10	785-2009	8/19/2009	Hollywood	Hillcrest Country Club, LP		
11	794-2009	9/25/2009	Fort Lauderdale	Giacco Mar		
12	796-2009	10/6/2009	Dania Beach	San Marco Apartments		
13	823-2009	11/18/2009	Hallandale Beach	490 Holiday Drive		

Prepared by: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

\* Expired

Determined exempt by SBBC