

**The Oversight Committee
For
Implementation of the Amended Interlocal Agreement for
Public School Facility Planning, Broward County, Florida**

**ANNUAL STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF
THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR
PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING**

JANUARY – DECEMBER 2010

April 13, 2011

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
A. Introduction	1
B. Report Summary	2
C. Conclusion	4
D. Status Report on Implementation of the Agreement January 2010 – December 2010	6

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A:	2010 Staff Working Group Meeting Attendance Sheet
Attachment B:	List Depicting Action by Local Government Regarding Inclusion of School Board Representative on Local Planning Agency
Attachment C:	Residential Developments with Recommendations to Provide Mitigation for Anticipated Student Impact, School Year 2010/2011
Attachment D:	Approval/Effective Dates Regarding Public School Concurrency
Attachment E-1:	Broward County Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPA's), Plats and Site Plans
Attachment E-2:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPA's), Plats and Site Plan Applications
Attachment F:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Vested Site Plans
Attachment G-1:	List of County Residential Plats Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination
Attachment G-2:	List of Residential Site Plans Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination

A. INTRODUCTION

In compliance with state law, the Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning (ILA) was initially entered into by The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC), the Broward County Commission, and 26 Municipalities in Broward County in 2003, and became effective that same year. The purpose of the Agreement was to address the coordination of growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities in Broward County.

In 2008, the Town of Lauderdale–By-The-Sea entered into the Agreement and became the 27th Municipal signatory to the Agreement. Also in 2008, the Agreement was amended to incorporate public school concurrency provisions consistent with state law. The incorporation of public school concurrency provisions into the ILA allowed for proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications to be denied if capacity is not available to accommodate students anticipated from such development applications. It should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake which was a signatory to the first ILA in 2003 has thus far not signed the Amended ILA but is still presumed to be making efforts to seek exemption from the state regarding participation in the Agreement. Therefore, the Village was not evaluated in this Report to determine if it complied with any of the provisions of the Amended ILA.

Consistent with state law, the Amended ILA is overseen by a fifteen (15) member Oversight Committee that consists of School Board Members, County Commissioner, Municipal elected officials, and community stakeholders; five each appointed by the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and the 27 Municipalities through the Broward League of Cities. The Committee meets quarterly each calendar year to conduct public hearings regarding implementation of the Amended ILA and other related matters, and during one of the quarterly meetings, issues an Annual Report as required by the Amended Agreement to the School Board, Broward County, the 27 participating Municipalities and the general public regarding the successes and failures of implementation of the Amended Agreement in the preceding calendar year.

The Amended ILA consists of fifteen (15) Articles with approximately ninety-two (92) specific requirements. However, this Report examines thirteen (13) pertinent Articles of the Agreement containing seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. The Articles are as follows: Joint Meetings; Student Enrollment and Population Projections; Coordination and Sharing of Information; School Site Selection, Significant Renovations and Potential School Site Closures; Supporting Infrastructure; Plan Review, Consistency Determination; Public School Concurrency; Collocation and Shared Use; Resolution of Disputes, Oversight Process; Effective Date and Term, and Amendment Procedures.

Additionally, this Report indicates that in 2010, the parties to the Agreement successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Agreement. However, the Report also flags one (1) of the seventy-seven (77) specific requirements of the Agreement as the area needing resolution. The one area includes:

1. Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and Land Development Regulations (LDR) to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. (Subsection 8.1(a) and see Attachment “D”)

B. REPORT SUMMARY

Results of the coordination between the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities regarding the requirements of the thirteen (13) specific Articles of the Agreement and the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements are delineated below.

Article II: Joint Meetings

Subsection 2.1 of this Article requires the Staff Working Group (SWG), a Group created by the Amended Interlocal Agreement to meet at least annually to address growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities. The Group's By-Laws require it to meet quarterly, and in 2010, the SWG met four times. School Board and Broward County staff representatives attended all four meetings. However, it should be noted that the School Board representative who attended the September 3, 2010 meeting was not the District's designated voting member, hence the representative's attendance was not reflected in Attachment "A". Some Municipalities also attended all four meetings and some did not. However, the parties satisfied the provisions of Article II.

Article III: Student Enrollment and Population Projections

The entities coordinated the generation and utilization of population and student enrollment projection data. The parties complied with the provisions of the Article.

Article IV: Coordination and Sharing of Information

The Superintendent provided the tentative 2010/11 – 2014/15 District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with their comprehensive plans, and included schools scheduled for renovations in the Plan. The District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on May 27, 2009, and approved by the School Board on July 22, 2009. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the newly adopted DEFP which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. It should be noted that update of the next Plant Survey will be conducted in fiscal year 2013/2014.

Also, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District, and the County provided the list of approved residential plat and adopted land use plan amendments to the Superintendent. The parties complied with the provisions of the Article.

Article V: School Site Selection, Significant Renovations, and Potential School Site Closures

The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2010. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2010. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2010/11 - 2014/15 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities. The parties satisfied the provisions of Article V.

Article VI: Supporting Infrastructure

The School District continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. School District staff conducts Design Review Committee Meetings on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases and needs and ideas are communicated at these meetings. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions, and these Plans were presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governmental agencies and Municipal officials. As such, the provisions of Article VI were satisfied.

Article VII: Plan Review; Consistency Determination

The School District continues to participate in Broward County land use plan amendment and platting processes, and other growth management issues. The twenty-seven (27) Municipal parties to the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. (Subsection 7.2, See Attachment "B"). In 2010, a School Board representative attended two LPA meetings, one in the Town of Davie and the other in the City of Parkland. However, the representative did not attend any meetings in other Municipalities either because (i) the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, (ii) because the Municipalities did not provide written notice requesting the Board representative to attend the meetings, (iii) or because the Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance. (Subsection 7.2)

In 2010, staff reviewed 13 residential land use plan amendments (LUPA), and one rezoning application that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. (Subsections 7.3, and see Attachment "C").

The appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings. Additionally, District staff reviewed several non-residential LUPA, plat, site plan and other growth management related applications. The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications were classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review". Also, Broward County and the Municipalities considered issues listed in Subsection 7.10 of the Agreement when reviewing comprehensive plans and rezoning applications, and provided workshop notices regarding community development plans to the Superintendent. School District staff attended community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County. Overall, the provisions of Article VII were satisfied by the signatories.

Article VIII: Public School Concurrence

Generally, this Article requires that the County and Municipalities shall ensure that the applications for residential plat or site plan (or their functional equivalent) applications are complete, and the Public School Impact Application (PSIA) regarding the applications are transmitted to the School District for review. This process is to ensure that capacity is available at Broward County public schools before such applications are approved and subsequently issued a building permit by the local governments. Subsequently, the County and Municipalities are required to provide quarterly reports to the School District regarding the approval or denial of the reviewed applications. The majority of the provisions of

Article VIII were satisfied by the signatories. However, data indicates that Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA, which may not enable the implementation of Public School Concurrency (PSC) requirements within their jurisdiction. Therefore, Subsection 8.1(a) of the Article needs resolution.

Article IX: Collocation and Shared Use

This Article states that during preparation of the DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, School Board and local governments are encouraged to collocate school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities. The Article also outlines processes to address the provision of information regarding collocation and shared use facilities. The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities through their staff representatives on the SWG continue to participate in the collocation efforts. The parties satisfied the provisions of Article IX.

Article X: Resolution of Disputes

This Article outlines how disputes between the parties should be resolved. Since inception of the Agreement, none of the Agreement's signatories have invoked utilization of this Article to resolve any disputes between the parties.

Article XI: Oversight Process

This Article authorized the creation of the Oversight Committee. In 2010, the School Board reappointed three of its representatives, and made one new appointment to the Committee. In 2010, Broward County appointed one representative to the Committee. Municipalities via the Broward League of Cities, reappointed one of its representatives to the Oversight Committee. Thus, the parties met the requirements of Article XI.

Article XII: Special Provisions

The evaluation of this Article is not necessary.

Article XIII: Effective Date and Term

In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1(f) of this Amended Agreement. The School Board, Broward County and 23 Municipalities approved the Agreement on the dates depicted in Attachment "D". Therefore, the requirements of Article XIII were met by the parties.

C. CONCLUSION

As earlier stated, the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities during the period from January through December 2010 successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Amended ILA, but did not comply with one (1) specific measurable requirement. The one additional area includes the fact that as reflected in Attachment "D",

certain Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. Therefore, the cited specific area needs resolution.

Thus, the resolution of the one (1) area cited in this Annual Report may further the successful implementation of the Amended ILA in 2011.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
JOINT MEETINGS				
2.1 - Hold annual Staff Working Group (SWG) meetings.	Quarterly	Consistently attended by School Board representatives.	Consistently attended by Broward County representatives.	Quorum was met at every regularly scheduled meeting. Attachment "A" depicts representatives that attended meetings and those that did not attend meetings in the period covered by this Annual Report.
2.2 - The SWG shall prepare an annual assessment report on the effectiveness of public school concurrency (PSC).	Annually by December 31 of each year.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2010 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2010 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2010 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.
STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND POPULATION PROJECTIONS				
3.1 - School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to coordinate and base plans upon consistent projections of population and student enrollment. Provide five-year student enrollment and countywide population projections to SWG.	Annually, September of each year.	2011/12-2015/16 five year enrollment projections are distributed from the School Boundaries Web site in October following the 20th day enrollment count (9/21/10).	At the September 2, 2010 meeting, the County indicated that the projections would next be updated in coordination with the results from the 2010 Census.	It is anticipated that the Municipalities will review the projections when available.
3.2 - Superintendent to use student population projections provided by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conference and development trends data provided by the local governments during preparation of student enrollment projections.	Ongoing	Each year, staff prepares student enrollment projections based on a variety of factors. Such factors are; but not limited to, the demographic, revenue and education estimating conference, and forecasted Certificates of Occupancy supplied by each local government.	N/A	N/A
3.3 - Broward County to provide population projections to verify geographic distribution of countywide public school student projections.	Ongoing	Staff coordinated with Broward County to acquire the pertinent annual County population trends and projections. This data becomes a component of the District's five-year student enrollment projections.	Broward County provided the countywide population trends and projections to School District staff.	N/A
COORDINATION AND SHARING OF INFORMATION				
4.1 - Commencing no later than July 30, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Superintendent shall submit the tentative District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with the local government comprehensive plan.	Annually, July of each year.	Due to declines in revenues, the process for developing the DEFP was extended in order to provide ample time to vet the issues and for School Board Workshops to get consensus on the plan prior to presenting the tentative DEFP to the Public and for approval on July 29, 2010. The tentative DEFP was provided to Broward County and Municipalities on July 15, 2010. In the correspondence, the entities were advised to share the information with their elected officials and provide necessary comments to District staff. Municipalities were advised of the Public Hearing on July 15, 2010 and were encouraged to attend or provide feedback.	Broward County received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.	Municipalities received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.
4.2 - Include schools scheduled for renovations in the tentative DEFP.	Annually	The School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2010/11 - 2014/15 tentative DEFP.	N/A	N/A
4.3 - Coordinate development of the Five-Year Educational Plant Survey with the SWG.	Once in five years.	The current District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on 5/27/09, and approved by the School Board on 7/22/09. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the tentative and subsequent adopted District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. Update of the next Plant Survey will be conducted in fiscal year 2013/2014.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
4.4 - Commencing August 31, 2007 and annually thereafter, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities shall provide the Superintendent with a report on growth and development trends within their jurisdiction.	Annually, by August 31 of each year.	Staff coordinated the collection of five-year municipal Certificate of Occupancy data with the County, and received the development trends report from the County and Municipalities.	The County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District.	The County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District.
4.5 - Quarterly, the County to provide list of residential plats approved by the Broward County Commission during the preceding quarter to the Superintendent.	Quarterly	N/A	As applicable, Broward County consistently provided this information to the School District on a monthly basis.	N/A
4.6 - The County to provide list of land use plan amendments adopted or denied by the Broward County Commission to the Superintendent.	Periodically, no later than the 15th day of each month	N/A	As applicable, Broward County Planning Council consistently provided the information to the School District.	N/A
SCHOOL SITE SELECTION, SIGNIFICANT RENOVATIONS, AND POTENTIAL SCHOOL SITE CLOSURES				
5.1 - School Board staff to review potential sites for new schools, closure of existing schools and significant renovations consistent with School Board Policy 5000. Include the recommendations in the DEFP.	Annually	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2010 .	N/A	N/A
5.2 - Site Review Committee to submit list of potential new schools, closure of existing schools and renovations to local governments for an informal consistency review with the comprehensive plan.	Periodically	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2010. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2010. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2010/11 - 2014/15 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
Expand the Superintendent's Site Review Committee to include a permanent local government representative and a floating member. Amend School Board Policy 7000 to list membership of the Committee.	As necessary	In 2004, School Board Policy 7000 was amended to include all representatives in accordance with provisions of the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and subsequently amended in 2008 to include additional representatives.	Broward County is represented on the Site Review Committee.	The current Municipal permanent representative to the Site Review Committee is Mayor Debby Eisinger of Cooper City.
5.3 - The Superintendent to coordinate site plan information for new schools with affected local governments in accordance with state statutes.	As necessary	Site plan information is shared with affected local governments during Design Review Committee (DRC) meetings. These meetings are conducted by school district staff during the schematic and design development phases of all major projects. These meetings are open to all governing agencies.	N/A	N/A
5.4 - Pursuant to Section 1013.33(11), at least 60 days prior to acquisition or leasing information of property for new public educational facility, Superintendent to provide written notice to pertinent local government. Local government to provide comments within 45 days indicating plans consistency with local government's land use and comprehensive plan to the Superintendent.	As necessary	No new potential school sites were acquired or leased in 2010. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>5.5 - If a local government determines that a proposed school site is consistent with the comprehensive plan pursuant to this Agreement, or at any other time when such a determination is made, the School Board shall follow the procedures contained in Section 1013.33(12), F.S., as may be amended. If a local government determines that the proposed school site is inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, the School Board may request a plan amendment consistent with the local government's plan amendment procedures and requirements.</p>	As necessary	No new potential school sites were acquired in 2010. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A
<p>SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>6.1 - The School Board and affected local governments will jointly determine the need for and timing of on-site and off-site improvements to public facilities necessary to support each new school or proposed significant renovation.</p>	As necessary	The School Board continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. An appropriate forum for communicating needs and ideas occurs at the Design Review Committee Meeting which is conducted by School District staff on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans should be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions. The Master Plan is presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governing agencies and Municipal officials.	The County continues to work closely with the School Board, Municipalities and developers.	The Municipalities continue to work closely with the School Board, the County and developers.
<p>PLAN REVIEWS; CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION</p> <p>7.1 - School Board to appoint representatives to sit on Broward County and pertinent municipal local planning agency (LPA).</p>	Immediately	The Signatories of the Amended ILA were sent written notification regarding the appointed School Board's representative to Broward County and Municipalities when the Agreement was initially executed. Also, in August 2009, the School District sent a letter to the most recent signatory to the Agreement, the Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, informing the Town of the appointed School Board representative(s).	N/A	N/A
<p>7.2 - Local governments to take action to include School Board representatives on LPA and enable the representatives to attend meetings at which the LPA considers comprehensive plan amendment and rezoning applications that would increase residential density.</p>	Immediately	In 2010, School Board representatives attended two LPA meetings; one in the Town of Davie and the other in the City of Parkland. It should be noted that School Board representative did not attend any meetings in other Municipalities either because: (i) the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, (ii) because the Municipalities did not provide written notice requesting the Board representative to attend the meetings, (iii) or because the Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance.	Broward County took action on 8/5/03 to include School Board representative on the County's LPA. In 2010, the County did not request School Board representative(s) to attend any LPA meetings. This is because the County has not had any LPA meetings that necessitated School Board representative's attendance.	To date, 27 of the 28 Municipalities listed on the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. However, it should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake being the 28th Municipality has thus far not signed the Agreement, has not made the appointment, but is still presumed to be making efforts to seek exemption from the state regarding participation in the Agreement.
<p>7.3 - Broward County and Municipalities agree to provide to the Superintendent, rezoning and comprehensive plan amendment applications that will increase residential density. The Superintendent shall review the applications and provide report indicating anticipated student impact to the local government. The County and Municipalities shall provide deadline for receiving comments from the Superintendent, however, the deadline shall be no less than 45 days from the date the information is provided. The County and Municipalities will provide written quarterly reports to the Superintendent when the application receives final approval.</p>	Quarterly	In 2010, staff reviewed 14 residential land use plan amendments (LUPA's), and one rezoning application that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. (See Attachment "C").	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding LUPA applications that were reviewed by the Broward County Planning Council. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	The LUPA and rezoning applications reviewed by the District in 2010 were located in the Cities of Dania Beach, Davie, Deerfield Beach, Hollywood, Parkland, Pompano Beach, Tamarac, and Wilton Manors. As of this date, some projects are still pending or have not received final approval by the Municipal governing body.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.4 - School Board to continue participation in the Broward County land use plan amendment review process.	Ongoing	In 2010, the appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.5 - School Board to continue to review non-residential development and other pertinent development applications that may affect school properties, and as necessary participate on other growth management issues.	Ongoing	In 2010, the District reviewed 12 non-residential LUPA, 24 plat applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.6 - Broward County and Municipalities to provide public notice of land use and comprehensive plan amendments, rezonings, development of regional impact applications and other residential or mixed-use projects with residential component pending before them that may affect student enrollment, projections and school facilities to the Superintendent. Notice to be provided at the same time as provided to the public under County or Municipal ordinance.	Ongoing	N/A	As applicable, Broward County complied with this requirement in 2010.	As applicable, a majority of the Municipalities complied with this requirement in 2010.
7.7 - The review of LUPA and rezoning applications by the Superintendent shall be classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review", and applicants may delineate the residential type, units and bedroom mix of the project if known; if not specified, the review shall be based upon the maximum student generation rates for that residential type.	Ongoing	The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications complied with the requirements of this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
7.8 - Written comments provided by the Superintendent to the County and Municipalities regarding the "Public Schools Consistency Review" will specify the anticipated student impact, capacity status of affected schools, depict ten year student enrollment projects by planning area, planned capacity improvements, identify available alternatives, and state that the proposed development will be subject to public school concurrency review at the time of plat and site plan review.	Ongoing	At the minimum, the reports issued for "Public Schools Consistency Review" projects in 2010 contained all the information required by this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
7.9 - If the "Public Schools Consistency Review" indicates that capacity is not available at the impacted school(s), or anticipated in the District Educational Facilities Plan, the applicant may choose to offer, and the School Board may consider the voluntary mitigation to address the anticipated impact. The voluntary mitigation shall be limited to the options listed in this Subsection.	Immediately	The annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge and reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school by the owner of LUPA PC 10-20, a middle school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-4, and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. However, other LUPA and rezoning applications with increased density that were reviewed by the School District and did not propose any voluntary mitigation. (See Attachment "C").	N/A	N/A
7.10 - Broward County and Municipalities may consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School Board comments when reviewing comprehensive plan and rezoning applications.	Ongoing	N/A	Broward County as appropriate considers issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.	The Municipalities as appropriate consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.
7.11 - County and Municipalities to provide notice to the Superintendent to enable the District to participate and provide comments in workshops regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities.	As necessary	In 2010, School District staff attended community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County.	The County provided notice to the School District staff regarding community development plans workshops that may affect public school facilities.	In 2010, no Municipalities provided notice to School District staff to attend community development plans workshops that may affect public school facilities.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY				
8.1 Required Elements of Public School Concurrency				
<p>8.1(a) - The amendments to public school facilities element (PSFE) and related amendments to the capital improvement element (CIE) and the intergovernmental coordination element (ICE) in the County and Municipal comprehensive plans to satisfy Sections 153.3177 and 163.3180 F.S. are being adopted into the comprehensive plans of the County and Municipalities concurrently with the execution of the Amended ILA by the County and municipalities.</p>	Immediately	N/A	The County complied with this Subsection on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	The Municipalities have established PSC management systems within their jurisdictions, and the date the Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and land development codes to address the provisions of the Second Amended ILA are depicted in Attachment "D".
<p>8.1(b) - The experience under the revised comprehensive plans and the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP shall be reviewed each year by the County and Municipalities at the SWG meeting to determine whether updates to the comprehensive plans are required. The Five-Year DEFP shall be updated annually to add a new fifth year. Any other amendments to the comprehensive plans shall be transmitted in time to allow their adoption concurrently with update to the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP.</p>	Annually by March 31	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 7, 2010 and made it available to the County and Municipalities on October 1, 2010.	The County received and reviewed the Five-Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.	The Municipalities received and reviewed the Five-Year adopted DEFP that was provided by the School Board.
<p>8.1(c) - School related amendments shall be provided to the School Board at least 60 days prior to transmittal or adoption if no transmittal is required, unless adopting school-related amendments that are identical to Broward County, then they shall be provided at least 1 month prior to the Local Planning Agency (LPA) meeting. The School Board shall review the amendments and provide comments in writing if any, to the local government either (i) at least one week prior to the LPA meeting on the amendment, or (ii) by attending and providing comments at the LPA meeting.</p>	At least 60 days prior to transmittal or one month prior to LPA meeting, as applicable	On November 4, 2010, the School District staff attended the Broward County LPA meeting at which the County presented proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan that are to be consistent with the provisions of the Second Amended ILA. District staff participated in the discussions regarding the amendments.	On November 4, 2010, Broward County presented proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan that are to be consistent with the provisions of the Second Amended ILA. Additionally, the amendments are scheduled for the Broward County Commission's formal action on December 14, 2010.	On August 4, 2010, the Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA) issued a Notice of Intent (NOI) to find the proposed changes to the Second Amended ILA consistent with the minimum requirements of state statutes. Municipalities are currently working to amend their comprehensive plans and Land Development Regulations (LDR) to be consistent with the Second Amended ILA and will transmit the amendments to the School District consistent with the requirements of this Subsection.
<p>8.1(d) - The County and Municipalities school-related element provisions must be consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies. Municipalities may choose to adopt all or a portion of the County's school-related element provisions by reference, or it may adopt its own provisions. If a Municipality adopts its own provisions, any goal, objective, policy or other provision relevant to the establishment and maintenance of a uniform district-wide school concurrency system shall be substantially the same as its counter part in the County and Municipalities comprehensive plans.</p>	Ongoing	N/A	The County's School Related Amendments are consistent with those of the Municipalities and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.	Municipalities School Related Amendments reviewed by the School District are consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>If any school-related element amendment is proposed that affects the uniform district-wide school concurrency system, it shall not become effective in accordance with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. Municipalities and the County may adopt the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP either by reference or by restatement of the relevant portions of the adopted Five-Year DEFP, but the Municipalities and the County shall not attempt to modify the adopted Five-Year DEFP. To the extent feasible, the County and Municipalities agree to coordinate the timing of approval of the amendments.</p>		<p>In the 2009/10, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement.</p>	<p>Broward County approved the Second Amended ILA.</p>	<p>22 Municipalities approved the Second Amended ILA.</p>
<p>8.1(e) - In addition to the other coordination procedures provided for in this Amended Interlocal Agreement, at the time of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), the County and Municipalities shall schedule at least one (1) SWG meeting with the School Board to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.</p>	<p>At time of the EAR</p>	<p>School District staff participated in the June 3, 2010 SWG meeting at which preliminary discussions regarding the County's EAR, and discussions pertaining to needed updates to address the Second Amended ILA school-related plan provisions took place. District staff attended the September 2, 2010 SWG meeting and participated in discussions regarding the County's EAR.</p>	<p>Broward County staff participated in the June 3, 2010 SWG meeting at which preliminary discussions regarding the County's EAR, and discussions pertaining to needed updates to address the Second Amended ILA school-related plan provisions took place. Broward County presented information regarding the County's EAR at the September 2, 2010 SWG meeting.</p>	<p>Municipal staff that attended the June 3, 2010 SWG meeting participated in preliminary discussions regarding the County's EAR, and in discussions pertaining to needed updates to address the Second Amended ILA school-related plan provisions. Municipal staff in attendance at the September 2, 2010 SWG meeting participated in discussions regarding the County's EAR.</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.2 Specific Responsibilities</p> <p>(a) Broward County and the Municipalities, within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments in accordance with this Amended Agreement becoming effective shall amend their respective Land Development Codes (LDC) and adopt the required public school concurrency (PSC) provisions, consistent with the requirements of this Amended Agreement. Such amendment shall include the public school concurrency management system outlining the development review process for proposed residential developments.</p>	<p>Within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments becoming effective</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Broward County amended it's comprehensive plan and LDC to adopt PSC provisions regarding the new 100% gross LOS.</p>	<p>Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and LDC's to adopt PSC provisions regarding the new 100% gross LOS.</p>
<p>(b) Broward County and the Municipalities, in accordance with the Amended ILA shall: 1.) Not approve or issue any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) that is not exempted or vested pursuant to Subsection 8.11 of this Amended ILA until the District has reported that the school concurrency requirement has been satisfied. 2.) Maintain data for approved residential development that was the subject of PSC review. The data shall be provided to the District in a quarterly report after final approval of the application by the governing body, and must include information stated in this Subsection. 3.) Transmit residential plats and site plans (or their functional equivalents) and proposed amendments to such applications to the District for review and comment, consistent with Subsection 8.13 of this Amended ILA. 4.) Commencing August 31, 2007, and annually thereafter as a part of the growth and development trend required by Subsection 4.4, provide the total number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy to the School Board.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Attachments "G-1" and "G-2" represents written notice received by the District regarding formal action taken by Broward County and Municipalities on the residential plats, site plans and (functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the District.</p>	<p>Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.</p>	<p>Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.</p>
<p>(c) The School Board shall do the following: 1.) Annually prepare and update its adopted Five-Year DEFP, which for the purposes of PSC shall be considered the financially feasible Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. The Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan shall reflect the capacity needed to meet the adopted level of service standard (LOS) for each District elementary, middle and high school, during the five year period, but no later than the fifth year of the Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. 2.) Establish a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each District elementary, middle and high school and to ensure that the schools are operating at or below the adopted LOS.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The School Board held a public hearing on September 7, 2010, and adopted the Five-Year DEFP. Additionally, the District has established a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each elementary, middle and high school, provided school related data to the County and Municipalities regarding update of their comprehensive plans, maintains data regarding capacity availability at elementary, middle and high schools, and established mechanism for the review of proportionate share mitigation.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) 3.) Commencing October 15, 2009, and annually thereafter, provide the County and Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. 4.) Review proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications for compliance with PSC requirements. 5.) As a component of the District's PSC management system, maintain data regarding available capacity at the District's elementary, middle and high school within each CSA after factoring the student impact anticipated from the proposed residential development into the database. 6.) Review proposed proportionate share mitigation options for new residential development, and determine acceptability of such mitigation options. 7.) Prior to the effective date of PSC, amend School Board Policy 1161 to incorporate PSC provisions and delineate the District's PSC management system. 8.) As necessary, amend the DEFP to incorporate funds accepted as proportionate share mitigation.		On October 14, 2010, the District provided Broward County and the Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. Plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed in 2010 for PSC determinations are depicted in Attachment "G-1" and "G-2". The District also updated periodically and published, the "Public School Concurrency Planning Document" (PSCPD), which is used to maintain data regarding available capacity at each elementary, middle and high school after factoring the student impact anticipated from proposed residential development.	N/A	N/A
8.3 Adopted School Board DEFP				
(a) Same requirement as Subsection 8.2(c)(1)	Annually, on or before September 30th.	Same as above	N/A	N/A
(b) At the minimum, the adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall specify all new construction, expansion and remodeling, which will add permanent capacity to elementary, middle and high schools, and also include information specified in Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 7, 2010 and made it available to the County and Municipalities on October 1, 2010.	N/A	N/A
(c) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall include a description of each school project, a listing of funds to be spent in each fiscal year for the planning, preparation, land acquisition, and the actual construction and remodeling of each pertinent school project which adds capacity or modernizes existing facilities; the amount of capacity added, if any; and a generalized location map for planned new schools. Such location maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 7, 2010 and made it available to the County and Municipalities on October 1, 2010.	N/A	N/A
(d) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall identify the five-year projected student enrollment, permanent capacity and utilization percentage of all elementary, middle and high schools.	Same as above	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 7, 2010 and made it available to the County and Municipalities on October 1, 2010.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(e) The adopted school boundaries for each elementary, middle and high school, as annually conducted by the School Board shall also become the adopted concurrency service area (as referenced in Section 8.8), and shall be consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP. The school boundaries maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.	Same as above	The adopted school boundaries are consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP.	N/A	N/A
8.4 Transmittal (a) In addition to the provisions pertaining to the Tentative District Educational Facilities Plan as delineated in Article IV of this Amended Agreement, the School Board, upon completion and adoption of the Five-Year DEFP, shall make the DEFP available to the Local Governments no later than thirty (30) days after adoption of the District Educational Facilities Plan.	No later than 30 days after adoption	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 7, 2010 and made it available to the County and Municipalities on October 1, 2010.	N/A	N/A
8.5 Comprehensive Plans - Development, Adoption and Amendment of the Capital Improvements Elements (a) Upon adoption of the Five-Year DEFP and transmittal to Local Governments, the County and Municipalities shall adopt the School Board's Five-Year "Adopted DEFP" or applicable sections of the Adopted DEFP as a part of the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of their Comprehensive Plans.	Ongoing	N/A	As applicable, Broward County will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.	As applicable, Municipalities will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.
(b) Any amendment, correction or modification to the adopted Five-Year DEFP concerning costs, revenue sources, or acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedications or proportionate share mitigation, once adopted by the School Board, shall be transmitted by the School District to the County and Municipalities within forty-five (45) days after the adoption. The County and Municipalities shall amend their CIE to reflect the changes consistent with the annual update required by the State to their CIE. Such amendments may be accomplished by ordinance, and shall not be considered amendments to the comprehensive plan, pursuant to Section 163.3177 (6)(b)(1), Florida Statutes.	Ongoing	No amendments have been made to the School Board's Five-Year DEFP since transmittal of the document to Broward County and the Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY		N/A	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.6 Public School Concurrency Standard (a) The PSC standard requires Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board to maintain the adopted LOS for Broward County Public Schools. The PSC standard requires that all proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications containing residential units shall be reviewed to ensure that adequate school capacity will exist prior to or concurrent with the impact of the proposed residential development, to accommodate the additional student growth at the adopted LOS.	Ongoing	Plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2010 are depicted Attachments in "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2010 are depicted in Attachment "G-2". Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
8.7 Commencement (a) PSC described in this Amended Agreement shall commence upon the Comprehensive Plan Amendments related to the PSFE by the County and Municipalities becoming effective, and the execution of this Amended Agreement by the parties identified herein. However, PSC shall commence no earlier than February 1, 2008.	As applicable to the entity	In compliance with Subsection 8.2(c)(7), the School Board amended and adopted School Board Policy 1161 on January 15, 2008 and commenced implementation of PSC on February 1, 2008. Subsequently, the School Board incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into Policy 1161 and adopted the amended Policy on November 9, 2010.	PSC is currently effective in Broward County. Subsequently, the County incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into its comprehensive plan, and the date the amended comprehensive plan became effective is depicted in Attachment "D".	PSC is currently effective in the Municipalities. Subsequently, the Municipalities incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into their comprehensive plans, and the date the amended comprehensive plan became effective in each Municipality is depicted in Attachment "D".
8.8 Concurrency Service Areas EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) and (c) IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.9 Adoption of Concurrency Service Areas (a) Adoption of the CSAs shall be as delineated in School Board Policy 5000 to be amended consistent with the Amended Agreement, and as may be amended from time to time.	Ongoing	As required, the adoption of the CSAs are delineated in School Board Policy 5000. On February 24, 2010, the School Board adopted the 2010/11 effective CSA's for elementary, middle, and high schools.	N/A	N/A
(b) No later than forty-five (45) days after adoption of the CSAs, the School District shall transmit the new CSAs to the County and Municipalities. The County and Municipalities shall incorporate the adopted "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" and the School Board's process for modification of the CSAs contained in the "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of their Comprehensive Plans.	Ongoing	In March of 2010 the School Board adopted the 2010/11 effective CSAs for elementary, middle and high boundaries, and were transmitted to the County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
8.10 Level of Service Standard EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) IS NOT NECESSARY				

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>8.11 Exemptions and Vested Developments</p> <p>(a) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be exempt from the requirements of PSC: 1. All residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) which generate less than one student in the relevant CSA. 2. Any amendment to or replat of a residential plat or amendment to a residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which generates less than one additional student. (The former and latter developments shall be subject to the payment of school impact fees). 3. Any age restricted community with no permanent residents under the age of eighteen (18). Exemption for an aged restricted community shall only be available subject to a recorded Restrictive Covenant limiting the age of all permanent residents to eighteen (18) years and older. 4. As may otherwise be exempted by Florida Statutes.</p>	Ongoing	List of the residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications that were submitted to the School District in 2010, and reviewed by District to determine that they met this Subsection are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2010 are depicted in Attachment "G-2". Also, Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plans (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>(b) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be vested from the requirements of PSC: 1. Any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) located within a previously approved comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which is subject to a mitigation agreement in accordance with the following: (i.) The mitigation to address the impact of the new students anticipated from the development has been accepted by the School Board consistent with School Board Policy 1161, and; (ii.) A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant has been properly executed and recorded by the Developer or the development is located within a boundary area that is subject to an executed and recorded triparty agreement consistent with School Board Policy 1161 as may be amended from time to time. 2. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) that has received final approval, which has not expired prior to the effective date of public school concurrency.</p>	Ongoing	In 2010, the School District reviewed three plats, and one site plan (or functional equivalent) application that met the provisions of this Subsection. The four applications are in addition to other plat applications that were reviewed by the District (See Attachments "G-1" and "G-2").	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Same as above

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(b) 3. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which is included within a residential plat or development agreement for which school impacts have been satisfied for the dwelling units included in the proposed site plan (or functional equivalent). Information regarding each residential site plan (or functional equivalent) shall be transmitted to the School District in a quarterly report. In the transmittal of such residential site plan (or functional equivalent) to the School District, the County or Municipality shall provide additional written information as required in the quarterly report to verify that the units in the application are vested. The County will provide the necessary information to the School Board and Municipalities to identify the vested plats and further specifics to be contained in the adopted land development regulations. As applicable, the Municipalities shall utilize the information provided by the County regarding the vested plat to complete information as required in the quarterly report.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>In 2010, the School District did not receive information on any site plan application that met this Subsection. (See Attachment "F").</p>	<p>In 2010, the County did not provide any information on vested site plan applications to the School District.</p>	<p>Same as above.</p>

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p> <p>8.12 Public School Concurrency Management System</p> <p>SUBSECTIONS (a) and (b) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2 (a). SUBSECTION 8.12(c) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2(c)(7). THUS, EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p>8.13 Review Process</p> <p>(a) Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board shall ensure that the LOS established for each school type and CSA is maintained. No residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application or amendments thereto shall be approved by the County or Municipalities, unless the residential development is exempt or vested from the requirements specified in Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement, or until a School Capacity Availability Determination Letter (SCAD) has been issued by the School District indicating that adequate capacity is available. This shall not limit the authority of a Local Government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent, pursuant to its home rule or governmental regulatory powers for reasons other than school capacity.</p>	Ongoing	Documentation regarding the achievement and maintenance of the adopted LOS by the School District is contained in LOS Plan, which is a component of the School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP. Also, the plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2010 are depicted in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".	Attachment "E-1" depicts quarterly reports provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County Commission.	Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities, and formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
<p>(b) Any applicant submitting a plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application with a residential component that is not exempt or vested under Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement is subject to PSC and shall be required to submit a Public School Impact Application (PSIA) to the Local Government, for review by the School District including information called for in this Subsection.</p>	Ongoing	Same as above.	Same as above.	Same as above.
<p>(c) The Local Government shall ensure the applications for residential plat or site plans (or their functional equivalent) are complete and transmit them to the School District for review. Upon determination that the application is complete, the Local Government shall transmit the PSIA to the School District for review. This process does not preclude the Local Government from requiring that the applicant submit the PSIA directly to the School District for review.</p>	Ongoing	N/A	Same as above.	Same as above.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>(d) The School District will review the properly submitted and completed PSIA and verify whether or not sufficient capacity is available at the impacted CSA to accommodate students anticipated from the proposed development. The process for review of the application shall be as follows:</p> <p>1. The School District shall review, on a first come, first serve basis, the completed PSIA. The SCAD Letter shall be sent to the applicant and the affected Local Government no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the PSIA. 2. Notification shall be provided to the applicant and affected Local Government if the application is incomplete. 3. THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION.</p>	Ongoing	The SCAD Letters issued for the received/reviewed PSIA were transmitted to the applicant, and as applicable to Broward County and the Municipalities within the maximum specified 45-days review period.	N/A	N/A
<p>(e) Student Generation Rates Calculation The determination of students anticipated from a proposed PSIA shall be based on the utilization of the effective, adopted and pertinent student generation rates contained within the Broward County Land Development Code (BCLDC). Update of the student generation rates shall be conducted at least once every three (3) years by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities.</p>	Ongoing/Three Year Update	As required, the School District applies the currently adopted student generation rates (SGR) to determine anticipated student impact from proposed residential plat, site plan (or functional equivalent) applications. The current SGR were updated by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities, was adopted by the County Commission and became effective on June 2, 2008. An update to the current SGR is currently being conducted by the firm of Duncan Associates. On November 9, 2010, the School Board adopted the recommendations contained in the "School Impact Fee Study dated October 21, 2010, and similarly proposed ordinance amendments to the BCLDC via Resolution No. 11-55, and subsequently transmitted the recommendations to the Broward County Commission.	Broward County participated in the update of the current SGR that is being conducted by Duncan Associates.	Municipalities through the SWG participated in the update of the current SGR that is being conducted by Duncan Associates.
<p>(f) Utilization Determination EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (f)(1) and (2) IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				
<p>3. If it is determined that there is no capacity at the assigned school(s) as determined by the procedure described in Subsection 8.13(f)2 above because the projected growth from a residential development causes the adopted LOS to be exceeded in the subject CSA, the School District may, if practical, utilize pertinent options delineated in School Board Policy 5000, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time to ensure maximum utilization at the CSA. Otherwise, all of the CSAs immediately adjacent to the primary impacted CSA will be examined for available capacity before a determination letter is issued indicating that the development has satisfied PSC.</p>	Ongoing	In 2010, the School District's Capacity Allocation Team (CAT) (the Group responsible for the allocation of available excess permanent capacity from adjacent CSAs as called for in School Board Policy 1161) considered and allocated excess available permanent capacity to seven plats and two site plan applications reviewed by the District.	N/A	N/A
<p>4. If necessary, the School District will reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization, except where such reassignment: (i.) Creates additional transportation cost impacts due to natural or physical barriers; or (ii.) Results in a violation of federal, State or School Board Policy.</p>	Ongoing	In 2010, the School District did not reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization.	N/A	N/A
<p>(g) Issuance and Term of Public School concurrency - EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY</p>				

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.14 Proportionate Share Mitigation (a) The School Board shall consider proportionate share mitigation pursuant to provisions of this Amended Agreement. Such consideration shall be consistent with the mitigation provisions outlined herein and delineated in School Board Policy 1161, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time, regarding PSC. If the proposed mitigation option is accepted and deemed financially feasible by the School Board, the applicant or Local Government shall enter into an enforceable and binding agreement.	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(b) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.15 Proportionate Share Mitigation Options EVALUATION OF THE ENTIRE SUBSECTION 8.15 IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.16 Formula for the Calculation of Proportionate Share Mitigation Options (a) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
(b) A Mitigation contribution provided by a Developer to offset the impact of a residential development must be directed by the School Board toward a permanent school capacity project identified in the first three years of the School District's adopted Five-Year DEFP, or as appropriate, scheduled as a new project in the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP. If the School Board accepts proportionate share mitigation based on the latter, the Board shall amend the adopted Five-Year DEFP to include the proportionate share amount or value of the mitigation. Capacity projects identified within the first three (3) years of the Five-Year Capital Facility Plan shall be considered as committed in accordance with the pertinent Sections of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(c) If capacity projects are planned in years four (4) or five (5) of the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP within the same CSA as the proposed residential development, and if the School Board agrees, the Developer may pay his proportionate share to advance the improvement into the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP to mitigate the proposed development in accordance with the formula provided herein.	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(d) Guidelines for the expenditure of proportionate share mitigation funds towards permanent capacity identified in the adopted Five-Year DEFP, shall be as follows: 1. The School Board shall utilize monies paid by applicants, to provide needed permanent capacity at those schools identified in the District's development review report as being impacted by the development. 2. If site constraints or other feasibility issues make it impracticable for the School Board to provide the needed permanent capacity at the affected school(s) as delineated above, as feasible, the School Board will make efforts to provide the needed capacity at school(s) located immediately adjacent to the primarily impacted CSA(s) as found in the current Adopted Five-Year DEFP (s), thus relieving overcrowding at the primary identified impacted school(s).	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
3. If disbursement of the mitigation funds is not possible as outlined above, the funds will be spent in the applicable school impact fee service area delineated in the adopted BCLDC in a manner that ensures that the impact of the development is still addressed at the primary affected CSA or an adjacent CSA.	Ongoing	Same as above	N/A	N/A
8.17 Appeal Process				
A Developer or Local Government receiving a SCAD Letter that indicates permanent capacity is not available may implement the applicable process outlined below.				
(a) A Developer adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such determination by written request to the School Board.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2010 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(b) If the School Board rules in favor of the Developer, School District staff shall issue a subsequent SCAD Letter based on the decision of the School Board. If the School Board does not rule in favor of the Developer or upholds the decision of District staff, the Developer may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2010 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(c) A Developer adversely impacted by a non-acceptance of proposed proportionate share mitigation made as a part of the PSC process may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
(d) A Developer adversely impacted by a Local Government decision made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such decision using the process identified in the Local Government's regulations for appeal of development orders.	Ongoing	N/A	N/A	N/A

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**


SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(e) A Local Government adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may initiate the process outlined in Subsection 10.1(a) of this Amended Agreement. If the issue cannot be resolved, the Local Government may appeal such determination to the School Board. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the decision of the School Board, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If either the School Board or the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2010 were appealed by local governments.	N/A	N/A
(f) If the School Board does not accept proportionate share mitigation proposed by a Local Government, and such decision results in a dispute between the entities, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.	Ongoing	In 2010, no proportionate mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
COLLOCATION AND SHARED USE 9.1 - During preparation of the DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, School Board and local governments are encouraged to collocate school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities.	Ongoing	The School Board through its staff representative on the SWG continues to participate in the collocation efforts.	Broward County through its staff representative on the SWG, continues to participate in the collocation efforts.	Municipalities, through their SWG staff representatives continue to participate in the collocation efforts.
9.2 - To enable the collocation/shared use of public school facilities with Local Government/civic facilities, the Local Governments shall in January of each year provide to the SWG information on Local Government public/civic facilities planned for inclusion in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities. Upon receipt of the information, the SWG shall forward the information to the School District. Also, the Local Governments shall examine the annually submitted School Board's Five-Year Tentative DEFP provided pursuant to Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement, and include in the written comments back to the School District information regarding the potential public/civic facilities that could be collocated with planned new schools delineated in the Five-Year Tentative DEFP.	January of each year/ongoing	In 2010, the School District did not receive any information via the SWG regarding the location of future local government public/civic facilities. This is because the County and Municipalities indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.	In 2010, Broward County indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.	In 2010, Municipalities indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
<p>This requirement shall not prevent the Local Government from providing information on collocation to the SWG through out the calendar year. Information provided to the SWG and School District shall at the minimum include the planned type of public facility, acreage and location/parcel map. Information provided shall be in hard copy and electronic copy. Upon receiving such information, the School District shall organize meetings with the subject Local Government(s) to further pursue and work towards the collocation of the facilities. The entities shall notify the SWG of their efforts toward collocation of the subject facilities. As part of efforts toward the collocation of such facilities in Broward County, the SWG shall include in all of its meeting agendas, an agenda item relating to the provision information regarding collocation as stated herein. Subsequently, the SWG shall in its report to the Oversight Committee, advise the Committee of ongoing efforts toward collocation, including information on certificates of occupancy to the School Board.</p>				Same as above
<p>9.3 - Separate legal agreement to address each collocated facility.</p>	As necessary	The School Board has master recreation lease agreements (MRL) with Broward County and twenty-one (21) municipalities. It also has reciprocal use agreements with seventeen (17) municipalities.	Broward County Sheriff's Department has one MRL Agreement with the School Board.	Twenty-one (21) municipalities have MRL Agreements with the School Board. Also, seventeen (17) municipalities have reciprocal use agreements with the School Board. Furthermore, the County provided additional comments to the District on the MRL agreements to aid in the planned Study on collocation.
<p>RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES 10.1 - Dispute Resolution</p>	As necessary	In 2010, the School Board did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2010, Broward County did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2010, no Municipality invoked nor was involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.

**STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING
JANUARY - DECEMBER 2010**

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
OVERSIGHT PROCESS				
11.1 - The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to each appoint five representatives to the Oversight Committee.	Immediately	Four School Board representatives to the Oversight Committee are due for reappointment in 2010. At the December 7, 2010 Regular School Board meeting, the School Board reappointed two of the representatives and appointed two new representative to the Committee.	In 2010, Broward County appointed one new representative to the Oversight Committee.	In 2010, the Broward League of Cities reappointed one of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.
11.2 - Municipalities to appoint their five representatives to the Oversight Committee through a mutually agreeable process.	Immediately	N/A	N/A	The municipalities continue to appoint all five municipal representatives to the Committee through the Broward League of Cities.
11.3 - THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
SPECIAL PROVISIONS				
12.1 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM				
13.1 - This Amended Agreement shall become effective upon the signatures of the School Board, the County and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the Municipalities which include at least fifty percent (50%) of the population within Broward County. This Amended Agreement may be cancelled by mutual agreement of the School Board, the County and the respective Municipalities, unless otherwise cancelled as provided or allowed by law.	Prior to December 31, 2008, and Dates for Proposed Amendments	In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. The School Board approved the Agreement on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Broward County approved the Second Amended ILA, and the approval date is depicted in Attachment "D".	22 Municipalities approved the Second Amended ILA, and dates the Municipalities adopted the Second Amended ILA are depicted in Attachment "D".
AMENDMENT PROCEDURES				
14.1 Process to Amend the Interlocal Agreement - NOT NECESSARY TO DEPICT PROCESS IN THIS REPORT	Ongoing	On December 15, 2009, the School Board formally requested amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and the proposed amendments are documented in the Second Amended ILA.	In 2010, Broward County did not propose any amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement.	In 2010, the Municipalities did not formally propose any amendments to the Amended Interlocal Agreement.
MISCELLANEOUS				
15 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				

 Sections with Issues that Need Resolution

Source: The Amended Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning, December 2, 2010 Staff Working Group Meeting.

**THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
2010 STAFF WORKING GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET**

Local Government/Agency	3/4/2010 Meeting	6/3/2010 Meeting	9/3/2010 Meeting	12/2/2010 Meeting
Coconut Creek	X			X
Cooper City	X	X	X	X
Coral Springs		X		X
Dania Beach	X	X	X	X
Davie	X	X	X	X
Deerfield Beach	X	X	X	
Fort Lauderdale	X	X	X	X
Hallandale Beach				
Hollywood		X		X
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea		X	X	
Lauderdale Lakes		X		
Lauderhill		X	X	
Margate	X	X	X	X
Miramar	X		X	X
North Lauderdale	X	X		X
Oakland Park	X	X	X	X
Parkland	X		X	X
Pembroke Park	X	X	X	X
Pembroke Pines	X	X	X	X
Plantation	X	X	X	X
Pompano Beach	X	X		X
Southwest Ranches	X	X	X	X
Sunrise	X	X		X
Tamarac	X	X	X	X
West Park	X	X	X	X
Weston	X	X	X	X
Wilton Manors		X	X	X
Broward County	X	X	X	X
Broward County Planning Council*	X	X	X	X
Broward County Public Schools*	X	X		X
South Florida Regional Planning Council*				

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

Did not attend any meetings in 2010

* Governmental Agency

x Denotes attendance by local Government Representative

**LIST DEPICTING ACTION BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT
REGARDING INCLUSION OF SCHOOL BOARD REPRESENTATIVE
ON LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY**

Number	City	Action Taken	Date Action Taken
1	Coconut Creek	X	7/8/05
2	Cooper City	X	10/8/03
3	Coral Springs	X	12/9/03
4	Dania Beach	X	10/26/04
5	Davie	X	10/8/03
6	Deerfield Beach	X	9/6/05
7	Fort Lauderdale	X	7/6/05
8	Hallandale Beach	X	10/16/06
9	Hollywood	X	11/5/03
10	Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	X	8/19/09
11	Lauderdale Lakes	X	10/11/05
12	Lauderhill	X	9/29/03
14	Margate	X	8/17/05
15	Miramar	X	3/3/04
16	North Lauderdale	X	12/2/03
17	Oakland Park	X	2/16/05
18	Parkland	X	4/7/04
19	Pembroke Park	X	9/24/08
20	Pembroke Pines	X	11/5/03
21	Plantation	X	11/12/03
22	Pompano Beach	X	1/24/06
23	Southwest Ranches	X	6/27/07
24	Sunrise	X	1/13/04
25	Tamarac	X	1/26/05
26	West Park	X	5/17/08
27	Weston	X	2/7/05
28	Wilton Manors	X	2/11/03
29	Broward County	X	8/5/03

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

X Denotes that Local Government took formal action to include representative on the local planning agency

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROVIDE MITIGATION FOR ANTICIPATED SCHOOL IMPACT
2010/2011

No.	Project Name/Number	Land Use Designation	Existing Land Use/Zoning	Permitted Units & Type	Proposed Land Use/Zoning	Additional Units & Type	Total Number of Units and Type	Jurisdiction	Schools Impacted, School Year 2010/11					Students Generated	Total Students Generated	Requested Mitigation of Anticipated Students	Developer Agreed to Provide Mitigation	Agency Imposing Conditions	Mitigation Option	Date Reviewed	Developer/Owner
									Elementary	Students Generated	Middle	Students Generated	High								
1	Pines at Crystal Lake	LUPA	L-5	36 SF	Irreg.13	93 TH	93 TH	Pompano Beach	Palmview	3	Crystal Lake	2	Blanche Ely	3	8	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/8/2010	Pines at Crystal Lake, LLC
2	Woodmont County Club	Rezoning	RC	0	R-1	302 SF	302 SF	Tamarac	Tamarac	63	Millenium	30	Taravella	36	129	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/14/2010	Woodmont Country Club, Inc.
3	Bruschi*	LUPA	RR-10	30 SF	R-2	600 SF	600 SF	Parkland	Park Trails	137	Westglades	70	Stoneman Douglas	80	287	No*	N/A	N/A	N/A	2/1/2010	Amerigo Bruschi Family Ltd. Parknership
4	Hendrix**	LUPA	RR,ER,R-1	536 SF	Irreg. 2	1,478 SF	1,478 SF	Parkland	Park Trails	226	Westglades	117	Stoneman Douglas	132	475	No**	N/A	N/A	N/A	2/1/2010	Hendrex Holding Company
5	Pinehills	LUPA	Comm-Rec.	0	Irreg. 5.21	422 SF	422 SF	Tamarac	Challenger	53	Millenium	26	Piper	35	114	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	2/18/2010	Edward Rack Corporation
6	Crystal Lake Golf Club Parcel X & Y	LUPA	Comm-Rec.	0	MH 25, ROS	500 GA	500 GA	Deerfield Beach	Quiet Waters	60	Crystal Lake	28	Blanche Ely	35	123	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/28/2010	Crystal Lake Golf Club, LLC
7	Crystal Lake Clubhouse	LUPA	Comm-Rec.	0	MH 25	140 GA	140 GA	Deerfield Beach	Quiet Waters	17	Crystal Lake	8	Blanche Ely	10	35	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/29/2010	Crystal Lake Golf Club, LLC
8	Dania Beach LAC	LUPA	Comm, Low(5), Low-Med(10), Med(16) Res, OP,I,U	200 SF 215 TH 145 GA 115 MR	LAC	169 SF 400 TH 1,091 GA 200 MR	169 SF 400 TH 1,091 GA 200 MR	Dania Beach	Bethune, Mary Collins, Stirling	93	Attucks	30	Hollywood Hills	64	187	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/7/2010	Multiple Owners Including the City of Dania Beach
9	Pompano Park South RAC	LUPA	Comm-Rec, RAC	0	RAC	250 GA 1,050 MR	250 GA 1,050 MR	Pompano Beach	Cypress	58	Pompano Beach	26	Blanche Ely	25	109	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/9/2010	PPI, Inc., c/o Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc.
10	Hollywood TOC	LUPA	Comm, CF, I, OP, Low(5), Low-Med(10), Irreg(12), Med(16) Res.	1,903 SF 1,334 TH 2,072 GA	TOC	2,400 SF 2,400 TH 509 GA	2,400 SF 2,400 TH 509 GA	Hollywood	Orange Brook, Sheridan Hills, Stirling, W.Hollywood, Collins, Driftwood	65	Apollo, Attucks, Olsen, Driftwood	41	Hollywood Hills, McArthur, S.Broward, Hallandale	52	158	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/9/2010	City of Hollywood (on behalf of many owners)
11	Sunforest Apartments	LUPA	R-10	499 GA	R-22	600 GA	1,099 GA	Davie	Tropical	72	Seninole	33	South Plantation	41	146	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/27/2010	EQR Sombra 2008 GP, LLC
12	Woodmont County Club	LUPA	RC	0	R-1	302 SF	302 SF	Tamarac	Tamarac	63	Millenium	30	Taravella	36	129	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/28/2010	Woodmont Country Club

**RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROVIDE MITIGATION FOR ANTICIPATED SCHOOL IMPACT
2010/2011**

No.	Project Name/Number	Land Use Designation	Existing Land Use/Zoning	Permitted Units & Type	Proposed Land Use/Zoning	Additional Units & Type	Total Number of Units and Type	Jurisdiction	Schools Impacted, School Year 2010/11					Students Generated	Total Students Generated	Requested Mitigation of Anticipated Students	Developer Agreed to Provide Mitigation	Agency Imposing Conditions	Mitigation Option	Date Reviewed	Developer/Owner
									Elementary	Students Generated	Middle	Students Generated	High								
13	North Dixie Highway TOC	LUPA	Comm, Low-Med(10), Med-High(25) Resi. I, Trans, ROS	323 GA 267 TH	TOC	30 SF 128 TH 82 GA 279 MR	30 SF 128 TH 82 GA 179 MR	Wilton Manors	Bennett, Wilton Manors	21	Sunrise	8	Fort Lauderdale	11	40	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/9/2010	City of Wilton Manors
14	Tamarac Local Activity Center	LUPA	Comm, CF, ROS, Low(5)Res.	0	LAC	300 TH 700 GA 875 MR	300 TH 700 GA 875 MR	Tamarac	Challenger	119	Millenium	52	Piper	62	233	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/8/2010	Multiple Owners Including the City of Tamarac
	Total			7,675		15,300	15,699			1,050		501		622	2,173						

Source: School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department, Facility Management, Planning & Site Acquisition

SF: Single Family, 5,703 ; TH: Townhomes, 3,321 ; GA: Garden Apartments, 4,371 ; MR: Midrise, 2,304 ; HR: Highrise, 0

* Annexation Agreement requires dedication of elementary school site

** Annexation Agreement requires dedication of high school site

**APPROVAL/EFFECTIVE DATES REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF
THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY
PLANNING**

Local Governments/Entity	Second Amended ILA Approval Date	* Effective Date For Comprehensive Plan Amendment	**Land Development Code/Policy Adoption Date
Coconut Creek	4/22/2010	TBD	Scheduled for 10/20/2011
Cooper City	3/9/2010	TBD	TBD
Coral Springs	6/1/2010	1/4/2011	9/2/2008
Dania Beach	4/14/2010	TBD	TBD
Davie	4/21/2010	4/20/2011 (Tentative)	TBD
Deerfield Beach	4/20/2010	2/12/2011	N/A
Fort Lauderdale		TBD	TBD
Hallandale Beach	5/5/2010	TBD	6/15/2011 (Tentative)
Hollywood		TBD	TBD
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	9/27/2010	3/22/2011 (Proposed 2nd reading)	TBD
Lauderdale Lakes		TBD	TBD
Lauderhill	4/26/2010	TBD	TBD
Margate	4/7/2010	TBD	TBD
Miramar	6/2/2010	7/1/2011	TBD
North Lauderdale	4/27/2010	TBD	TBD
Oakland Park		Pending DCA's NOI	Scheduled for 4/6/2011
Parkland	2/17/2010	TBD	TBD
Pembroke Park	3/10/2010	2/10/2011	5/14/2011
Pembroke Pines	4/21/2010	TBD	TBD
Plantation	4/7/2010	2/6/2011	TBD
Pompano Beach	4/13/2010	Proposed 5/10/2011	Adopted Concurrently with Plan Amendment
Southwest Ranches	5/20/2010	TBD	TBD
Sunrise	3/23/2010	TBD	TBD
Tamarac	5/26/2010	TBD	TBD
West Park	3/17/2010	12/31/2010	TBD
Weston	3/15/2010	12/28/2010	TBD
Wilton Manors	5/11/2010	TBD	8/12/2008
Broward County	2/23/2010	1/27/2011	TBD
Broward County School Board	***3/23/2010	N/A	11/9/2010

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

N/A Not Applicable

NOI - Notice of Intent

TBD - To Be Determined

Municipality denied or did not take formal action on Second Amended ILA

* Comprehensive Plan Amendment which includes the Capital Improvement Element

** Per Local Government/School Board

*** Reflects date School Board took action to address correction to the initially approved (2/2/10) Second Amended ILA

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S),
PLATS AND SITE PLANS
2010**

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS			
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
1st Quarter	011-MP-09	745-2009	1/20/2010	Jafo Respite Center 4 SF plus on-resid. Approved 1-12-10			N/A				N/A
	146-MP-81	724-2009	2/26/2010	Pembroke Lakes South a/k/a Raintree 103 SF, 206 Sleeping Room ALP, Approved 2/23/2010							
2nd Quarter	013-MP-09	752-2009	4/15/2010	Fisikelli Plat, 2 SF (1 Existing, 1 Proposed) Approved 4-13-2010			N/A				N/A
	044-MP-96	838-2009	4/15/2010	Pleasure Acres, 2 SF Approved 4/13/2010							
	025-MP-08	401-2008	6/11/2010	Dr. Kennedy Homes, 64 GA & 68 Mid-Rise, Approved 6/8/2010							
3rd Quarter	005-MP-10	878-2010	8/19/2010	Ehlinger Apartments, 155 GA , Approved 8-10-10			N/A	PC 10-2	801-2009	11/9/2010	Hallandale Beach RAC, adopted 9/28/2010
	050-MP-08	617-2008	8/19/2010	Parkland Village Replat One, 63 SF, 112 TH, Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-3	812-2009	11/9/2010	Aztec RV Resort, adopted 11/28/2010
	034-MP-91	615-2008	8/19/2010	Sawgrass Lakes Plat, 1,276 HR, 250 GA, 351 TH, Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-4	802-2009	11/9/2010	Debuys-Triple H Ranch, adopted 9/14/2010
	106-MP-86	897-2010	8/19/2010	Oakland Sawgrass Expressway Plat, 34 TH, Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-5	766-2009	11/9/2010	Pembroke Towers adopted 9/28/2010
	038-MP-89	900-2010	8/19/2010	Town Park Crossing a/k/a Prima Professional Campus, 88 GA + Non- Resid., Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-6	451-2008	11/9/2010	Palm Aire LUPA, adopted 9/28/2010
	054-MP-08	622-2009	8/19/2010	I-595 Commerce Center, 944 GA + non-resid., Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-7	822-2009	11/9/2010	Highland Park Village, adopted 9/28/2010
	106-MP-05	902-2010	8/19/2010	N.W. 27 Avenue Plat, 50 GA, Approved 8/10/2010				PC 10-10	861-2009	11/9/2010	Hollywood TOC, adopted 9/28/2010

**BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPA'S),
PLATS AND SITE PLANS
2010**

Quarter	PLATS				SITE PLANS			LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS			
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
3rd Quarter	019-MP-09	681-2009	9/3/2010	Foxcroft Apartments (Waterview) , 300 SF, 320 GA, approved 8/31/2010			N/A	PC 10-12	863-2010	11/9/2010	Tamarac LOC, adopted 9/28/2010
	102-MP-05	473-2008	9/3/2010	Pinnacle at Avery Glen, 140 GA, approved 8/31/2010				PC 10-13	862-2010	11/9/2010	Wilton Manors TOC, adopted 9/28/2010
	032-MP-05	917-2010	9/20/2010	Rodeo Village, 1 SF, 96 Bed ALF, approved 9/14/2010				PC 10-14	795-2009	11/9/2010	Sunforest Apartments, adopted 9/28/2010
	079-MP-05	791-2010	9/20/2010	Captiva Club, 360 GA, approved 9/14/2010				PC 10-16	866-2010	11/9/2010	Deerfield Beach, adopted 9/28/2010
	084-MP-05	755-2009	10/5/2010	Mirza Acres, 2 SF, Approved 9/28/2010				PC 10-20	844-2010	11/9/2010	Bruschi, adopted 9/28/2010
	087-MP-05	756-2009	10/5/2010	Campbell Mirza Estates, 1 Existing SF, 1 Proposed SF, Approved 9/28/2010				PC 10-21	843-2010	11/9/2010	Hendrix, adopted 9/28/2010
	017-MP-09	768-2009	10/5/2010	G & J Ansaroff Estates, 4 SF, Approved 9/28/2010				PC 10-24	826-2010	11/9/2010	Pines at Crystal Lake, adopted 9/28/2010
	001-MP-10	842-2010	10/5/2010	Dania Beach City Center, 280 Mid-rise, Approved 9/28/2010				PCT 10-3	687-2009	11/9/2010	Mainstreet at Coconut Creek, adopted 9/28/2010
								PCT 10-6	820-2009	11/9/2010	Davie RAC, adopted 9/28/2010
4th Quarter	026-MP-08	335-2008	11/23/2010	Highland Oaks, 138 TH, Approved 11/9/2010							

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2010

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			9/22/2010		No Activity			9/22/2010		No Activity	687-2009	Mainstreet at Coconut Creek, LUPA, 100 TH, 2,750 MR, 900 HR	11/23/2010	Approved 8/26/2010				1/19/2011		No Activity
											501-2008	Bel Lago Site Plan, 270 GA	11/23/2010	Approved 8/26/2010						
Cooper City			4/30/2010		No Activity			7/9/2010		No Activity			10/20/2010		No Activity			1/10/2011		No Activity
Coral Springs			4/6/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			10/12/2010		No Activity			1/3/2011		No Activity
Dania Beach	760-2009	RAC-2348 SF, 2333 TH, 972 GA, 2165 HR	4/27/2010	4/27/2010	1st reading			7/19/2010		No Activity			10/25/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
	760-2009	LAC-169 SF, 400 TH, 1091 GA, 200 MR	4/27/2010	3/23/2010	City approved at 1st reading, but was denied by Broward County 6/2010															
Town of Davie			5/5/2010		No Activity	878-2010	Ehlinger Apartments 005-MP-10 155 GA	7/26/2010	6/2/10, exp. 12/2/11	SP approved with Rezoning w/o vesting verification. SP is inconsistent with final SCAD for Plat. PSC has been satisfied per 8.2.b.1 of the ILA	795-2009	Sunforest Apartments, 600 MR	11/8/2010	Approved 9/7/2010	LUPA			1/18/2011		No Activity
											820-2009	Davie RAC, 3,174 Units	11/8/2010	Approved 9/7/2010	LUPA					
											888-2010	Davie Road Triangle Apartments, 69 GA	11/8/2010	Approved 9/22/2010	Rezoning, Site Plan. Expiration 3/22/2012					
											900-2010	Town Park Crossings	11/8/2010	Approved 8/17/2010	Site Plan, Expiration 2/17/2012					
Deerfield Beach			4/6/2010		No Activity			6/1/2010		No Activity			9/30/2010		No Activity	866-2010	Crystal Lake Golf Club, 125 MR	1/12/2011	10/19/2010	District reviewed as 140 GA

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2010

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Fort Lauderdale			4/23/2010		No Activity			11/19/2010		No Activity	789-2009	Northwest Gardens III, 84 TH, 66 GA	10/28/2010	Approved 7/23/2010	Expires 7/23/2012			1/24/2011		No Activity
Hallandale Beach			7/19/2010		No Activity	913-2010	Niemiec-Selim #55-10-DR 2 TH	7/19/2010	Approved 7/9/2010	Exp. 7/9/2011	801-2009	Hallandale Beach LUPA, RAC, 550 SF, 1,200 TH, 1,000 GA, 1,000 MR	12/20/2010	Approved 7/21/2010				1/3/2011		No Activity
															923-2010	401 Poinciana LLC, 1 SF	12/20/2010		Approved 10/7/2010	
Hollywood			4/30/2010		No Activity	861-2010	Hollywood SR 7 TOC, #10-L-06	7/14/2010	Approved 6/8/2010	1st reading	861-2010	Hollywood ST 7 TOC	12/13/2010	Approved by City 9/15/2010, Recertified by County 12/9/2010				12/21/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			4/14/2010		No Activity			10/15/2010		No Activity			10/15/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes			7/12/2010		No Activity			7/12/2010		No Activity			2/3/2011		No Activity			2/3/2011		No Activity
Lauderhill			9/2/2010		No Activity			7/7/2010		No Related Activity			11/23/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
Margate			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/12/2010		No Activity	812-2009	Aztec RV Resort, 646 RV Sites	10/14/2010	Adopted by City Comm. 8/25/10				12/22/2010		No Activity
Miramar			5/10/2010		No Activity			8/4/2010		No Activity		Site Plan-Sorrento, 320 GA	11/2/2010	Approved 7-7-2010	Reviewed as Plat (SBBC 940-2010), but was not submitted as Site Plan			2/8/2011		No Activity
North Lauderdale			4/23/2010		No Activity			7/8/2010		No Activity			10/14/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Oakland Park			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/9/2010		No Activity			10/11/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Parkland			5/3/2010		No Activity	617-2008	Parkland Reserve, 12-SPA-09, 63 SF, 112 TH	7/6/2010	6/2/2010	Site Plan, expires 12/2/2011	802-2009	Triple H and Debuys, 1,346 SF, PC 10-4	10/20/2010	Approved 8/18/2010		843-2010	Hendrex, PC 10-21, 160 SF	1/13/2011	Approved 10/6/2010	
Town of Pembroke Park			4/9/2010		No Activity			7/8/2010		No Activity			10/11/2010		No Activity			1/12/2011		No Activity

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2010

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Pembroke Pines Plantation			3/30/2010		No Activity			6/30/2010		No Activity		Pembroke Tower LUPA, 200 Senior Housing Units	10/4/2010	Approved 9/21/2010				12/20/2010		No Activity
Pompano Beach			5/11/2010		No Activity		Riverside Condominium, 42 HR	8/27/2010	Approved 6/10/2010	Expires 6/10/2012, Site Plan approved without PSC review			12/9/2010			Orchid Grove, 445 GA	12/10/2010	Approved 12/15/2010		No Activity
							Atlantic Station, 50 MR	8/27/2010	Approved 9/22/2010	Expires 9/22/2012, Site Plan approved without PSC review										
							Ocean Garden, 21 HR	8/27/2010	Approved 7/6/2010	Expires 7/6/2012, Site Plan approved without PSC review										
Town of Southwest Ranches	752-2009	Fisikelli, RZ-013-09, 1 SF	4/23/2010	2/18/2010	Exempt Rezoning		CABA Center LUPA, 1 SF	12/2/2010	Approved 4/15/2010	LUPA approved without PSC review	752-2009	Fisikelli Site Plan, 1 SF	12/2/2010	Approved 9/9/2010, SBBC reviewed as Plat but not reviewed as Site Plan				2/23/2011		No Activity
											896-2010	Yacob Site Plan, 1 SF	12/2/2010	Approved 7/20/2010						
Sunrise	745-2009	Jarco Respite Center Site Plan, 3 SF	5/14/2010	1/26/2010				7/12/2010		No Activity	473-2008	Pinnacle at Avery Glenn, 140 GA	11/2/2010	Approved 9/13/2010	Expires 3/13/2012			1/12/2011		No Activity
											615-2008, 616-2008, 897-2010	Oakland Sawgrass Lakes Plat a/k/a Artesia, 401 TH, 821 HR	11/2/2010	Approved 8/10/2010	Expires 2/1/2012					
Tamarac			1/18/2011		No Activity	853-2010	Pine Hills (Colony West), 375 SF	11/22/2010		Denied 4/15/2010			11/22/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
						830-2010	Woodmont. 255 SF	11/22/2010		Denied 4/2010										

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2010

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Unincorporated Broward			4/30/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			10/12/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
West Park			4/21/2010		No Activity			7/6/2010		No Activity			11/18/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Weston			4/21/2010		No Activity			7/6/2010		No Activity			11/18/2010		No Activity	932-2010	Weston Landing Estates Plat, 125 SF	1/3/2011	Approved 10/4/2010	District staff reviewed as Plat but not as Site Plan
Wilton Manors			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			11/8/2010		No Activity			1/19/2011		No Activity

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED VESTED SITE PLANS
2010

Municipality	1st Quarter					2nd Quarter					3rd Quarter					4th Quarter				
	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residential Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			9/22/2010		No Activity			9/22/2010		No Activity			11/23/2010		No Activity			1/19/2011		No Activity
Cooper City			5/14/2010		No Activity			7/9/2010		No Activity			10/20/2010		No Activity			1/10/2011		No Activity
Coral Springs			4/6/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			10/12/2010		No Activity			1/3/2011		No Activity
Dania Beach			4/27/2010		No Activity			7/19/2010		No Activity			10/25/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Town of Davie			5/5/2010		No Activity			7/26/2010		No Activity			11/8/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
Deerfield Beach			4/6/2010		No Activity			6/1/2010		No Activity			9/30/2010		No Activity			1/12/2011		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			4/23/2010		No Activity			11/19/2010		No Activity			10/28/2010		No Activity			1/24/2011		No Activity
Hallandale Beach			7/19/2010		No Activity			7/19/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity			1/3/2011		No Activity
Hollywood			4/30/2010		No Activity			7/14/2010		No Activity			12/13/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			4/14/2010		No Activity			10/15/2010		No Activity			10/15/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes			7/12/2010		No Activity			7/12/2010		No Activity			2/3/2011		No Activity			2/3/2011		No Activity
Lauderhill			9/2/2010		No Activity			7/7/2010		No Activity			11/23/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
Margate			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/12/2010		No Activity			10/14/2010		No Activity			12/22/2010		No Activity
Miramar			5/10/2010		No Activity			8/4/2010		No Activity			11/2/2010		No Activity			2/8/2011		No Activity
North Lauderdale			4/23/2010		No Activity			7/8/2010		No Activity			10/14/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Oakland Park			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/9/2010		No Activity			10/11/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Parkland			5/3/2010		No Activity			7/6/2010		No Activity			10/20/2010		No Activity			1/13/2011		No Activity
Town of Pembroke Park			4/9/2010		No Activity			7/8/2010		No Activity			10/11/2010		No Activity			1/12/2011		No Activity
Pembroke Pines			3/30/2010		No Activity			6/30/2010		No Activity			10/4/2010		No Activity			12/20/2010		No Activity
Plantation			7/7/2010		No Activity			7/7/2010		No Activity			11/19/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Pompano Beach			5/11/2010		No Activity			8/27/2010		No Activity		Riverside Condos, 42 HR	12/9/2010	7/28/2010	7/28/12	959-2010	Orchid Grove Rezoning, 445 GA	12/10/2010	Approved 10/27/2010	
											Atlantic Station, 50 TH	12/9/2010	9/22/2010	9/22/12						
Town of Southwest Ranches			4/21/2010		No Activity			12/2/2010		No Activity			12/2/2010		No Activity			2/23/2011		No Activity
Sunrise	615-2008	Sawgrass Lakes, 034-MP-91	5/14/2010	11/5/1993	2,122 TH			7/12/2010		No Activity	473-2008	Pinnacle at Avery Glen, 140 GA	11/2/2010	9/13/2010	Expires 3/13/2012			1/12/2011		No Activity
		Sunrise Village, Site Plan	5/14/2010	1/12/2010	192 GA, 309 HR						615-2008 616-2008 897-2010	Artesia	11/2/2010	8/10/2010	Expires 2/10/2012					
Tamarac			1/18/2011		No Activity			11/22/2010		No Activity			11/22/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
Unincorporated BC			4/30/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			10/12/2010		No Activity			1/18/2011		No Activity
West Park			4/21/2010		No Activity			7/6/2010		No Activity			11/18/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Weston			4/21/2010		No Activity			7/6/2010		No Activity			11/18/2010		No Activity			12/21/2010		No Activity
Wilton Manors			4/26/2010		No Activity			7/13/2010		No Activity			11/8/2010		No Activity			1/19/2011		No Activity

LIST OF COUNTY RESIDENTIAL PLATS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION

2010

No. of Plats	SBBC No.	Plat No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date District Received Notification from Broward County Re: Final Approval	Date Received Final County Commission Approval
1**	833-2009	029-MP-09	1/7/2010	Pompano Beach	John Knox Village		
2	724-2009	146-MP-81	1/7/2010	Pembroke Pines	Pembroke Lakes South a/k/a Raintree	2/26/2010	2/23/2010
3**	838-2009	44-MP-96	1/12/2010	Parkland	Pleasure Acres	4/15/2010	4/13/2010
4	842-2010	001-MP-10	9/10/2010	Dania Beach	Dania Beach City Center	10/5/2010	9/28/2010
5	617-2008	050-MP-08	7/12/2010	Parkland	Parkland Village Replat One	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
6**	858-2010	081-UP-92	3/4/2010	Southwest Ranches	Chinese American Benevolent Assoc.		
7	854-2010	050-MP-02	3/10/2010	Davie	Millcreek Ranches		
8**	401-2008	025-MP-08	4/16/2010	Fort Lauderdale	Dr. Kennedy Homes	6/11/2010	6/8/2010
9	622-2009	054-MP-08	5/19/2010	Davie	I-595 Commerce Center	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
10	878-2010	005-MP-10	5/20/2010	Davie	Ehlinger Apartments	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
11	335-2008	026-MP-08	5/25/2010	Pompano Beach	Highland Oaks	11/23/2010	11/9/2010
12	473-2008	102-MP-05	6/15/2010	Sunrise	Pinnacle at Avery Glen	9/3/2010	8/31/2010
13	897-2010	106-MP-86	6/17/2010	Sunrise	Oakland Sawgrass Expressway Plat - Artesia	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
14	900-2010	038-MP-89	6/21/2010	Davie	Town Park Crossing a/k/a/ Prima Professional Campus	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
15**	902-2010	106-MP-05	6/23/2010	Pompano Beach	NW 27th Avenue Plat	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
16	615-2008	034-MP-91	6/28/2010	Sunrise	Sawgrass Lakes Plat	8/19/2010	8/10/2010
17**	912-2010	070-MP-04	7/14/2010	Coconut Creek	Paloma Lakes	3/29/2011	3/29/2011
18**	917-2010	032-MP-05	7/14/2010	Davie	Rodeo Village	9/20/2010	9/14/2010
19**	919-2010	033-MP-03	7/20/2010	Davie	Downtown Davie		
20	791-2009	079-MP-05	8/3/2010	Pompano Beach	Captiva Club	9/20/2010	9/14/2010
21	681-2009	019-MP-09	8/19/2010	Miramar	Foxcroft Apartments (Waterview)	9/3/2010	8/31/2010
22	932-2010	074-MP-06	9/30/2010	Weston	Weston Estates		
23	934-2010	065-MP-92	9/30/2010	Pembroke Pines	Pembroke Shores		
24**	935-2010	050-MP-01	9/30/2010	Plantation	Khalil Plat	1/18/2011	1/11/2011
25	930-2010	014-MP-10	10/11/2010	Davie	Shotgun East Estates		
26	940-2010	016-MP-10	10/12/2010	Miramar	Foxcroft Homes Plat		
27	743-2009	063-MP-06	12/10/2010	Margate	Celebration Pointe	1/18/2011	1/11/2011
28	948-2010	019-MP-10	12/10/2010	Lauderhill	Caribbean Falls		
29**	952-2010	022-MP-10	12/16/2010	Fort Lauderdale	Lalwani Plat		
30	959-2010	049-MP-04	12/15/2010	Pompano Beach	Cypress Grove	1/28/2011	1/25/2011

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

* Expired

** Determined exempt by SBBC

LIST OF RESIDENTIAL SITE PLANS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION

2010

No. of Site Plans	SBBC No.	SCAD Issue Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Date District Received Notification from Local	Date Received Final Approval
1	789-2009	10/28/2010	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens III		
2**	841-2010	1/14/2010	Southwest Ranches	New Custom Residence		
3	848-2010	1/28/2010	Hollywood	Crystal Lakes Townhomes		
4**	850-2010	2/10/2010	Fort Lauderdale	Bayshore 545		
5**	865-2010	3/12/2010	Fort Lauderdale	2309 N.W. 6th Court		
6	888-2010	6/16/2010	Davie	Davie Road Triangle Apartments	11/8/2010	9/22/2010
7**	896-2010	6/15/2010	Southwest Ranches	Yacoob Residence	12/2/2010	7/20/2010
8**	901-2010	6/22/2010	Fort Lauderdale	Progresso Point		
9**	913-2010	7/1/2010	Hallandale	Niemiec/Selim	7/19/2010	7/9/2010
10**	921-2010	9/2/2013	Southwest Ranches	15000 N. Saxon Circle Residence		
11**	923-2010	9/13/2010	Hallandale	401 Poinciana LLC		
12**	939-2010	10/11/2010	Hallandale	Zinquer Residence		
13	943-2010	10/20/2010	Hallandale	Hallandale Beach Townhouses		
14	946-2010	11/9/2010	Pompano Beach	Beachside Village		
15**	955-2010	12/1/2010	Oakland Park	Kozloski		

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

* Expired

** Determined exempt by SBBC