Broward County Public Schools

Walker Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
	<u> </u>
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	22
Budget to Support Goals	22

Walker Elementary School

1001 NW 4TH ST, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Tauri Eligon Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

Active
Aduve
Elementary School PK-5
K-12 General Education
Yes
[Data Not Available]
Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students English Language Learners Students With Disabilities
2018-19: D (32%) 2017-18: C (44%) 2016-17: D (37%) 2015-16: F (19%)
(SI) Information*
Southeast
LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
N/A
N/A
TIER 1

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to provide a rich, challenging Pre K - 5 program that cultivates the intellectual, social, and emotional development of each child while challenging all students to become productive citizens.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Walker Elementary will advocate for student success by providing a safe, supportive, and collaborative learning environment that empowers, enlightens, and inspires our students to reach or exceed their highest potential.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities	
Eligon, Tauri	Principal		Mr. Tauri Eligon, Principal, oversees the daily operations of the school. He monitors the curriculum and instructional practices of teachers. He also analyzes assessment data and provides support to teachers and staff.
Martin , Jodianne	Instructional Coach		Jodianne Martin, Reading Coach, oversees the school-wide reading program. She facilitates data chats with teachers based on student performance data. She also monitors the performance of the lowest quartile students in Reading. Ms. Martin coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for the lowest quartile to ensure the right remediation is given. Ms. Martin is the facilitator of the school-wide (Marching Hour) Intensive Block and is the point person for reading community partnerships with Reading Pals and Tutor Mate.
McNabb, Alicia	Instructional Coach		Alicia McNabb, Science Coach, oversees the school-wide science program. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers around the student science performance data. She coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for students performing below level on science common formative assessments to ensure remediation is given. She also facilitates and monitors the use of the school-wide science lab.
Temple, Jessica	Assistant Principal		Jessica Temple, Assistant Principal, assists the school principal in the overall administration of instructional programs and school operations. She coordinates all school-wide schedules for teachers and students. She also helps create school-wide goals including those related to student learning and student behavior. Mrs. Temple manages student behavioral issues and positive behavior programs. She also oversees the school safety plan, safety drills, and Threat Assessments.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 7/1/2019, Tauri Eligon

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

31

Total number of students enrolled at the school

631

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

10

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

5

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Grad	de Le	vel							Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	80	108	127	116	158	138	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	727
Attendance below 90 percent	45	65	80	62	86	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	419
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	8	27	9	12	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Grad	le L	_ev	el					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	6	16	8	11	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/21/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Grad	e Lev	/el							Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	105	133	127	136	141	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	774
Attendance below 90 percent	29	30	23	20	32	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
One or more suspensions	1	2	2	6	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total							
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Students retained two or more times	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6							

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	105	133	127	136	141	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	774	
Attendance below 90 percent	29	30	23	20	32	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158	
One or more suspensions	1	2	2	6	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

	ELA									
Grade Year		School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
03	2021									
	2019	26%	60%	-34%	58%	-32%				
Cohort Con	nparison									
04	2021									
	2019	16%	62%	-46%	58%	-42%				
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison									
05	05 2021									

ELA								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
	2019	27%	59%	-32%	56%	-29%		
Cohort Com	nparison	-16%						

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	18%	65%	-47%	62%	-44%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	27%	67%	-40%	64%	-37%
Cohort Co	mparison	-18%				
05	2021					
	2019	26%	64%	-38%	60%	-34%
Cohort Co	mparison	-27%			<u>'</u>	

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
05	2021									
	2019	17%	49%	-32%	53%	-36%				
Cohort Com	nparison									

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The iReady Diagnostic Assessment was used for ELA and Math progress monitoring in Grades 1-5. The Science CFA was used to track the Science progress monitoring in Grade 5.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	27%	23%	30%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	27%	23%	30%
	Students With Disabilities	6%	6%	16%
	English Language Learners	67%	25%	25%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	20%	25%	27%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	20%	25%	27%
	Students With Disabilities	12%	6%	11%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	50%
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	Fall 13%	Winter 15%	Spring 25%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			. •
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	13%	15%	25%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With	13% 13%	15% 15%	25% 25%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	13% 13% 4%	15% 15% 4%	25% 25% 19%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	13% 13% 4% 0%	15% 15% 4% 14%	25% 25% 19% 33%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	13% 13% 4% 0% Fall	15% 15% 4% 14% Winter	25% 25% 19% 33% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	13% 13% 4% 0% Fall 5%	15% 15% 4% 14% Winter 8%	25% 25% 19% 33% Spring 17%

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	25%	32%	32%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	25%	32%	32%
	Students With Disabilities	17%	13%	8%
	English Language Learners	20%	20%	20%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	4%	10%	23%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	4%	10%	23%
	Students With Disabilities	0%	0%	8%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	20%
		Grade 4		
	Number/%	Fall	Winter	0 .
	Proficiency	raii	AAIIIIGI	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	14%	21%	Spring 21%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			. •
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	14%	21%	21%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With	14% 14%	21% 21%	21% 21%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	14% 14% 0%	21% 21% 4%	21% 21% 4%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	14% 14% 0% 20%	21% 21% 4% 20%	21% 21% 4% 0%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	14% 14% 0% 20% Fall	21% 21% 4% 20% Winter	21% 21% 4% 0% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	14% 14% 0% 20% Fall 7%	21% 21% 4% 20% Winter 12%	21% 21% 4% 0% Spring 21%

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	14%	19%	24%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	14%	19%	24%
	Students With Disabilities	4%	3%	11%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	10%	19%	38%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	10%	19%	38%
	Students With Disabilities	4%	13%	14%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	16%	15%	20%
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	16%	15%	20%
	Students With Disabilities	2%	0%	5%
	English Language Learners	0%	3%	0%

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	12	19		15	35	36	15				
ELL	15			15							
BLK	16	24	30	17	30	33	10				
FRL	16	22	26	17	30	32	10				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	14	30	52	16	37	36	9				
ELL	25	36		19	45						
BLK	24	39	51	25	40	30	18				
FRL	25	38	51	25	39	29	18				

	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		
SWD	28	52	70	23	59	64	25						
ELL	37	57		28	69								
BLK	27	44	68	32	48	61	22						
FRL	28	45	66	32	48	62	23						

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

avails OVERALL Federal Index – All Students OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency Total Points Earned for the Federal Index Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students	ESSA Federal Index	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency 2 Total Points Earned for the Federal Index Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	[not available]
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency 2 Total Points Earned for the Federal Index Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested 96 Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students	OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	23
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency Total Points Earned for the Federal Index Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4
Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	27
Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	187
Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Percent Tested	96%
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Students With Disabilities	
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	22
English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Federal Index - English Language Learners	19
Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Asian Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	Federal Index - Asian Students	
Black/African American Students	Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
	Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	Black/African American Students	
1 Caciai inacx - Diacivamean American otaachts	Federal Index - Black/African American Students	24

Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	23
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Overall, there was an increase school-wide in ELA proficiency. In Grade 3, the ELA proficiency for English Language Learners remained the same throughout the year with no change. In Grade 4, there was a decreased in ELA amongst the English Language Learners.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The greatest need for improvement are in the areas of ELA and Math. The 2021 FSA Data shows 17% ELA Proficiency and 17% Math Proficiency. This is also supported by the iReady Diagnostic assessments taken during the school year.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

There is a need for school-wide standards-aligned instruction in all content area. More planning and preparation will need to take place to address this need for improvement.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Based on the 2021 FSA, there was 11% gains in Lowest Quartile students in ELA and 5% gains in Lowest Quartile students in Math. ELA showed the most improvement.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

More fidelity in the implementation of Reading interventions contributed to this improvement. A dedicated hour of intervention time is the new action that will be taken in this area.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Learning will be accelerated through Walk to Read/Math interventions as well as ESSER positions.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will be supported with professional development focused on standards-based instruction and planning.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Tiered coaching support, schoolwide board configuration, schoolwide study of the Opportunity Myth

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of

and

Focus Description We selected Math based on the results of the 2020 i-Ready Diagnostic 3 Assessment. This measure shows that 28% of our students are proficient which is an increase from 13% of students proficient in the previous academic year.

Rationale:

Measureable By June 2022, 35% of our students will be proficient in Math based on the i-Ready Math

Outcome: Diagnostics and Florida Standards Assessment.

Monitoring:

The evidence-based strategy will be monitored by conducting classroom observations and

monthly assessments.

Person responsible

for

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

The evidence-based strategy we will implement is the effective use of manipulatives and the mathematical thinking and reasoning standards within the math block. The use of this

strategy will be monitored by conducting classroom observations and monthly

assessments.

We selected the effective use of manipulatives and mathematical thinking and reasoning standards because it includes all math components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments, data chats and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

Rationale for

-School City assessment platform -Acaletics instructional materials

-i-Ready online learning program

Evidencebased

-Mountain Math

Strategy:

-Reflex & Frax Math online fluency program

-Coach series resources (School Specialty Instruction & Intervention)

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be providing Math Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers. This professional development will be based how to effectively use manipulative to improve math understanding. Another PD topic includes how to improve mathematical thinking and reasoning within the math block. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Math assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and i-Ready. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) will be held to share Math best practices. Data will be used to drive instruction for whole group, small group, and intervention groups.

Person Responsible

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of

Focus We selected Literacy based on the results of the 2019 English Language Arts Rationale **Description** Florida Standards Assessment that shows 75% of our students are not proficient in

Description and

Reading.

Rationale:

Measureable By June 2022, 35% of our students will be proficient in reading based on the ELA Florida

Outcome: Standards Assessment.

Monitoring: We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress

monitoring assessments.

Person responsible

for Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments. Balanced literacy on our campus will incorporate whole group, grade level text in which the standards are effectively delivered. Students will also meet with the teacher in a small group and focus on skills and

strategies at their instructional level in order to help bridge any gaps in learning.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns with the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The

Rationale for

following resources will be used:
- IReady online learning platform

Evidencebased

- Leveled Literacy Intervention

Strategy:

Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFSTriumph Learning Reading Resources

Heggerty Curriculum

Action Steps to Implement

Reading Professional Development will be based on he needs of teachers. The leadership team will conduct classroom observations based on the Reading IPG. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be administered and monitored by the Leadership Team. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share Reading best practices. We can also use the test item specs to drive our instruction in our PLCs and Common Planning times. The FSA ELA Question stems can also be used for creating text-dependent questions. This will help our teachers and students engage in deeper dive into the standards.

Person Responsible

#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Economically Disadvantaged

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

We selected Economically Disadvantaged Students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment where our Economically Disadvantaged Student Federal Index was 32%. The ED ESSA subgroup is made up of many students on our campus (over 90%). We plan to reach this subgroup through the improvement of Tier 1 instruction.

Outcome:

Measureable By June 2022, 50% of our Economically Disadvantaged students will show a learning gain

on the ELA Firoida Standards Assessment.

Monitoring of this outcome will be intentional and strategic. This will be done through the observation and feedback cycle. Focused observations paired with timely, intentional

feedback will contribute to effectively monitoring this outcome.

Person responsible

Monitoring:

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

for

Evidencebased Strategy:

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns with the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The

Rationale for Evidence-

following resources will be used: - IReady online learning platform - Leveled Literacy Intervention

based

Strategy: - Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFS - Triumph Learning Reading Resources

- Heggerty Curriculum

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be to analyze the data of economically disadvantaged students. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to poverty. The next step will be for the leadership team to conduct classroom observations and provide feedback based on the findings. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices for meeting the needs of economically disadvantaged students.

Person Responsible

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of

and

Focus
Description

We selected Science based on the results of the 2019 Science FCAT. This measure shows that 17% of our students are proficient which is a decrease from 23% of students proficient in the previous academic year.

Rationale:

Outcome:

Measureable

By June 2022, 25% of our students will be proficient in Science FCAT.

Monitoring:

The evidence-based strategy will be monitored by conducting classroom observations and monthly assessments.

Person

responsible

for

[no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based

The evidence-based strategy will be the 5E Model of Science Instruction.

Strategy:

We selected the effective use of the 5E Model because it includes all Science components

Rationale for

and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments, data chats and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) as evidence of strategy implementation. The

Evidencebased

Strategy:

following resources will be used:
-School City assessment platform
-Acaletics instructional materials

- J J Bootcamp Program

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be providing Science Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers.

The next step will be for the leadership team to conduct classroom observation.

Feedback will be given to the teachers based on the findings of the observation.

Monthly progress monitoring Science assessment will be conducted by students and monitored by the leadership team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and Acaletics. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices in the area of Science.

Person Responsible

#5. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of

and

Focus Description

Overall, our ELA and Math data show that there is a need for more standards-aligned

instruction.

Rationale:

Measureable Outcome:

By June 2022, 100% of our teachers will utilize standards-aligned instructional practices.

Monitoring:

We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations, a review of lesson plans, attendance at grade-level PLCs, and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Person responsible

for

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

We will use the Instructional Practice Guide (IPG). We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Strategy:

The first step will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the

teachers.

Rationale for

The next step will be for the leadership team to conduct classroom observation. Feedback will be given to the teachers based on the findings of the observation.

Evidencebased Strategy:

Monthly progress monitoring of all curricular assessments will be conducted by students and monitored by the leadership team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be

created using CFAs and iReady Growth Monitoring Assessments. Data Chats and

Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices.

Action Steps to Implement

Standards-Based Professional Development will be based on he needs of teachers. The leadership team will conduct classroom observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be administered and monitored by the Leadership Team. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices.

Person Responsible

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Teachers will receive professional development on TLAC (Teach Like A Champion) strategies in order to build and maintain a positive classroom environment.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Walker continues to educate parents through Title 1 Parent Academic Nights and School Advisory Council (SAC) Meetings. Parents are informed of school events through the school's website and through grade specific parent workshops, newsletters, parent/teacher conferences, and email/SMS alert system.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Our Performing and Visual Arts program is well known throughout our community and as a result, we have had the opportunity of forming loyal partnerships with organizations such as the Urban League, L. A. Lee YMCA, Broward Performing Arts Center, Mount Olive Church and a number of businesses that have graciously offered monetary assistance, as well human resources. Our parents are also very proud of our performing arts program and consequently, they attend many performances throughout the school year.

In addition, we provide a parent resource center on campus that invites parents to make use of the technology. At Walker we will continue to provide Title 1 parent nights that provide information on such topics as Anti-Bullying, Reading, Writing, Science, Math and technology. Academics are integrated with the performing arts to build interest among our parents and the community.

			Part V: Budget						
1	1 III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math								
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,295.00			
			Notes: Explore Learning, Reflex Math. improve students grades K-5 automat. subtracting, multiplying and dividing. L	icity with mathematical	computation	on in adding,			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	ted 0321 - Walker Elementary School UniSIG						
Notes: Edmentum - Study Island site license. Standards - based program progress monitoring and practice to help students in Grads 3-5 achieve h reading assessment scores. The program includes LAFS, MAFS, and NO programs to drive proficiency; FSA and EOC assessment preparation; cu									

			classroom assessments and flexible p student outcomes. License term date			
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$23,775.00
			Notes: Educational Development Asso (Mathematics) program for 3rd-5th gra and post assessments to get a baselir will use the quick pick books as morni Modeling books will be used for whole instructional material Grade 3 - CRS Domain Review (Bk 1-2) - Grade 4 - C Domain Review (Bk 1-2) - Grade 5 - C Domain Review (Bk 1-2)	ade. Teachers will provine, monitor progress, and work, as well as to performed and small group. Pre/Post Assessment RS Pre/Post Assessment.	ide student and measure practice pre prinstruction t, Quik-Pik (pent, Quik-F	s with pre-, mid-year, e growth. Students viously taught skills. n as a supplemental (Bks 1-4), Comp. bik (Bks 1-4), Comp.
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: ELA \$185,470			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$43,200.00
			Notes: Provide ELO stipends from Oc Math/Science Extended Learning Opp specific targets on students in our ES identify student groupings based on progress and/or additional supports no be used for budgeting purposes. Ther attend the ELO camps. The student to 10:1. If the student to teacher ratio is a must be reduced to meet that ratio. Ac participate in ELO camp if the expected expected capacity. If there are unspe- will extend ELO opportunities into Sun x 2.5 hours per week = 60 hours - ELO \$43,200	cortunity Camps for our SA groups and lowest or greess monitoring data accommunicate with passed Stipends will be ewill be approximately a teacher ratio for ELO not met, the number of diditionally, students frow the number of 3-5th Grant ELO funds at the enumber 2022 for current stores.	r 3rd-5th graquartile group, a, disaggreus conce paid at hour 200 total s camps will teachers paid at the strudents of the schots I	ade students with ups. Teachers will gate data to ensure erning student urly rate; \$36/hour will tudents anticipated to be a minimum of roviding instruction de may be invited to so do not reach gool year, the school ELO Hours: 24 weeks
	5100	150-Aides	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$38,720.00
			Notes: ***Hire two paraprofessionals to assist teachers in 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade in closin the achievement gap in reading. (Pre-approved positions)			
	5100	210-Retirement	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,750.00
			Notes: Fringe: Retirement: 1 teacher, 2 Paraprofessionals			
	5100	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$10,800.00
			Notes: Fringe: Social Security: 1 teach	ner, 2 Paraprofessional	ls, ELO Stip	pends
	5100	230-Group Insurance	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$24,591.00
			Notes: Fringe: Health Insurance: 1 tea	cher, 2 Paraprofession	nals	
	5100	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,050.00
			Notes: Fringe: Worker's compensation: 1 teacher, 2 Paraprofessionals, ELO Stipends			ELO Stipends
	5100	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$350.25
			Notes: Fringe: Unemployment: 2 Paraprofessionals, 1 teacher, ELO Stipends			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$30,000.00

			Notes: Purchase TutorMate for 1st graachievement gap The program prov. TeacherMate ELI Model was first pilot of the first year, 67% of the participatir. Stage (RFS) 4 or above. Whereas, on (Level I or above) at the end of Grade second year 2018-2019: - 78% of the finished Grade 1 at or above grade lev. completed the year at or above grade that year Additional information is pr. from 2020-21 year end assessments. through an online application and face platform during the summer virtual prow. Walker is not receiving the 50% disconthere's a fee scale to the program whe charged afterwards. The school has a term date cannot extend pass August \$6,000/class	ides one-on-one interved in the 2017-18 school of Grade K students rely 44% of the students 1 in the non-participations to dense of the overal level with 44 students rovided in the attachment for the condition of the original ori	ention for pool year at vertiend Rea were reading classes. The program of the program of the program of the program of the 19-20 discounted years.	rimary grades. The Valker ES. At the end ding Foundation ng at grade level. At the end of the a since the beginning, Grade 1 students new to the program ng additional data during virtually e utilizing the nent. For 21-22, quote because and then full price is of service. License
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,745.00
	Notes: Renaissance, myON: Purchase site license for MyON Reader and MyON News to utilized by students to read high interest text on the students' individual Lexile levels. MyOn is a digital library of enhanced reading content for students in Grades K-5. MyON measure reading with quizzes and provide data about student reading engagement. These data point offer important insights into whether students are on the path to reading proficiency and growth. Embedded Lexile Level assessments and optional end-of-book quizzes within the MyON environment enable seamless measurement of student growth. License term date cannot extend pass August 31, 2022.					
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$10,398.00
Notes: Curriculum Associates: Purchase Florida Ready consumable workbooks for Tie instruction to strengthen standards-based instruction in Grades 3-5. In addition, the pu of Phonics for Reading for intervention						
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$8,866.00
			Notes: Purchase School Specialty Wo 3000 4th Edition Classroom Set - (4) 0 3 teacher guides and student books - Set, 3 teacher guides and student boo Classroom Set, 3 teacher guides and	Grade 3: Wordly Wise : (3) Grade 4: Wordly W ks - (3) Grade 5: Word	3000 4th Ed ise 3000 4t	lition Classroom Set, h Edition Classroom
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	group: Economically Disadvan	taged	\$106,941.00	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$58,540.00
	Notes: ***Hire one Resource Teacher to assist teachers and students in grade in closing the achievement gap in reading and math. Position to b 2021. (Pre-approved position)					
	5100	643-Capitalized Hardware and Technology-Related Infrastructure	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$13,815.00
			Notes: Purchase three Recordex Boar grades 3-5 for educational apps in rea supplement the acquisition of addition teachers as they infuse technology intechnology integration in order to impresent the impresentation of th	ding, math, and science al technological enhan to their daily student instruction of their daily student instruction of the ins	ce. UniSIG is cements for cements for cements for central representation. The central representation is the beall content or content or central reseased in central research	funds will be used to r students to support ne boards allow for each a variety of d, and face-to-face nts learn better when st way to engage areas. They will be nstruction with

	5100	13 то-опринез	School Notes: Educational Development Asso			
		510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary	UniSIG		\$4,915.00
			Notes: JJ Educational Science Bootca that allow students to illustrate science Sunshine State Standards. This resou assessments Grade 4 - Science Boot Edition - Grade 5 - Science Boot Cam	e concepts as indicated urce includes practice q ot Camp Speed Bag St	d in the Nex juestions, ci tudent Book	t Generation lassroom activity, dets, Teacher's
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,590.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: Science		\$10,505.00	
	•	Notes: Purchase 20 Lenovo ThinkPad Essential Topload Cases @ \$25 grades 3-5 to replace lost/damaged bags for teacher laptops.				each for teachers in
	6400	519-Technology-Related Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$500.00
			Notes: Curriculum Associates: Teacher (501-800 Students) Purchase of iRead virtual teaching strategies and for constandards based instruction. iReady T for interventions and to create specific Teachers will have the access to a most from this can and will be used for Rtl/I pass August 31, 2022.	dy Teacher Toolbox for tinuous improvement o Foolkit for Grades K-5 to clessons and pathways ore direct pathway for e	r teachers to if student pr eachers (43 s on a more each child. T	o enhance their oficiency with b) to have full access individualized basis. The data gathered
	6400	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$6,460.00
		Notes: Fringe Unemployment: Allocate money for stipends for 58 teachers				ers
	6400	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$70.00
			Notes: Fringe Workers Compensation	 n: Allocate money for st	ipends for 5	8 teachers
	6400	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$550.00
			Notes: Fringe FICA Social Security: A	llocate money for stipe	nds for 58 t	eachers
	6400	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$1,950.00
			Notes: Provide Stipends to (58) K-5 te intense literacy, mathematics, science development will support teachers to it close the achievement gap. Additional development in the areas of science, progress monitoring as well as classed development will take place during two school year. District Trainers and Schatipends are necessary, they will be phourly rate; \$36/hour will be used for the teacher at \$36/hour for 58 teachers =	e, data usage and peda increase their knowledg I professional developr mathematics and readi com culture/environme to days prior to pre-plan tool Based Coaches will aid from other funding budgeting purposes. Al	gogy focus ge as they v ment will als ing, and in c nt. The prof nning week i Il facilitate th sources. St llocating 12	of the professional vork with students to o include lesson data usage and dessional for the 2022-2023 due PD sessions. If ipends will be paid at hours of PD per
	6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$25,056.00
	_		Adjustable Floor Stand - \$874 - (3) Fu			

5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction				\$9,011.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,740.00
			Notes: Purchase School City license for students in grades 3-5 to be used as a monitoring tool for tracking students' progress in reading, math and science. Lic date cannot extend pass August 31, 2022.			, •
	5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,271.00
			Notes: Purchase 22 Lenovo 300e laptops @ \$237.50 each to be used b 3-5 for classroom teaching enhancement and virtual learning. The district device to every 2.5 students. UniSIG funds will be used to supplement the additional laptops for students to further the goal of moving towards a 1: computer ratio. There are currently approx. 425 students in grades 3-5 (5th-160). In 19-20 UniSIG, there were 120 laptops purchased. The district laptop for every 2.5 students, which equates to 158 laptops. The purchal laptops will bring the total number of laptops to 300 laptops in grades 3-3 ratio (22) Student laptops: \$237.50 x 21 = \$5,225			ct allocates 1 laptop he acquisition of 1 student to (3rd - 135, 4th - 130, rict provides one se of 22 additional
					Total:	\$339,791.25