

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

SPECIAL AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, JUNE 6TH, 2024
9:53 A.M. - 12:04 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

2 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH
MR. ANTHONY DE MEO
3 MS. MARY FERTIG
DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH
4 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN - via Teams
MR. ANDREW MEDVIN
5 MR. PAVEL MENZUL
MR. LEW NAYLOR

6
7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:

8 MR. DAVE RHODES, Task-Assigned Chief Auditor
MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director
9 MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits
MS. NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Acting Manager, Internal Accounts
10 MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II
MS. LAURA WRIGHT, Clerk Spec C
11 MS. OCTAVIA ALLEN-HARDAWAY, Clerk Spec C
MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B

12 DISTRICT STAFF:

13 MS. ERUM MOTIWALA, Associate Superintendent, Finance
14 MR. MERVIN SWABY, Accountant V, Accounting & Financial
Reporting

15 INVITED GUESTS:

16 MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting
17 BECON Broadcast
MR. ROB BROLINE, External Auditor, CRI - via Teams
18 MR. MARK SMITH, External Auditor, CRI - via Teams

19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

2 - - -

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I would like to
4 call the June 6th, D-Day Edition Special Meeting
5 of the Audit Committee to order, sorry for the
6 delay, at 9:53.

7 All rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

8 (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you everyone.

10 MR. RHODES: Would you like me to do roll
11 call?

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Roll call, please, while
13 the cable and I are fighting.

14 MR. RHODES: Ruth Carter-Lynch?

15 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here.

16 MR. RHODES: Rebecca Dahl?

17 (No response.)

18 MR. RHODES: Anthony De Meo?

19 MR. DE MEO: Here.

20 MR. RHODES: Robert Mayersohn?

21 (No response.)

22 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?

23 MR. MEDVIN: Here.

24 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul?

25 MR. MENZUL: Here.

1 MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor.

2 MR. NAYLOR: Here.

3 MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?

4 (No response.)

5 MR. RHODES: Jaclyn Strauss?

6 (No response.)

7 MR. RHODES: Peter Turso?

8 (No response.)

9 MR. RHODES: Okay. And I want to go back to
10 roll call, Robert Mayersohn?

11 MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here.

12 MR. RHODES: Okay. All right. Turn it back
13 over to you.

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you very much.

15 All right. So next up is the Approval of the
16 Agenda. Since we're starting late I just want to
17 ask if anyone had any comments on the AG --
18 Number 7, the AG Report, or if we can move that
19 to the top and approve it?

20 MS. FERTIG: Yeah. Sorry. I did not have a
21 question.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

23 MS. FERTIG: And I'm happy to make a motion
24 to approve.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we're going to

1 move that to the top before Number Five. So 7
2 will be after Public Comments.

3 MS. WRIGHT: No public comments.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

5 MS. WRIGHT: No public comments.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So all in favor
7 of approving the agenda, moving Number 7 to the
8 top?

9 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Opposed?

11 (No response.)

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Agenda's
13 approved. We have no minutes because this is a
14 special meeting. No public comments.

15 All right. So I need a motion and a second
16 to transmit the AG Report number 2024-194.

17 MR. MEDVIN: So moved.

18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Medvin.

19 MS. FERTIG: Second.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second by Fertig or Naylor.
21 We'll go with Medvin and Fertig.

22 Any further discussion?

23 (No response.)

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor?

25 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

2 (No response.)

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. AG Report Number
4 2024-194 passes, is transmitted unanimously.

5 Hold on, I forgot to do this. People will
6 call you on your birthday.

7 Next up we have the Fund Balance - Proposed
8 Changes to Policy. Staff submitted -- forwarded
9 the Miami-Dade policy, Palm Beach and, I believe,
10 Orange. I added in Manatee County, which is
11 policy 6235. You also would have had a link to
12 GASB, I believe GASB 54 and 34, Florida Statute
13 1011.051, Guidelines for General Funds, the
14 Current Policy and then the Proposed Policy, as
15 well as a couple historical documents the
16 resolution from August 20th of 2013 establishing
17 the amount that is currently in the committed
18 balance, why it was established and what's in
19 there and all of that. So does anyone have any
20 questions or comments? Mr. De Meo?

21 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think the proposed
22 language, you know, attempts to do what -- to
23 define the -- the five percent. But I think the
24 language may unintentionally include or might be
25 interpreted to include things that -- shouldn't

1 be included.

2 So, first off, in II-A it says at any time.
3 You know, I think maybe more precisely we could
4 say at the end of any month or at the end of any
5 calendar quarter.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mm-hmm.

7 MR. DE MEO: And then next it says that the
8 general fund ending balance not classified as
9 restricted, committed or nonspendable shall not
10 fall below blah-blah-blah-blah.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mm-hmm.

12 MR. DE MEO: Well, the way it's structured it
13 could be interpreted to mean, include contingency
14 designations, unassigned and anything else.

15 So I think maybe we would want more precise
16 language such as unfunded general fund ending
17 balance so that we know there should be five
18 percent in some unassigned general fund balance.
19 Otherwise, it might include contingencies; it
20 might include reserves; it might include
21 unassigned or assigned. Because the way -- the
22 way that it's constructed it says everything but
23 those three items. And besides those three items
24 there can be reserves; there can be
25 contingencies; there can be assigned; and there

1 can be unassigned.

2 So I would -- and I'd like to hear from CFO
3 if that's really what we're intending to do, to
4 have an unassigned five percent of revenue.

5 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Motiwala?

6 MS. MOTIWALA: Good morning. Thank you. So
7 that language is consistent with the Florida
8 Statute 1011.051. That's exactly how it reads.
9 So it would be of the five categories anything
10 that is nonrestricted, committed or nonspendable
11 because that's what the state requirement says
12 and that's what GFOA and GASB requires, as well.
13 So, obviously, the statute and everything is
14 consistent with GASB 54.

15 MR. DE MEO: What is our intention? Do we
16 want an unassigned five percent or do we want
17 everything but those three items five percent?
18 Because the way it reads now, if you -- if the
19 board passed a contingency and it's equal to five
20 percent you wouldn't need anything else.

21 MS. MOTIWALA: So it would be assigned and
22 unassigned which is consistent with the way it is
23 now because nonspendable, restricted and
24 committed cannot be used for the percentage
25 calculation requirement from the state. It is

1 the assigned and unassigned. So by saying that
2 it's not -- anything not including restricted,
3 committed and nonspendable means assigned and
4 unassigned.

5 MR. DE MEO: And contingency and reserves.

6 MS. MOTIWALA: Which would be part of
7 assigned/unassigned.

8 MR. DE MEO: So that's our intention.

9 MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

10 MR. DE MEO: Everything but those three
11 things.

12 MS. MOTIWALA: Yes. Correct.

13 MR. DE MEO: So if the board passes
14 contingencies and reserves that equal five
15 percent we wouldn't need any unassigned is how I
16 read this.

17 MS. MOTIWALA: Well, the way we have it,
18 there is another bullet that talks about the
19 unassigned, II-B -- I mean, sorry, II-A-2. It
20 talks about unassigned, so trying to maintain the
21 required three percent in unassigned. And so the
22 total of assigned/unassigned would be like five
23 percent. That's the target.

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think what Mr. De Meo is
25 getting at is that within the unassigned is that

1 where any reserves or contingencies would live?

2 MS. MOTIWALA: Correct. That is II-A-2 that
3 speaks to that.

4 MR. DE MEO: Okay. So we -- so we would be
5 in compliance or the intention is that if there
6 were two percent in contingency and reserves we
7 wouldn't need any unassigned besides the three
8 percent?

9 MS. MOTIWALA: So the three percent is a
10 requirement from the state. So the goal is to
11 keep three percent in unassigned at all times and
12 anything above that would be an assigned or if
13 fund balance is doing great, then, you know,
14 unassigned could also be over three percent. But
15 the target is to maintain three percent in
16 unassigned and overall in assigned/unassigned at
17 least five percent.

18 MR. DE MEO: Okay. I understand that. I
19 think some of the other examples that are
20 included here have five percent of unassigned --
21 as unassigned, which is different than what we
22 have. Our requirement is only three percent of
23 unassigned and then two percent of everything
24 else. It could be made up of everything else.

25 MS. MOTIWALA: Yeah, the districts vary a

1 little bit, but in general they are pretty
2 consistent. So if I look at Miami-Dade, I think
3 you have it in front of you, it reads that it
4 will -- the superintendent will target five and
5 one-half percent of the General Fund's ending
6 fund balance not classified a restricted,
7 committed or nonspendable, which is the way we
8 have it. So they're not speaking to the three
9 percent, they're just saying overall in what
10 would be assigned/unassigned combined they're
11 targeting 5.5 percent, which is how ours is
12 written right now.

13 MR. DE MEO: What is the Orange County, I
14 don't -- I would to have pull that up. That was
15 a little different.

16 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This says, the General Fund
17 budget shall include a contingency fund of not
18 less than three percent of recurring general fund
19 revenues to be used only for fiscal emergencies.
20 This contingency fund should not be utilized
21 without board approval and should be classified
22 as part of the unassigned fund balance.

23 MS. MOTIWALA: Thank you. So that's our --
24 that's what we have in II-A-2 right now. The
25 language is similar to that. So keeping three

1 percent in unassigned and overall
2 assigned/unassigned targeting five percent, which
3 is what the board recommended.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you have any other
5 questions? Do you want me to go to someone else
6 or do you want to -- look at Manatee.

7 MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Manatee is one that is
9 po6235 and it is simply labeled Fund Balance.
10 Theirs is shorter but more clear in terms of
11 what's going to be in there because they are
12 saying during the annual budget development
13 process the superintendent shall plan for a
14 general fund reserve not classified as
15 restricted, committed or nonspendable of at least
16 five percent to include a three percent statutory
17 reserve and a two percent strategic reserve and
18 an additional contingency fund of up to three and
19 a half -- three and one-half -- well, three and a
20 half percent or provide the board with a written
21 report explaining why these targets are not
22 feasible.

23 I did not find any policy from another county
24 that said that you shall not fall below five
25 percent. Because that may not -- well, right now

1 we're at 3.72 as of the end of April. We seem to
2 be holding in a steady decline, fund balance
3 wise.

4 At our last meeting it became clear to the
5 group, and that was one reason we did not discuss
6 or pass any motions or accept these proposed
7 policy changes, is there's currently 53 million
8 in this committed balance. It consists of 25 for
9 estimated reserve for health insurance and 28 for
10 workers' comp and general liability. The way
11 this is written it sort of paves the way for,
12 well, we passed a motion that says thou shall
13 have five percent, and short of a leprechaun
14 showing up with a pot of gold, the only way you
15 could get there is to then move some of that 53
16 million, which the board 11 years ago set aside
17 for a specific purpose.

18 MR. DE MEO: That's my point exactly. To get
19 to five percent, if you include those two
20 contingencies, the health care and the workers'
21 comp, you can get to five percent. Is that the
22 intention? That's different than five percent of
23 revenue in an unassigned spendable, unassigned
24 fund balance. I don't know what the intention
25 is. That's what I'm trying to find out.

1 MS. MOTIWALA: That is not our intent. We're
2 still keeping it in the committed fund balance,
3 so --unless it's recommended by the board, but
4 our intent is not to move the committed to
5 assigned or unassigned at this point. So that's
6 why we're here to get the feedback and we got
7 some last time even though we didn't have a
8 chance to really discuss the policy. So we have
9 been working with legal and trying to see if we
10 can modify the language and, you know, somewhat
11 consistent with the other districts and the way
12 they have it.

13 MR. DE MEO: I'm not sure if you know, you
14 may know, what was the board's intention and did
15 they understand that we are not getting five
16 percent of revenue as unassigned? Forget the
17 workers' comp, forget the health care, is it the
18 board's intention -- statutorily, we only have to
19 have three percent. Is it their intention to
20 have five percent in unassigned? Not in
21 contingencies, not in reserves, not in the
22 nonspendable and all that. Do you know?

23 MS. MOTIWALA: I can't speak for the board,
24 but I think the intent is to get to five percent
25 assigned and unassigned not including what's in

1 committed or anything of that sort. Because even
2 if you moved it you cannot use that money anyway.
3 It still has to be set aside for those purposes,
4 which is the reason why it's been committed right
5 now. But that was the decision from years ago.

6 But our intent is, obviously, not to
7 recommend that. And even if it were moved it
8 cannot be used anyway. It would just be in
9 assigned and set aside.

10 MR. DE MEO: So I think the way it's written,
11 this is how I interpret it, the five percent goal
12 is sometimes is -- interferes or is interfered
13 with by these other reserves that are not the
14 three that are named, these other designations.
15 So that with the 53 million, and I don't know
16 what percentage that represents of our fund
17 balance; do you have any idea?

18 MS. MOTIWALA: About 2.5.

19 MR. DE MEO: Okay. So we would never have --
20 we would never need any unassigned funds. If we
21 maintain the three, we've already got two and a
22 half in reserves that are not named in those top
23 three, we would never achieve the five percent in
24 unassigned. And I think that's an important
25 distinction. Because the unassigned can be spent

1 for overspending as -- as GASB 54 speaks to.
2 These others cannot be. The health care, the
3 workers' comp cannot be used. And anything that
4 the board designates cannot be used unless they
5 say it's okay.

6 So that's -- that's the lack of clarity I
7 have about it. If the goal is five percent I
8 think it's going to need some reworking
9 language-wide. If we're happy with three percent
10 and anything else, then if that's the board's
11 intention, that's fine. It seems a little light
12 to me, but I -- you know, I just wanted -- I
13 wasn't clear. And I don't know how the rest of
14 you all feel.

15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We'll see if anyone else
16 has any other questions, comments?

17 Yes, Mary?

18 MS. FERTIG: I think something that might be
19 helpful here, to me at least, would be a summary
20 sheet of where the fund balance has been for the
21 last five years. We have that? Did we get that
22 last time?

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But we need an updated
24 version. I did a quick-and-dirty just for this
25 year compared to last year because, apparently, a

1 comment was made that it's holding steady. If
2 steady means, and just so you know, the '24 is
3 blue. It's holding steady at a lot less than
4 last year. And it's holding steady under four
5 percent. It went from 3.82 to 3.72.

6 MR. DE MEO: What's the blue? What's the
7 key.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Blue is this year, orange
9 is last year.

10 MR. DE MEO: Okay. Does that include the two
11 and a half percent in those reserve funds?
12 Because if it does we haven't met the
13 requirements of our own policy.

14 MS. MOTIWALA: No, the way we report the
15 percentage today we are not including the
16 committed fund balance which has that reserve for
17 insurances. So it's not including.

18 MR. DE MEO: So that is --

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But you would never include
20 the committed balance.

21 MR. DE MEO: I'm not clear. It says fund
22 balance, I'm not sure what --

23 MS. MOTIWALA: I didn't repair that. But we
24 did bring to the audit committee per the
25 committee's request a couple months ago with the

1 history of the fund balance.

2 MR. DE MEO: Yes, you did.

3 MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm sorry I didn't bring
4 that, but I feel like that's an ongoing thing
5 that we, hopefully, can have on a follow-up item.
6 Your graph is great. I think it tells a good
7 story of where we were and where we are.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, where we are since
9 we've had that report.

10 So just so you know, Mr. De Meo, that
11 represents the assigned/unassigned fund balance
12 as a percentage of projected general revenue
13 funds. All I did was plug in what they reported
14 this past few months for the assigned/unassigned
15 fund balance. And then since the prior year is
16 right next to it, that's how it shook out. So I
17 didn't have time to go back and do like the past
18 year.

19 MR. DE MEO: No, but that clears it up.
20 That's the assigned and unassigned.

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correct. It's not
22 committed. So to your point we would never get
23 to five percent without a tooth fairy, a
24 leprechaun or moving the 53 million unless, Ms.
25 Motiwala, you're aware of some other way we could

1 get to five percent and not be out of compliance
2 with this proposed policy language.

3 MS. MOTIWALA: No, nothing at this point.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I don't know that
5 the board is clear on that.

6 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm not sure they are
7 either.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I, for one, speaking as
9 one audit committee member, if I were -- I would
10 not be in favor of any policy that isn't sort of
11 in alignment with Manatee. Manatee, the reason I
12 pulled them, is that they recently in the past
13 few years had a takeover by the state. And so
14 they established an audit committee. So I said,
15 let's look at a -- let's look at a district
16 policy, a policy from a district that is trying
17 to clean up its act as opposed to one that hasn't
18 had a state takeover yet. So Manatee is the one
19 -- and another thing that they specified, and let
20 me pull that up, because it should be attached to
21 our agenda.

22 MR. DE MEO: It's in there.

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Pardon?

24 MR. DE MEO: It's in there.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because also who's

1 responsible for doing what is also clarified.
2 I'm not saying their policy is as comprehensive
3 as it could be, but it starts out with --
4 because, for me, I'm not in favor of what's being
5 proposed. I have several issues with it,
6 starting with the opening paragraph, which, for
7 me, I would strike it and use the one from
8 Manatee, which says, the superintendent has the
9 responsibility of administering the budget once
10 adopted by the school board. The superintendent
11 shall monitor the fund balances and shall report
12 the balances to the board monthly, which we seem
13 to not be trying to do in this proposed policy
14 language. Only to do it if it falls below.

15 MS. MOTIWALA: No, we do report the monthly
16 interim financial statements. We do that anyway.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But there's language in
18 here now that makes it very clear in the current
19 policy that on a monthly basis the CFO shall
20 provide the board financial statements which
21 shall include the estimated unreserved and
22 undesignated fund balances, both as a dollar and
23 as a percentage of expenditures. So where is
24 that -- that's being struck.

25 MS. MOTIWALA: That's a statutory

1 requirement, I believe, but we will consider
2 that. But that's a requirement that we have to
3 do it regardless of whether it's in policy or
4 not, so --

5 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What is a requirement,
6 specifically?

7 MS. MOTIWALA: As of right now that the
8 interim financial statements that are presented
9 to the board.

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And is it a statutory
11 requirement to report the fund balances as well?

12 MS. MOTIWALA: The financial statements have
13 the fund balance in there.

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, I'm just --

15 MS. MOTIWALA: I don't have it in front of
16 me, but we can go back and look at it again.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So the thing that I
18 had marked off is the opening statement, which
19 I'd like to see consistent with Manatee's.
20 Because it says in the one that's being proposed
21 as opposed to Manatee, it says, to maintain the
22 financial integrity and stability for the benefit
23 of the District of Broward County, Florida the
24 School Board of Broward County shall maintain its
25 General Fund. I believe, yes, that that is

1 consistent with what other counties do, but it
2 would seem that the superintendent has no
3 responsibility to do anything, when, in fact,
4 it's their job to administer the budget. So we
5 shouldn't have superintendents who are allowing
6 the fund balance to dip and continue to dip. And
7 if you look last year there was a dive from -- we
8 started at 5, we went to 5.67, then to 4.79, 4.9
9 last April, and now this -- the past few months
10 we've been below 4 percent. So somehow that
11 happened. The board approved a budget. But then
12 as we kept having these fund balance projections
13 it kept dipping lower and lower.

14 So on the first page I'm in favor of the
15 Manatee County language. Mr. De Meo's concerns
16 about clarification, I agree with that. The
17 heading II-A, is that just going to say Balance
18 Level or Fund Balance Level? What would II-A be
19 labeled?

20 MS. MOTIWALA: II-A is referring to General
21 Fund and then II-B is Capital Project Fund.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, so general fund and
23 then capital; okay.

24 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Madam Chair?

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: When you finish I have a
2 question that I just need to clarify something.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. And let me just
4 -- so Number --

5 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: But I'll let you finish.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Number 3 I already
7 addressed about the monthly, why are we pulling
8 that out? Number 4, reporting monthly, okay, so
9 that's out of the State statute. I -- I don't
10 agree with creating something that says shall at
11 five percent. Because we're not in compliance
12 now and there's no indication that we would ever
13 get in compliance anytime soon without doing more
14 accounting tricks of robbing Peter to pay Paul
15 and stuff like that. So those were my comments.

16 Ms. Carter-Lynch?

17 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, question. What
18 would you like us to have, "there must", instead
19 of shall; is that what you want?

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For five percent?

21 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Uh-huh.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I think we should look
23 at Manatee as a whole.

24 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: As a whole; okay. And I
25 can understand, I agree with your assessment

1 about the responsibility piece. Because the way
2 ours is written, we can just go back and forth.
3 You know, let's just have one person that's
4 totally responsible. And -- because I get tired
5 of hearing people say, well, that department did
6 it and this -- the other department is saying,
7 well, that department did it. No. No, no, no.
8 Let's just have one spot where we can go and find
9 out that's responsible. So I agree with your
10 assessment on that. Okay?

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because what was
12 happening last year is that -- to your point of
13 who's responsible, yes, the board votes for these
14 things, but sometimes after the fact. So
15 there'll be agreements made, MOUs will occur, and
16 then it comes to the board after the fact. And
17 what can they do? They've been backed into a
18 corner, so they have to approve everything. And
19 they're like, oh, but the board approved it.
20 Yeah, but they didn't understand when they were
21 negotiating and approving what was negotiated,
22 what the actual impact would be.

23 Mr. Naylor?

24 MR. NAYLOR: Yeah. Your graph there was, you
25 know, pretty succinct. My question is, what was

1 the cause for the dips in the months starting
2 March, I believe?

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Of last year.

4 MR. NAYLOR: And, historically, how would
5 that graph look.

6 MS. FERTIG: That's what I think you need to
7 see is a five-year trend.

8 MR. NAYLOR: Yeah. I mean, you can't look at
9 a snippet and make a statement, especially if the
10 cause for the dip was something that happened.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ms. Motiwala, what was the
12 cause for the dip?

13 MS. MOTIWALA: It was included in the
14 previous presentation that we had.

15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But can you tell --
16 Mr. Naylor wasn't on the audit committee. Can
17 you explain the reason for last year's dip while
18 I try to find your presentation from previous
19 months?

20 MS. MOTIWALA: So part of the decrease was
21 due to substantial four percent raises and then
22 the school resource officers contract went up, as
23 well.

24 MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So it looks like the

1 presentation was included on the January 30th --

2 MS. MOTIWALA: Yes. Thank you.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. January 30th Board
4 Workshop. So let me go to that for a second.

5 But, to everybody's point, this was through
6 -- what we got did not have 2024 on it. So for
7 our next meeting if we can get -- well, in some
8 places it did, but this was as of January 30th.
9 So if we can get an updated one for yearend.

10 Obviously, it wouldn't -- our next meeting is
11 June 20th. Obviously, it wouldn't have through
12 June 30th, but it should certainly have through
13 May, if that's been -- if that gets done by then.

14 Let me go pull up the January workshop. One
15 second. I believe -- was it part of the budget
16 workshop backup?

17 MS. MOTIWALA: It was presented to the audit
18 committee -- hold on, let me just check.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, according to this it
20 says January 30th Board Budget Workshop, Number
21 1. Okay. So it should be in this backup. I
22 know we had it here, as well. I'm just trying to
23 get to it the fastest way. So
24 assigned/unassigned since 2015, the legal
25 requirement is in orange and BCPS is in blue.

1 So I wonder, Mr. Naylor, is your next
2 question going to be what's the reason for the
3 climb?

4 Ms. Motiwala, could you explain the reason
5 for the climb starting around 2019 and then it
6 really turned into a mountain in 2022 there.

7 MS. MOTIWALA: So 2019 was mainly due to
8 increases in the federal, state and local sources
9 including revenue from ad valorem taxes. 2020
10 was mainly due to increases in state sources,
11 including FEFP and other local sources including
12 referendum funds. 2021 was mainly due to
13 increases, again, in state sources, which
14 included FEFP. 2022 was also due to increases in
15 local sources and additional referendum funds.
16 And 2023, as I mentioned, a decline because of
17 the raises, the four percent raises and the SRO
18 contracts that were increased.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So when you say referendum
20 you mean the referendum that was passed for the
21 safety and teachers?

22 MS. MOTIWALA: The 2018 referendum.

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 2018?

24 MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that was reflected in

1 assigned and unassigned.

2 MS. MOTIWALA: Yes.

3 MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

5 MR. DE MEO: Does this include those
6 contingency funds?

7 MS. MOTIWALA: No.

8 MR. DE MEO: Neither of these?

9 MS. MOTIWALA: No. Because that is in the
10 committed line and this is assigned and
11 unassigned.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then, as you can
13 see -- so we ended -- well, whatever month in
14 '24, which I'm guessing is through January, it
15 was showing around 4 percent and then we dip
16 under 4 and stay there.

17 So we need -- Mr. Rhodes, I think we did get
18 this as the audit committee, so if we could get
19 the members re-sent the information we have
20 through January 30th or whenever we got it here,
21 I think was a February meeting, if that can be
22 sent out to everybody and then get an updated one
23 through current? So we'd just be sort of adding
24 columns and adding to the graph. I think that
25 would be helpful.

1 MR. RHODES: May I ask a follow-up? Was that
2 information from audit committee meeting records
3 or from workshop records?

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are they that different?

5 MR. RHODES: I'm not certain. I just wanted
6 to make sure I'm clear.

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think it may be the same
8 presentation.

9 MR. RHODES: Okay.

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But whichever one has the
11 most information.

12 MS. MOTIWALA: So on February 8th Audit
13 Committee Agenda, Number 4 was General Fund
14 Balance Follow-Up and it was a request from the
15 audit committee about General Fund information
16 requests and that chart for prior year history
17 comparing to other districts and the explanations
18 for the changes were all included.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it didn't have the
20 budget workshop.

21 MS. MOTIWALA: Well, that was -- part of the
22 information that's in there is from the budget
23 workshop that was asked for -- sorry. That was
24 requested by the committee.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So there are two

1 links. There's the one information request and
2 then the budget workshop presentation.

3 MS. MOTIWALA: So that was the one the
4 committee had requested.

5 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Five-year
6 assigned/unassigned and Broward is in orange and
7 you'll see that it's the same path there. And
8 that's on the first -- did that go to the budget
9 workshop? That was part of the budget workshop,
10 that one?

11 MS. MOTIWALA: Uh-huh.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

13 MS. MOTIWALA: Some of the slides were and
14 then there's additional information that this
15 committee requested that was on this and then the
16 link to the budget workshop is also there.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh. Okay.

18 So, like I said, an update to what we had, if
19 we have, you know, whatever specific information
20 we asked for would be good is what we're asking
21 for now.

22 MS. MOTIWALA: An updated once we close the
23 year, so this way we can have like the trend
24 including full fiscal year '24 as well?

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, then they'd only have

1 my graph as an update. So you guys don't update
2 that monthly.

3 MS. MOTIWALA: The graph? No, unless it's
4 requested.

5 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. That's what we're
6 asking for. Because we know we won't have June
7 because you haven't closed out the year.

8 MS. MOTIWALA: No. And we may not have May
9 by then either, but we can do it through April if
10 you want the historical.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, that's what people
12 were looking for is through current. And then if
13 we get that monthly, seeing as you bring a
14 monthly financial to the board, it would just be
15 to update -- I would think the board would want
16 the same, you know, since most people are visual
17 anyway, that it would assist.

18 But for the purposes of this policy, does
19 anyone else have any questions; comments;
20 concerns?

21 MS. FERTIG: Just what's the timeframe on
22 this? When is this supposed to go to the board?

23 MS. MOTIWALA: So thank you for the valuable
24 feedback that we've gotten here today and we will
25 go back and work on it. So it probably will be

1 on the July board meeting because we won't be
2 able to make it for June.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because I'm tempted
4 to have a motion that staff incorporate the
5 Manatee Bay policy.

6 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Did you want them to
7 incorporate the whole policy or just part of it?
8 The part that I, the first paragraph --

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think I -- there's
10 not a lot to this policy, so they could
11 incorporate -- and it addresses Mr. De Meo's
12 concerns about specificity. It makes it clear
13 who's responsible, the superintendent
14 administers, the board adopts. They monitor
15 monthly. It is consistent with state language.
16 So I'm not saying replace, but incorporate.

17 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Incorporate. Okay.

18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because I'm not in favor --
19 I do not agree with a shall at five percent for
20 assigned/unassigned.

21 MS. MOTIWALA: We will work with legal and
22 look at this and other district policies again.

23 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay.

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So are we -- so I think --
25 but I think we need to be clear.

1 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: That's what I was getting
2 ready to say. Are you clear, Ms. Motiwala, as to
3 what it is they're asking you to do?

4 MS. MOTIWALA: So, as I understand, the
5 concern here is about cannot or shall not below,
6 shall not fall below five percent. So we will
7 change that language.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we could recommend
9 that the district -- the district adopt the
10 language from Manatee County, which.

11 MS. FERTIG: Can we use your word incorporate
12 that you used before?

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we recommend the
14 school board --

15 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I know some of the current
16 policies we have -- some of them I'm okay with.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And they have
18 pulled from other places, but Manatee is the most
19 specific. And even Orange has some language that
20 I think was useful.

21 MS. MOTIWALA: So I would like to point out
22 Manatee's policy says at least five percent,
23 which is similar to what we have. We have, shall
24 not fall below, which is pretty much the same.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Shall plan, though. Shall

1 plan and thou shalt are two different things.
2 We're trying for thou shalt, which lends itself
3 to having to get there. You can plan but also,
4 remember, you've got to read the whole thing, it
5 says, of at least five percent to include the
6 three percent statutory reserve and a two percent
7 strategic reserve and an additional contingency
8 fund of up to three and one-half percent, which,
9 for us, may not be obtainable either. But the
10 strategic reserve, then you have to explain where
11 you're going to get it from. Because what we're
12 doing here is we're trying to make a policy with
13 no road map for how to ever get there.

14 MS. FERTIG: Well, that was a question I was
15 going to ask. I mean, and when you look at the
16 five-year, there's a 10-year history that you
17 have up there, there wasn't -- most years they
18 really weren't in there. It looks like they were
19 making a conscious effort to get the fund balance
20 to where it needed to go and, now, suddenly it
21 just dropped. I'm just wondering what conscious
22 efforts they're making this year to --

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And it was the referendum
24 dollars. We shouldn't be dependent on
25 referendum.

1 MS. FERTIG: That's one explanation. We had
2 COVID dollars. We had a lot of things that came
3 in during that period. But it just looks to me
4 like there was a concerted effort to try to
5 keep -- you know, planning to try to keep it and
6 I'm just wondering what's happening with that?

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm writing.

8 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. No, I'm just going
9 to -- I'm commentary. Can we, you know, just
10 make sure when she leaves here she knows exactly
11 what we want and that we're not putting this in a
12 manner that we can't get it all at one time. So
13 I would like to know what -- am I -- are we on
14 the same page?

15 MS. MOTIWALA: So I think the consensus here
16 is to put some language in there that the
17 district will make efforts to strive to get to
18 five percent.

19 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Right.

20 MS. MOTIWALA: Correct?

21 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Mm-hmm.

22 MS. MOTIWALA: Okay.

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And we can do that
24 by incorporating the Manatee County.

25 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Absolutely.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then that would go with
2 the minutes from this meeting to the board, that
3 policy, because I don't know that they've seen
4 it.

5 Okay. So I think I'm allowed to make a
6 motion.

7 MS. FERTIG: I'll just make it for you.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, I'll read it.

9 All right. We recommend the school board
10 incorporate the Manatee County fund balance
11 policy language into the proposed BCPS fund
12 balance policy.

13 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: So Mary's making that
14 motion?

15 MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

16 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'll second it.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Mary,
18 second by Carter-Lynch. Any further discussion?

19 MR. DE MEO: Yes, just briefly, I think
20 the -- our policy as proposed also proposes a
21 requirement on the capital projects fund which
22 Manatee doesn't appear to do.

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, they don't address
24 it. Orange addresses capital projects of 10
25 percent, which might have been where they pulled

1 it. Do you want to not commingle the two?

2 MR. DE MEO: No, the capital projects fund
3 has nothing to do with the general fund. That's
4 why I think it's good to have that in there. I
5 think that's a good thing to have.

6 MS. MOTIWALA: Yeah.

7 MR. DE MEO: Because we have 260 schools and,
8 you know, so I would -- I would say --

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not trying to replace,
10 it's just to incorporate.

11 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, to incorporate. Okay.
12 All right.

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And it wouldn't touch the
14 capital project piece. It's just to incorporate
15 the specificity and the responsibility.

16 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And the clarity.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the clarity. But,
18 yeah, no, I'm not proposing to remove that. And
19 it has specificity over monthly reporting as
20 well.

21 MR. DE MEO: It does say monthly?

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

23 MR. DE MEO: Okay. Because at any time could
24 mean Saturday; right?

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Manatee says, blah-blah,

1 shall monitor and shall report the balances to
2 the board monthly. It's clear. It's short.

3 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Right.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So that's why I'm
5 saying incorporate that and then go from there.

6 MS. MOTIWALA: Okay. Thanks.

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any further
8 discussion?

9 (No response.)

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All in favor?

11 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

13 (No response.)

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, motion passes
15 unanimously.

16 MS. MOTIWALA: Thank you.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I think we have
18 dispensed with a lot, Fund Balance, Number 5.
19 Okay. Then we would -- thank you very much.

20 MS. MOTIWALA: Thank you.

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, having said all that,
22 if they're going to the July meeting then in June
23 they could presumably, we could see another
24 draft, because it would be June -- well, June
25 20th is our next meeting. When are your

1 deadlines? When is the July meeting?

2 MS. MOTIWALA: I don't have the date, but I
3 think it's after the 15th or around the 15th.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So when --

5 MS. MOTIWALA: Or the 20th. Yeah, I think
6 it's July 20th.

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And how many weeks ahead do
8 you have to have items in?

9 MS. MOTIWALA: We strive for at least two
10 weeks, but we'll have to go back again and work
11 with legal on this.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I guess my issue
13 is, if there's an update, then we'd like to see
14 it so we can discuss it because we have to meet
15 in the sunshine on June 20th, because that's our
16 last meeting. And by then we would have the
17 General Fund amendment, which is going to the
18 18th but is not available yet.

19 So just for follow up, Mr. Rhodes, if you can
20 see what the status is as we're planning for our
21 meeting on the 20th, that would be great.

22 Okay. All right. Moving on, Proposed Audit
23 Plan for Fiscal Year '25, we have the Office of
24 the Chief Auditor's proposed audit plan and again
25 the Carr, Riggs & Ingram BCPS District-Wide Risk

1 Assessment. You should have received an
2 updated -- updated schedule where they tried to
3 summarize what's in the risk assessment.

4 MR. RHODES: Would you like me to give a
5 brief summary of the changes that happened in the
6 risk assessment or would we prefer to talk --

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just give me a second.
8 Yes, if you want to do that, I'll pull it up.

9 MR. RHODES: Okay.

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because this was in
11 response to Mr. De Meo's comments and then
12 needing a table of contents kind of thing.

13 MR. RHODES: And so at the last meeting the
14 comments that were made regarding the risk
15 assessment, we identified that there was some
16 value to trying to summarize the risk categories
17 into what they had previously provided us as
18 Appendix A, which was an item that outlined the
19 various areas of risk and specifically paying
20 attention to the areas of high risk that they
21 included in the report. So when looking at that
22 and identifying that a table of contents would
23 have been more helpful we kind of combined the
24 two ideas and summarized the risk categories with
25 a table of contents column that shows where the

1 items -- the areas of audit risk could be quickly
2 identified and referenced. That turned into what
3 became pages 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, which then
4 ultimately dispensed with Exhibit -- or, I'm
5 sorry, Appendix A, because this is that with
6 additional information. So with that information
7 provided to us by Carr, Riggs & Ingram we now
8 have summary documents to look at to be able to
9 get to where are the risk categories for each of
10 these different areas that they looked at. So
11 this is really tying back into the audit plan and
12 when the time is right I'll talk about how the
13 pagination changed in this report and how that
14 will ultimately change in some references that
15 we've made in our proposed audit plan.

16 So for purposes understanding what this
17 changed, this just gave us more information
18 that's already included in the report, but in one
19 place early in the document for us to be able to
20 reference and find the pages quickly and look at
21 each of the areas in more detail.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. And I
23 appreciate them doing that. I will say for next
24 time, for some freak of nature reason I can read
25 two-point type but most people can't. So where

1 we want to pull space from is the margins and
2 make the fonts as big as possible.

3 MS. FERTIG: Thank you.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because I think the fonts
5 got smaller or some of them did. So we're going
6 to be squinting. Luckily, we have the color
7 coding, so red is bad, and yellow is moderate,
8 and then green is low in terms of the risks
9 assessed to each of these areas.

10 But, as Mr. Rhodes was saying, now you can
11 see where all the checkmarks that were on the
12 individual pages are now in one place and the
13 page numbers are there.

14 So that speaks to the risk assessment.
15 Mr. -- are you good? Did you want to go through
16 the proposed audit plan?

17 MR. RHODES: Yeah. Let me --

18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we can see where people
19 have -- let me pull that up. Because the purpose
20 of the risk assessment was to help expedite
21 creating the audit plan in a timely manner, I
22 believe.

23 MR. RHODES: That, and to also affirmatively
24 identify qualitatively the areas of risk as
25 perceived by the board, the audit committee

1 members who participated, and the higher-level
2 members of staff who have to try to manage their
3 operations free of some of these risks. By
4 identifying them, they give us the ability to
5 help them through our audit process, as well as
6 understand the risks ourselves when we put
7 together audit programs and trying to determine
8 areas of either weak internal controls; no
9 internal controls; or even the possibility of
10 fraud indicators or areas that might be conducive
11 to fraud.

12 So all those things together helped us to be
13 able to put together our proposed audit plan,
14 which, just so that we can -- for the purpose of
15 this meeting today, this went a little bit out of
16 sequence from the way that I understand that it
17 normally does, which would be -- because of the
18 timing we had to produce the proposed audit plan
19 at a workshop in May to the board and we received
20 this risk assessment information in a timeframe
21 that didn't allow us to present it to this
22 committee first. So today is the time to get
23 input from the committee to identify areas where,
24 I guess, through motions there could be
25 information transmitted to the board for further

1 consideration.

2 The board has already identified an area that
3 they would like us to look at, which is deaf and
4 hard of hearing. Also they've made some comments
5 on some of the stylistic ways that this is put
6 forth. For example, a legend that identifies
7 acronyms that they might not be familiar with,
8 maybe terms of art that might be involved in
9 this, as well as an even more higher priority
10 issue is to prioritize these proposed audits
11 based on safety and security, primarily, keeping
12 in mind that we have unique and independent
13 functional audit areas that are not necessarily
14 going to be conducive to prioritizing each
15 category that way. Because we know internal
16 funds are perpetual, property and inventory are
17 perpetual, but there are different areas that
18 we're looking at auditing that do contain areas
19 of safety and security that we would consider for
20 that.

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So can you clarify when you
22 say the board was concerned about deaf and hard
23 of hearing, because that's a project -- is it the
24 project or deaf and hard of hearing services
25 across the board?

1 MR. RHODES: I am currently in the process of
2 trying to understand that. In fact, one of the
3 questions that I had for this committee today was
4 for any members who have been here, because the
5 conversation we had the other day, it appears
6 that this began somewhere back in 2019 and I
7 don't have a lot of background on that.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I'll send you the
9 document I got from IT, which was the project
10 charter. I got that in response to both a
11 request and a public records request for the
12 project budget, scope and schedule. We got the
13 project charter, which is not any of the things
14 that we asked for, but it was being managed by IT
15 instead of Facilities. So if their concern is
16 project specific as opposed to the entire
17 collection of services that deaf and hard of
18 hearing students get, then that's something. I'm
19 making a note to send you the IT email. Because
20 that's been an ongoing saga.

21 MR. RHODES: And the current understanding we
22 have is that it is definitely at a project level,
23 what we are looking at. So I think what you're
24 talking about sending us would be very helpful.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I just wanted

1 clarity on that.

2 Are there areas where in the audit plan it
3 doesn't correlate to the risk assessment findings
4 or do they pretty much follow what CRI identified
5 as being a high risk?

6 MR. RHODES: The only thing that we included
7 from the risk assessment were high risk as
8 identified by Carr, Riggs & Ingram.

9 However, if you take a look at the proposed
10 audit plan, the far right column, the notes
11 section, it will show you all the different areas
12 within the proposed audit plan for 24-25 where it
13 came directly out of the risk assessment.

14 Also, please note that because of the changes
15 in the page numbers due to the updated appendix
16 and risk categories, we will have to go back into
17 this proposed audit plan and update those page
18 numbers.

19 And, also, I think it's -- although it's
20 available for anyone else's use, that column is
21 really more than anything something that we
22 wanted to use for our own purposes so that we
23 could track back to the original intent and find
24 that risk as we're looking through this, that
25 will also be helpful for us as we prioritize as

1 requested by the board.

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Do you guys have
3 specific questions or do you want Mr. Rhodes to
4 go through the audit plan section -- sort of
5 section by section and if you have a question --
6 because that's the purpose of this meeting,
7 primarily, was to get into the fund balance
8 policy and the audit plan, because then we're
9 going to discuss again in June but we have a
10 packed agenda on June 20th.

11 So we have Internal Funds, Property and
12 Inventory. Then Operations, we had a discussion
13 about the operational definition of Operations
14 and what the word Operations will refer to,
15 versus, for example, Behavioral Threat
16 Assessment, is that going to be operational,
17 slash, discipline or safety and security for the
18 purpose of categorizing so that --

19 MR. RHODES: For clarification I'd like to
20 turn that over to Ali and we can talk about that
21 a little bit more after she responds.

22 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Madam Chair, are you
23 talking about --

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Page 6.

25 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Page 6 of this or the

1 audit --

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Plan, the proposed audit
3 plan. Because the word operations is used as a
4 heading a lot and some of the things are actually
5 under operations but safety and security is not.

6 So, Ms. Arcese?

7 MS. ARCESE: Good afternoon -- or good
8 morning.

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Morning.

10 MS. ARCESE: We're still morning.

11 Thank you for the question. So I'm going to
12 actually bring you back up, if you can go to page
13 5 for me? So that is the color coding that we
14 have been using. So that correlates back to the
15 chart. So on page 6 of the audit plan, the
16 original audit plan, not the one that was just
17 distributed.

18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, but my issue is
19 having something called operational and then also
20 having some called operational, slash,
21 discipline, but having nothing called safety and
22 security.

23 MS. ARCESE: So safety and security falls
24 under our operational discipline component.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But should it; is

1 the question.

2 MS. ARCESE: Well, that's who's performing
3 those tasks right now. That is our group right
4 now that is performing that.

5 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So maybe what we need to
6 clarify is, this is based on what group in the
7 OCA is performing the function as opposed to
8 where the function lives in the org chart?

9 MS. ARCESE: Right. So our operations
10 division has a manager and we have two Auditor
11 IIIs, one specifically for the Behavioral Threat
12 Assessment audits and then we're also extending
13 that out to safety and security. In addition,
14 there is another Auditor III under that same
15 division that is working on the payroll audits
16 but also has expertise in other operational
17 functions. So we are also expanding what his
18 roles are within the operations piece to be able
19 to assist with not just operations like payroll
20 audits and other financial audits but also cross
21 training with Behavioral Threat Management when
22 there is a need, also, with the safety and
23 security component of it. So the umbrella is
24 Operations, but then there's all other different
25 types of audits that fall within that division.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- so here's where I
2 think we have a difference between what you guys
3 need to keep straight internally versus for us
4 being the readers as well as the board. The
5 column is labeled audit subject area, not auditor
6 assigned -- you know, assigned audit area. So,
7 for us, or at least when I was looking at this,
8 I'm expecting it to correlate to a functional
9 area in the org chart, which is why I was
10 surprised it wasn't labeled safety and security.
11 Internally who you assign it to, it could be a
12 separate column or a column we don't even see.
13 Because I don't know that any of us, you know,
14 necessarily need to understand who it's assigned
15 to as much as we're trying to identify what areas
16 you're auditing. Not who's auditing it but what
17 you're auditing.

18 MS. ARCESE: Yeah, so we can change the title
19 to depict --

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, let me -- no, no.
21 The problem isn't the -- you mean the title in
22 the column or the individual -- I think it's
23 useful information for you guys to organize
24 yourselves internally. But what gets confusing
25 is we have a lot of things called operations, so

1 we're thinking how is this operations? But
2 you're assigning it to people that are
3 operational.

4 So when you say you can change the title, do
5 you mean the row or the column?

6 THE WITNESS: The column where it says audit
7 area we can then correlate that back. Because,
8 again -- so what we're trying to also capture in
9 this area is more or less our divisions; right?
10 So we have the six different divisions.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And I'm saying
12 that's great for you internally, but for us
13 externally, as well as the board, we are looking
14 for, are you auditing HR, Safety and Security,
15 Property and Inventory? Because you have
16 Internal Funds and Property and Inventory, which
17 we take to be, you know, specific audits. But
18 then it goes into operations, operations, and
19 then it gets into Facilities Capital Programs.

20 So what I'm saying is, a column that clearly
21 identifies the functional area that this is --
22 because some of them are done that way and then
23 we get into operations. That seems to be the
24 place where things are getting a little murky is
25 on operations.

1 MR. RHODES: Can I respond to that?

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

3 MR. RHODES: What I would -- what I'm
4 hearing, and I think we already captured the
5 audit area, even though it's in an acronym form,
6 is I don't know that there's another column that
7 needs to be added. But I think to answer the
8 question that you were asking, perhaps we could
9 capture this at the cell level. So, for example,
10 if we're looking at item number 3 we can identify
11 that as Safety and Security similarly on page 6
12 where we're just looking at some of those
13 recurring type audits, in the cell that's
14 identified currently as operational and
15 discipline, we could identify that specifically
16 as BTA discipline. So now we're looking at the
17 actual type of audit as opposed to the area that
18 the audit is audited under.

19 Does that get at what you're talking about?

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. I'm saying it's
21 completely irrelevant to us in this room who you
22 assigned it to. It is relevant what you're
23 auditing. So that's where a column -- you can
24 hide this column and we will not lose any sleep
25 over it because we -- in some cases if you make a

1 column for external users it's going to have some
2 of the same things. It's going to say Property
3 and Inventory because that is the type of audit
4 it is. But when we are looking at this, it
5 should be clear Property and Inventory. There's
6 Technology audits. There's audits of Facilities.
7 And if it's operational, then it should be
8 operational, which means something on the org
9 chart that it doesn't mean here. Because if you
10 go look at operations, which is changing just in
11 case everyone's been keeping up, the new org
12 chart has the chief operations officer but no
13 facilities chief, just so you know. That will be
14 effective July 1. And there's going to be a
15 chief financial officer instead of an associate
16 or assistant superintendent. And the
17 non-renewals have started. So the person that
18 was doing the general fund balance projection,
19 non-renewed. And Shelley Meloni, non-renewed.
20 And there's an interesting backstory to that as
21 there always is around here.

22 But my point with this is, charter schools,
23 that's clearly an area. So I -- it's the
24 operational, I think, is where I'm having an
25 issue.

1 MS. FERTIG: So can I just make a comment
2 here?

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure.

4 MS. FERTIG: It says audit subject area. So,
5 to me, audit subject area means Safety or
6 Facilities or -- you know, it doesn't -- I
7 understand what you're saying is it's really more
8 the audit staffing area.

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, that's what that
10 column should be called is audit staffing area.

11 MS. FERTIG: But we don't care about that
12 because we know you do a great job. So I think
13 all we're interested in is what area are we doing
14 and how does that correspond with both of these
15 documents?

16 MR. RHODES: Let me ask this question and see
17 if -- I'm sorry.

18 MS. ARCESE: Well, I just -- I think you and
19 I are going to ask the same question. So
20 specifically audit number 3, is that the one
21 that's up on the screen?

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mm-hmm.

23 MS. ARCESE: So that one is Safety and
24 Security Plan audit. That's the name of the
25 subject area that we are going to be auditing.

1 So simply just removing that title that says
2 operations/discipline, because, again --

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You can separate it into
4 two and hide the column that would have
5 operational, slash, discipline in it. We don't
6 need to see it. But you guys do.

7 MS. ARCESE: This is one audit though.
8 Number 3 is considered one audit.

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get that. And then
10 what would be in the column we would see is
11 Safety and Security and under which would be a
12 security plan I would imagine as part of Safety
13 and Security.

14 MS. ARCESE: Okay. I understand what you're
15 saying now. It's just the division within the
16 district that we're auditing. That's what you're
17 looking for.

18 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

19 MS. ARCESE: You're trying to tie it back.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. And the risk
21 assessment follows our same logic where they're
22 following -- they have Safety and Security.

23 MS. ARCESE: That makes more sense. I
24 understand now what you're asking. And I'll just
25 make sure that I use the same terminology in the

1 risk assessment so that it ties back so that
2 we're using the same titles across the board.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, consistency across
4 documents.

5 MS. ARCESE: Not a problem. I can do that.

6 MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

8 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, Mr. Rhodes had mentioned
9 that except for the required items only the high
10 risk areas are included from the CRI assessment;
11 is that correct?

12 MR. RHODES: Yes, there's a reason for that
13 more than just it was high risk.

14 MR. DE MEO: Okay. And could you somewhere
15 indicate that? I don't think any of the
16 information summary and audit quotes and all, I
17 don't think it's stated thusly. Could you --

18 MR. RHODES: In terms of that it was from the
19 risk assessment?

20 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think it's important to
21 say there was a risk assessment performed, and I
22 think you may say that somewhere, and that you
23 coordinated, used that risk assessment, and only
24 the high-risk areas are included. And if any are
25 excluded, you should say that, too.

1 MR. RHODES: Yeah, if you look at the notes
2 column of the proposed audit plan you'll see --

3 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, which reference the page
4 numbers.

5 MR. RHODES: So what you're saying is to more
6 specifically identify as high risk as well as --
7 and that's one of the reasons we're listing it
8 back to a page number of the risk assessment so
9 if there's more detail that's needed beyond the
10 risk assessment it will be clear to us and any
11 reader.

12 MR. DE MEO: The more references the better
13 as far as I'm concerned. But under Objectives
14 and Scope, for example, you could say, this
15 incorporates the risk assessment performed by CRI
16 and only includes high-risk items and excludes
17 thus and so, if it does, high-risk items, and
18 then explain why -- I think it's important to
19 explain why moderate items weren't -- any
20 moderate items. And that sounds like to me it's
21 a fiscal or a resource restraint item there.
22 Because, certainly, you would want to do some
23 moderate -- you'd want to test some moderate
24 areas; right?

25 MR. RHODES: Yeah, we do. The thing is that

1 given our current resources both internal and
2 external, there had to be a cutoff point. And
3 the areas of high risk, some of those -- let me
4 put it this way. In my professional judgment
5 understanding what I've relearned about this
6 place in the approximately nine months that I've
7 been here, I don't -- I believe that if we were
8 to take a gradient, what would be red in some
9 cases might be a little orange even though it's
10 listed as high risk, and some of those areas
11 we've captured because I don't believe they rise
12 to the level of fully high risk --

13 MR. DE MEO: I understand.

14 MR. RHODES: -- but they do fall into the
15 range of areas that we understand that there is
16 an inherent risk that has already been identified
17 in both board meetings, in meetings and
18 discussions in here, as well as operations that
19 we have tested.

20 MR. DE MEO: I think this discussion that
21 we're having now could be condensed and included
22 under Objectives and Scope and it would be very
23 helpful. I would also say that --

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: One second. When you say
25 broad -- is it under Broad Activity Scope or

1 Objectives and Scope?

2 MR. DE MEO: Page 1. Page 1.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Page 1. Okay. Got you. I
4 just wanted to follow along.

5 MR. DE MEO: Just to give the background of
6 what the scope of this is. And I don't know and
7 mention the assessment either by name, Carr,
8 Riggs or there was an assessment performed.
9 My -- in my experience I've seen many risk
10 assessments matrices and most of them, this is
11 public companies, usually, most of them use a
12 numbering system 5 to 1. And you could have a
13 4.3; you could have 3.7. And that speaks
14 directly to what you said, but I don't want to,
15 you know --

16 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you're saying instead of
17 the words, the narrative, a risk on a scale of 1
18 to 5?

19 MR. DE MEO: You could do that or the risk
20 assessment may be too granular, for, you know --
21 you do have to categorize it. I'm okay with
22 that. But the risk assessment itself, did it use
23 three categories?

24 MR. RHODES: What we did was three
25 categories. What they did, if you remember, was

1 a heat map that really kind of shows you and the
2 map does go across that gradient and it falls
3 within certain areas of that gradient. The point
4 I'm making, and your point is well taken, I
5 understand the idea of getting an even more
6 specific --

7 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Quantitative.

8 MR. RHODES: -- quantitative level of risk as
9 opposed to simply high, medium and low. But
10 that -- again, what I believe that's what falls
11 back to the professional judgment that I, and, of
12 course, my team has to use when we're performing
13 these audits and planning these audits.

14 MR. DE MEO: If you look at number 5 on page
15 7, for example, in the third box where it's
16 called Broad Activity Scope, each one of those
17 would receive a rating, alignment with regulatory
18 requirements, 4; review laws and regulations 5;
19 analysis of internal controls, 5; you know,
20 that's --

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, which page?

22 MR. DE MEO: Page 7. In other words, that's
23 the approach that I think is really clear. And
24 the way CR&I did it, I understand they did a good
25 job, I think it's a good base for this. But I

1 think you need to explain that you didn't --
2 what's included here in the objectives and the
3 scope, and wherever you can cross-reference to
4 the risk assessment in the notes I think that's
5 really good.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'll save my comments for
7 after.

8 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I have a comment. Yeah,
9 well my comment is, I understand what Mr. De Meo
10 is saying, but I'm -- but are we talking to
11 people like us around this table or is this
12 report for John Q. Public, too?

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Everybody.

14 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Everybody. So my thing
15 is, I would like for us not to get too technical.
16 You understand what I'm saying? Because a lot of
17 times we -- I hear what Mr. Rhodes is saying,
18 they're talking to themselves and they're talking
19 to people like us. But if somebody else were to
20 pick up this report a lot of the concerns that we
21 have they wouldn't have those. Do you understand
22 what I'm saying?

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But if you have other
24 people that are in industry or with accounting
25 background they might pick it up and go, it

1 doesn't go far enough. So I agree with Mr. De
2 Meo's suggestions. As I'm looking at this,
3 because this is a cell under Safety and Security
4 that could be further divided with a rating,
5 because some of them -- partnerships, that might
6 be a 1, but --

7 MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair, I'm sorry, I
8 wouldn't put those ratings here. I agree with.

9 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: That's what I'm saying.

10 MR. DE MEO: I think they do belong in the
11 risk assessment. And I think the objectives and
12 the scope would try to bridge exactly what you
13 said, what this report, for whom the intended
14 users are, how they're going to use it, and for
15 those with -- with the more technical
16 perspective.

17 MR. RHODES: I think it's important -- there
18 were a couple of components in this. There was a
19 time component because the time that Joris left
20 and the time that this had to be turned around
21 and presented to the board as a proposed plan.
22 The marching orders, so to speak, that we gave to
23 the risk assessment team was, within that period
24 of time, this was a more qualitative look at
25 through the interview process with, again, board,

1 audit committee, and the all the highest-level
2 people who are actually performing and managing
3 these operations. So to go into the type of
4 detail that you're looking at, I think that that
5 does fall on the Office of the Chief Auditor to
6 be able to identify what that range would be.

7 So, for example, let's just say that a high
8 for our purposes would be 4.3, that might be
9 something that we might want to add into this so
10 we identify where these levels of risk are as
11 we've perceived them, because we did not put that
12 onus on the risk assessment team in the amount of
13 time that they had to bring us back that level of
14 detail. I want to make sure that the audit
15 committee understands that so we're not putting
16 more on them than they expected.

17 MR. DE MEO: And I would just say in the
18 future, first of all, this is the best look, I
19 don't know, Nathalie knows much better than me, I
20 don't know how long I've been on this committee,
21 but this is the best look --

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A while.

23 MR. DE MEO: This is the best look that we've
24 had, in my opinion, deepest dive, best
25 explanation and background. And I think we can

1 improve on this without a great deal of effort
2 and it will help everyone. And so next year we
3 might see a matrix that's broken down like the
4 Chair says by the Broad Activity Scope. And then
5 if you want to further categorize it, fine. We
6 want to know, you know, how did you assess that
7 and then we get a chance to look at it and then
8 we make the plan.

9 MR. RHODES: Well, based on the input I think
10 that we can make much more of that happen even in
11 this plan before it's finalized, as well as to
12 agree with you that it would be improved and it
13 would look even better for the upcoming plan.
14 Because we'll have another year worth of hands-on
15 experience and understanding and assessing the
16 risk as we go through this audit plan. That was
17 the thing that had to be overcome this year
18 because of the 12-year gap between when I was
19 here before and being here now.

20 So, with that in mind, I -- I agree that we
21 can make the objectives and scope section a lot
22 more robust to identify and cull out what it is
23 and how we got here, what is included in this
24 audit plan and how it's kind of a combination
25 between things that carried over from the last

1 audit plan, things that are new to this audit
2 assessment, and identify that in, like I said, a
3 much more robust version of that section.

4 MR. DE MEO: I'm just going to finish my
5 comments because I'm so deep into it. IT
6 consultants, we use consultants to do IT. I'm
7 not sure that that was clear to us in the past.
8 It probably was. Was it within this matrix?
9 Yeah. So are we deferring any high-risk IT
10 procedures? Because in the past it seems to me
11 we were. We were doing something on a cyclical
12 nature basis.

13 MR. RHODES: Well, this goes back to a
14 resource question; right? So -- and we're
15 currently working on updating some of these IT
16 area things because, again, one example is that
17 in order for us to pop in this deaf and hard of
18 hearing audit we had to take a look at what else
19 might be able to be popped out of this. We've
20 identified one that's in the IT area that doesn't
21 require follow up at all, so it makes it very
22 easy for us to find a home for that. Having said
23 that, the other areas of IT that we're looking
24 at, we're trying to either consolidate when
25 possible, but usually make use of consultants to

1 do that work because our IT staff is so small and
2 limited that some of the lifts that we would be
3 asking them to do would be bigger than their
4 resources would allow. That's where we go
5 outside and we try to bring in some people that
6 have the expertise and the resources to do that.

7 MR. DE MEO: Sure. But are we we deferring
8 any high-risk IT procedures?

9 MR. RHODES: Procedures; no.

10 MR. DE MEO: Or tests or audits?

11 MR. RHODES: Yeah, certainly. Certainly, we
12 are.

13 MR. DE MEO: Okay. I think that needs to be
14 spelled out. Because I have been concerned
15 about, forget the term cyber security, I don't
16 even know what the hell that means, I am
17 concerned with the controls over IT. I mean, I
18 hope there isn't an employee that has terminated
19 service 10 years ago that still has the ability
20 to log onto the system, you know. Simple things,
21 just --

22 MR. RHODES: That's also a component of our
23 HR audit that will be forthcoming where we're
24 going to be looking at that off-boarding process
25 and how those very permissions that you're

1 talking about are removed and how we can identify
2 the controls that ensure that they are removed.
3 That's always going to be a part of what we're
4 going to be looking at, those types of controls
5 in any atmosphere that we're looking at.

6 MR. DE MEO: But my concern is that if we
7 have a high-risk area --

8 MS. HARPALANI: Hi, this is Jennifer
9 Harpalani. So the way we look at IT audits is we
10 look at twofold. We look at all the access, all
11 the applications, the organizations that are used
12 district wide as well as at the school district.
13 And then we have a prioritization method where we
14 look at, you know, the application, what kind of
15 impact does it have on the district, the
16 financial impact, how much was invested? We look
17 at how many users. So there's a whole sort of
18 rating scale. And also what type of data the
19 application holds, whether it be employee data or
20 student data. So I've been here now five years
21 and so we've also looked at the organization's
22 infrastructure. And so based on all these we
23 have looked at criterias as far as logical
24 access. Every application that we audit we look
25 at logical access. Where the user is terminated

1 we do testing procedures. We look at whether,
2 you know, changes were made in the employee, were
3 there role changes, were those taken into account
4 as far as -- we've also looked at change patch
5 and change management, because that is very
6 critical to the infrastructure. So there are
7 quite a few areas that we have audited. And so I
8 just want to make sure that you understand, you
9 know, that it's not just -- that we do take into
10 account all kinds of data, data changes, change
11 impact, logical access, web access, things like
12 that.

13 MR. DE MEO: Although that one would assume
14 that, that's reassuring to hear it. But my
15 concern right now is, are we deferring because of
16 budget constraints any audit areas in IT that are
17 considered high risk?

18 MR. RHODES: Based --

19 MS. HARPALANI: Can I?

20 MR. RHODES: Yeah, please.

21 MS. HARPALANI: One of the areas that we are
22 currently getting ready to audit once Focus moves
23 into production, it will be for the following
24 second half of the year, we want to give Focus
25 some time to, you know, roll out into production.

1 That is one of our biggest applications, student
2 information system, so we will look at processes
3 involved in there and that's on the audit plan.
4 The other areas that we do -- we will be doing a
5 follow-up on is change and patch management
6 because that, too, is critical. We are -- the
7 other areas -- we have looked at certain SAP
8 modules and it will be in our future to follow up
9 on some other SAP modules. Because SAP is huge.
10 So we have looked at certain modules. Ariba is
11 on the plan but we are looking at when it rolls
12 out and, you know, that itself, we have to scope
13 up with functionality. And the other -- other
14 areas is we did do AppliTrack, but that was --
15 the new application is Success Factors, which is
16 another SAP module. So we will be doing that
17 also on the plan.

18 MR. DE MEO: So I think I would like you to
19 include in the report any areas that are
20 considered high risk that were excluded during
21 this -- excluded from this plan for this year.
22 In other words, even if you mention it for next
23 year you need to say that it's not part of the
24 plan for this year. Because I think we need to
25 know that. I assume change maintenance and

1 access is tested regularly. That's easy.
2 Anybody can do that. I mean, not anybody, that's
3 something that we should be doing. Testing
4 through the system, testing the ability for
5 others to gain access and all of that, you know,
6 I know that's more involved, but we need to know
7 what's not included. Because if we're vulnerable
8 in an area other than how we treat students and
9 their safety, I think this ranks right up there
10 right after that concern.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So if you look at the risk
12 assessment, they have proposed audit timing. I
13 don't know how that correlates with our -- with
14 the district's audit timing. Because that was
15 when they suggested the timing. And this speaks
16 to Mr. De Meo's point, because there's a lot that
17 are high risk in IT.

18 MR. DE MEO: Right.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They weren't even
20 recommending, you know, to do all of them. And
21 some, like IT security and infrastructure
22 services, which I think we need definitions of
23 some of these if you're not an IT guru,
24 stand-alone systems, would that be something like
25 e-Builder?

1 MR. RHODES: It -- it certainly could be.
2 You picked a good example because our
3 understanding is that one's about to go away
4 which is going to bring us something new that we
5 would have to take a look at. But, again, it
6 goes back to what Jennifer was saying a few
7 minutes ago; okay?

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait, wait, wait.
9 e-Builder, which houses --

10 MR. RHODES: No, no, I apologize. When you
11 said e-Builder I heard ISS. My apologies on
12 that.

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, that still causes a
14 timeout. Because ISS is what the building
15 department uses, the archaic system that was
16 built by a former employee that they've known and
17 that when we have the RSM update they should be
18 speaking to that on the June 20th report.

19 MR. RHODES: Uh-huh.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I don't know who
21 "they" is that are bringing a new system. I
22 haven't heard boo about it on the Facilities Task
23 Force, just so you know. No, I mentioned
24 e-Builder because the former superintendent, I do
25 not believe this occurred and I don't know what

1 his actual separation date is, but they had given
2 him sort of carte blanche access to e-Builder
3 until they negotiated his separation. I don't
4 know if we were to do a quick check of e-Builder
5 if he can still get in there. And I don't know
6 what his separation date is. So that's a
7 concrete example where somebody at the
8 superintendent level had access to e-Builder,
9 which is where all things capital program are
10 housed, all things SMART, access to approve
11 things, see things. I don't know what access
12 level he had, but if he's no longer the
13 superintendent he shouldn't be able to log in
14 there anymore, especially since I don't think
15 they went for the consultant gig.

16 MR. RHODES: My recollection was that he is
17 here through June 30th, but I do have to say I do
18 not know what permissions he has specifically
19 with e-Builder.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But that's my
21 point.

22 Yes, Mary.

23 MS. FERTIG: Okay. So I want to just get
24 back to this report as a whole. I really feel
25 these graphs, these charts validate our many,

1 many, many conversations this year that we've had
2 about risk. I thank you for getting something.
3 I agree with Mr. De Meo. This is the best most
4 user-friendly thing we've seen in a very long
5 time.

6 So I think -- I'm really pleased to see it.
7 My experience on the audit committee tells me
8 that we always want to do more than we can and
9 that will never -- we'll always feel that way.
10 During the year something will come up and we'll
11 want that added. But I'm glad to see you are
12 doing Focus with the rollout coming out. I think
13 we need to see how the old applications and the
14 new one starts. And so I think you've got a lot
15 of really good things on here. Just coordinate
16 these two documents a little bit. I kind of like
17 the color coding because, boy, does it say, I'm
18 sorry Ms. Strauss isn't here today so she could
19 see how much red there is. And I'm going to tell
20 you again, Dave did such a great job when he was
21 here before, I know we're going to be getting
22 some really good audits coming, not to put any
23 pressure on you. And, Ali, you always do a
24 wonderful job. So I have confidence with stuff
25 being laid out in a much better format than we've

1 seen, not just a title of, oh, we need to look at
2 p-cards, but just really having something very
3 thoughtfully done, that we are getting ready to
4 have the kinds of audits that are going to result
5 in positive changes for the district.

6 So I -- I don't know where you want to move
7 with this.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have a comment on the HR,
9 number 5. I think we've got feedback so far. I
10 just wanted to get some feedback on the HR piece,
11 which is number 5 on page 7. And then that was
12 my primary area of concern.

13 So it's an audit of internal controls over
14 HR. The board approved it. It was found to be
15 high risk. These line items in here, alignment
16 with regulatory -- so alignment with regulatory
17 requirements and policies including student
18 privacy and protection, review laws, regulations,
19 policies, is that not all -- all of this is
20 analysis of internal controls; right? Evaluate
21 the effectiveness and efficiency of the HR
22 process. Evaluate recruiting, selecting, hiring
23 and terminating employees. That would be the
24 processes, the policies, the procedures. Because
25 it's an internal controls audit is what we asked

1 for. Some of you, if you were on here, you
2 recall Joris did a -- he produced a scope and
3 then we sent it back and he produced a slightly
4 better one. So it might be good to take a look
5 at that for some of the granular things that are
6 involved in there.

7 Basically, the board is on the hook
8 statutorily for prescribing policies and
9 procedures that have to do with recruiting,
10 retaining, evaluating, and, you know, terminating
11 employees. So from cradle to grave the board is
12 responsible for how that's done, which is what
13 this should be covering.

14 I just want to make sure that it's not a --
15 you're not auditing -- you know, there's not an
16 audit to see if people comply, because we're not
17 even sure what they're complying with. Because
18 in the many cases you may not have policies or
19 procedures. So this is starting with an
20 evaluation of the internal controls, this
21 particular item?

22 MR. RHODES: And I just want to add to what
23 you're saying, the idea of the sentence in there
24 that breaks out the review of laws, regulations,
25 policies, procedures, analysis of internal

1 controls, at the end of the day those are our
2 primary internal controls. What we have to see
3 whether in policy it's properly designed; if
4 it's -- if the design is effective; if it's weak;
5 if it's being circumvented. Those are the areas
6 that we need to look at to find out where and how
7 these things are happening so that we can
8 identify, for example, that the folks who are
9 being selected for the position are first and
10 foremost qualified. And I'm going, this is a
11 little bit more granular than I would normally
12 want to go, but to make sure that they're
13 properly qualified before they're selected for
14 their position and that they're going through the
15 full process. And it doesn't matter whether
16 you're from PPO; or you're from Purchasing; or
17 you're on the education side of this, that you
18 have to still follow all those steps and all
19 those internal controls that are in place that
20 move you from gate to gate.

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And --

22 MS. FERTIG: Can I just ask a question on the
23 same one? Where you have evaluate equality and
24 integrity of information systems, would that
25 cover sort of what Mr. De Meo was asking about

1 ensuring that employees are not able to access --
2 I see you have termination up above, so --
3 wouldn't you pick up some of that if they were
4 still able to access?

5 MR. RHODES: Yeah. In fact, that would be a
6 part that would be designed into the scope, to
7 ensure that any off-boarding process, all those
8 necessary internal controls were in place to make
9 sure that, for example, all your swipe cards, all
10 your parking passes, all your permissions to any
11 of the systems that we have or even your access
12 to those systems have all been removed. And to
13 find, for example, that there weren't policies in
14 place to ensure that, that would be the internal
15 control checks that would create that improvement
16 immediately to find that they are. Then it's a
17 matter of are they actually using it or not.
18 Those are some of the things that we would be
19 looking at in the putting together of a program
20 to audit these areas. And those things are, as
21 the board requested, the internal controls within
22 each of these different, I'll call them, steps
23 along the way. Because it is a cradle to grave
24 process from applying through being onboarded or
25 applying to being rejected. There are internal

1 controls with every step of the way and we'll be
2 testing those as we go through.

3 MS. FERTIG: Thank you.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The Success Factors
5 implementation, slash, compliance with bid
6 product, that would seem to be a Procurement
7 issue. That just happened to be an HR product?

8 MR. RHODES: Page number, please?

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, same page, 7. It's
10 under HR Audit.

11 MR. RHODES: Okay. Yeah. So whenever we
12 come in contact with another application or IT
13 component we want to take a look to make sure,
14 for example, that the implementation, and even
15 going back as far as to see what was included in
16 the solicitation was actually provided. Because
17 we have systems that we hear about, not just now,
18 but, historically, modules that were critical to
19 the success of the implementation of a system
20 were not purchased, were not implemented, and
21 created all types of problems. So when we're
22 looking at this we would be looking at the
23 internal controls and the implementation of the
24 Success Factors system because it had only
25 recently replaced AppliTrack.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What was that other
2 one I just saw? The deaf and hard of hearing,
3 what are you auditing?

4 MR. RHODES: I'm still trying to figure that
5 out. It only came up to me on May 29th at the
6 workshop meeting when it was requested that we
7 include that in our audit plan. And it was my
8 understanding that -- from the conversation, that
9 it was something that began and was stalled out
10 repeatedly going back as far as maybe 2019, 2020.
11 And so I do have a lot of research I have to do
12 on that before I --

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But what is the goal? What
14 is the objective of the audit? Because I -- I --
15 I have brought up issues where Markham, for
16 example, Markham Elementary, Head Start is one of
17 the risk areas where they're proposing to put in
18 a new building where the rooms do not comply with
19 SREF, which is a district standard, it doesn't
20 comply with the Facilities list standard that are
21 recorded to the state, which means that they're
22 not going to be in compliance with the CCC, and
23 Head Start will be relegated to the modulars
24 because of the proposed size of the classrooms.

25 I can't get that audited but we're going to

1 audit something that we know was sort of a
2 Cartwright holdover because she didn't understand
3 that the budget that was necessary for the deaf
4 and hard of hearing, it was badly scoped. So I
5 want to be clear on what's getting audited there.
6 Because, basically, it is what it is. And is it
7 that someone's concerned about fraud,
8 mismanagement? What is the concern? Or is it
9 they just want to get the project done?

10 MR. RHODES: I think they want to know what
11 broke down in the project and if the project --
12 how the project can be put back on track again.
13 That was at the recommendation of the board.

14 What I would say is, there's nothing that I'm
15 aware of that would stop us from also looking at
16 prioritizing and adding in what it is you're
17 talking about with regard to the disregard for
18 the EdSpecs that have left us in a situation
19 where now we're no longer in compliance with the
20 CCC, as well as the size requirements that we're
21 going to need in the event that other schools,
22 for example, in an adjacent area are closed down
23 and now that school might now be populated more
24 than they thought it was going to be when they
25 thought that they could let the size go and work.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just -- you know,
2 because, to me, the board is asking for an audit
3 of something where everybody pretty much knows
4 what went wrong. But, you know, so -- it's been
5 chronicled every week in the superintendent's
6 update when Licata was here. There is
7 documentation from IT. There's plenty of
8 documentation, it just didn't go through the
9 proper channels. But, anyway, I just wanted to
10 bring that up.

11 MS. FERTIG: If the board asked for it, we
12 can't un-ask for it.

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get it. I'm just
14 saying as long as we're -- but the board
15 sometimes asks for things that --

16 MS. FERTIG: I'm just, you know --

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- take time.

18 MS. FERTIG: But they're the board. So they
19 get to ask for it.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But they could say
21 to the superintendent, find out, you know, what
22 your staff did or didn't do.

23 MS. FERTIG: Do we see gaps in this plan that
24 we need to bring up at this point to send to the
25 board before their final --

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're going to discuss
2 again on June 20th.

3 MS. FERTIG: Okay. I just want to see if
4 there's something. I know in past years there
5 have been things that we've focused on that we've
6 said, hey, we're looking at this and we don't see
7 it in here. I'm just -- I was just -- I'm just
8 wondering, from the rest of you, did you see
9 something like that?

10 I think the layout is much better. I think
11 you've given a lot of thought to how it should
12 proceed. I'm just wondering what we've talked
13 about in the last 12 months that we don't see in
14 here.

15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There's probably things.
16 But that's why I would say, for our next meeting,
17 if you didn't see it today, make sure you catch
18 it before our June 20th meeting because it goes
19 to the board when?

20 MR. RHODES: All I know is that it's going to
21 be sometime in July.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

23 MR. MAYERSOHN: Madam Chair?

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mr. Mayersohn.

25 MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. Just in reference to

1 deaf and hard of hearing, it might be -- and,
2 again, I'm going back, back, back, there was an
3 Evergreen report, I don't know if that included
4 any components of deaf and hard of hearing. But
5 maybe that might be something to kind of look
6 into to see what transpired. I know at one point
7 in time we kept on getting reports and then that
8 kind of phased out. But along, if I saw there
9 the IDEA audit, maybe it's something that could
10 be combined in all, you know, exceptional student
11 education.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To pull it in there, that
13 would make sense --

14 MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah.

15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- potentially. So just so
16 you know, I believe they sunsetted the ESE Task
17 Force several -- a few years ago.

18 MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, they sunsetted it, but
19 we were supposed to be getting updated like
20 quarterly reports on the progress of what was
21 being made, whether or not they were continuing,
22 modifying, et cetera, et cetera. But that
23 stopped years ago.

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And you mean the
25 audit committee.

1 MR. MAYERSOHN: Correct. Correct.

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because I remember when Ann
3 Gold came in here with probably 50 people from
4 the ESE department and we asked for updates, and
5 you are correct, we're supposed to be getting
6 updates on the status of the Evergreen
7 recommendations, which there's also another
8 report that was done after MSD that would add on
9 to that, which was done in presumably 2018, 2019.
10 There's a secondary report. But, yes, Mr.
11 Mayersohn is correct, we were supposed to be
12 getting updates. I think we got one.

13 MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

15 MR. DE MEO: Are we transmitting the -- we're
16 not transmitting.

17 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, in June we will.

18 MR. DE MEO: In June.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, basically, this was us
20 looking at this --

21 MR. DE MEO: Right.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- in Sunshine. And then
23 if you see anything between now and June 20th,
24 that's our last chance to add something we think
25 is missing.

1 MR. DE MEO: Do we need a motion to have Mr.
2 Rhodes include to expand the scope paragraph to
3 include what is included and what is excluded.

4 MR. RHODES: If you so choose, but I've taken
5 the notes and I intend to do it without a motion.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think you're right, all
7 the feedback from today, to incorporate that and
8 do a revised --

9 MR. DE MEO: One other thing, you might
10 incorporate is what Ms. Fertig mentioned, is
11 there anything -- and maybe you can describe it
12 better, anything that's been problematic in the
13 past or that we failed to accomplish in the past
14 that was on the list. And that would be helpful
15 for the reader, you know, some background. I
16 don't think you can be too expansive in the
17 scope, to be honest with you. Because as one of
18 the board members pointed out, this shouldn't be
19 for a technical geezer auditor, for me, you know,
20 for my use, it should be for the public's use.
21 And the scope might bridge the users', different
22 users' ability to understand what's in there.

23 MR. RHODES: May I respond to that?

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

25 MR. RHODES: So, again, one of the reasons

1 that it is important to me to do a risk
2 assessment was because the 12-year block between
3 when I was here and coming back, and it being my
4 responsibility to bring this forward, I could not
5 do that without some outside assistance. And to
6 that point, the areas that you're talking about
7 of concern that Ms. Fertig brought up, I would
8 not only rely on, I would request that if you do
9 have items that you've seen over the last several
10 years that you could bring to my attention I can
11 then do some research on those, I can start to
12 find out where they fall into this kind of
13 prioritization of risk. They can then either be
14 included or discussed at the 20th for inclusion.
15 Because I -- I have ideas on my own, but my ideas
16 are not based on facts, science and evidence.
17 They're based on the old auditor's hunch. And I
18 don't want to put that into any --

19 MR. DE MEO: Well, we're relying on you for
20 that judgment, as well.

21 MR. RHODES: Well, no, we are. But the thing
22 is that I think I need to have a little bit more
23 than an auditor's hunch to be able to put it into
24 this document.

25 MS. FERTIG: My only suggestion is for

1 readability is just to merge what's good about
2 both of these and the same thing -- like we know
3 we're getting a focus thing on this where it says
4 Fiscal Year 25 and then over here maybe we cull
5 some of those out so that it's clear where you're
6 coordinating; if you are.

7 MR. RHODES: And what I can do --

8 MS. FERTIG: If you need an extra column, we
9 probably don't care about the assigned auditor,
10 Nathalie already, I think, made that point, but I
11 just think it would be useful to just make sure
12 everything correlates and is very readable.

13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correlates and crosswalks
14 from the risk assessment to the audit plan. We
15 could even go legal.

16 MR. DE MEO: Incorporate by reference.

17 MR. RHODES: And, well, see, that's another
18 thing, because part of this audit plan, it's
19 basically broken down into four different areas.
20 One is the kind of recurring audits that we do,
21 the other are the 17 or 18 audits depending on
22 how this works out by the time it's finalized,
23 and then things that are on hold or part of
24 another -- part of another function -- let me
25 find the page so I can kind of give you an

1 example. So when you go to page 10, we have a
2 lot of functions that we -- that we handle that
3 are nonreporting audit functions. And if you
4 take a look at some of those you'll get a pretty
5 good idea of when -- and they're not with great
6 regularity. If they were, we would have put them
7 in the recurring, but these are areas that we're
8 often asked to look at things. We also have the
9 assistance that we have to provide in the way of
10 liaison through some of these independent
11 auditor's management letters, single audits,
12 ACFR, all these different things that we have to
13 work with, as well as right now we're providing,
14 not daily assistance, but certainly we're being
15 communicated with daily by the auditor general's
16 office that's here right now. And so there are a
17 lot of those things that go on.

18 And when you go down a little bit further on
19 page 12 you'll see an area of things that have
20 been placed on hold for various reasons. You
21 might identify a few things that with these
22 things being on hold if that is sufficient level
23 of information or if you'd like more information
24 to be included in there. But at this point
25 because they're on hold I don't know that there's

1 all that much that that would require.

2 But then when we go down to page 15 you can
3 see that we have a long-range audit plan. Some
4 of these things will be moved up during this
5 process, during the proposed audit plan process.
6 And some of the things from above would be moved
7 down based on this input from you and the board.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So just to be clear, under
9 on hold, because I didn't get past this one --

10 MR. RHODES: Okay.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: District control
12 initiative, employee onboarding and termination
13 process projects, I don't know what that was, but
14 that's part of the HR internal controls.

15 MR. RHODES: I'll hand that off to Ali
16 because that was something that happened before I
17 came back.

18 MS. ARCESE: And I don't have much to add to
19 it. I wasn't part of that committee so I don't
20 know. This is something that was part of the
21 audit plan in the past and it's something that is
22 part of our --

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what I'm saying
24 is --

25 MS. ARCESE: There was a committee that was

1 discussing that.

2 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: An internal committee, I
3 guess.

4 MS. ARCESE: Yes, yes, a district internal
5 committee.

6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But what I'm saying is,
7 from a bigger picture standpoint, this is
8 absolutely part of HR internal controls, so while
9 it may have been something that was on hold it
10 gets incorporated into that audit. Because if
11 it's the district norm for employees that are
12 non-renewed beginning with last year and it's
13 happened twice that I know of this year, is there
14 a process, policy, procedure that says if you're
15 in a supervisory capacity you get walked out like
16 a common criminal with guards the day that you're
17 notified that you will be non-renewed and if
18 you're non-supervisory are you allowed to stay
19 until June 30th? I don't know because I don't
20 know where I would go to find that. I don't even
21 know if that's a thing or do we just pick random
22 people to treat that way? Or is that the
23 standard operating procedure for all employees?
24 I don't know. But that speaks to termination.
25 Because, basically, what's happening is, if

1 you're being non-renewed as of June 30th you are
2 escorted out as though you stole something from
3 the district. But I don't know if that applies
4 to everybody that's on the non-renewed list this
5 year or just supervisory ones. Because there's
6 no policy that I'm aware of and I haven't seen a
7 procedure.

8 MR. RHODES: The information that I've
9 received is anecdotal at best, but I can look
10 into that and see if there is anything in
11 writing.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I'm just saying as
13 part of the audit of internal controls that
14 should bubble up to the surface of how -- how you
15 terminate employees.

16 MR. RHODES: That was not something in our
17 minds that we were thinking about, but I think --
18 there's no reason we couldn't talk about -- about
19 that now.

20 MS. FERTIG: We've had a lot of conversation
21 today about what happens and I see it on your
22 list here, evaluate recruiting, selecting, hiring
23 and terminating employees, evaluate the quality
24 and integrity of information systems. So I think
25 we've had a lot of conversation today about what

1 happens when an employee is terminated. I'm
2 assuming that's going to be part of it from what
3 I'm reading here. Just the process and whether
4 that's being complied with or not.

5 MR. RHODES: And what I would do is attempt
6 to add that component into the termination
7 process. Because I think the non-renewal and
8 termination processes have some slight
9 differences in what they mean and how they're
10 handled.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, yeah, but we don't
12 know if that's spelled out anywhere or if it's,
13 oh, we're non-renewing you and we walk you out
14 the building the same day.

15 MR. RHODES: And if I'm hearing you
16 correctly, and I think I am, that should be
17 included in the overall scope of what we would
18 look at in an HR audit.

19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

20 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah. Definitely. Yeah.

21 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I think you have
22 all the feedback on this item. If we could just
23 have whatever you're revising, you know, in
24 advance of our regular meeting on June 20th that
25 would be great. Speaking of -- yes?

1 MR. DE MEO: One other thing. This
2 partially -- the risk assessment, I have to give
3 Ms. Strauss credit, she asked for ERM audit,
4 audit of all of our internal controls and I think
5 this was the best approach to assess the risk and
6 then look at those controls. Should there be any
7 mention of that in the report; do you think?

8 MR. RHODES: It is in --

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It is in --

10 MR. RHODES: -- Item A. I thought --

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I saw it on a page just
12 now.

13 MR. RHODES: There is an area in the risk
14 assessment documents that was specifically for
15 governance. But I think they fell short of
16 making a recommendation for an ERM. We've -- we
17 talked about it in our audit plan with regard to
18 the nonreporting things that are like recurring,
19 one of them being the enterprise risk management
20 component. But at the end of day, from a
21 governance standpoint, one of the things that we
22 wanted to do is to try to have the conversation
23 with the board to -- I think we've kind of
24 referred to it as more like an orientation to
25 identify from a governance standpoint the

1 top-down model as something that has to be put
2 together by the board and the superintendent so
3 that they would have that governance model,
4 whatever that would look like, because we
5 certainly wouldn't make that recommendation. But
6 we would bring it to their attention that that
7 was a part of what was identified in the risk
8 assessment.

9 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, we -- we had somebody that
10 was involved with ERM and we had some questions
11 and I think speaking -- I think this applies to
12 everyone here, that we got the impression that
13 that specific area was not functioning as a -- as
14 we would expect.

15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just risk management
16 specific to primarily insurance, not the
17 broader -- so when they got rid of the person
18 that brought in the whole ERM concept, and I
19 found where they had an unintended consequence,
20 so a lot of the safety and security policies,
21 some of which have not updated since '91 and
22 there may be one that hasn't been updated since
23 1974, when that person left the district and they
24 got rid of all of the related enterprise risk
25 positions, there was a position that was

1 responsible for updating -- evaluating and
2 updating policy. Well, now nobody is
3 responsible, which then explains how it is a
4 couple years later that we still have outdated
5 policy.

6 But I wanted clarity, this is in the audit
7 plan, school board discussion, SBBC Governance
8 Model, what is OT? Is that really obvious and
9 I'm not -- it's on page --

10 MR. RHODES: That's other, OT.

11 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: OT is other?

12 MR. RHODES: Yeah, that's just other area.

13 And so --

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And why is it red?

15 MR. RHODES: Just it was an available color.

16 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Got it. I'm
17 thinking high risk. Because if we don't get this
18 hammered out everything else will just be --
19 we'll just be like hamsters constantly spinning
20 on a wheel.

21 MR. DE MEO: Yeah, and I think we need to
22 audit the enterprise risk management function
23 once we understand what it is and it's been
24 established by the superintendent and the board.

25 MR. RHODES: May I?

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's right. And we don't
2 have one, which --

3 MR. DE MEO: I don't think we do.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, we don't.

5 MR. RHODES: No, when we had Aston Henry here
6 to answer questions I think he told us, and this
7 is just, I'm kind of paraphrasing, but I think
8 that ultimately the idea was that this idea had
9 been -- the can had been kicked down the road
10 since about 2016 with a couple of efforts in
11 between to try to revive it. But my
12 understanding is that currently there is no ERM
13 to audit.

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. The ERM person
15 wanted to be the interim superintendent and then
16 when that didn't happen left. And the whole
17 function, the whole idea went out the door with
18 him. They didn't pick up the ball and keep it
19 moving. And now with the new org chart I'm not
20 even sure where risk management lands because the
21 box for the deputy superintendent of finance and
22 operations is gone. So I'm not sure -- I forget
23 where they are putting risk management -- you
24 know what, I don't know where risk management is
25 going, maybe under finance.

1 MR. DE MEO: Didn't we just recommend to the
2 board that there be an ERM, functioning ERM? I
3 thought we did.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, we haven't gotten a
5 response.

6 MS. FERTIG: We did and Mr. Henry came to
7 speak to us and showed us a timeline of the
8 effort to do this, which, you're right, goes back
9 to like 2016.

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And since we made
11 that motion we've switched superintendents again
12 and now the board is in campaign mode, so it may
13 very well be August -- you know, fall before we
14 get real traction?

15 MS. FERTIG: I think we have a good road map
16 here that's going to help us move ahead and then
17 we can have, you know, the ongoing conversations
18 about where we go from here. And I hope -- we
19 don't want to lose sight of the fact that we're
20 getting that human relations audit and just -- I
21 just want to see some of these audits move ahead.
22 Because I think we've talked a lot about what we
23 need to audit. And even if we don't get every
24 single thing done in the next year, I would just
25 like to see some of these major ones move ahead

1 so we can see what we actually -- you know, if
2 what we're concerned about is real, which I think
3 it will be. And then we can make the
4 corrections.

5 So I don't know how everybody else feels, but
6 I think you've got a very ambitious plan here,
7 because it's in very tiny print and so --

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hint.

9 MS. FERTIG: And so I would just hope we can
10 make those changes to this chart before the 20th
11 and then prioritize some of these ones that we
12 consider really high risk and just get them done.

13 MR. RHODES: To respond to that, the board
14 has already given us direction regarding Safety
15 and Security as the highest level of
16 prioritization and then to prioritize those items
17 that fall into that downline or category. So if
18 there are any other areas that you guys in
19 particular would like for us to also consider
20 prioritizing within this, I mean I've heard today
21 IT. I understand that there are some concerns in
22 some of the construction areas and certainly I
23 have a lot more to learn on the deaf and hard of
24 hearing issue, but if I've missed anything in
25 what it is that I've recounted, let me know.

1 MS. FERTIG: I just think the human relations
2 topic has been discussed in different formats
3 today. And I'm glad you're moving ahead.
4 There's no way you can do Focus really until
5 second semester of next year because you've got
6 to give it time to roll out and see what goes
7 wrong and fix it. But I think you've got some
8 good things in here. I just want to hear that
9 you're moving ahead with some of the facilities
10 issues and the human relations issues and of
11 course every year the p-cards.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ma'am?

13 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And Mr. Rhodes, I would
14 like for you to be totally realistic about how
15 much you can get done in this time, especially
16 with all the turmoil that's in place right now.
17 Because we don't even know who's going to be over
18 who or what at this point.

19 MR. RHODES: Well, that does confound the
20 current plan because of the changes -- I mean,
21 literally, these changes happened after the risk
22 assessment was done and while the audit plan was
23 being put together. We have to keep that in mind
24 and I do anticipate that throughout the course of
25 the year there will be some changes made at the

1 request of the board and maybe through motions
2 made from this committee to the board. Because,
3 again, what we were looking at at the time that
4 we were putting this together looks a lot
5 different even as we speak today. And we're
6 talking about a month and a half later.

7 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yes.

8 MR. RHODES: So your point is well taken. I
9 think that we tried to be aggressively optimistic
10 with our plan.

11 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: And you guys have been
12 extremely accommodating, I mean, seriously. I
13 mean, extremely accommodating. I just don't want
14 us to overwhelm you to a point where it sets you
15 back. Because according to what Mary -- I agree
16 with Mary, as long as we're getting stuff done
17 and we're moving this can down the road and
18 putting a top on it, I think you're doing a great
19 job.

20 MR. RHODES: Well, thank you. But my -- my
21 thought on this is that you guys are being a
22 great help in helping us to identify where we can
23 add the detail which will help us to be able to
24 more completely and transparently implement and
25 execute the plan. The idea of adding more detail

1 is never a bad idea. One of the things that I
2 was even thinking about was the idea of finding a
3 way to incorporate by link so that the audit plan
4 stands on it own, but by link attach the risk
5 assessment document so that that's there and
6 readily available for everyone to see but not
7 being a confusing component as to whether it is
8 our audit plan. Our audit plan stands on its
9 own, but this information was very helpful in us
10 putting that together.

11 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Okay. Thank you.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, actually, to that
13 point, a footer on the audit plan would also be
14 helpful. Because like Carr, Riggs, Ingram has a
15 footer identifying that it's their document.
16 This sort of falls under the umbrella, and to Mr.
17 De Meo's and Ms. Strauss's point, the governance
18 and ERM, that's sort of like an umbrella that
19 we're trying to -- you know, it's raining down
20 all kinds of issues that we're trying to get
21 audited, but if we don't have a better umbrella,
22 we're always going to be getting wet and be doing
23 the same audits over and over. So we're sort of
24 working on things twofold, where we're trying to
25 build a better umbrella to protect ourselves

1 while doing all -- dealing with the fact that
2 we're currently wet and getting all the audits
3 that are in this audit plan. But purely from
4 what -- based on the comments you just made, one
5 of the things I would like to implement in this
6 district is footers. Coming from an accounting
7 background you had to identify what document each
8 page -- yes, it has a page number, but you never
9 know who's done it and what year they did
10 something. So, that, I think would help
11 distinguish this from the risk assessment
12 document.

13 And then, finally, because I know we're
14 trying to get out of here, teaching and learning,
15 since the board prioritized safety and security,
16 I believe the district's primary function is
17 teaching, education. And yet under teaching and
18 learning there -- there didn't seem, and this is
19 neither in the risk assessment or the audit plan,
20 it's more food for thought, is how do you audit
21 whether what the district is doing is going to
22 result in increased learning. So, for instance,
23 curriculum, how do you audit the fact that kids
24 might not be learning? The district has, I think
25 it's 54 percent of the kids read on grade level.

1 How do we monitor, audit whether everything that
2 is being done in the academics area, if they're
3 doing everything in compliance and following best
4 practices? Because it seems like we never audit
5 the very thing that is the main focus of the
6 district.

7 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Good point.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So for -- and, for
9 instance, there's a school improvement plan
10 policy that I do not believe has been updated
11 since 2010. So that would have an impact. It
12 sort of speaks to how important that policy must
13 be if it's been sitting there dormant, unchanged,
14 unlooked at, unreviewed since 2010. So it's just
15 things like that that I'll be thinking of.

16 So I confess that I was focused on fund
17 balance.

18 MS. FERTIG: You reminded me of something
19 else that we have discussed this year that we've
20 talked about trying to get a better handle on,
21 which is the whole issue of booster clubs. If
22 you're going to school-based issues, I think that
23 maybe follow up on some of the conversations
24 we've had on that.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We passed a motion on that

1 and, actually, before I forget for the fifth
2 time, probably yesterday -- today is Wednesday?
3 So yesterday the county commission voted to put
4 through to the ballot the OIG, bringing Broward
5 schools under the county OIG. So they -- the ILA
6 can be amended if necessary, but, basically, they
7 should be getting that to the supervisor of
8 elections, the deadline is June 10th, so it was
9 down to the wire. So that should be on the
10 ballot barring any unforeseen hiccups, which
11 would bring employees, regardless of whether the
12 employee is on PTA, SAC, SAF or not, it would
13 bring all employees, elected official, appointed
14 officials, all advisories that have delegated
15 decision making authority or serve in an advisory
16 capacity to one or more of the school board
17 members or the superintendent. So that was
18 yesterday at the county commission. So that's
19 moving along. I just wanted to add that.

20 The only other thing we have today is just to
21 review agenda planning, which is why we needed to
22 have today, because there's a lot -- where are
23 you, agenda planning?

24 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: That's number 8; right?

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, it's the last thing.

1 I mean, there's not much to say. I think, Mr.
2 Rhodes, did you tell me that -- Healthy Vending
3 is off but Discipline will be there?

4 MR. RHODES: It's looking like we may have a
5 problem with discipline as well from simply a
6 bandwidth perspective. I'll get some additional
7 information and share that with you. I don't
8 want to not complete that thought, but I did have
9 one other thing that I wanted to say. I was
10 about to raise my hand before that. Is it okay
11 if I ask --

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

13 MR. RHODES: Or not really ask, I wanted just
14 to let you know that at the board workshop we
15 were -- I was advised to look at the list of
16 exclusions in the ILA on page 14 of 15 for what
17 the OIG would not be looking at in their scope.
18 And I am currently researching, reviewing and
19 trying to provide a comprehensive response to
20 that question. And it goes back to what Ms.
21 Fertig said a minute ago about booster club
22 conversations that we've had in here because I
23 think there are a lot of like and similar
24 organizations out there that we'll have more
25 information about it after I've completely

1 researched to provide that answer to the board
2 members, so I just wanted to bring that part up
3 as well.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, the county IG's office
5 provided an explanation for the exclusions that I
6 think the whole committee needs to have, what I
7 forwarded you --

8 MR. RHODES: You want me to send that --
9 forward that email to the entire committee?

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, to the group.

11 MR. RHODES: Okay.

12 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because the exclusions were
13 a concern, because, essentially, there was
14 language being proposed by the district to
15 exclude booster clubs and I was like, but, why?
16 So -- and, like I said, it would not exclude --
17 if you're an employee you are covered -- you
18 would be under the authority of the county OIG
19 regardless of what your role is because your
20 first role is as an employee. So if you're the
21 booster club advisor, because all clubs have
22 advisors, so they would all be under the
23 jurisdiction. SAC has decision making authority
24 as they are responsible for allocating school
25 recognition funds, school accountability funds,

1 they administer the school improvement plan. And
2 what the county IG was saying is, if it's a
3 support organization like PTO or a PTA but
4 somehow they got the impression that SAC is also
5 a support organization. I'm not quite sure where
6 they got that impression. And part of the
7 problem is that we didn't see any of this
8 proposed language until it went to the board on
9 the 29th.

10 Mr. Naylor?

11 MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, did you ever get follow up
12 on whether school accountability dollars are
13 being given to the schools?

14 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. Not yet.

15 MR. NAYLOR: I've spoken to several
16 principals who indicate that they said that they
17 were told they were going to be getting those
18 moneys. It was actually on agendas for SAC
19 meetings at the beginning of the year but then
20 was taken off in subsequent meetings. But we
21 have not heard from -- I think we asked Ms. Marte
22 what the status was.

23 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, the school
24 recognition funds the law is clear, but the
25 school accountability funds it was a little less

1 clear. And I did some digging myself and I
2 couldn't come up with a clear-cut answer. But we
3 need an answer from staff as to whether schools
4 are still getting the school accountability
5 funds. School recognition is clear, but not the
6 accountability funds that used to be based on 10
7 or \$5 per student.

8 MR. NAYLOR: \$5.

9 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: \$5 per student per FTE?

10 MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, per weighted FTE.

11 MS. ARCESE: I would have to go back to the
12 minutes. I thought Mrs. Marte did bring us
13 something back. I'll have to go back to the
14 minutes and see if it's in there.

15 MR. NAYLOR: She said definitely, no, but
16 then we were going out and doing our own
17 research. I went back and reviewed some of the
18 agendas for the SAC meetings that I go to and it
19 was on the agendas at the beginning of the year,
20 but I don't know if there was subsequent guidance
21 after that.

22 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, when you say no to
23 something around here, if you don't have the
24 attached receipt it doesn't necessarily mean that
25 it's no to me. I think you have an accounting

1 background, we kind of like supporting documents.

2 MS. ARCESE: We can follow up.

3 MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. So if we could get
5 that for the last meeting, that way it's not
6 hanging out there, the school accountability
7 funds issue.

8 MS. FERTIG: So what is on the agenda for the
9 20th?

10 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For the 20th we have the
11 follow-up on proposed audit plan, any final
12 thoughts. Internal funds, that should be the
13 last of -- you have to get to 90 percent? Yes,
14 so that. RSM Roofing, which is where we would
15 expect to hear about the replacement for ISS,
16 because that's the building department,
17 permitting and inspection software that I can't
18 even -- no to Healthy Vending, maybe to
19 Discipline, Property & Inventory, and then Policy
20 3202, Disposal of Surplus and/or Obsolete
21 Property Discussion, B Stock. Is there anything
22 on that? Because that's a holdover from earlier
23 this year.

24 MS. FERTIG: A long time ago.

25 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

1 MR. RHODES: Please remind me? I'm -- I'm
2 not sure what the follow-up is on that.

3 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's been an agenda item
4 that we've just been bumping forward, but they
5 were supposed to come back because B Stock has --
6 if you can't sell the stuff you're stuck with the
7 stuff and we were looking to get rid of things.
8 Because you have schools that are housing --
9 using portables to house garbage and we're trying
10 to get rid of portables. Their argument is, oh,
11 but I need it for storage. But it's all trash.
12 So why don't you just take -- get it to B Stock?
13 Oh, well, B Stock is full. Why can't we get
14 stuff out of B Stock? Well, because we can't get
15 rid of it. So we need to get the stuff out of B
16 Stock so people can stop hoarding in portables
17 and everywhere else. Or just take it from the
18 portables.

19 MS. FERTIG: It was on the agenda many months
20 ago.

21 MR. RHODES: That's what I'm asking. I'm
22 thinking I missed the beginning of the story. I
23 got into the middle of the story and didn't have
24 enough context. So I'll work toward getting some
25 more context on that, as well.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It ties back to the policy.
2 But the larger discussion started probably last
3 year or the year before about reducing
4 administrative space and we never got a follow-up
5 on that. But it always ties in because there's a
6 lot of space being used to house trash. And the
7 state allows you to dispose of things that nobody
8 wants to buy. But I don't know that we're doing
9 that. If I go to B Stock I might very well bump
10 into the same pieces of equipment that were there
11 when I went there over COVID with Nora Rupert.
12 And I hope that's not the case, but there were
13 things from the '50s, practically, sitting there.

14 MS. FERTIG: I think you might still find the
15 same stuff.

16 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I hope not because there's
17 no use for it. So anyway -- all right. Any
18 other comments? Or I need a motion.

19 MS. FERTIG: Move to adjourn.

20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Fertig. Anyone
21 else want to get in on it.

22 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'll second it, but, as
23 you all know, we don't have to do that; right?

24 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, did we lose quorum?
25 Yeah, no, I know.

1 All right. All right. All in favor of
2 adjourning?

3 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

4 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you guys.
5 Thanks for the cheesecake.

6 MR. RHODES: Happy Birthday.

7 MR. MAYERSOHN: Happy Birthday, Nathalie.

8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, thank you.

9 (Meeting was concluded at 12:04 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF BROWARD

I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.

Dated this 17th day of June, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.



TIMOTHY R. BASS
Court Reporter

A				
A.M 1:15	addresses 32:11 36:24	allow 43:21 66:4	Arcese 2:8 48:6,7,10 48:23 49:2,9 50:18 54:18,23 55:7,14,19 55:23 56:5 89:18,25 90:4 108:11 109:2	assign 50:11
ability 43:4 66:19 70:4 85:22	adjacent 80:22	allowed 36:5 90:18		assigned 7:21,25 8:21 9:1,3 10:12 14:5,25 15:9 18:20 28:1,10 50:6,6,14 52:22 87:9
able 32:2 41:8,19 43:13 49:18 63:6 65:19 72:13 77:1,4 86:23 100:23	adjourn 111:19	allowing 22:5	archaic 71:15	assigned/unassigned 9:7,22 10:16 11:10 12:2 18:11,14 26:24 30:6 32:20
absolutely 35:25 90:8	adjourning 112:2	allows 111:7	area 44:2 50:5,6,9 51:7,9,21 52:5,17 53:23 54:4,5,8,10 54:13,25 65:16,20 67:7 70:8 74:12 80:22 88:19 93:13 94:13 95:12 103:2	assigning 51:2
academics 103:2	administer 22:4 107:1	ambitious 98:6	areas 40:19,20 41:1 41:10,21 42:9,24 43:8,10,23 44:13,17 44:18 46:2,11 50:15 56:10,24 57:24 58:3 58:10,15 60:3 65:23 68:7,16,21 69:4,7 69:14,19 76:5 77:20 79:17 86:6 87:19 88:7 98:18,22	assist 31:17 49:19
accept 13:6	administering 20:9	amended 104:6	argument 110:10	assistance 86:5 88:9 88:14
access 67:10,24,25 68:11,11 70:1,5 72:2,8,10,11 77:1,4 77:11	ADMINISTRATI... 1:12	amendment 39:17	Ariba 69:10	assistant 2:9 53:16
accommodating 100:12,13	administrative 111:4	amount 6:17 63:12	art 44:8	associate 2:13 53:15
accomplish 85:13	adopt 33:9	analysis 60:19 74:20 75:25	aside 13:16 15:3,9	assume 68:13 69:25
account 68:3,10	adopted 20:10	and/or 109:20	asked 29:23 30:20 45:14 74:25 81:11 84:4 88:8 93:3 107:21	assuming 92:2
accountability 106:25 107:12,25 108:4,6 109:6	adopts 32:14	Andrew 2:4 3:22	asking 30:20 31:6 33:3 52:8 55:24 66:3 76:25 81:2 110:21	Aston 96:5
Accountant 2:14	advance 92:24	Andrews 1:24	asks 81:15	atmosphere 67:5
accounting 2:14 23:14 61:24 102:6 108:25	advised 105:15	anecdotal 91:9	assess 64:6 93:5	attach 101:4
Accounts 2:9	advisor 106:21	Ann 84:2	assessed 42:9	attached 19:20 108:24
ACFR 88:12	advisories 104:14	annual 12:12	assessment 23:25 24:10 40:1,3,6,15 42:14,20 43:20 46:3 46:7,13 47:16 49:12 55:21 56:1,10,19,21 56:23 57:8,10,15 59:7,8,20,22 61:4 62:11,23 63:12 65:2 70:12 86:2 87:14 93:2,14 94:8 99:22 101:5 102:11,19	attempt 92:5
achieve 15:23	advisors 106:22	answer 52:7 96:6 106:1 108:2,3	assessments 59:10	attempts 6:22
acronym 52:5	advisory 104:15	Anthony 2:2 3:18		ATTENDANCE 2:1
acronyms 44:7	affirmatively 42:23	anticipate 99:24		attention 40:20 86:10 94:6
act 19:17	afternoon 48:7	anybody 70:2,2		audit 1:5 2:8 3:5 17:24 19:9,14 25:16 26:17 28:18 29:2,12 29:15 39:22,24 41:1 41:11,15 42:16,21 42:25 43:5,7,13,18 44:13 46:2,10,12,17 47:4,8 48:1,2,15,16 50:5,6 51:6 52:5,17 52:18 53:3 54:4,5,8 54:10,20,24 55:7,8 56:16 57:2 63:1,14 64:16,24 65:1,1,18 66:23 67:24 68:16 68:22 69:3 70:12,14 73:7 74:13,25 75:16 77:20 78:10 79:7,14 80:1 81:2 83:9,25 87:14,18 88:3 89:3 89:5,21 90:10 91:13 92:18 93:3,4,17 95:6,22 96:13 97:20 97:23 99:22 101:3,8
Acting 2:9	AG 4:17,18 5:16 6:3	anymore 72:14		
Activity 58:25 60:16 64:4	agenda 4:16 5:7 19:21 29:13 47:10 104:21,23 109:8 110:3,19	anytime 23:13		
actual 24:22 52:17 72:1	Agenda's 5:12	anyway 15:2,8 20:16 31:17 81:9 111:17		
ad 27:9	agendas 107:18 108:18,19	apologies 71:11		
add 63:9 75:22 84:8 84:24 89:18 92:6 100:23 104:19	aggressively 100:9	apologize 71:10		
added 6:10 52:7 73:11	ago 13:16 15:5 17:25 66:19 71:7 83:17,23 105:21 109:24 110:20	apparently 16:25		
adding 28:23,24 80:16 100:25	agree 22:16 23:10,25 24:9 32:19 62:1,8 64:12,20 73:3 100:15	appear 36:22		
addition 49:13	agreements 24:15	appears 45:5		
additional 12:18 27:15 30:14 34:7 41:6 105:6	ahead 39:7 97:16,21 97:25 99:3,9	appendix 40:18 41:5 46:15		
address 36:23	Ali 2:8 47:20 73:23 89:15	application 67:14,19 67:24 69:15 78:12		
addressed 23:7	alignment 19:11 60:17 74:15,16	applications 67:11 69:1 73:13		
	Allegiance 3:7,8	applies 91:3 94:11		
	ALLEN-HARDA... 2:11	AppliTrack 69:14 78:25		
	allocating 106:24	applying 77:24,25		
		appointed 104:13		
		appreciate 41:23		
		approach 60:23 93:5		
		approval 4:15 11:21		
		approve 4:19,24 24:18 72:10		
		approved 5:13 22:11 24:19 74:14		
		approving 5:7 24:21		
		approximately 58:6		
		April 13:1 22:9 31:9		

101:8,13 102:3,19 102:20,23 103:1,4 109:11 audited 52:18 68:7 79:25 80:5 101:21 auditing 44:18 50:16 50:16,17 51:14 52:23 54:25 55:16 75:15 79:3 auditor 2:7,8,17,18 49:10,14 50:5 63:5 85:19 87:9 88:15 auditor's 39:24 86:17 86:23 88:11 audits 2:9 44:10 49:12,15,20,20,25 51:17 52:13 53:6,6 60:13,13 66:10 67:9 73:22 74:4 87:20,21 88:11 97:21 101:23 102:2 August 6:16 97:13 authority 104:15 106:18,23 authorized 113:6 available 39:18 46:20 95:15 101:6 Avenue 1:13,24 aware 18:25 80:15 91:6 Aye 5:9,25 38:11 112:3	background 45:7 59:5 61:25 63:25 85:15 102:7 109:1 backstory 53:20 backup 26:16,21 bad 42:7 101:1 badly 80:4 balance 6:7,18 7:8,17 7:18 10:13 11:6,22 12:9 13:2,8,24 14:2 15:17 16:20 17:16 17:20,22 18:1,11,15 21:13 22:6,12,17,18 29:14 34:19 36:10 36:12 38:18 47:7 53:18 103:17 balances 20:11,12,22 21:11 38:1 ball 96:18 ballot 104:4,10 bandwidth 105:6 barring 104:10 base 60:25 based 44:11 49:6 64:9 67:22 68:18 86:16,17 89:7 102:4 108:6 basically 75:7 80:6 84:19 87:19 90:25 104:6 basis 20:19 65:12 Bass 1:23,23 2:16 113:4,14 Bay 32:5 BCPS 26:25 36:11 39:25 Beach 6:9 BECON 2:17 began 45:6 79:9 beginning 90:12 107:19 108:19 110:22 Behavioral 47:15 49:11,21 believe 6:9,12 21:1,25 25:2 26:15 42:22 58:7,11 60:10 71:25 83:16 102:16 103:10 belong 62:10 benefit 21:22	best 63:18,21,23,24 73:3 91:9 93:5 103:3 better 57:12 63:19 64:13 73:25 75:4 82:10 85:12 101:21 101:25 103:20 beyond 57:9 bid 78:5 big 42:2 bigger 66:3 90:7 biggest 69:1 birthday 6:6 112:6,7 bit 11:1 43:15 47:21 73:16 76:11 86:22 88:18 blah-blah 37:25 blah-blah-blah-blah 7:10 blanche 72:2 block 86:2 blue 17:3,6,8 26:25 board 1:3,12 8:19 9:13 11:21 12:3,20 13:16 14:3,23 16:4 19:5 20:10,12,20 21:9,24 22:11 24:13 24:16,19 26:3,20 31:14,15,22 32:1,14 33:14 36:2,9 38:2 42:25 43:19,25 44:2 44:22,25 47:1 50:4 51:13 56:2 58:17 62:21,25 74:14 75:7 75:11 77:21 80:13 81:2,11,14,18,25 82:19 85:18 89:7 93:23 94:2 95:7,24 97:2,12 98:13 100:1 100:2 102:15 104:16 105:14 106:1 107:8 board's 14:14,18 16:10 boo 71:22 booster 103:21 105:21 106:15,21 box 60:15 96:21 boy 73:17 breaks 75:24 bridge 62:12 85:21	brief 40:5 briefly 36:19 bring 17:24 18:3 31:13 48:12 63:13 66:5 71:4 81:10,24 86:4,10 94:6 104:11 104:13 106:2 108:12 bringing 71:21 104:4 broad 58:25,25 60:16 64:4 Broadcast 2:17 broader 94:17 broke 80:11 broken 64:3 87:19 BROLINE 2:17 brought 79:15 86:7 94:18 Broward 1:3 21:23 21:24 30:6 104:4 113:3,11 BRYAN 2:10 BTA 52:16 bubble 91:14 budget 11:17 12:12 20:9 22:4,11 26:15 26:20 29:20,22 30:2 30:8,9,16 45:12 68:16 80:3 build 101:25 building 71:14 79:18 92:14 109:16 built 71:16 bullet 9:18 bump 111:9 bumping 110:4 bus 63:21 buy 111:8	capital 22:21,23 36:21,24 37:2,14 51:19 72:9 capture 51:8 52:9 captured 52:4 58:11 cards 77:9 care 13:20 14:17 16:2 54:11 87:9 Carr 39:25 41:7 46:8 59:7 101:14 carried 64:25 carte 72:2 Carter-Lynch 2:2 3:14,15 22:24 23:1 23:5,16,17,21,24 32:6,17,23 33:1,15 35:8,19,21,25 36:13 36:16,18 37:16 38:3 47:22,25 61:8,14 62:9 92:20 99:13 100:7,11 101:11 103:7 104:24 111:22 Cartwright 80:2 case 53:11 111:12 cases 52:25 58:9 75:18 catch 82:17 categories 8:9 40:16 40:24 41:9 46:16 59:23,25 categorize 59:21 64:5 categorizing 47:18 category 44:15 98:17 cause 25:1,10,12 causes 71:13 CCC 79:22 80:20 cell 52:9,13 62:3 CENTER 1:12 certain 29:5 60:3 69:7,10 certainly 26:12 57:22 66:11,11 71:1 88:14 94:5 98:22 CERTIFICATE 113:1 certify 113:6 cetera 83:22,22 CFO 8:2 20:19 Chair 22:24 28:3 47:22 56:6 62:7
<hr/> B <hr/>				
B 2:11 109:21 110:5 110:12,13,14,15 111:9 back 4:9,12 18:17 21:16 24:2 31:25 39:10 41:11 45:6 46:16,23 48:12,14 51:7 55:19 56:1 57:8 60:11 63:13 65:13 71:6 72:24 75:3 78:15 79:10 80:12 83:2,2,2 86:3 89:17 97:8 100:15 105:20 108:11,13 108:13,17 110:5 111:1 backed 24:17				
			<hr/> C <hr/>	
			C 2:10,11 cable 3:13 calculation 8:25 calendar 7:5 call 3:4,11,12 4:10 6:6 77:22 called 48:19,20,21 50:25 54:10 60:16 campaign 97:12 capacity 90:15 104:16	

64:4 82:23 84:13 chance 14:8 64:7 84:24 change 33:7 41:14 50:18 51:4 68:4,5 68:10 69:5,25 changed 41:13,17 changes 6:8 13:7 29:18 40:5 46:14 68:2,3,10 74:5 98:10 99:20,21,25 changing 53:10 channels 81:9 chart 29:16 48:15 49:8 50:9 53:9,12 96:19 98:10 charter 45:10,13 53:22 charts 72:25 check 26:18 72:4 checkmarks 42:11 checks 77:15 cheesecake 112:5 chief 2:7,8 39:24 53:12,13,15 63:5 choose 85:4 chronicled 81:5 circumvented 76:5 clarification 22:16 47:19 clarified 20:1 clarify 23:2 44:21 49:6 clarity 16:6 37:16,17 46:1 95:6 classified 7:8 11:6,21 12:14 classrooms 79:24 clean 19:17 clear 12:10 13:4 16:13 17:21 19:5 20:18 29:6 32:12,25 33:2 38:2 53:5 57:10 60:23 65:7 80:5 87:5 89:8 107:24 108:1,5 clear-cut 108:2 clearly 51:20 53:23 clears 18:19 Clerk 2:10,11,11 climb 27:3,5	close 30:22 closed 31:7 80:22 club 105:21 106:21 clubs 103:21 106:15 106:21 coding 42:7 48:13 73:17 collection 45:17 color 42:6 48:13 73:17 95:15 column 40:25 46:10 46:20 50:5,12,12,22 51:5,6,20 52:6,23 52:24 53:1 54:10 55:4,10 57:2 87:8 columns 28:24 combination 64:24 combined 11:10 40:23 83:10 come 73:10 78:12 108:2 110:5 comes 24:16 coming 73:12,22 86:3 102:6 comment 17:1 54:1 61:8,9 74:8 commentary 35:9 comments 4:17 5:2,3 5:5,14 6:20 16:16 23:15 31:19 40:11 40:14 44:4 61:6 65:5 102:4 111:18 commingle 37:1 commission 104:3,18 committed 6:17 7:9 8:10,24 9:3 11:7 12:15 13:8 14:2,4 15:1,4 17:16,20 18:22 28:10 committee 1:5 2:1 3:5 5:9,25 17:24 19:9 19:14 25:16 26:18 28:18 29:2,13,15,24 30:4,15 38:11 42:25 43:22,23 45:3 63:1 63:15,20 73:7 83:25 89:19,25 90:2,5 100:2 106:6,9 112:3 committee's 17:25 common 90:16 communicated 88:15	comp 13:10,21 14:17 16:3 companies 59:11 compared 16:25 comparing 29:17 complete 105:8 113:8 completely 52:21 100:24 105:25 compliance 10:5 19:1 23:11,13 78:5 79:22 80:19 103:3 complied 92:4 comply 75:16 79:18 79:20 complying 75:17 component 48:24 49:23 62:19 66:22 78:13 92:6 93:20 101:7 components 62:18 83:4 comprehensive 20:2 105:19 concept 94:18 concern 33:5 45:15 67:6 68:15 70:10 74:12 80:8 86:7 106:13 concerned 44:22 57:13 66:14,17 80:7 98:2 concerns 22:15 31:20 32:12 61:20 98:21 concerted 35:4 concluded 112:9 concrete 72:7 condensed 58:21 conducive 43:10 44:14 confess 103:16 confidence 73:24 confound 99:19 confusing 50:24 101:7 conscious 34:19,21 consensus 35:15 consequence 94:19 consider 21:1 44:19 98:12,19 consideration 44:1 considered 55:8	68:17 69:20 consistency 56:3 consistent 8:7,14,22 11:2 14:11 21:19 22:1 32:15 consists 13:8 consolidate 65:24 constantly 95:19 constraints 68:16 constructed 7:22 construction 98:22 consultant 72:15 consultants 65:6,6,25 contact 78:12 contain 44:18 contents 40:12,22,25 context 110:24,25 contingencies 7:19,25 9:14 10:1 13:20 14:21 contingency 7:13 8:19 9:5 10:6 11:17 11:20 12:18 28:6 34:7 continue 22:6 continuing 83:21 contract 25:22 contracts 27:18 control 77:15 89:11 controls 43:8,9 60:19 66:17 67:2,4 74:13 74:20,25 75:20 76:1 76:2,19 77:8,21 78:1,23 89:14 90:8 91:13 93:4,6 conversation 45:5 79:8 91:20,25 93:22 conversations 73:1 97:17 103:23 105:22 coordinate 73:15 coordinated 56:23 coordinating 87:6 corner 24:18 correct 9:12 10:2 18:21 35:20 56:11 84:1,1,5,11 corrections 98:4 correctly 92:16 correlate 46:3 50:8 51:7	correlates 48:14 70:13 87:12,13 correspond 54:14 counties 22:1 county 1:3 6:10 11:13 12:23 21:23,24 22:15 33:10 35:24 36:10 104:3,5,18 106:4,18 107:2 113:3,11 couple 6:15 17:25 62:18 95:4 96:10 course 60:12 99:11 99:24 Court 1:22 2:16 113:4,14 cover 76:25 covered 106:17 covering 75:13 COVID 35:2 111:11 CR&I 60:24 cradle 75:11 77:23 create 77:15 created 78:21 creating 23:10 42:21 credit 93:3 CRI 2:17,18 46:4 56:10 57:15 criminal 90:16 criteria 67:23 critical 68:6 69:6 78:18 cross 49:20 cross-reference 61:3 crosswalks 87:13 cull 64:22 87:4 current 6:14 20:18 28:23 31:12 33:15 45:21 58:1 99:20 currently 6:17 13:7 45:1 52:14 65:15 68:22 96:12 102:2 105:18 curriculum 102:23 cutoff 58:2 cyber 66:15 cyclical 65:11
D				
D-Day 3:4 Dahl 3:16				

daily 88:14,15	delegated 104:14	distributed 48:17	29:19,25 30:5,12,17	83:11 102:17
data 67:18,19,20 68:10,10	department 24:5,6,7 71:15 84:4 109:16	district 2:12 19:15,16 21:23 32:22 33:9,9 35:17 55:16 67:12 67:12,15 74:5 79:19 89:11 90:4,11 91:3 94:23 102:6,21,24 103:6 106:14	30:25 31:5,11 32:3 32:9,18,24 33:8,13 33:17,25 34:23 35:7 35:23 36:1,8,17,23 37:9,13,17,22,25 38:4,7,10,12,14,17 38:21 39:4,7,12 40:7,10 41:22 42:4 42:18 44:21 45:8,25 47:2,24 48:2,9,18 48:25 49:5 50:1,20 51:11 52:2,20 54:3 54:9,22 55:3,9,18 55:20 56:3,7 58:24 59:3,16 60:7,21 61:6,13,23 63:22 70:11,19 71:8,13,20 72:20 74:8 76:21 78:4,9 79:1,13 81:1 81:13,17,20 82:1,15 82:22,24 83:12,15 83:24 84:2,14,17,19 84:22 85:6,24 87:13 89:8,11,23 90:2,6 91:12 92:11,19,21 93:9,11 94:15 95:11 95:14,16 96:1,4,14 97:4,10 98:8 99:12 101:12 103:8,25 104:25 105:12 106:4,10,12 107:14 107:23 108:9,22 109:4,10,25 110:3 111:1,16,20,24 112:4,8	effective 53:14 76:4 effectiveness 74:21 efficiency 74:21 effort 34:19 35:4 64:1 97:8 efforts 34:22 35:17 96:10 either 19:7 31:9 34:9 43:8 59:7 65:24 86:13 elected 104:13 elections 104:8 Elementary 79:16 else's 46:20 email 45:19 106:9 emergencies 11:19 employee 66:18 67:19 68:2 71:16 89:12 92:1 104:12 106:17,20 employees 74:23 75:11 77:1 90:11,23 91:15,23 104:11,13 ended 28:13 ensure 67:2 77:7,14 ensuring 77:1 enterprise 93:19 94:24 95:22 entire 45:16 106:9 equal 8:19 9:14 equality 76:23 equipment 111:10 ERHARD 2:10 ERM 93:3,16 94:10 94:18 96:12,14 97:2 97:2 101:18 ERUM 2:13 escorted 91:2 ESE 83:16 84:4 especially 25:9 72:14 99:15 essentially 106:13 established 6:18 19:14 95:24 establishing 6:16 estimated 13:9 20:21 et 83:22,22 evaluate 74:20,22 76:23 91:22,23 evaluating 75:10 95:1
De 2:2 3:18,19 6:20 6:21 7:7,12 8:15 9:5 9:8,10,13,24 10:4 10:18 11:13 12:7 13:18 14:13 15:10 15:19 17:6,10,18,21 18:2,10,19 19:6,22 19:24 22:15 28:3,5 28:8 32:11 36:19 37:2,7,11,21,23 40:11 56:6,8,14,20 57:3,12 58:13,20 59:2,5,19 60:14,22 61:9 62:1,7,10 63:17,23 65:4 66:7 66:10,13 67:6 68:13 69:18 70:16,18 73:3 76:25 84:13,15,18 84:21 85:1,9 86:19 87:16 93:1 94:9 95:21 96:3 97:1 101:17	design 76:4 designates 16:4 designations 7:14 15:14 designed 76:3 77:6 detail 41:21 57:9 63:4 63:14 100:23,25 determine 43:7 development 12:12 difference 50:2 differences 92:9 different 10:21 11:15 13:22 29:4 34:1 41:10 44:17 46:11 49:24 51:10 77:22 85:21 87:19 88:12 99:2 100:5 digging 108:1 dip 22:6,6 25:10,12 25:17 28:15 dipping 22:13 dips 25:1 direction 98:14 directly 46:13 59:14 Director 2:8,9 discipline 47:17 48:21,24 52:15,16 55:5 105:3,5 109:19 discuss 13:5 14:8 39:14 47:9 82:1 discussed 86:14 99:2 103:19 discussing 90:1 discussion 5:22 36:18 38:8 47:12 58:20 95:7 109:21 111:2 discussions 58:18 dispensed 38:18 41:4 Disposal 109:20 dispose 111:7 disregard 80:17 distinction 15:25 distinguish 102:11	district's 70:14 102:16 District-Wide 39:25 districts 10:25 14:11 29:17 dive 22:7 63:24 divided 62:4 division 49:10,15,25 55:15 divisions 51:9,10 document 41:19 45:9 86:24 101:5,15 102:7,12 documentation 81:7 81:8 documents 6:15 41:8 54:15 56:4 73:16 93:14 109:1 doing 10:13 20:1 23:13 34:12 41:23 53:18 54:13 65:11 69:4,16 70:3 73:12 100:18 101:22 102:1,21 103:3 108:16 111:8 dollar 20:22 dollars 34:24 35:2 107:12 door 96:17 dormant 103:13 downtime 98:17 DR 2:3 3:3,9,12 4:14 4:22,25 5:4,6,10,12 5:18,20,24 6:1,3 7:6 7:11 8:5 9:24 11:16 12:4,8 16:15,23 17:8,19 18:8,21 19:4,8,23,25 20:17 21:5,10,14,17 22:22 22:25 23:3,6,20,22 24:11 25:3,11,15,25 26:3,19 27:19,23,25 28:4,12 29:4,7,10	draft 38:24 dropped 34:21 due 25:21 27:7,10,12 27:14 46:15 <hr/> E <hr/> e-Builder 70:25 71:9 71:11,24 72:2,4,8 72:19 earlier 109:22 early 41:19 easy 65:22 70:1 Edition 3:4 EdSpecs 80:18 education 76:17	
deal 64:1 dealing 102:1 decision 15:5 104:15 106:23 decline 13:2 27:16 decrease 25:20 deep 65:5 deepest 63:24 deferring 65:9 66:7 68:15 define 6:23 definitely 45:22 92:20 108:15 definition 47:13 definitions 70:22 delay 3:6				

evaluation 75:20		fighting 3:13	following 3:1 55:22	29:13,15 34:8,19
event 80:21		figure 79:4	68:23 103:3	36:10,11,21 37:2,3
Evergreen 83:3 84:6		final 81:25 109:11	follows 55:21	38:18 39:17 47:7
everybody 28:22		finalized 64:11 87:22	fonts 42:2,4	53:18 103:16
61:13,14 81:3 91:4		finally 102:13	food 102:20	Fund's 11:5
98:5		finance 2:13 96:21,25	footer 101:13,15	funds 6:13 15:20
everybody's 26:5		financial 2:14 20:16	footers 102:6	17:11 18:13 27:12
everyone's 53:11		20:20 21:8,12,22	Force 71:23 83:17	27:15 28:6 44:16
evidence 86:16		31:14 49:20 53:15	foregoing 113:7	47:11 51:16 106:25
exactly 8:8 13:18		67:16	foremost 76:10	106:25 107:24,25
35:10 62:12		find 12:23 13:25 24:8	forget 14:16,17 66:15	108:5,6 109:7,12
example 44:6 47:15		25:18 41:20 46:23	96:22 104:1	further 5:22 36:18
52:9 57:14 60:15		65:22 76:6 77:13,16	forgot 6:5	38:7 43:25 62:4
63:7 65:16 71:2		81:21 86:12 87:25	form 52:5	64:5 88:18
72:7 76:8 77:9,13		90:20 111:14	format 73:25	future 63:18 69:8
78:14 79:16 80:22		finding 101:2	formats 99:2	
88:1		findings 46:3	former 71:16,24	G
examples 10:19		fine 16:11 64:5	Fort 1:13,24 113:10	gain 70:5
exceptional 83:10		finish 23:1,5 65:4	forth 24:2 44:6	gap 64:18
exclude 106:15,16		first 7:2 22:14 30:8	forthcoming 66:23	gaps 81:23
excluded 56:25 69:20		32:8 43:22 63:18	forward 86:4 106:9	garbage 110:9
69:21 85:3		76:9 106:20	110:4	GASB 6:12,12 8:12
excludes 57:16		fiscal 11:19 30:24	forwarded 6:8 106:7	8:14 16:1
exclusions 105:16		39:23 57:21 87:4	found 74:14 94:19	gate 76:20,20
106:5,12		five 5:1 6:23 7:17 8:4	four 17:4 25:21 27:17	geezer 85:19
execute 100:25		8:9,16,17,19 9:14	87:19	general 6:13 7:8,16
Exhibit 41:4		9:22 10:17,20 11:4	fraud 43:10,11 80:7	7:18 11:1,5,16,18
expand 85:2		12:2,16,24 13:13,19	freak 41:24	12:14 13:10 18:12
expanding 49:17		13:21,22 14:15,20	free 43:3	21:25 22:20,22
expansive 85:16		14:24 15:11,23 16:7	front 11:3 21:15	29:13,15 37:3 39:17
expect 94:14 109:15		16:21 18:23 19:1	FTE 108:9,10	53:18
expected 63:16		23:11,20 32:19 33:6	full 30:24 76:15	general's 88:15
expecting 50:8		33:22 34:5 35:18	110:13	getting 9:25 14:15
expedite 42:20		67:20	fully 58:12	33:1 51:24 60:5
expenditures 20:23		five-year 25:7 30:5	function 49:7,8 87:24	68:22 73:2,21 74:3
experience 59:9		34:16	95:22 96:17 102:16	80:5 83:7,19 84:5
64:15 73:7		fix 99:7	functional 44:13 50:8	84:12 87:3 97:20
expertise 49:16 66:6		FL 1:24	51:21	100:16 101:22
explain 25:17 27:4		Florida 1:13 6:12 8:7	functionality 69:13	102:2 104:7 107:17
34:10 57:18,19 61:1		21:23 113:2,5,11	functioning 94:13	108:4 110:24
explaining 12:21		focus 68:22,24 73:12	97:2	GFOA 8:12
explains 95:3		87:3 99:4 103:5	functions 49:17 88:2	gig 72:15
explanation 35:1		focused 82:5 103:16	88:3	give 40:4,7 43:4 59:5
63:25 106:5		folks 76:8	fund 6:7 7:8,16,18	68:24 87:25 93:2
explanations 29:17		follow 39:19 46:4	10:13 11:6,16,17,18	99:6
extending 49:12		59:4 65:21 69:8	11:20,22 12:9,14,18	given 58:1 72:1 82:11
external 2:17,18 53:1		76:18 103:23	13:2,24 14:2 15:16	98:14 107:13
58:2		107:11 109:2	16:20 17:16,21 18:1	glad 73:11 99:3
externally 51:13		follow-up 18:5 29:1	18:11,15 20:11,22	go 4:9 5:21 12:5
extra 87:8		29:14 69:5 109:11	21:11,13,25 22:6,12	18:17 21:16 24:2,8
extremely 100:12,13		110:2 111:4	22:18,21,21,22	26:4,14 30:8 31:22
	F			
	facilities 45:15 51:19			
	53:6,13 54:6 71:22			
	79:20 99:9			
	fact 22:3 24:14,16			
	45:2 77:5 97:19			
	102:1,23			
	Factors 69:15 78:4			
	78:24			
	facts 86:16			
	failed 85:13			
	fairy 18:23			
	fall 7:10 12:24 33:6			
	33:24 49:25 58:14			
	63:5 86:12 97:13			
	98:17			
	falls 20:14 48:23 60:2			
	60:10 101:16			
	familiar 44:7			
	far 46:10 57:13 62:1			
	67:23 68:4 74:9			
	78:15 79:10			
	fastest 26:23			
	favor 5:6,24 19:10			
	20:4 22:14 32:18			
	38:10 112:1			
	feasible 12:22			
	February 28:21			
	29:12			
	federal 27:8			
	feedback 14:6 31:24			
	74:9,10 85:7 92:22			
	feel 16:14 18:4 72:24			
	73:9			
	feels 98:5			
	FEFP 27:11,14			
	fell 93:15			
	Fertig 2:3 4:20,23			
	5:19,20,21 16:18			
	18:3 25:6 31:21			
	33:11 34:14 35:1			
	36:7,15 42:3 54:1,4			
	54:11 72:23 76:22			
	78:3 81:11,16,18,23			
	82:3 85:10 86:7,25			
	87:8 91:20 97:6,15			
	98:9 99:1 103:18			
	105:21 109:8,24			
	110:19 111:14,19			
	111:20			
	fifth 104:1			

31:25 34:20 36:1 38:5 39:10 42:15 46:16 47:4 48:12 53:10 60:2 61:25 62:1 63:3 64:16 66:4 71:3 76:12 78:2 80:25 81:8 87:15 88:1,17,18 89:2 90:20 97:18 108:11,13,18 111:9 goal 10:10 15:11 16:7 79:13 goes 51:18 65:13 71:6 82:18 97:8 99:6 105:20 going 4:25 12:11 16:8 22:17 27:2 34:11,15 35:8 38:22 39:17 42:5 44:14 47:9,16 48:11 53:1,2,14 54:19,25 62:14 65:4 66:24 67:3,4 71:4 73:19,21 74:4 76:10 76:14 78:15 79:10 79:22,25 80:21,24 82:1,20 83:2 92:2 96:25 97:16 99:17 101:22 102:21 103:22 107:17 108:16 gold 13:14 84:3 good 8:6 18:6 30:20 37:4,5 42:15 48:7,7 60:24,25 61:5 71:2 73:15,22 75:4 87:1 88:5 97:15 99:8 103:7 gotten 31:24 97:4 GOULDBOURNE 2:9 governance 93:15,21 93:25 94:3 95:7 101:17 grade 102:25 gradient 58:8 60:2,3 granular 59:20 75:5 76:11 graph 18:6 24:24 25:5 28:24 31:1,3 graphs 72:25 grave 75:11 77:23	great 10:13 18:6 39:21 51:12 54:12 64:1 73:20 88:5 92:25 100:18,22 green 42:8 group 13:5 49:3,6 106:10 guards 90:16 guess 39:12 43:24 90:3 guessing 28:14 GUESTS 2:15 guidance 108:20 Guidelines 6:13 guru 70:23 guys 31:1 47:2 50:2 50:23 55:6 98:18 100:11,21 112:4	107:21 hearing 5:24 24:5 38:14 44:4,23,24 45:18 52:4 65:18 79:2 80:4 83:1,4 92:15 98:24 heat 60:1 hell 66:16 help 42:20 43:5 64:2 97:16 100:22,23 102:10 helped 43:12 helpful 16:19 28:25 40:23 45:24 46:25 58:23 85:14 101:9 101:14 helping 100:22 Henry 96:5 97:6 hey 82:6 Hi 67:8 hiccups 104:10 hide 52:24 55:4 high 40:20 46:5,7 56:9,13 57:6 58:3 58:10,12 60:9 63:7 68:17 69:20 70:17 74:15 95:17 98:12 high-risk 56:24 57:16 57:17 65:9 66:8 67:7 higher 44:9 higher-level 43:1 highest 98:15 highest-level 63:1 Hint 98:8 hiring 74:22 91:22 historical 6:15 31:10 historically 25:4 78:18 history 18:1 29:16 34:16 hoarding 110:16 hold 6:5 26:18 87:23 88:20,22,25 89:9 90:9 holding 13:2 17:1,3,4 holdover 80:2 109:22 holds 67:19 home 65:22 honest 85:17 hook 75:7	hope 66:18 97:18 98:9 111:12,16 hopefully 18:5 house 110:9 111:6 housed 72:10 houses 71:9 housing 110:8 HR 51:14 66:23 74:8 74:10,14,21 78:7,10 89:14 90:8 92:18 hug 69:9 human 97:20 99:1,10 hunch 86:17,23	86:1 103:12 impression 94:12 107:4,6 improve 64:1 improved 64:12 improvement 77:15 103:9 107:1 include 6:24,25 7:13 7:19,20,20 11:17 12:16 13:19 17:10 17:19 20:21 28:5 34:5 69:19 79:7 85:2,3 included 7:1 10:20 25:13 26:1 27:14 29:18 40:21 41:18 46:6 56:10,24 58:21 61:2 64:23 70:7 78:15 83:3 85:3 86:14 88:24 92:17 includes 57:16 including 9:2 14:25 17:15,17 27:9,11,11 30:24 74:17 inclusion 86:14 incorporate 32:4,7,11 32:16,17 33:11 36:10 37:10,11,14 38:5 85:7,10 87:16 101:3 incorporated 90:10 incorporates 57:15 incorporating 35:24 increased 27:18 102:22 increases 27:8,10,13 27:14 independent 44:12 88:10 indicate 56:15 107:16 indication 23:12 indicators 43:10 individual 42:12 50:22 industry 61:24 information 28:19 29:2,11,15,22 30:1 30:14,19 41:6,6,17 43:20,25 50:23 56:16 69:2 76:24 88:23,23 91:8,24
---	---	---	--	--

101:9 105:7,25 infrastructure 67:22 68:6 70:21 Ingram 39:25 41:7 46:8 101:14 inherent 58:16 initiative 89:12 input 43:23 64:9 89:7 inspection 109:17 instance 102:22 103:9 insurance 13:9 94:16 insurances 17:17 integrity 21:22 76:24 91:24 intend 85:5 intended 62:13 intending 8:3 intent 14:1,4,24 15:6 46:23 intention 8:15 9:8 10:5 13:22,24 14:14 14:18,19 16:11 interested 54:13 interesting 53:20 interfered 15:12 interferes 15:12 interim 20:16 21:8 96:15 internal 2:9 43:8,9 44:15 47:11 51:16 58:1 60:19 74:13,20 74:25 75:20,25 76:2 76:19 77:8,14,21,25 78:23 89:14 90:2,4 90:8 91:13 93:4 109:12 internally 50:3,11,24 51:12 interpret 15:11 interpreted 6:25 7:13 interview 62:25 inventory 44:16 47:12 51:15,16 53:3 53:5 109:19 invested 67:16 INVITED 2:15 involved 44:8 69:3 70:6 75:6 94:10 irrelevant 52:21 ISS 71:11,14 109:15	issue 39:12 44:10 48:18 53:25 78:7 98:24 103:21 109:7 issues 20:5 79:15 99:10,10 101:20 103:22 item 18:5 40:18 52:10 57:21 75:21 92:22 93:10 110:3 items 7:23,23 8:17 39:8 41:1 56:9 57:16,17,19,20 74:15 86:9 98:16 <hr/> J <hr/> Jaelyn 4:5 January 26:1,3,8,14 26:20 28:14,20 Jennifer 2:9 67:8 71:6 job 22:4 54:12 60:25 73:20,24 100:19 John 61:12 Joris 62:19 75:2 judgment 58:4 60:11 86:20 July 32:1 38:22 39:1 39:6 53:14 82:21 June 1:15 3:4 26:11 26:12 31:6 32:2 38:22,24,24 39:15 47:9,10 71:18 72:17 82:2,18 84:17,18,23 90:19 91:1 92:24 104:8 113:10 jurisdiction 106:23 <hr/> K <hr/> KC 1:12 keep 10:11 35:5,5 50:3 96:18 99:23 keeping 11:25 14:2 44:11 53:11 kept 22:12,13 83:7 key 17:7 kicked 96:9 kids 102:23,25 kind 40:12,23 60:1 64:24 67:14 73:16 83:5,8 86:12 87:20 87:25 93:23 96:7	109:1 kinds 68:10 74:4 101:20 know 6:22 7:3,17 10:13 13:24 14:10 14:13,14,22 15:15 16:12,13 17:2 18:10 19:4 24:3,25 26:22 30:19 31:6,16 33:15 35:5,9,13 36:3 37:8 44:15 50:6,13,13 51:17 52:6 53:13 54:6,12 59:6,15,20 60:19 63:19,20 64:6 64:6 66:16,20 67:14 68:2,9,25 69:12,25 70:5,6,6,13,20 71:20,23,25 72:4,5 72:11,18 73:21 74:6 75:10,15 80:1,10 81:1,4,16,21 82:4 82:20 83:3,6,10,16 85:15,19 87:2 88:25 89:13,20 90:13,19 90:20,21,24 91:3 92:12,23 96:24,24 97:13,17 98:1,5,25 99:17 101:19 102:9 102:13 105:14 108:20 111:8,23,25 known 71:16 knows 35:10 63:19 81:3 <hr/> L <hr/> labeled 12:9 22:19 50:5,10 lack 16:6 laid 73:25 lands 96:20 language 6:22,24 7:16 8:7 11:25 14:10 19:2 20:14,17 22:15 32:15 33:7,10 33:19 35:16 36:11 106:14 107:8 language-wide 16:9 Large 113:5 larger 111:2 late 4:16 Lauderdale 1:13,24	113:11 LAURA 2:10 law 107:24 laws 60:18 74:18 75:24 layout 82:10 learn 98:23 learning 102:14,18 102:22,24 leaves 35:10 left 62:19 80:18 94:23 96:16 legal 14:9 26:24 32:21 39:11 87:15 legend 44:6 lends 34:2 leprechaun 13:13 18:24 let's 19:15,15 24:3,8 63:7 letters 88:11 level 22:18,18 45:22 52:9 58:12 60:8 63:13 72:8,12 88:22 98:15 102:25 levels 63:10 Lew 2:5 4:1 liability 13:10 liaison 88:10 Licata 81:6 lifts 66:2 light 16:11 limited 66:2 line 28:10 74:15 link 6:11 30:16 101:3 101:4 links 30:1 list 79:20 85:14 91:4 91:22 105:15 listed 58:10 listing 57:7 literally 99:21 little 11:1,15 16:11 43:15 47:21 51:24 58:9 73:16 76:11 86:22 88:18 107:25 live 10:1 lives 49:8 local 27:8,11,15 log 66:20 72:13 log 55:21	logical 67:23,25 68:11 long 63:20 73:4 81:14 100:16 109:24 long-range 89:3 longer 72:12 80:19 look 11:2 12:6 19:15 19:15 21:16 22:7 23:22 25:5,8 32:22 34:15 41:8,20 44:3 46:9 53:10 57:1 60:14 62:24 63:18 63:21,23 64:7,13 65:18 67:9,10,10,14 67:16,24 68:1 69:2 70:11 71:5 74:1 75:4 76:6 78:13 83:5 88:4,8 91:9 92:18 93:6 94:4 105:15 looked 41:10 67:21 67:23 68:4 69:7,10 looking 31:12 40:21 44:18 45:23 46:24 50:7 51:13 52:10,12 52:16 53:4 55:17 62:2 63:4 65:23 66:24 67:4,5 69:11 77:19 78:22,22 80:15 82:6 84:20 100:3 105:4,17 110:7 looks 25:25 34:18 35:3 100:4 lose 52:24 97:19 111:24 lot 17:3 32:10 35:2 38:18 45:7 48:4 50:25 61:16,20 64:21 70:16 73:14 79:11 82:11 88:2,17 91:20,25 94:20 97:22 98:23 100:4 104:22 105:23 111:6 low 42:8 60:9 lower 22:13,13 Luckily 42:6 LYNCH-WALSH 2:3 3:3,9,12 4:14,22 4:25 5:4,6,10,12,18
--	--	---	--	--

5:20,24 6:1,3 7:6,11 8:5 9:24 11:16 12:4 12:8 16:15,23 17:8 17:19 18:8,21 19:4 19:8,23,25 20:17 21:5,10,14,17 22:22 22:25 23:3,6,20,22 24:11 25:3,11,15,25 26:3,19 27:19,23,25 28:4,12 29:4,7,10 29:19,25 30:5,12,17 30:25 31:5,11 32:3 32:9,18,24 33:8,13 33:17,25 34:23 35:7 35:23 36:1,8,17,23 37:9,13,17,22,25 38:4,7,10,12,14,17 38:21 39:4,7,12 40:7,10 41:22 42:4 42:18 44:21 45:8,25 47:2,24 48:2,9,18 48:25 49:5 50:1,20 51:11 52:2,20 54:3 54:9,22 55:3,9,18 55:20 56:3,7 58:24 59:3,16 60:7,21 61:6,13,23 63:22 70:11,19 71:8,13,20 72:20 74:8 76:21 78:4,9 79:1,13 81:1 81:13,17,20 82:1,15 82:22,24 83:12,15 83:24 84:2,14,17,19 84:22 85:6,24 87:13 89:8,11,23 90:2,6 91:12 92:11,19,21 93:9,11 94:15 95:11 95:14,16 96:1,4,14 97:4,10 98:8 99:12 101:12 103:8,25 104:25 105:12 106:4,10,12 107:14 107:23 108:9,22 109:4,10,25 110:3 111:1,16,20,24 112:4,8	82:23 84:13 main 103:5 maintain 9:20 10:15 15:21 21:21,24 maintenance 69:25 major 97:25 making 34:19,22 36:13 45:19 60:4 93:16 104:15 106:23 manage 43:2 managed 45:14 management 49:21 68:5 69:5 88:11 93:19 94:15 95:22 96:20,23,24 manager 2:9 49:10 managing 63:2 Manatee 6:10 12:6,8 19:11,11,18 20:8 21:21 22:15 23:23 32:5 33:10,18 35:24 36:10,22 37:25 Manatee's 21:19 33:22 manner 35:12 42:21 map 34:13 60:1,2 97:15 March 25:2 marching 62:22 margins 42:1 MARK 2:18 marked 21:18 Markham 79:15,16 Marte 107:21 108:12 Mary 2:3 16:17 36:17 72:22 100:15,16 Mary's 36:13 matrices 59:10 matrix 64:3 65:8 matter 76:15 77:17 Mayersohn 2:4 3:20 4:10,11 82:23,24,25 83:14,18 84:1,11 112:7 mean 7:13 9:19 25:8 27:20 34:15 37:24 50:21 51:5 53:9 66:17 70:2 83:24 92:9 98:20 99:20 100:12,13 105:1	108:24 means 9:3 17:2 53:8 54:5 66:16 79:21 medium 60:9 Medvin 2:4 3:22,23 5:17,18,21 meet 39:14 meeting 1:5 3:4 5:14 13:4 26:7,10 28:21 29:2 32:1 36:2 38:22,25 39:1,16,21 40:13 43:15 47:6 79:6 82:16,18 92:24 109:5 112:9 meetings 58:17,17 107:19,20 108:18 Meloni 53:19 member 19:9 members 2:1 5:9,25 28:19 38:11 43:1,2 45:4 85:18 104:17 106:2 112:3 mention 59:7 69:22 93:7 mentioned 27:16 56:8 71:23 85:10 Menzul 2:5 3:24,25 Meo 2:2 3:18,19 6:20 6:21 7:7,12 8:15 9:5 9:8,10,13,24 10:4 10:18 11:13 12:7 13:18 14:13 15:10 15:19 17:6,10,18,21 18:2,10,19 19:6,22 19:24 28:3,5,8 36:19 37:2,7,11,21 37:23 56:6,8,14,20 57:3,12 58:13,20 59:2,5,19 60:14,22 61:9 62:7,10 63:17 63:23 65:4 66:7,10 66:13 67:6 68:13 69:18 70:18 73:3 76:25 84:13,15,18 84:21 85:1,9 86:19 87:16 93:1 94:9 95:21 96:3 97:1 Meo's 22:15 32:11 40:11 62:2 70:16 101:17 merge 87:1	MERVIN 2:14 met 17:12 method 67:13 Miami-Dade 6:9 11:2 middle 110:23 million 13:7,16 15:15 18:24 mind 44:12 64:20 99:23 minds 91:17 minute 105:21 minutes 5:13 36:2 71:7 108:12,14 mismanagement 80:8 missed 98:24 110:22 missing 84:25 Mm-hmm 7:6,11 35:21 54:22 mode 97:12 model 94:1,3 95:8 moderate 42:7 57:19 57:20,23,23 modify 14:10 modifying 83:22 modulars 79:23 module 69:16 modules 69:8,9,10 78:18 money 15:2 moneys 107:18 monitor 20:11 32:14 38:1 103:1 month 7:4 28:13 100:6 monthly 20:12,15,19 23:7,8 31:2,13,14 32:15 37:19,21 38:2 months 17:25 18:14 22:9 25:1,19 58:6 82:13 110:19 morning 8:6 48:8,9 48:10 motion 4:23 5:15 13:12 32:4 36:6,14 38:14 85:1,5 97:11 103:25 111:18 motions 13:6 43:24 100:1 Motiwala 2:13 8:5,6 8:21 9:6,9,12,17 10:2,9,25 11:23	14:1,23 15:18 17:14 17:23 18:25 19:3 20:15,25 21:7,12,15 22:20 25:11,13,20 26:2,17 27:4,7,22 27:24 28:2,7,9 29:12,21 30:3,11,13 30:22 31:3,8,23 32:21 33:2,4,21 35:15,20,22 37:6 38:6,16,20 39:2,5,9 mountain 27:6 MOUs 24:15 move 4:18 5:1 13:15 14:4 74:6 76:20 97:16,21,25 111:19 moved 5:17,18 15:2,7 36:17 89:4,6 111:20 moves 68:22 moving 5:7 18:24 39:22 96:19 99:3,9 100:17 104:19 MSD 84:8 murky 51:24
<hr/> N <hr/>				
ma'am 22:25 99:12 Madam 22:24 28:3 47:22 56:6 62:7				NAKIA 2:9 name 54:24 59:7 named 15:14,22 narrative 59:17 Nathalie 2:3 63:19 87:10 112:7 nature 41:24 65:12 Naylor 2:5 4:1,2 5:20 24:23,24 25:4,8,16 25:24 27:1 107:10 107:11,15 108:8,10 108:15 109:3 necessarily 44:13 50:14 108:24 necessary 77:8 80:3 104:6 need 5:15 8:20 9:15 10:7 15:20 16:8,23 23:2 25:6 28:17 32:25 49:5,22 50:3 50:14 55:6 61:1 69:23,24 70:6,22 73:13 74:1 76:6 80:21 81:24 85:1 86:22 87:8 95:21

97:23 108:3 110:11 110:15 111:18 needed 34:20 57:9 104:21 needing 40:12 needs 52:7 66:13 106:6 negotiated 24:21 72:3 negotiating 24:21 neither 28:8 102:19 never 15:19,20,23 17:19 18:22 73:9 101:1 102:8 103:4 111:4 new 53:11 65:1 69:15 71:4,21 73:14 79:18 96:19 nine 58:6 non-renewal 92:7 non-renewals 53:17 non-renewed 53:19 53:19 90:12,17 91:1 91:4 non-renewing 92:13 non-supervisory 90:18 nonreporting 88:3 93:18 nonrestricted 8:10 nonspendable 7:9 8:10,23 9:3 11:7 12:15 14:22 Nora 111:11 norm 90:11 normally 43:17 76:11 Notary 113:4 note 45:19 46:14 notes 46:10 57:1 61:4 85:5 113:9 notified 90:17 number 4:18 5:1,7,16 6:3 23:4,6,8 26:20 29:13 38:18 52:10 54:20 55:8 57:8 60:14 74:9,11 78:8 102:8 104:24 numbering 59:12 numbers 42:13 46:15 46:18 57:4	objective 79:14 objectives 57:13 58:22 59:1 61:2 62:11 64:21 Obsolete 109:20 obtainable 34:9 obvious 95:8 obviously 8:13 15:6 26:10,11 OCA 49:7 occur 24:15 occurred 71:25 OCTAVIA 2:11 off-boarding 66:24 77:7 office 2:7 39:23 63:5 88:16 106:4 officer 53:12,15 officers 25:22 official 104:13 officials 104:14 oh 22:22 24:19 74:1 78:9 92:13 106:4 110:10,13 111:24 112:8 OIG 104:4,5 105:17 106:18 okay 4:9,12,14,22,25 6:3 10:4,18 15:19 16:5 17:10 18:3 19:4,25 21:14,17 22:23 23:6,8,24 24:10,11 25:25 26:3 26:21 28:12 29:9,25 30:12,17 32:3,17,23 33:13,16 35:8,22,23 36:5,8,17 37:11,23 38:6,7,19 39:12,22 40:9 41:22 45:25 47:2 55:14 56:14 59:3,21 66:13 71:7 71:20 72:23 78:11 82:3,22 89:10 92:21 95:16 101:11 105:10 106:11 old 73:13 86:17 onboarded 77:24 onboarding 89:12 once 20:9 30:22 68:22 95:23 one's 71:3	one-half 11:5 12:19 34:8 ones 91:5 97:25 98:11 ongoing 18:4 45:20 97:17 onus 63:12 opening 20:6 21:18 operating 90:23 operational 47:13,16 48:19,20,24 49:16 51:3 52:14 53:7,8 53:24 55:5 operations 43:3 47:12,13,14 48:3,5 49:9,18,19,24 50:25 51:1,18,18,23,25 53:10,12 58:18 63:3 96:22 operations/discipline 55:2 opinion 63:24 opposed 5:10 6:1 19:17 21:21 38:12 45:16 49:7 52:17 60:9 optimistic 100:9 orange 6:10 11:13 17:8 26:25 30:6 33:19 36:24 58:9 order 3:5 65:17 orders 62:22 org 49:8 50:9 53:8,11 96:19 organization 107:3,5 organization's 67:21 organizations 67:11 105:24 organize 50:23 orientation 93:24 original 46:23 48:16 OT 95:8,10,11 outdated 95:4 outlined 40:18 outside 66:5 86:5 overall 10:16 11:9 12:1 92:17 overcome 64:17 overspending 16:1 overwhelm 100:14	p-cards 74:2 99:11 p.m 1:15 112:9 packed 47:10 page 22:14 35:14 42:13 46:15,17 47:24,25 48:12,15 52:11 57:3,8 59:2,2 59:3 60:14,21,22 74:11 78:8,9 87:25 88:1,19 89:2 93:11 95:9 102:8,8 105:16 pages 41:3,20 42:12 pagination 41:13 Palm 6:9 paragraph 20:6 32:8 85:2 paraphrasing 96:7 Pardon 5:4 19:23 parking 77:10 part 9:6 11:22 25:20 26:15 29:21 30:9 32:7,8 55:12 67:3 69:23 77:6 87:18,23 87:24 89:14,19,20 89:22 90:8 91:13 92:2 94:7 106:2 107:6 partially 93:2 participated 43:1 particular 75:21 98:19 partnerships 62:5 pass 13:6 passed 8:19 13:12 27:20 103:25 passes 6:4 9:13 38:14 77:10 patch 68:4 69:5 path 30:7 Paul 23:14 Pavel 2:5 3:24 paves 13:11 pay 23:14 paying 40:19 payroll 49:15,19 people 6:5 24:5 31:11 31:16 41:25 42:18 51:2 61:11,19,24 63:2 66:5 75:16 84:3 90:22 110:16 perceived 42:25	63:11 percent 6:23 7:18 8:4 8:16,17,20 9:15,21 9:23 10:6,8,9,11,14 10:15,17,20,22,23 11:5,9,11,18 12:1,2 12:16,16,17,20,25 13:13,19,21,22 14:16,19,20,24 15:11,23 16:7,9 17:5,11 18:23 19:1 22:10 23:11,20 25:21 27:17 28:15 32:19 33:6,22 34:5 34:6,6,8 35:18 36:25 102:25 109:13 percentage 8:24 15:16 17:15 18:12 20:23 performed 56:21 57:15 59:8 performing 49:2,4,7 60:12 63:2 period 35:3 62:23 permissions 66:25 72:18 77:10 permitting 109:17 perpetual 44:16,17 person 24:3 53:17 94:17,23 96:14 perspective 62:16 105:6 Peter 4:7 23:14 phased 83:8 Phyllis 4:3 pick 61:20,25 77:3 90:21 96:18 picked 71:2 picture 90:7 piece 24:1 37:14 49:18 74:10 pieces 111:10 place 41:19 42:12 51:24 58:6 76:19 77:8,14 99:16 placed 88:20 places 26:8 33:18 plan 12:13 33:25 34:1 34:3 39:23,24 41:11 41:15 42:16,21
O		P		

43:13,18 46:2,10,12 46:17 47:4,8 48:2,3 48:15,16 54:24 55:12 57:2 62:21 64:8,11,13,16,24 65:1 69:3,11,17,21 69:24 79:7 81:23 87:14,18 89:3,5,21 93:17 95:7 98:6 99:20,22 100:10,25 101:3,8,8,13 102:3 102:19 103:9 107:1 109:11 planning 35:5 39:20 60:13 104:21,23 please 3:12 46:14 68:20 78:8 110:1 pleased 73:6 Pledge 3:7,8 plenty 81:7 plug 18:13 po6235 12:9 point 13:18 14:5 18:22 19:3 24:12 26:5 33:21 53:22 58:2 60:3,4 70:16 72:21 81:24 83:6 86:6 87:10 88:24 99:18 100:8,14 101:13,17 103:7 pointed 85:18 policies 32:22 33:16 74:17,19,24 75:8,18 75:25 77:13 94:20 policy 6:8,9,11,14,14 12:23 13:7 14:8 17:13 19:2,10,16,16 20:2,13,19 21:3 31:18 32:5,7,10 33:22 34:12 36:3,11 36:12,20 47:8 76:3 90:14 91:6 95:2,5 103:10,12 109:19 111:1 pop 65:17 popped 65:19 populated 80:23 portables 110:9,10 110:16,18 position 76:9,14 94:25	positions 94:25 positive 74:5 possibility 43:9 possible 42:2 65:25 pot 13:14 potentially 83:15 PPO 76:16 practically 111:13 practices 103:4 precise 7:15 precisely 7:3 prefer 40:6 prescribing 75:8 present 43:21 presentation 25:14 25:18 26:1 29:8 30:2 presented 21:8 26:17 62:21 pressure 73:23 presumably 38:23 84:9 pretty 11:1 24:25 33:24 46:4 81:3 88:4 previous 25:14,18 previously 40:17 primarily 44:11 47:7 94:16 primary 74:12 76:2 102:16 principals 107:16 print 98:7 prior 18:15 29:16 prioritization 67:13 86:13 98:16 prioritize 44:10 46:25 98:11,16 prioritized 102:15 prioritizing 44:14 80:16 98:20 priority 44:9 privacy 74:18 probably 31:25 65:8 82:15 84:3 87:9 104:2 111:2 problem 50:21 56:5 105:5 107:7 problematic 85:12 problems 78:21 procedure 90:14,23	91:7 procedures 65:10 66:8,9 68:1 74:24 75:9,19,25 proceed 82:12 proceedings 3:1 113:7 process 12:13 43:5 45:1 62:25 66:24 74:22 76:15 77:7,24 89:5,5,13 90:14 92:3,7 processes 69:2 74:24 92:8 Procurement 78:6 produce 43:18 produced 75:2,3 product 78:6,7 production 68:23,25 professional 58:4 60:11 program 72:9 77:19 programs 43:7 51:19 progress 83:20 project 22:21 37:14 44:23,24 45:9,12,13 45:16,22 80:9,11,11 80:12 projected 18:12 projection 53:18 projections 22:12 projects 36:21,24 37:2 89:13 proper 81:9 properly 76:3,13 property 44:16 47:11 51:15,16 53:2,5 109:19,21 proposed 6:7,14,21 13:6 19:2 20:5,13 21:20 36:11,20 39:22,24 41:15 42:16 43:13,18 44:10 46:9,12,17 48:2 57:2 62:21 70:12 79:24 89:5 106:14 107:8 109:11 proposes 36:20 proposing 37:18 79:17	protect 101:25 protection 74:18 provide 12:20 20:20 88:9 105:19 106:1 provided 40:17 41:7 78:16 106:5 providing 88:13 PTA 104:12 107:3 PTO 107:3 public 5:2,3,5,14 45:11 59:11 61:12 113:5 public's 85:20 pull 11:14 19:20 26:14 40:8 42:1,19 83:12 pulled 19:12 33:18 36:25 pulling 23:7 purchased 78:20 Purchasing 76:16 purely 102:3 purpose 13:17 42:19 43:14 47:6,18 purposes 15:3 31:18 41:16 46:22 63:8 put 35:16 43:6,13 44:5 58:4 62:8 63:11 73:22 79:17 80:12 86:18,23 88:6 94:1 99:23 104:3 putting 35:11 63:15 77:19 96:23 100:4 100:18 101:10	quick 72:4 quick-and-dirty 16:24 quickly 41:1,20 quite 68:7 107:5 quorum 111:24 quotes 56:16
R				
R 1:23 113:4,14 RADCLIFF 2:11 raining 101:19 raise 105:10 raises 25:21 27:17,17 random 90:21 range 58:15 63:6 ranks 70:9 rating 60:17 62:4 67:18 ratings 62:8 re-sent 28:19 read 9:16 34:4 36:8 41:24 102:25 readability 87:1 readable 87:12 reader 57:11 85:15 readers 50:4 readily 101:6 reading 92:3 reads 8:8,18 11:3 ready 33:2 68:22 74:3 real 97:14 98:2 realistic 99:14 really 8:3 14:8 27:6 34:18 41:11 46:21 54:7 60:1,23 61:5 72:24 73:6,15,22 74:2 95:8 98:12 99:4 105:13 reason 13:5 15:4 19:11 25:17 27:2,4 41:24 56:12 91:18 reasons 57:7 85:25 88:20 reassuring 68:14 Rebecca 3:16 recall 75:2 receipt 108:24 receive 60:17 received 40:1 43:19				
Q				
qualified 76:10,13 qualitative 62:24 qualitatively 42:24 quality 91:23 quantitative 60:7,8 quarter 7:5 quarterly 83:20 question 4:21 23:2,17 24:25 27:2 34:14 47:5 48:11 49:1 52:8 54:16,19 65:14 76:22 105:20 questions 6:20 12:5 16:16 31:19 45:3 47:3 94:10 96:6				

91:9	related 94:24	requires 8:12	47:19 52:1,3 54:16	64:16 68:17 69:20
recited 3:8	relations 97:20 99:1	research 79:11 86:11	56:8,12,18 57:1,5	70:11,17 73:2 74:15
recognition 106:25	99:10	108:17	57:25 58:14 59:24	79:17 86:1,13 87:14
107:24 108:5	relearned 58:5	researched 106:1	60:8 61:17 62:17	93:2,5,13,19 94:7
recollection 72:16	relegated 79:23	researching 105:18	64:9 65:13 66:9,11	94:15,24 95:17,22
recommend 15:7 33:8	relevant 52:22	reserve 12:14,17,17	66:22 68:18,20 71:1	96:20,23,24 98:12
33:13 36:9 97:1	rely 86:8	13:9 17:11,16 34:6	71:10,19 72:16	99:21 101:4 102:11
recommendation	relying 86:19	34:7,10	75:22 77:5 78:8,11	102:19
80:13 93:16 94:5	remember 34:4 59:25	reserves 7:20,24 9:5	79:4 80:10 82:20	risks 42:8 43:3,6
recommendations	84:2	9:14 10:1,6 14:21	85:2,4,23,25 86:21	road 34:13 96:9
84:7	remind 110:1	15:13,22	87:7,17 89:10,15	97:15 100:17
recommended 12:3	reminded 103:18	resolution 6:16	91:8,16 92:5,15	ROB 2:17
14:3	remove 37:18	resource 25:22 57:21	93:8,10,13 95:10,12	robbing 23:14
recommending 70:20	removed 67:1,2 77:12	65:14	95:15,25 96:5 98:13	Robert 2:4 3:20 4:10
record 113:8	removing 55:1	resources 58:1 66:4,6	99:13,19 100:8,20	robust 64:22 65:3
recorded 79:21	repair 17:23	respond 52:1 85:23	105:2,4,13 106:8,11	role 68:3 106:19,20
records 29:2,3 45:11	repeatedly 79:10	98:13	110:1,21 112:6	roles 49:18
recounted 98:25	replace 32:16 37:9	responds 47:21	rid 94:17,24 110:7,10	roll 3:10,12 4:10
recruiting 74:22 75:9	replaced 78:25	response 3:17,21 4:4	110:15	68:25 99:6
91:22	replacement 109:15	4:6,8 5:11,23 6:2	Riggs 39:25 41:7 46:8	rollout 73:12
recurring 11:18	report 4:18 5:16 6:3	38:9,13 40:11 45:10	59:8 101:14	rolls 69:11
52:13 87:20 88:7	12:21 17:14 18:9	97:5 105:19	right 3:3 4:12,15 5:6	Roofing 109:14
93:18	20:11,15 21:11 38:1	responsibility 20:9	5:12,15 11:12,24	room 1:12 52:21
red 42:7 58:8 73:19	40:21 41:13,18	22:3 24:1 37:15	12:25 15:4 18:16	rooms 79:18
95:14	61:12,20 62:13	86:4	21:7 23:3 25:15	row 51:5
reducing 111:3	69:19 71:18 72:24	responsible 20:1 24:4	31:5,11 33:17 35:19	RSM 71:17 109:14
refer 47:14	83:3 84:8,10 93:7	24:9,13 32:13 75:12	36:9 37:12,24 38:3	Rupert 111:11
reference 41:20 57:3	113:7	95:1,3 106:24	38:4,17 39:22 41:12	Ruth 2:2 3:14
82:25 87:16	reported 18:13	rest 16:13 82:8	46:10 48:18,25 49:3	
referenced 41:2	Reporter 1:22,23	restraint 57:21	49:3,9 51:9,11 54:9	S
references 41:14	2:16 113:4,14	restricted 7:9 8:23	55:18 56:3 57:24	SAC 104:12 106:23
57:12	REPORTER'S 113:1	9:2 11:6 12:15	65:14 68:15 70:9,10	107:4,18 108:18
referendum 27:12,15	reporting 1:23 2:14	result 74:4 102:22	70:18 72:20 74:20	SAF 104:12
27:19,20,22 34:23	2:16 23:8 37:19	retaining 75:10	76:21 79:1 81:20	safety 27:21 44:11,19
34:25	reports 83:7,20	revenue 8:4 13:23	82:22 83:18,24	47:17 48:5,21,23
referred 93:24	represents 15:16	14:16 18:12 27:9	84:21 85:6 88:13,16	49:13,22 50:10
referring 22:20	18:11	revenues 11:19	89:23 91:12 96:1,14	51:14 52:11 54:5,23
reflected 27:25	request 17:25 29:14	review 60:18 74:18	97:8,10 99:16	55:11,12,22 62:3
regard 80:17 93:17	30:1 45:11,11 86:8	75:24 104:21	104:24 109:25	70:9 94:20 98:14
regarding 40:14	100:1	reviewed 108:17	111:17,23 112:1,1,4	102:15
98:14	requested 29:24 30:4	reviewing 105:18	rise 3:7 58:11	saga 45:20
regardless 21:3	30:15 31:4 47:1	revised 85:8	risk 39:25 40:3,6,14	SAP 69:7,9,9,16
104:11 106:19	77:21 79:6	revising 92:23	40:16,19,20,24 41:1	Saturday 37:24
regular 92:24	requests 29:16	revive 96:11	41:9 42:14,20,24	save 61:6
regularity 88:6	require 65:21 89:1	reworking 16:8	43:20 46:3,5,7,7,13	saw 79:2 83:8 93:11
regularly 70:1	required 9:21 56:9	Rhodes 2:8 3:10,14	46:16,24 55:20 56:1	saying 9:1 11:9 12:12
regulations 60:18	requirement 8:11,25	3:16,18,20,22,24	56:10,13,19,21,23	20:2 24:6 32:16
74:18 75:24	10:10,22 21:1,2,5	4:1,3,5,7,9,12 28:17	57:6,8,10,15 58:3	38:5 42:10 51:11,20
regulatory 60:17	21:11 26:25 36:21	29:1,5,9 39:19 40:4	58:10,12,16 59:9,17	52:20 54:7 55:15
74:16,16	requirements 17:13	40:9,13 42:10,17,23	59:19,22 60:8 61:4	57:5 59:16 61:10,16
rejected 77:25	60:18 74:17 80:20	45:1,21 46:6 47:3	62:11,23 63:10,12	61:17,22 62:9 71:6

75:23 81:14 89:23 90:6 91:12 107:2 says 7:2,7,22 8:11 11:16 13:12 17:21 20:8 21:20,21 23:10 26:20 33:22 34:5 37:25 51:6 54:4 55:1 64:4 87:3 90:14 SBBC 95:7 scale 59:17 67:18 schedule 40:2 45:12 school 1:3 20:10 21:24 25:22 33:14 36:9 67:12 80:23 95:7 103:9 104:16 106:24,25 107:1,12 107:23,25 108:4,5 109:6 school-based 103:22 schools 37:7 53:22 80:21 104:5 107:13 108:3 110:8 science 86:16 scope 45:12 57:14 58:22,25 59:1,6 60:16 61:3 62:12 64:4,21 69:12 75:2 77:6 85:2,17,21 92:17 105:17 scoped 80:4 screen 54:21 SE 1:13 second 5:15,19,20 26:4,15 36:16,18 40:7 58:24 68:24 99:5 111:22 secondary 84:10 section 46:11 47:4,5,5 64:21 65:3 security 44:11,19 47:17 48:5,22,23 49:13,23 50:10 51:14 52:11 54:24 55:11,12,13,22 62:3 66:15 70:21 94:20 98:15 102:15 see 14:9 16:15 21:19 25:7 28:13 30:7 38:23 39:13,20 42:11,18 50:12	54:16 55:6,10 57:2 64:3 72:11 73:6,11 73:13,19 75:16 76:2 77:2 78:15 81:23 82:3,6,8,13,17 83:6 84:23 87:17 88:19 89:3 91:10,21 97:21 97:25 98:1 99:6 101:6 107:7 108:14 seeing 31:13 seen 36:3 59:9 73:4 74:1 86:9 91:6 selected 76:9,13 selecting 74:22 91:22 sell 110:6 semester 99:5 send 45:8,19 81:24 106:8 sending 45:24 sense 55:23 83:13 sent 28:22 75:3 sentence 75:23 separate 50:12 55:3 separation 72:1,3,6 sequence 43:16 seriously 100:12 serve 104:15 service 1:23 66:19 services 44:24 45:17 70:22 set 13:16 15:3,9 sets 100:14 shalt 34:1,2 share 105:7 Shaw 4:3 sheet 16:20 Shelley 53:19 shook 18:16 short 13:13 38:2 93:15 shorter 12:10 show 46:11 showed 97:7 showing 13:14 28:15 shows 40:25 60:1 side 76:17 sight 97:19 similar 11:25 33:23 105:23 similarly 52:11 Simple 66:20	simply 12:9 55:1 60:9 105:5 single 88:11 97:24 sir 28:4 84:14 sitting 103:13 111:13 situation 80:18 six 51:10 size 79:24 80:20,25 slash 47:17 48:20 55:5 78:5 sleep 52:24 slides 30:13 slight 92:8 slightly 75:3 small 66:1 smaller 42:5 SMART 72:10 SMITH 2:18 snippet 25:9 software 109:17 solicitation 78:16 somebody 61:19 72:7 94:9 someone's 80:7 somewhat 14:10 soon 23:13 sorry 3:5 4:20 9:19 18:3 29:23 41:5 54:17 60:21 62:7 73:18 sort 13:11 15:1 19:10 28:23 47:4 67:17 72:2 76:25 80:1 101:16,18,23 103:12 sounds 57:20 sources 27:8,10,11,13 27:15 South 1:24 space 42:1 111:4,6 speak 14:23 62:22 97:7 100:5 speaking 11:8 19:8 71:18 92:25 94:11 speaks 10:3 16:1 42:14 59:13 70:15 90:24 103:12 Spec 2:10,11,11 special 1:5 3:4 5:14 Specialist 2:10 specific 13:17 30:19	33:19 45:16 47:3 51:17 60:6 94:13,16 specifically 21:6 40:19 49:11 52:15 54:20 57:6 72:18 93:14 specificity 32:12 37:15,19 specified 19:19 spelled 66:14 92:12 spendable 13:23 spent 15:25 spinning 95:19 spoken 107:15 spot 24:8 squinting 42:6 SREF 79:19 SRO 27:17 stability 21:22 staff 2:7,12 6:8 32:4 43:2 66:1 81:22 108:3 staffing 54:8,10 stalled 79:9 stand-alone 70:24 standard 79:19,20 90:23 standpoint 90:7 93:21,25 stands 101:4,8 start 79:16,23 86:11 started 22:8 53:17 111:2 starting 4:16 20:6 25:1 27:5 75:19 starts 20:3 73:14 state 8:11,25 10:10 19:13,18 23:9 27:8 27:10,13 32:15 79:21 111:7 113:2,5 stated 56:17 statement 21:18 25:9 statements 20:16,20 21:8,12 status 39:20 84:6 107:22 statute 6:12 8:8,13 23:9 statutorily 14:18 75:8 statutory 12:16 20:25 21:10 34:6	stay 28:16 90:18 steady 13:2 17:1,2,3 17:4 stenographic 1:23 113:9 stenographically 113:7 step 78:1 steps 76:18 77:22 Stock 109:21 110:5 110:12,13,14,16 111:9 stole 91:2 stop 80:15 110:16 stopped 83:23 storage 110:11 story 18:7 110:22,23 straight 50:3 strategic 12:17 34:7 34:10 Strauss 4:5 73:18 93:3 Strauss's 101:17 strike 20:7 strive 35:17 39:9 struck 20:24 structured 7:12 stuck 110:6 student 67:20 69:1 74:17 83:10 108:7,9 students 45:18 70:8 stuff 23:15 73:24 100:16 110:6,7,14 110:15 111:15 stylistic 44:5 subject 50:5 54:4,5 54:25 submitted 6:8 subsequent 107:20 108:20 substantial 25:21 success 69:15 78:4,19 78:24 succinct 24:25 suddenly 34:20 sufficient 88:22 suggested 70:15 suggestion 86:25 suggestions 62:2 Suite 1:24 summarize 40:3,16
---	--	--	--	--

summarized 40:24	takeover 19:13,18	101:11 109:3 112:4	95:21 96:3,6,7	tired 24:4
summary 16:19 40:5 41:8 56:16	talk 40:6 41:12 47:20 91:18	112:8	97:15,22 98:2,6	title 50:18,21 51:4 55:1 74:1
sunsetted 83:16,18	talked 82:12 93:17	Thanks 38:6 112:5	99:1,7 100:9,18	titles 56:2
sunshine 39:15 84:22	97:22 103:20	Theirs 12:10	102:10,24 103:22	today 17:15 31:24 43:15,22 45:3 73:18 82:17 85:7 91:21,25 98:20 99:3 100:5 104:2,20,22
superintendent 2:13 11:4 12:13 20:8,10 22:2 32:13 53:16 71:24 72:8,13 81:21 94:2 95:24 96:15,21 104:17	talking 45:24 47:23 52:19 61:10,18,18 67:1 80:17 86:6 100:6	thereof 113:9	105:1,23 106:6	
superintendent's 81:5	talks 9:18,20	they'd 30:25	107:21 108:25 111:14	
superintendents 22:5 97:11	target 9:23 10:15 11:4	thing 18:4 19:19 21:17 34:4 37:5 40:12 46:6 57:25 61:14 64:17 73:4 85:9 86:21 87:2,3 87:18 90:21 93:1 97:24 103:5 104:20 104:25 105:9	thinking 51:1 91:17 95:17 101:2 103:15 110:22	told 96:6 107:17
supervisor 104:7	targeting 11:11 12:2	things 6:25 9:11 24:14 34:1 35:2 43:12 45:13 48:4 50:25 51:24 53:2 64:25 65:1,16 66:20 68:11 72:9,10,11,11 73:15 75:5 76:7 77:18,20 81:15 82:5 82:15 87:23 88:8,12 88:17,19,21,22 89:4 89:6 93:18,21 99:8 101:1,24 102:5 103:15 110:7 111:7 111:13	third 60:15	tooth 18:23
supervisory 90:15 91:5	targets 12:21	think 6:21,23 7:3,15 9:24 10:19 11:2 14:24 15:10,24 16:8 16:18 18:6 23:22 25:6 28:17,21,24 29:7 31:15 32:9,24 32:25 33:20 35:15 36:5,19 37:4,5 38:17 39:3,5 42:4 45:23 46:19 50:2,22 52:4,7 53:24 54:12 54:18 56:15,17,20 56:22 57:18 58:20 60:23,25 61:1,4 62:10,11,17 63:4,25 64:9 66:13 69:18,24 70:9,22 72:14 73:6 73:12,14 74:9 80:10 82:10,10 84:12,24 85:6,16 86:22 87:10 87:11 91:17,24 92:7 92:16,21 93:4,7,15 93:23 94:11,11	thou 13:12 34:1,2	top 4:19 5:1,8 15:22 100:18
support 2:10 107:3,5	Task 71:22 83:16		thought 80:24,25 82:11 93:10 97:3 100:21 102:20 105:8 108:12	top-down 94:1
supporting 109:1	Task-Assigned 2:8		thoughtfully 74:3	topic 99:2
supposed 31:22 83:19 84:5,11 110:5	tasks 49:3		thoughts 109:12	total 9:22
sure 14:13 17:22 19:6 29:6 35:10 54:3 55:25 63:14 65:7 66:7 68:8 75:14,17 76:12 77:9 78:13 82:17 87:11 96:20 96:22 107:5 110:2	taxes 27:9		Threat 47:15 49:11 49:21	totally 24:4 99:14
surface 91:14	teachers 27:21		three 7:23,23 8:17 9:10,21 10:7,9,11 10:14,15,22 11:8,18 11:25 12:16,18,19 12:19 14:19 15:14 15:21,23 16:9 34:6 34:8 59:23,24	touch 37:13
Surplus 109:20	teaching 102:14,17 102:17		THURSDAY 1:15	track 46:23 80:12
surprised 50:10	team 60:12 62:23 63:12		thusly 56:17	traction 97:14
SWABY 2:14	Teams 2:4,17,18		tie 55:19	training 49:21
swipe 77:9	technical 61:15 62:15 85:19		ties 56:1 111:1,5	transcript 113:8
switched 97:11	Technology 53:6		TIM 2:16	transmit 5:16
system 2:10 59:12 66:20 69:2 70:4 71:15,21 78:19,24	tell 25:15 73:19 105:2		time 7:2 14:7 16:22 18:17 35:12 37:23 41:12,24 43:22 62:19,19,20,24 63:13 68:25 73:5 81:17 83:7 87:22 99:6,15 100:3 104:2 109:24	transmitted 6:4 43:25
systems 70:24 76:24 77:11,12 78:17 91:24	tells 18:6 73:7		timeframe 31:21 43:20	transmitting 84:15 84:16
	tempted 32:3		timely 97:7	transparently 100:24
T	term 66:15		timeless 42:21	transpired 83:6
table 40:12,22,25 61:11	terminate 91:15		timeout 71:14	trash 110:11 111:6
take 46:9 51:17 58:8 65:18 68:9 71:5 75:4 78:13 81:17 88:4 110:12,17	terminated 66:18 67:25 92:1		times 10:11 61:17	treat 70:8 90:22
taken 60:4 68:3 85:4 100:8 107:20	terminating 74:23 75:10 91:23		timing 43:18 70:12 70:14,15	trend 25:7 30:23
	termination 77:2 89:12 90:24 92:6,8		Timothy 1:23 113:4 113:14	tricks 23:14
	terminology 55:25		tiny 98:7	tried 40:2 100:9
	terms 12:10 42:8 44:8 56:18			true 113:8
	test 57:23			try 25:18 35:4,5 43:2 62:12 66:5 93:22 96:11
	tested 58:19 70:1			trying 9:20 13:25 14:9 19:16 20:13 26:22 34:2,12 37:9 40:16 43:7 45:2 50:15 51:8 55:19 65:24 79:4 101:19 101:20,24 102:14 103:20 105:19 110:9
	testing 68:1 70:3,4 78:2			turmoil 99:16
	tests 66:10			turn 4:12 47:20
	thank 3:9 4:14 8:6 11:23 25:24 26:2 31:23 38:16,19,20 41:22 42:3 48:11 73:2 78:3 100:20			turned 27:6 41:2 62:20
				Turso 4:7

twice 90:13
two 10:6,23 12:17
 13:19 15:21 17:10
 29:25 34:1,6 37:1
 39:9 40:24 49:10
 55:4 73:16
two-point 41:25
twofold 67:10 101:24
tying 41:11
type 41:25 52:13,17
 53:3 63:3 67:18
types 49:25 67:4
 78:21

U

Uh-huh 23:21 30:11
 30:17 71:19
ultimately 41:4,14
 96:8
umbrella 49:23
 101:16,18,21,25
un-ask 81:12
unanimously 6:4
 38:15
unassigned 7:14,18
 7:21 8:1,4,16,22 9:1
 9:4,15,19,20,21,25
 10:7,11,14,16,20,21
 10:23 11:22 12:1
 13:23,23 14:5,16,20
 14:25 15:20,24,25
 18:20 28:1,11
unchanged 103:13
understand 10:18
 14:15 23:25 24:20
 33:4 43:6,16 45:2
 50:14 54:7 55:14,24
 58:13,15 60:5,24
 61:9,16,21 68:8
 80:2 85:22 95:23
 98:21
understanding 41:16
 45:21 58:5 64:15
 71:3 79:8 96:12
understands 63:15
undesignated 20:22
unforeseen 104:10
unfunded 7:16
unintended 94:19
unintentionally 6:24
unique 44:12

United 2:16
unlooked 103:14
unreserved 20:21
unreviewed 103:14
upcoming 64:13
update 30:18 31:1,1
 31:15 39:13 46:17
 71:17 81:6
updated 16:23 26:9
 28:22 30:22 40:2,2
 46:15 83:19 94:21
 94:22 103:10
updates 84:4,6,12
updating 65:15 95:1
 95:2
use 15:2 20:7 33:11
 46:20,22 55:25
 59:11,22 60:12
 62:14 65:6,25 85:20
 85:20 111:17
useful 33:20 50:23
 87:11
user 67:25
user-friendly 73:4
users 53:1 62:14
 67:17
users' 85:21,22
uses 71:15
usually 59:11 65:25
utilized 11:20

V

V 2:14
validate 72:25
valorem 27:9
valuable 31:23
value 40:16
various 40:19 88:20
vary 10:25
Vending 105:2
 109:18
version 16:24 65:3
versus 47:15 50:3
visual 31:16
voted 104:3
votes 24:13
vulnerable 70:7

W

wait 71:8,8,8
walk 92:13

walked 90:15
WANDA 2:11
want 4:9,16 7:15 8:16
 8:16 12:5,6 23:19
 31:10,15 32:6 35:11
 37:1 40:8 42:1,15
 47:3 57:22,23 59:14
 63:9,14 64:5,6 68:8
 68:24 72:23 73:8,11
 74:6 75:14,22 76:12
 78:13 80:5,9,10
 82:3 86:18 97:19,21
 99:8 100:13 105:8
 106:8 111:21
wanted 16:12 29:5
 45:25 46:22 59:4
 74:10 81:9 93:22
 95:6 96:15 104:19
 105:9,13 106:2
wants 111:8
wasn't 16:13 25:16
 34:17 50:10 89:19
way 7:12,21,22 8:18
 8:22 9:17 11:7
 13:10,11,14 14:11
 15:10 17:14 18:25
 24:1 26:23 30:23
 43:16 44:15 51:22
 58:4 60:24 67:9
 73:9 77:23 78:1
 88:9 90:22 99:4
 101:3 109:5
ways 44:5
we'll 5:21 16:15
 39:10 64:14 73:9,10
 78:1 95:19 105:24
we're 4:16,25 8:3
 13:1 14:1,6 16:9
 23:11 30:20 31:5
 34:2,11,12 35:11
 39:20 42:5 44:18
 46:24 47:8 48:10
 49:12 50:15 51:1,8
 52:10,12,16 54:13
 55:16 56:2 57:7
 58:21 60:12 63:15
 65:14,23,24 66:23
 67:3,5 70:7 73:21
 75:16 78:21 79:25
 80:19,20 81:14 82:1
 82:6 84:5,15 86:19

87:3 88:7,13,14
 92:13 97:19 98:2
 100:5,16,17 101:19
 101:20,22,23,24
 102:2,13 110:9
 111:8
we've 15:21 18:9
 22:10 31:24 41:15
 58:11 63:11,23
 65:19 67:21 68:4
 73:1,4,25 74:9 82:5
 82:5,12 91:20,25
 93:16,23 97:11,22
 103:19,24 105:22
 110:4
weak 43:8 76:4
web 68:11
Wednesday 104:2
week 81:5
weeks 39:7,10
weighted 108:10
went 17:5 22:8 25:22
 43:15 72:15 81:4
 96:17 107:8 108:17
 111:11
weren't 34:18 57:19
 70:19 77:13
wet 101:22 102:2
wheel 95:20
whichever 29:10
wide 67:12
wire 104:9
wise 13:3
WITNESS 51:6
wonder 27:1
wonderful 73:24
wondering 34:21
 35:6 82:8,12
word 33:11 47:14
 48:3
words 59:17 60:22
 69:22
work 31:25 32:21
 39:10 66:1 80:25
 88:13 110:24
workers' 13:10,20
 14:17 16:3
working 14:9 49:15
 65:15 101:24
works 87:22
workshop 26:4,14,16

26:20 29:3,20,23
 30:2,9,9,16 43:19
 79:6 105:14
worth 64:14
wouldn't 8:20 9:15
 10:7 26:10,11 37:13
 61:21 62:8 77:3
 94:5
WRIGHT 1:12 2:10
 5:3,5
writing 35:7 91:11
written 11:12 12:20
 13:11 15:10 24:2
wrong 81:4 99:7

X

Y

yeah 4:20 6:21 10:25
 12:7 19:6 23:17
 24:20,24 25:8 36:15
 37:6,11,18,22 39:5
 42:17 50:18 52:2
 55:20 56:8,20 57:1
 57:3,25 61:8 65:9
 66:11 68:20 77:5
 78:11 83:14 85:24
 92:11,19,20,20 94:9
 95:12,21 97:4
 104:25 105:12
 106:10 107:11,23
 108:10,22 109:4
 111:25
year 16:25,25 17:4,8
 17:9 18:15,18 22:7
 24:12 25:3 29:16
 30:23,24 31:7 34:22
 39:23 64:2,14,17
 68:24 69:21,23,24
 73:1,10 87:4 90:12
 90:13 91:5 97:24
 99:5,11,25 102:9
 103:19 107:19
 108:19 109:23
 111:3,3
year's 25:17
yearend 26:9
years 13:16 15:5
 16:21 19:13 34:17
 66:19 67:20 82:4
 83:17,23 86:10 95:4

yellow 42:7	24-25 46:12	8		
yesterday 104:2,3,18	25 13:8 39:23 87:4	8 104:24		
Z	260 37:7	8th 29:12		
0	28 13:9	9		
1	29th 79:5 107:9	9:53 1:15 3:6		
1 26:21 53:14 59:2,2	3	90 109:13		
59:3,12,17 62:6	3 23:6 52:10 54:20	91 94:21		
10 36:24 41:3 66:19	55:8			
88:1 108:6	3.7 59:13			
10-year 34:16	3.72 13:1 17:5			
1011.051 6:13 8:8	3.82 17:5			
10th 104:8	30th 26:1,3,8,12,20			
11 13:16 41:3	28:20 72:17 90:19			
12 41:3 82:13 88:19	91:1			
12-year 64:18 86:2	3202 109:20			
12:04 1:15 112:9	33301 1:24			
13 41:3	34 6:12			
14 41:3 105:16	3RD 1:13			
15 89:2 105:16	4			
15th 39:3,3	4 22:10 23:8 28:15,16			
17 87:21	29:13 60:18			
17th 113:10	4.3 59:13 63:8			
18 87:21	4.79 22:8			
18th 39:18	4.9 22:8			
1974 94:23	5			
2	5 22:8 38:18 48:13			
2.5 15:18	59:12,18 60:14,18			
200 1:24	60:19 74:9,11 108:7			
2010 103:11,14	108:8,9			
2013 6:16	5.5 11:11			
2015 26:24	5.67 22:8			
2016 96:10 97:9	50 84:3			
2018 27:22,23 84:9	50s 111:13			
2019 27:5,7 45:6	53 13:7,15 15:15			
79:10 84:9	18:24			
2020 27:9 79:10	54 6:12 8:14 16:1			
2021 27:12	102:25			
2022 27:6,14	6			
2023 27:16	6 47:24,25 48:15			
2024 1:15 26:6	52:11			
113:10	600 1:13			
2024-194 5:16 6:4	6235 6:11			
20th 6:16 26:11 38:25	633 1:24			
39:5,6,15,21 47:10	6th 1:15 3:4			
71:18 82:2,18 84:23	7			
86:14 92:24 98:10	7 4:18 5:1,7 60:15,22			
109:9,10	74:11 78:9			
24 17:2 28:14 30:24				