	1 (Pages 1 to 4
Page 1	Page 3
	Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
COLLOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COLINITY	
SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Good morning everybody. I
SPECIAL AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING	guess, are we ready to start? It looks like we
	have a bunch of people here. Are we missing
	anybody? A few. Mr. Rhodes?
	MR. TURSO: Excuse me, Madam Chair. Jaclyn,
	if you can't unmute, it might be because the
MICROSOFT TEAMS	phone or whatever you're using doesn't have
THIRDONY MAY 0, 2024	microphone permissions.
THURSDAY, MAY 9, 2024 9:31 A.M 11:56 A.M.	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Well, let's go
3.517 (.ivi. 11.007 (.ivi.	ahead and start. We'll sort out our technical
	issues. Mr Mr. Rhodes, are you here?
	MR. RHODES: Yes, I am.
	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Just checking.
	All right. So let me go ahead and call this
	Thursday, May 9th Meeting Special Meeting of
	the Audit Committee to order at 9:31 a.m.
Court Reporter:	First up is the Pledge of Allegiance. I will not stand because that doesn't work well on
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter	Teams.
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.	(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 202	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I love
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	the flag on Teams.
Page 2	Page 4
COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH	<sup>1</sup> All right. Mr. Rhodes, can we do a roll
MR. ANTHONY DE MEO DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH	<sup>2</sup> call?
MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN	MR. RHODES: Indeed. Ruth Carter-Lynch?
MR. ANDREW MEDVIN MS. JACLYN STRAUSS	(No response.)
MR. PETER TURSO MR. LEW NAYLOR	IVIR. RHODES. Okay. Repecca Danii?
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:	6 (No response.) 7 MR. RHODES: Anthony De Meo?
MR. DAVE RHODES, Task-Assigned Chief Auditor MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director	8 (No response.)
MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits	9 MR. RHODES: Mary Fertig?
MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations MS. NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Acting Manager, Internal Accounts	<sup>10</sup> (No response.)
MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II MS. LAURA WRIGHT, Clerk Spec C	MR. RHODES: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?
MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B MS. ELIZABETH F. GABAY, Auditor III, Internal Funds	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.
MR. JEREMIAH L. CARTER, Auditor III, BTA	13 MR RHODES: Rob Mayersohn?
MS, MARCIA D, ROSENTHAL, Auditor III, Facilities	WIN. THIODES. TOD Wayersorin:
MS. MARCIA D. ROSENTHAL, Auditor III, Facilities DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING. Deputy Superintendent	14 (No response.)
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning	(No response.)  MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment	(No response.)  MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?  MR. MEDVIN: Here.
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul?
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul? 18 (No response.)
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations INVITED GUESTS:	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor?
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations INVITED GUESTS: MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting BECON Broadcast	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor? 20 MR. NAYLOR: Here.
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations INVITED GUESTS: MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting BECON Broadcast NRO Admin Mtg Linda Ferrara	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor? 20 MR. NAYLOR: Here.
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations INVITED GUESTS: MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting BECON Broadcast NRO Admin Mtg	14 (No response.) 15 MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin? 16 MR. MEDVIN: Here. 17 MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor? 20 MR. NAYLOR: Here. 21 MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?
DISTRICT STAFF: DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Deputy Superintendent, Teaching/Learning MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment DR. JOSIAH PHILLIPS, Chief Information Officer MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations INVITED GUESTS: MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting BECON Broadcast NRO Admin Mtg Linda Ferrara	(No response.)  MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?  MR. MEDVIN: Here.  MR. RHODES: Pavel Menzul?  (No response.)  MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor?  MR. NAYLOR: Here.  MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?  (No response.)

Page 5 that. 2 And then Peter Turso? MR. TURSO: Here. 3 MR. RHODES: All right. That concludes roll 5 6 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you very much. either. It just occurred to me, we used to, when we had traditional minutes, it would list who attended and who wasn't in attendance and the 1.0 district's been requesting that from other 11 mistake. committees. So at some point maybe for the 12 coming school year we need to start doing that 13 13 again, I think. 14 14 Oh, it looks like Ruth is here. 15 15 MR. RHODES: All right. I'll notate that. 16 16 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I see her. questions? 17 Good morning. All right. You're muted, but 18 18 good morning. 19 19 All right. So we can't vote, so I put 20 approval just out of -- because I'm used to 21 21 putting approval of the agenda, but, basically, 22 we can't vote on Teams, so the agenda is what the 23 23 agenda is. 24 24 Do we have any members of the public on-line 25 to comment in general or anything specific? 25 Page 6 MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Hi. I'm unmuted. Thanks. But, now, I'll mute again. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. MR. RHODES: And I am unaware of any members

Page 7

pull that up on screen; right?

MR. RHODES: I see it on screen here, but I'm not certain who put that up.

MS. ARCESE: I don't see it on screen. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I don't see it

MR. RHODES: Well, hold on one second. You know why? I just realized why. Because when I opened that document it covered my screen and I thought that was on screen. Yet another rookie

So, Ali or Elena, would you be able to put that up on the screen so that you can walk us through at the pace that you'd like to present and follow-up with audit committee members

MS. ARCESE: So, yes, I can just introduce the report and then I will hand it over to Ms. Pritykina so that she is able to give more detail as, obviously, she is the manager of both of those projects. So the first report that we're going to look at from that is the Behavioral Threat Assessment Training Program. This is more or less traditionally from prior audits. This was the training people did, usually the first

Page 8

of the public and we were not contacted by any prior to this meeting.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I don't see anybody.

All right. So then I think we are up to the first item. So, Mr. Rhodes, I was going to have you and staff drive the bus on -- on this. So if you guys want to pull up the presentation or the first report? Which we have the BTA Training Program for School Year 23-24 and then -- let's see. And then the July '23 to December '24 -oh, sorry, July '23 through December '23 is what that should say. So those -- which report do you guys want to do first.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2.5

MR. RHODES: We have scheduled for the training program first and then we'll go into -and then we'll go into the monitoring plan. We -- I'll begin this by handing it over to Elena with Ali also providing any additional information that Elena would turn over to her.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So they're going to

set of attributes that were tested in the past. So we looked from July of 2023 to December of 2023 to look at the requirements based on the district's requirements and what the state requires and we tried to ensure that those were in place.

Overall, it appears that the entire, just based on the sample that we looked at, so we looked at all 226 locations, slash, schools, and one center. And so based on all of that information I'm just going to scroll down to the summary where it shows that all complied. So the schools were able to -- and, again, Ms. Pritykina can give more detail on all of the different components and what that means, but, ultimately, we do have -- we did provide one recommendation where there was consistency and more or less unity throughout the district as far as what the requirements are. And, obviously, the Behavioral Threat Assessment Team provided a response that they would be making sure that in the upcoming school year that there -- that the requirements is what those prior trainings would be. And, again, Ms. Pritykina if you want to go ahead -- I can start at the beginning, if you want to do

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just a quick summary of what the report overall, what you have done.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PRITYKINA: I'm sorry, Ali, I was hearing you very -- the quality of the way it was transmitted, I didn't -- so could you please repeat what you want me to do, describe what, the whole audit or specific things?

MS. ARCESE: Yeah, pretty much give an overview of the results.

MS. PRITYKINA: Okay. Yes. So, basically, what we did, we concentrated not really on the BTA process, itself, on the threat assessments themselves, but on the items that are required for the district to be done at the beginning of the school year. So, basically, this is all the training for the teams, the assigning, first assigning the BTA teams for each school, and the schools should be consistent of the required members. And then all the teams needed to have the training before the school year began, of the many new team members, within the 90 days of their assignment. And also we were going by the policy that was affected at the beginning of the school year, but keeping in mind the new upcoming law that was effective from January 1st. So we

Page 11

MR. NAYLOR: How is the training offered? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, which level, Lew? MR. NAYLOR: Well, they're talking about the required training for all the BTA members, the team members.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Gotcha.

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so I could -- good morning everybody. Ernie Lozano, Director of Behavioral Threat Management in Broward County Public Schools.

So, again, to prepare for January 1st, 2024 we had to retrain the entire district on the new Florida model. From that time period we probably trained over 4,000 individuals. Not only did we train the four required members of the team, we also included -- in Broward County we trained every school administrator, every guidance counselor, every social worker, every psychologist. Because this work is important. And even if you weren't serving on the school-based team, you may be a district person who may need to support a team, so you needed to be in training. So we ran full-day face-to-face trainings from August through December. We were pretty much running them daily to retrain the

Page 10

did -- the BTA department asked us to include certain things that were not necessarily in the policy at the time. And this is actually to verify that the team assignments were consistent with the new upcoming law. And there are certain things, like, for example, the emergency rule that required the district to have a district threat management coordinator assigned by July 1st. So those things in preparation for transition to the new rule, we looked at those as well.

So, again, this was just concentrated on the assignment of the teams and training of the teams. And then the next step was to look at the BTA training of the teams and the actual student community. And so, basically, the first attributes that we used to have in the prior audit, we concentrated on them in this particular audit.

Are there any questions?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you guys have any questions? Because I have a couple. I want to give other people a chance.

MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Lew, is that you?

Page 12

entire district. And then our principals, vice chairs and chairs had to do an additional four-hour role-specific training that we also did face to face. So our principals, chairs and vice chairs pretty much did a full day and another four-hour training to be fully certified. And then your school-based team members had to do a full-day face-to-face training.

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you. MR. LOZANO: You're welcome.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Did that answer your question, Lew?

MR. NAYLOR: Yes. Thanks. Just a second follow-up. Is there a training provided -- I know that each district has it's own BTA program?

MR. LOZANO: No. MR. NAYLOR: No?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so it's state statute. It's State Rule 6A.10019. And all districts in Florida have to implement the Florida model, and those are the required state trainings. So we can't change their trainings.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. That was my question.

MR. LOZANO: Those trainings are developed by

Page 13

5

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

the State of Florida.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Anyone else have a question?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I have a couple of questions on training. Because I guess this is all on training. On page 7, and I'm trying to follow, because I usually rely on Mr. Mayersohn for BTA questions, but 7a, 7b, 7c, so the question was, did the school orient students annually, and all three of these have checkmarks. But then there are process improvement recommendations. It says the delivery methods and content and consider creating a uniform grade level specific content and mandated delivery format.

So then when I started scanning the responses, the response to recommendation 1 says that by July 1 they'll provide uniform, age-appropriate content in recognizing behaviors.

What format will that be?

MR. LOZANO: So we have videos. So we have created, See Something Say Something videos that include threat awareness and threat reporting, the programs we use in Broward County. We are

that the kids do not find them effective.

MR. LOZANO: So, again, with the video you're going to have staff presenting. That video is one piece of their beginning of the year orientation with students. And we're going to have to continue throughout the year, to exactly what you're saying, provide opportunities to have conversations with students and staff. Because just doing it at the beginning -- and like right now, right, we're a few weeks away, it's important right now to remind students the importance of even making false threats; right? And making sure students understand their supports we have in the district to support students with mental health through the summer.

So we have to work with our guidance counselors and our school-base teams to do exactly what you said, provide some hands-on --DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Interactive. MR. LOZANO: Correct. For our students.

MR. TURSO: Madam Chair?

MR. RHODES: Yes. Is there a hand up? MR. TURSO: Yeah. Well, it's a proverbial

hand. It's Peter Turso. I have -- I have a question on this for -- for Mr. Lozano.

Page 14

working with, gosh, I want to say their title right, Student Climate Equity and Diversity, because there's also requirements they have to do to orient students to the code of conduct. And in one of the new state statutes we have to orient students within the first five days of the school year. So we are creating a uniform presentation for all schools to use so that we deliver a consistent message on this work. Because that was kind of again, now, where schools were kind of taking our programs but putting together their own presentations. So we want to just make sure for consistency purposes as we move into next school year every student receives the same message in Broward County Public Schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So thank you for that. As a mother of two teenagers, one is a junior and one is just finishing her first year in college, the one that already completed Broward schools would complain about the videos, not necessarily for this, but for other subjects. So beyond videos, because I would think something hands on, scenarios, are there plans for something beyond videos? Because I can tell you

Page 16

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But on the student training?

MR. TURSO: Same exact. Oh, yeah, right where you were with your own children, same exact problem.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. TURSO: So I think Dr. Walsh hit it on the head where, so we have these videos and our kids watch the videos, whoever's watching the videos for that matter, that's great, but I can watch a video on how to build a thermonuclear reactor but I might not understand it.

Is there anything done to gauge if this video is, actually, being absorbed? Because, like I said, and like Dr. Walsh said, we can watch videos, but is there a measure beyond that?

MR. LOZANO: So this is great feedback for us as we develop this part. So we will look to kind of add to get some feedback and get some type of follow-up activity to gauge the understanding of the material and presentations we put together. That's great advice.

MR. TURSO: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that goes across the board. I mean. I would treat this -- this is an

Page 17

institution of learning, so I would treat this, I would have a rubric, activities, assessments. It's all -- to me, this is all learning, because it's training, which is just another word for teaching with the goal being some expected learning outcomes. Because I notice the recommendations speak to the student orientation and then the timing, like specific due dates, which I think you've addressed. But then 7b talks about orienting staff. And since their observation about the delivery methods and content of the student orientation varying among the schools and grade levels, will there be uniform training for staff and the community together?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO: I should put this -- I should put this group on the state committee. So the state is developing a uniform training for all districts to use with staff that we should have at the beginning of this school year to, again, provide a uniform message to all staff in the State of Florida on the effective implementation of the Florida model and then we will have to. obviously, add some additional content to be Broward specific with our specific programs. So questions.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I admit that I might not have read through -well, there's not too much in here, so those were my main things, was the training. And --

MR. LOZANO: I think a hand just popped up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: A hand just went up? Okay. Oh, it's Mr. Mayersohn. Yes, Mr. Mayersohn.

MR. MAYERSOHN: How are you? How is everybody?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Alive.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. So Mr. Lozano, I've got a guestion for you. So looking in the backup you have the 2023-2024 procedure manual. Is there a manual for the 2024-2025 year or is there none because it goes to the state plan?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so right now we use the -all districts have to use -- the state has their procedure manual. So what we are looking to do is add some appendices, because we can't change any of the language that the state has. But for our Broward specific processes moving into 24-25 we want to clearly define process and procedures for, again, how to document a behavior threat assessment monthly meeting.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, for instance,

Page 18

there is a uniform presentation coming from the state and their goal is to have it to us prior to the start of this upcoming school year.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then for the community -- like the volunteers that are in the school, you have people that volunteer in the school, you have SAC, SAF, PTA, would the training for those -- I know they're not mandated, because that was one of the things that we were concerned about when the policy was being developed, that volunteers were being held accountable for not reporting something without even having an idea of how to identify the thing that they're being told they have to report. So what -- will the training be similar to staff or, you know, for the adults as opposed to the kids, you know, the non-staff adults, the school community?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, I think that video should be appropriate for all. So I haven't seen it yet, but we -- between the one for students/staff, we will need to have a coordinated presentation that we deliver to our volunteers in our school communities.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So those were my

Page 20

like -- that's my point. I mean, the monthly meetings that you have, so that staff knows that they need to meet monthly, that they need to document the meeting monthly, and that -- I mean, my opinion is you should -- you should have a requirement that by such and such a date those meetings are scheduled. So that if you need to intervene, if you see a school that's having trouble, you guys can hop on a monthly meeting to help them, you know, get a better understanding of how they need either to report, identify, or whatever the case may be.

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, we do that -- we do that now. We monitor -- as the department we monitor all the schools' monthly meetings. We verify that members that are supposed to be there are present and we also verify that they discussed all students who have an active plan and review those plans and those students each month. So we're actively doing that work pretty much every day.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Is this -- is this documented or is it not documented? Like, do you show that -- have a documentation that --

MR. LOZANO: Emergency Suite is the platform

### Page 21

3

5

6

1.0

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

we use, and all schools document. And then we have a platform for our charter schools and all our charter schools document their monthly meetings and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-oh.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is that him or me?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It might be him. It might

be Mr. Lozano. Because we're all here.

MR. TURSO: We lost you, Mr. Lozano.

MR. LOZANO: Can you hear me now?

MR. TURSO: Yes.

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. LOZANO: We -- Yes, we monitor through two platforms, one for our traditional schools and one for our charter schools. All schools' monthly meetings, that their members are present and that they have reviewed all the students on active plans and they're implementing the supports they've put in the plan with fidelity.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So I guess -- so I guess at that point that it is monitored if it was in the audit plan or the audit group wanted to take a look and see, you know, here's a -although it may not be -- I don't know. Is it part of the attributes to review?

I would just be more direct.

And then as far as threats, is a bomb threat a type of threat that could be made that the BTA team would have to evaluate?

MR. LOZANO: 100 percent. We evaluate all of those as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the reason I ask that, and I'm going to share my screen a second, is at DAC last night we had, someone from Discipline was there, and she mentioned the word "bomb", which triggered me because there are all these safety & security policies. This one, the bomb threat procedure, hasn't been touched since 1991. And I'm just wondering, who's responsible for all of these safety & security policies and procedures being updated?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so that would be SSEP, not necessarily the BTA Department. Although, we would probably have a hand in this. So I would say the point of contact for this would be Mr. La Rosa, the Director of Safety & Security. And Kim Johnson.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Who is Kim Johnson?

MR. LOZANO: She is right now the Director of

#### Page 22

MR. LOZANO: Yeah. So that's the second piece. We are going to get to that next because that was part of the monitoring plan audit. So we'll kind of get to that in the next iteration of conversation.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. All right. I'm good. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're good? Okay.

I don't see any other hands up, but I have two last things. On page 8 in the process improvement recommendation, one of the recommendations is written, the OCA recommends that the District establish. The other one says the District could consider creating a uniform grade-specific content. Now, obviously, they're saying that they're going to go ahead and do that, but I think the recommendations need to be written consistently. And there's no ambiguity in the OCA recommends, where there is ambiguity in the District could consider. If it were me, I'd go with the OCA recommends. They're either

going to do it, not do it, in their response. But when you say could consider, that's a little

weaker than a straight-up recommendation. Because it is labeled recommendations. And the

thing being recommended makes complete sense. So

Page 24

Student Support in SSEP. So she -- she puts together our, like, tabletop drills for schools; she monitors their fire drills: their safety drills; their active assailant drills.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. LOZANO: So she's the director. She's like -- she works side by side with La Rosa.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh. Okay. Yeah, I was just wondering, because there's a policy review project going on and there are a handful of other safety & security related policies and I don't believe they've been updated either. So it's -so -- and then if you Google bomb threat policy or bomb threat procedures, out, you know, just on Googling, Homeland Security, I think cyber security agency pops up and everybody seems to have adopted the same checklist except us. So bomb threat procedure, it's, you know, the first thing that pops up. And it's all the same checklist. Like nobody's trying to reinvent -this one. It doesn't pop up on that one. Hold on. No one's trying to reinvent the wheel. It's the same one that everyone's using. So this would seem to be like an easy fix and it's

readily available on the Internet. But -- and

Page 25 Page 27 you could make it a fillable form as opposed to a percent to 20 percent. piece of paper. But I'm just kind of curious as MS. PRITYKINA: Yes. Yes. to why these haven't been prioritized given the DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So is there an explanation importance of these -- and there are other -for why -- because I believe this area continues like that's just one type of threat. What are we to be problematic. We'd have to go back further. doing for other types of threats is what I would And so you have 7 -- 7 failed this time as be curious to see. So I guess we'll follow up on opposed to -- well, that's -- wait, you only that so we have an answer before the 16th, when, looked at 7? if we have any -- if we're going to do any MS. PRITYKINA: No, we looked at 35 and 7 of motions, they would come on the 16th. 35 had some issues with the documentation of All right. So I think we're good for moving either logs or CPS, the Collaborative Problem 12 12 Solving team agendas or missing school-based to the next report. 13 MR. RHODES: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, can I make a counseling referrals. So the 7 is actually a 14 14 comment, please? combination of all different things that were 15 15 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure. assigned on the monitoring plan and some of them 16 16 MR. RHODES: I just wanted to let you know were missing a piece of information. So, for 17 that your comment regarding the use of the example, if you had monthly logs and one or two 18 18 verbiage, the OCA recommends, that's more active days were missing, we would consider that an 19 19 voice and that will be communicated to the entire exception. 20 staff for any report that comes out of this 20 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay. 21 21 office. MS. ARCESE: There's a more -- more DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Sounds good to me. explanation on the finding on observation number 23 23 All right. So who's driving on the second 2 where it gives more specific examples of the 24 24 report and do they want to share? 25 25 MS. ARCESE: Good morning again. So this is DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What pages -- what are we Page 26 Page 28 1 the second report for the Behavioral Threat 1 looking at? Assessment. This was focused on monitoring plans MS. ARCESE: That's page 11. from the period of July 1 of 2023 through October DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 11; okay. My copy's in of 2023, and they were traced through December of black and white so sometimes it's difficult to --2023. The sample size was 35 monitoring plans, so does anyone have -- does anyone have any of which -- and I'll scroll down so that I can specific questions? show -- 35 monitoring plans of which 26 were MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie? considered very serious. That was all of the 8 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Lew? 9 very serious threats that were identified in this MR. NAYLOR: Just a question. I see that 10 period. And an additional nine monitoring plans there's some comments about variances between 11 11 Pinnacle and TERMs attendance records and I'm that consisted of serious threats. So the sample 12 12 focused on very serious and serious. wondering if the implementation of the new Focus 13 13 So the results, ultimately, we did find app will alleviate some of those problems? 1.4 14 findings and so that information is within the MR. LOZANO: It should, because it's the same 15 report. I don't know if Ms. Pritykina wants to system. So both of those attendances will now 16 add any additional content to that, but I just 16 sit in -- like Gradebook is Pinnacle and 17 17 wanted to give a summary of the report as a attendance is TERMs, whereas now both of those 18 18 whole. symptoms sit under Focus. So the system should 19 19 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you. be uniform. So as we move into next year we're

20

21

22

23

24

25

all hopeful, just like you just said, that it

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you. And just a

housekeeping question. I see on here a

completion date of March of '23. Is that a

will alleviate the discrepancy in the

recordkeeping.

Does anyone have any questions or does staff have

MS. PRITYKINA: That is a comparison to the

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we have gone from 43

anything you want to add? I'm scrolling through

this. I saw 43 percent.

prior audit on page --

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 32

Page 29

3

1.0

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

scrivener's error or is that when this stuff was done?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PRITYKINA: I'm sorry, I don't see -MR. NAYLOR: If you look at any in those -in that table, any of the stuff was completed
March 21st of '23, I think. Is that -- is that
when this stuff was --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's the last time they looked compared to July through December of '23.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you for the clarification.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, it's coming back to me. Because they filled it -- so the only months we don't have results for is May and June of '23, which --

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So that's kind of moot at this point because then we started a whole new system. So then the next audit would then look at, you know, once everything kicked in this January.

All right. I do not see any hands raised, which would suggest nobody has questions or comments. When would the -- when's the next period, refresh my memory, that we're looking at?

really weird, you not having audio, but I don't see anything in the chat. So I think we're good on that.

What would be -- so if you're looking at January 1 through March 31st, when would we get -- when would we get that, the March results?

MS. ARCESE: So we are hoping that either by June or depending on what is available to -- because there's a lot of reports and I know that Mr. Rhodes is trying to accommodate that. If not June, then whatever that next audit committee date would be. But, yeah, we're ready to move forward because the next report, we would like to have it presented.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think we have a packed agenda for June and this was not scheduled initially. So unless we did a special meeting to go over BTAs, that way we do it before the summer kicks in if there's anything that needs to be addressed prior to the start of the school year, but we can talk about that some more at the regular meeting once people marinate on it. So I'm good on those.

All right. So I guess we're good to move on to the next item. Thank you.

Page 30

... \_

Like what timeframe would the next audit cover?
MS. ARCESE: I believe they are finalized,
they're in the finalization of the attributes
that were not tested as of yet, so it's -- - and,
Elena, do you want to give more insight on that?

MS. PRITYKINA: Yeah, I was just going to ask you if you want me to go over that. We actually are in process of getting the results from the fieldwork that we've done and we took a period beginning January 1st through March 31st of this year. And this is on the new Florida model procedures. So, we did -- we have a meeting coming up with the BTA Department about the findings and we're hoping to finish it soon. So that's going to be just the documentation process of the actual assessments of the threats and concerning behaviors.

And, again, this new model, it's not only threats, it's actually concerning behaviors so it could be different things included in there. It's a slightly different approach.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. All right. I think because everything changed and I don't see that Jaclyn -- I don't see anything in the chat -- Jaclyn, do you have anything? This is

MS. ARCESE: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So next up we have the proposed audit plan for Fiscal Year 25 and we wanted to start with a look back at the Fiscal Year 24 Audit Plan, and then, of course, the risk assessment, which there should be a link -- is there a link to that, Mr. Rhodes? Where did we leave off with that?

MR. RHODES: I'm sorry, I was pulling up the prior audit plan. What are you looking for a link for?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, it's there. The District-Wide Risk Assessment.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, that's there.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I was just making sure. And then you said you were pulling up Fiscal Year 24. So, Mr. Rhodes, would you like to go over A and B?

MR. RHODES: Sure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The audit plan from Fiscal Year 20 -- I know you didn't do it, you're not responsible for it, but I'm sure you reviewed it.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, let me share my -- my

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Great.

3

5

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. RHODES: Okay. So can everybody see that?

MR. NAYLOR: Yes.

1

2

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: Is it large enough for everyone

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it is for me.

MR. RHODES: So, as you mentioned, this was put together and reviewed May and June of '23, and then, ultimately, approved by the board in July of '23, which was over a month before I began my new employment here with the district. However, one of my first acts of business was to kind of go through this and see what it was that we had completed in the prior year, which was 92 percent of the items that were listed in the audit plan, trying to identify as I went along how things would get rolled over into the next plan as needed, as well as what areas were identified as areas of risk prior to me getting here.

We are currently going through -- I'm going to scroll through this real quickly so we can get Page 35

in motion. We are quickly approaching the 90 percent mark on the internal funds reports that are included in this audit plan, which should be -- at the conclusion of the June 20th meeting it is our goal to have that 90 percent mark met.

The other thing is, is that as we look at and we see what items we have when we put together the upcoming proposed audit plan, we're looking for some possible synergies for any items that may not have been completed in this audit plan with items that are listed in different areas in the audit risk assessment that we just received so that we might be able to capture the items that have been identified as high risk now as well as items that may be able to be incorporated into scopes for the future audits, provided that they are consistently similar and sufficiently similar.

We are currently -- as you see in line item number 3 here, we are currently undergoing a peer review as we speak, which is approximately a year later than it was supposed to have been done. So where they would normally do it every three years, in this case they're doing it as a four-year peer review. So we're looking at all

Page 34

past the prior and get into the current audit plan. And this is the audit plan that we're going through right now. We're taking a look at this so that we can give a report in the upcoming proposed audit plan to show what was completed out of this audit plan; what is it that we are either going to roll over into the new proposed audit plan; or closeout because they're completed; as well as other items may end up in the long range planning if they have been usurped by items that were identified in what we'll be looking at soon, which is the risk assessment. Because there are items in the risk assessment that appear to be more timely and more in alignment with being a higher priority in the upcoming proposed plan.

So as we go through this, again, I'm unable to give you a percentage of completion of this report right now because we're synthesizing that information right now as we prepare the upcoming proposed audit plan. But what I can tell you is that between the, I'll call them rolling reports that we have to have going, which is our internal funds, our property and inventory, and then the bond oversight type reports, those are constantly

Page 36

four years to get us caught back up. They are in the process of wrapping it up and we expect a -a preliminary report for us to be able to respond to on or about May 24th. We're looking forward to that because we are in a current -- I guess I would call it a current change with regard to our attention to quality assurance, quality control, as well as processes that need to be updated from where we were before. We have our own ideas, as well, as what we will take into account from the responses and the observations from the peer review firm. And that will help us not only in improving the way that our reports are written, but also our ability to continuously meet the requirements of our audit plan throughout the course of the year.

So this is really looking at things that were put together based on Mr. Jabouin's professional judgment at the time and his assessment of this. I wanted to just let this committee know, and in some ways I have communicated this, but being here for the short period of time and being gone for 12 years, the entire audit universe and the risk associated with that audit universe has shifted from what it is that I recall. It's the

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

23

24

25

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reason that I reached out to have a firm come in here and do this audit risk assessment so that we can have something that we know that takes into account everywhere from the observations and concerns of audit committee members, board members, and the various crews areas of management so that they can answer that proverbial question, which is, what keeps you up at night? And what is it that you need to be able to better complete your mission?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as we continue to go through this and audit it, so to speak, we're going to identify the percentage of this that's completed; we're going to identify what of it is going to roll into the new report; and then what of that is going to be in some way synthesized with the risk assessment document?

So if there's any questions about that, I'll be happy to answer that. This document has been out and available for the whole year. So it's probably not that much of a new look. But if there are any questions about it, I'd be happy to give any answers to the best of my ability.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Anybody have any questions regarding the preexisting audit

Page 39

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So considering it wouldn't be May 16th, that would leave June 20th, or, once again, a special -- you know, it winds up in the same place with the next BTA audit, potentially, because we have so many things already on -- we have to discuss the proposed audit plan June 20th; we have the last of the Internal Funds; RSM Roofing; Healthy Vending, Discipline, Property and Inventory; and that's for the June 20th meeting already. So that's kind of sounding like it might need to go wherever the BTA audit goes so we can wrap up the year and not start the next fiscal year with things sort of dangling.

MR. RHODES: Okay. Noted. And also I just wanted to let you know that I think Mr. De Meo had a question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, now, he does. Yes, Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah. Mr. Rhodes, how was this report, proposed audit plan, affected by the work that Carr Riggs did? Does it incorporate their work or is it -- was it done before they completed their work?

MR. RHODES: Well, it's for the upcoming year

Page 38

plan, the Fiscal Year 24 audit plan?

Okay. I don't see a hand. I don't see a chat.

I do have a question. The peer review results, you said you'd have them around the 20th?

MR. RHODES: Around the 24th is when their engagement letter stated that they would be able to provide that, that preliminary for us to respond to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. RHODES: So, you know, when people ask the question, who audits the auditors, well, this is who audits the auditors.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So when would you do your responses and the peer review would be available to the audit committee?

MR. RHODES: What -- I think the way the process is going to work is quite similar to the way that ours work, which is that we would provide our responses to their findings, they would provide us with a final report, and when that report is finalized we would bring that information to your attention and we can get that on the first agenda that's available.

Page 40

so it doesn't have any impact on any of the work that they're currently doing. And we're going to be very selective in what it is that we would use them for if they end up being a part of our upcoming RFP responses and award for that contract.

Am I addressing your question in a way that you intended it?

MR. DE MEO: Well, yeah, I wanted to know if the proposed plan considered any of the information from Carr Riggs, and it sounds like it did not.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, can I clarify? Remember, this is the one Joris did. This is the one preexisting. This is from this, this school year we're in now. So, if anything, the opposite would occur, where Carr Riggs Ingram might have looked at this in doing their risk assessment.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, let me go a little deeper into that. So Carr Riggs looked at a lot of things, including our reports, including this audit program -- audit plan, as well as having all the interviews that they had with the various folks around the district to find out what they needed to know about where the inherent risk is.

#### Page 41

3

5

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this is looking backward, what's on the screen right now. What I'm about to put up on the screen when we get done with this conversation will begin to look at what the future is going to look at what the future is going to look like with regard to the audit plan. As -- you'll see this is identified as a risk assessment coming up, as well as they identify it as a proposed audit plan, but it's proposed audits that they have made as recommendations within their risk assessment. We will, actually, through the use

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of my professional judgment and through the information that's available from the rollover from this current audit plan, as well as the recommendations that they've made in their risk assessment, and including some of the risk that I've been able to assess in the time that I've

1.8 been here, will all go into hammering out what's 19 going to be the proposed audit plan that's going 20 to be presented to the board at a workshop on May 21

> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We may want to dispense with this, you know, previously developed audit plan and pull up the risk assessment so that we're focused on moving -- on

help everybody, that size?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Everybody? I'm pulling up something real quick. I want to pull up the audit committee bylaws. Just a second. Can everybody see the statement of work?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, if you can make it a little bit larger, that would be great. Thank

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Mr. Rhodes, do you want to do like a synopsis?

MR. RHODES: Sure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

MR. RHODES: While Mr. Jabouin was on his leave prior to his final separation I was designated as the chief auditor for that period of time. And during that period of time I reached out to Carr Riggs & Ingram to tell them what it was that I wanted to have done; discussed the fact that they had done these for many school districts throughout the state; and that they had ideas of how to do this and how to do this most efficiently. We looked at it, we discussed what it is that he wanted to do. They provided us an initial cost. We negotiated that down to a not-to-exceed and they provided us the report

Page 42

forward moving. MR. RHODES: Okay.

> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you. MR. RHODES: Oh, great. I have to apologize.

No, I went to pull that up and now all of a sudden I got a notification that it's installing an update for -- I think it's done now. Let's see if this works.

Ali, I don't know if you're having the same issue as I am, but if you can pull up the risk assessment and share it on your screen? Mine seems to be having an Adobe update as we speak.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And, if not, I'll pull it up.

MR. RHODES: That's the -- I don't know if that's the part that we want to look at. That's the engagement, but the actual districtwide risk assessment was the item that I think we were trying to look at.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can pull up the engagement, because I'm not sure we ever did -the statement of work, you mean?

MR. RHODES: Yeah. Go ahead and pull the engagement up. Yeah, there you go. And if you can make that a little bit bigger? Does that

Page 44

that included the different procedures that they looked at and that -- ultimately, the deliverable that we sought. And that gave us a series of audits based on the highest level of risk that have been now spread through a three-year period. Because they know, as we do, that when it comes to internal audits over and above what it is that our -- what I called earlier the rolling type audits, that to fit in 15 to 17 audits with the use of internal and external resources, that was going to be what it is that we were focusing on, and that is what we managed to get in terms of that deliverable.

So the idea here was that it was just a discussion with them about how would we manage to put together a risk assessment for the audit -upcoming audit plan that would be specific to our district's needs, but also consistent with the way that they've done these engagements with other districts throughout the state.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. Anybody got any questions?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So then, if not --MR. DE MEO: Yes. I do.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

5

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Mr. De Meo? MR. DE MEO: The Appendix A is something, I think, that should be focused on. And it lists the areas and their relative risks. Appendix A is the last four or five pages, six pages of that document. Not that document --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you mean the actual risk assessment?

MR. DE MEO: I'm sorry. Yeah.

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: That's okay. I've managed to get that document up now. If you'd like me to share my screen, I can pull up the proposed risk assessment.

MR. DE MEO: Chair, would you like me to hold my comments until --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We get to the -- yes, let's

MR. RHODES: Hang on one second. I'll have that up in just a second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There we go.

MR. RHODES: Okay. So let me kind of go through the idea of what this was. We'll talk about this overview just a little bit as we go through this, because their executive summary really kind of looks at the fact that there's a

Page 47

think it's great. Understanding the existing risk of the operational environment; rate that -the risk of the audit universe; and then, ultimately, put together a prioritization list. They've used, as has probably already been seen by anybody who's looked at this, the fact that they're using very easy to read heat maps that show where these risks lie based on the areas that they're looking at, the functional areas that they were looking at one at a time.

So, they're looking at factors that involve governance, turnover in leadership, staffing vacancies in key positions, funding constraints. I mean, everything that we're absolutely going through right now. So this is also very timely with regard to how we would consider those risks in addition to what may have been considered into subjective risks that may have been the case in the past several years when these audit plans were put together.

So, for an example, the heat maps, this is just -- from the executive summary level, this is just a look at the organizational risks that we're feeling. And I think everybody can see that those are all pretty spot on. I'm a little

Page 46

-- there's a recent Florida statute that has been passed that says that now there is a requirement that a comprehensive risk assessment be done every five years. That was implemented July 1st, 2023.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 4. Oh, yeah, '23. MR. RHODES: Yeah, '23. I almost slipped and said 2024, myself.

And so what happened was, our timing was good to be on top of this. It was really kind of just confluence of good fortune for us. Because to get on top of this from the very beginning, I think, is helpful for us and I've already received a couple of calls from other districts that were asking some questions about this because they were being made aware of this new requirement per statute as well.

So between the fact that our audit policy -audit department policy calls for us to have an annual audit plan, and that it's now also a requirement of the statute, we put these things together and made use of Carr Riggs & Ingram's assistance to help us do that. What they looked at was our entire audit universe, identifying --I mean, this is a very simple graph to read. I

Page 48

bit surprised in our current situation that finance wasn't a little bit higher and a little bit further to the right. But this is all certainly based on questionnaires as well as interviews with audit committee board members and staff. So that is a -- it's still a subjective look of what this is. The fact from my professional judgment is that finance is probably a little bit higher risk than that. It's one of the ways that I was describing before that this is just one of the tools in the box that will help us to be able to put together the proposed audit plan as we bring it forward. So, with that --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Rhodes, if I can interrupt a second? So on the two -- oh, good, Lord, it's too early, axis --

MR. RHODES: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Likelihood is a measure of what and magnitude is a measure of what? Just for the good of the group.

MR. RHODES: So the likelihood is the likelihood of this risk being realized. The magnitude is the likelihood that it would have a greater impact if it was realized. So these are

Page 49

3

5

9

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the ideas of why we would want to address these in the audit plan, to go out and get a look, make sure the proper controls are in place and to make sure that whatever management is doing is set to address these risks at the level that they've been identified.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, by the way, I don't disagree with Safety & Security being where it, I just -- while I was talking about Finance. I didn't want to make any implications that I think anything else fell somewhere other than what it might show.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. No, agreed. Given where we are with the general fund balance and lack of reserves right now, you know, until next year with capital, I was kind of surprised. But it kind of looks like they're all jockeying for the, you know, top right quadrant position, so --well, once we drill into these, though, we'll get a better sense of what was driving that.

MR. RHODES: So this page, like I said, where they'll call this a proposed audit plan, this is where they would propose the resources would be used for the three years that are listed in the columns here. There always seems to be a pretty high concentration of audit activity that they're

covered this, so we probably want to see what's driving where everything landed, sort of to Mr. De Meo's point.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, this is just a very brief overview of what I've already mentioned. This identifies and it goes into exhibit -- the Exhibit B --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: B; yeah.

MR. RHODES: -- at the end of this, which really shows, not by name but by position, who all was interviewed for this, the information that they synthesized into this report.

And so we can see there that we've got about 41 individuals that were interviewed. However, I am aware that some of those interviews included more than one individual because they had some of their support staff there in the event that they were going to either provide some additional detailed information or share some things where, for example, let's just say in IT, where the IT chief may have had people that were specific to the security areas of IT, where others may have been in hardware and software, there were more than 41 folks that were interviewed because of those kinds of things.

Page 50

proposing for the Facilities area and the IT area. I think that makes sense to everybody in this group. I can't speak for everybody in this group, but I think, historically, what it is that we've seen have been items that are pretty routinely in that area and also pretty routinely calls for us to use some of our outside cadre that has the experience to look into those

If I can move on from this page? If there's any questions I'll take those before I move on.

those areas specifically.

things, the resources and experience to look into

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't see any hands or chat -- chatter.

MR. RHODES: So, we'll move on. What I'm going to try to do is -- if you guys feel that it's important for us to go a little bit deeper into the scope and purpose, I can take a second and talk about this. Otherwise, we can start to go into some of the different functional areas where they give a little bit more detail on the different audits that they're proposing based on the risk. I'll leave that up to the -- to the group to decide.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we've kind of

Page 52

And so we'll move down this -- this -- their methodology where they looked at these different functional areas. They reviewed board policies. They reviewed the district's organizational chart. They reviewed the mission statement goals and guardrails. Reviewed the audit committee charter. Reviewed internal and external audit reports. Reviewed management assessment questionnaires. Reviewed board audit committee -- interviewed board audit committee members. Interviewed senior leadership. And identified areas with the greatest risk of exposure. They looked at the inherent risk of these areas that we would normally want to look at, safety, reputational fraud, financial, operational regulatory and IT risk areas. They identified the likelihood of risks, which are ultimately synthesized in these heat maps that we'll be looking at as we go from one area to the next.

So, if it suits the Chair, I'll go ahead and go into those functional areas and we'll start to look at those. Is that okay?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Are you guys good with going into the functional areas, I guess?

2

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Hearing no objections, let's keep -- we'll keep going.

MR. RHODES: Okay. So here's where we start to get into these areas. And, again, this is just kind of restating what they did in their executive summary area. Now, we're looking at specifically just the highlights of the governance area here.

This -- I have to state, I think that this dovetails into something that this committee has talked about in several meetings, which is regarding the district adopting some form of top-down framework that would be, you know, consistent with COSO, if not COSO, and I think that this kind of shows that that is an idea that has a lot of merit just based on where the governance risk is listed and where it's juxtaposed in this heat map.

So then when we go into this they're talking about a governance model, and, really, this is something that is a responsibility of the board and the superintendent. So from an audit standpoint what the advice would be -ultimately, would be more likely for purposes of Page 55

just going through this from a preliminary standpoint, and this is going to be on our agenda for the 16th -- well, in June when we talk about the proposed audit plan, so we kind of wanted to get a sense for where the risks were. Hold on, I'm losing track of all of my sheets of paper. So the risks -- so Carr Riggs Ingram is going to be at our meeting next week to discuss this risk assessment. So -- and they will discuss that sort of at the end of the meeting, because we have other audits that may inform further their assessment of risk. So we can kind of just go through, I know Mr. De Meo is talking about Appendix A, but if we want to just kind of quickly go through these? We know governance. We've talked about governance, so I think everyone's clear on that. I'm kind of curious about teaching and learning because that sort of goes into the SAC account, school accountability funds and the school improvement plans to see if that was captured as part of that.

MR. RHODES: Okay. So if we could get a mental snapshot of this heat risk map we can kind of get the idea of student support services, one of the areas of highest risk that they

Page 54

maintaining independence, which is always front and center for what we do is, possibly, having some additional discussion regarding audit committee input on this for what the audit committee believes that the board and the superintendent should be doing with regard to establishing that framework, that model. Because whatever that turns out to be, we are still going to audit it in the same manner that we would audit the way that things are now, because the existing policies and procedures, in addition to the other more concrete forms of criteria. like statutes, administrative codes, standards, whether they be Yellow Book, Red Book, those kinds of things are going to continue to drive our work. But from that governance model, I think that that's something that needs to be done through either an audit committee recommendation. I would like to get your feedback on that because I think that it would be something that I could certainly help to advise the board on, but when it comes to adopting it, that would be something that audit would have to keep its hands very

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And I know we're

Page 56

identified. Again, I don't find anything in here to necessarily be all that surprising from what it is that they found. It will all be considered at the time that we move into the proposed audit plan.

So we can go in here, and one of the things that they're talking about from a teaching and learning standpoint is to really look at the Focus implementation to ensure that all the data is -- this is part of what I'm inferring from what they've written. And we can certainly have these questions asked of them at the meeting that they show up to on the 16th. But I'm inferring that they're looking at making sure that this is all accurate and very well protected. As well as identifying what does the information tell management about what they have to do to improve their processes, where that teaching and learning process is included and more specific student support services; in my mind?

Now, we have student support and mental health, which kind of ties into some things that we're talking about today with regard to BTA and some of the different aspects and attributes that are contained in that, but they've identified

Page 57

2

3

5

6

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

6

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different. Again, we can talk to them about that. That may be because of the work that's going into the BTA and maybe giving it a little bit of time to -- to marinate, as well.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, now, looking at Focus Implementation, Focus was implemented effectively for the district including a line of regulatory requirements consideration of the needs of key user groups, students, parent, instructional staff, district staff integrity. I -- these are the areas that they're identifying as areas that they think should be looked at, specifically, when the audit program for this would be put together. So we'll continue to move on, and as we do, just keep in mind up here there's usually checkmarks that identify which areas of inherent risk are being considered the most in this particular attempt.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I just want to pause for a second on the Focus Implementation and, also, I think this would dovetail into student support. Because next week we're looking at updates on the PCG audits which PCG is the one that had EDPlan, and EDPlan had a case management module which there was a request for a gap analysis so we

what it is and isn't covering.

MR. RHODES: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just grabbing the heat map.

MR. RHODES: Okay. I'll give you guys a chance to take a look at the Safety & Security heat map before we would drill down into that a little bit deeper. And as we can see, again, I have to say, I feel like Safety & Security Operations should likely be a little bit further to the right in this heat map, but I don't disagree with the areas that are primarily in the red area there.

But, with that in mind, we can move into some of the other more detailed areas that they've talked about. District Security Plan, Immediate, I do agree with that, because, although, there are plans in place, it would be a good chance or a good time for us to be able to take a look at that and make sure, especially with the leadership turnover that we're experiencing there, there are a lot of opportunities, historically, that these kinds of plans start to fall through the cracks as new people come in and are a little overwhelmed with what it is that

Page 58

could see what functions as it pertained to ESE case management were being performed by EDPlan, that fell under EDPlan that Focus will not be doing. And we haven't had that gap analysis and we'll have more of a discussion, but we could find that there are features and information that was available to parents, to the school, whatever, to teachers under EDPlan that will not exist -- will not exist with Focus unless they do some heavy customization. That's more of a discussion for next week, but I just wanted to point that out, that this is sort of before we even audit anything in terms of, you know, what Focus is replacing. This has been one of the points of contention is whether it replaced everything that was being done for the ESE community.

MR. RHODES: And to that point, I think that the general nature of their bullet points that they're putting in for scoping consideration, accuracy and completeness of data inputs and outputs would probably be an area where that would be captured as far as putting together an audit program.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Once we confirm

Page 60

they're doing. We might want to make sure that we take a look for that reason; if for that reason.

I'll go down and start taking a look at some of the specific items here. If there's anything in particular -- because this is a 90-page report, if there's anything in particular that you want me to slow down on, I will. Otherwise, I'll go through this a little bit quicker so we can make better use of the time. And, by the way, if there are any specific questions as we go through, for example, Safety & Security, it might be a little bit better use of our time to just see if there are any specific questions that the Chair or any of the audit committee members have about this so we can kind of pinpoint these things as opposed to just meandering through it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I -- I think some of my questions are about the things that did not rise to the level of high risk. Like athletics and student activities may not have a high -- you know, it might not be materially significant, but I think we can attest to there being some -- a lot of likelihood of risk that speaks to booster clubs. That's where that would fall, getting

back to Teaching & Learning. And then Academics & Curriculum, because I'm not quite sure what the risks were that they identified, so do they have an appendix with every -- is that in Appendix A, like did they -- how, whatever it is that they were looking at as a risk for Academics & Curriculum that didn't make it into the high-risk zone?

MR\_RHODES: I don't think that information is in there, but let's go down and take a look at it. Because what they did is they identified the things that they did --

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, here we go. That, we need to blow up.

MR. RHODES: Okay. Hang on one second. Here we go. Is that too much?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. Let me go back. No, I think that's good. I mean, we can't see everything all at once, but at least you can read

MR. RHODES: So we'll go down to the Teaching & Learning area that you were talking about and we can go back anywhere else that you'd like at any time. But when we take a look at the area that they did look at, they were focusing on the

Page 63 synthesizing these things to put together that

professional judgment. Because I happen to agree that anything that has to do with funding that's raised in or outside of the school, it has to be

considered. Whether we have access to it or not,

that's a different story altogether and there's a lot of different opinions on that that we're

finding out as we speak. But I -- I -- I look at

this stuff and I have a slightly different take on it than they do. And that's okay. This tool was used to help us to get an understanding of 12 what staff, the board, and audit committee, and

13 anybody who participated in that way felt were 14 areas of risk. It does not necessarily mean that 15 it reflects the adequate risks. It could be 16 staff is looking at the things that are the most

challenging for them, but it doesn't necessarily 18 mean that it captures all the things that are 19 possibly in need of some internal control 20 updates.

> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what we need is an operational definition of these things. And I would like it for the meeting, not at the meeting. Like they absolutely should have something that qualifies the definition -- what

Page 62

21

23

24

25

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

items that were the highest risk within some of these areas that we were just looking at the report one at a time. So in here we do have Athletics & Student Activities as a moderate risk.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But I guess what I'm looking for is what is it that's a moderate risk about Athletics & Student Activities?

MR. RHODES: We can ask them. Because I didn't get any additional information on this just yet. We did have a conversation with them, but that part -- that was not part of the discussion that we had. We were looking at more understanding and making sure we had a good grasp of what it was that their maps meant, why they identified areas as being high, and, you know, what it is that -- what it is and how often they've heard these types of things that caused it to rise to the level of a high risk item.

So these are questions that I think would be better asked of them when we talk to them next week to find that out. But, again, at the same time, the -- it's the judgment of the chief auditor and, certainly, I'll always consider the input of the audit committee when -- when

Page 64

do they mean by Academics & Curriculum, that kids aren't being educated? Because I would -- I would -- based on the fact, the school accountability funds and looking at the school accountability improvement policy, which speaks to the school improvement plan, if people are not compliant with the school improvement plan, you could very well run the risk of only 54 percent of your student population reading on grade level. Is that what was meant by Academics & Curriculum? Athletics & Student Activities, are we talking about -- are we sharing the same definition? Does that mean that they don't offer Athletics & Student Activities or does it mean the way that those activities are funded? I don't know because we only have a -- an area without it being quantified or qualified.

So I think it's fair to ask for what was the specific -- you know, was there a theme? How did these -- what qualified these areas to even be areas? What do they mean by Headstart and VPK, is it funding related; or education related; or both?

MR. RHODES: And I'm going to say that, I mean, obviously, primarily it's audit related.

1

5

1.0

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what is it that drove the risk level to the area it is. So what I'll do is I'll reach out to the folks at CRI and ask them to be prepared to provide operational definitions of these various areas that they've identified in this particular appendix and we can -- the audit committee can ask them those questions as well as I can get a better understanding of that as we go through this. Because this is -- this report is essentially about, now, six days old, not including I think a weekend. Let me look at

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But, theoretically, in order to assess the risk high, moderate and low they had to have -- they were working off of, you know, what do these things mean, the operational definitions. I mean, Student Services is highest on here. What does -- well, that, I think, based on the italics Focus and Student -- so whenever they're high, that's fairly clear, but it's not clear on moderate to low what they meant -- what these areas were focused on. So I think it's something that should already exist if they were able to prioritize for this appendix and for all of their

Page 67

Operations, Ariba Implementation, I'm glad that they want to take a look at all these systems as they've been implemented so that we can get a better understanding if they were implemented as purchased, at least, initially. And also if they function as they were designed and intended to function.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Where is Building -- so under Operations the Building Department just happens to be -- oh, because it's its own section. Okay.

Right. It needs to have more of a -especially -- more of a descriptor. You know, under Procurement we have Vendor Database, Ariba Implementation, PPO Contracting and Procurement Follow-Up. Under Building Department, it's not high, it's moderate, but what about the Building Department is a risk? We don't know.

Internal Accounts, we pretty much, you know, have a sense because we look at internal accounts audits.

On the other hand, Student Transportation & Fleet Service, wasted money; lost kids; what is the concern? What is the risk associated with? MR. RHODES: Yeah, that is one that I thought

Page 66

recommendations and everything else. So if they have something already that could be forwarded to us in advance of the meeting that would be great.

MR. RHODES: I will ask them that question and see if they can be prepared for that, whether it's in writing or if it's something that they can prepare for us in writing moving forward.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. RHODES: So now as we go through we can take a look and this does turn out to be probably a better way to look at this data. And we're talking about Safety & Security. Again, as you mentioned and I had asked them the question, specifically, what is it that the italics meant? Did it mean that it was simply a subset of Safety & Security for this particular example, and they said the answer to that is, yes. And they said also if it's italicized but it's not indented that means that there is something specific about that area that doesn't require it to be subset of anything. So Behavioral Threat Assessment is its own thing. District Security Plan, Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery and Community Engagement are all part of the same -- same Safety & Security item.

Page 68

would have been in a higher risk category myself. I was surprised by that one as well. But we already know that, like Internal Accounts, Property & Inventory, the amount of attention that we put to those on a month-by-month basis, I will be prepared to ask that question if the audit committee would prefer or the audit committee, themselves, can ask that question, if that drove that to that moderate level. Because I can understand that something that's getting a lot of attention consistently would be less of a risk than something that's being looked at as a one-off every two or three years.

Finance we can imagine, again, with all these different areas that they're looking at that are listed as high, this is just one more reason why I felt like that part of the overall heat map seemed to have this a little bit further left than it should have been.

But I do understand the things that are being looked at right now as well as things that have been recently looked at would probably have driven some of these things to be high and some of these other -- like I looked at ad valorem millage, I don't know other than what we picked

Page 69

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up in the reports from our external firms that look at our programs and our large programs that there's really ever much discussion about this other than when we want money as a district. I think that this is an area that should be better understood and could and should merit a look just for that reason. It's something that I think the general public probably doesn't have all that much understanding of what this means and how it

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But what is the risk?

assists in funding what it is that we do.

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: Right. And, again, from a risk standpoint, we'll have to ask them that as we get more in tune with what these operational definitions are. But the idea of it is is that I think the risk there is -- again, from my perspective is, what are we getting; how is it shrinking; is there a possibility that's going to ultimately impact the overall funding that we have and are able to use going forward.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. -- and I know we have a few more pages. Mr. De Meo did you want to ask your questions now or wait until -- we're on 87 of 90.

MR. DE MEO: No, I think it's really

the audit plan.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That makes sense to me, to sort of -- because, yeah, some of this is sort of like a grocery list under finance, when, in fact, one of the operational processes, you know, it lists the budget process, but there are things that would impact the budget process but they're not necessarily all grouped together as rolling up into the budget process. And then capital budgeting, same thing. Federal programs and grants, and just grouping, like you said, it creates a drop -- this is almost a drop-down, but they're not necessarily all aligned under a specific operational. They are under finance, but then within finance it may not be -- I think it's under finance because of the org chart. And asset management -- fixed assets. You have asset management, you know, the risk there is -nothing's in Maximo that should be, hardly anything is in Maximo, and when are we ever going to get there? And what was the risk -- are they concerned about assets going missing or that there's a lack of efficiency in terms of maintenance and wasted money because we -- we don't track our major assets as they should be?

Page 70

follow-up of what you said, and really to Ms. Strauss had mentioned this, and I think this needs to be address those issues. And the issues are, this is a great list, but, I think, perhaps it needs more detail. And the detail would be the operational function at risk, identify it, and the major controls under each area, identify them, so that a plan can be formulated. And I think that could be done with drop -- drop-down boxes so you don't have to completely make this 900 pages. But without that detail you really can't follow what the plan is. And I think the process requires you to do that to develop a plan. As far as the judgments go, you know, I think, my view on that is the internal audit department, the CFO, the board, the superintendent, and then the major department heads, they're the best judges of the risks. And then the audit committee with our limited scope can certainly contribute. So that's what I was suggesting. And it would be great if we could see the major controls, maybe the top five

controls, and the operational processes that are

at risk so that we can then follow that through

Page 72

MR. RHODES: And from our own information that we've gathered through various audits and through different means and conversations, we do understand that the fixed assets are quite likely because Maximo wasn't implemented properly and that the assets are not captured properly in there. Technology asset management is one of those under fixed assets as well as asset management.

So the idea of it is, where I look at this, how I internalize this is that this is giving me another tool to identify these areas of risk, but when it comes to putting together an audit plan, generally, I try to keep the line items focused but generic enough to be able to be flexible enough throughout the course and the life of this audit plan so that if something pops up to be a slightly different level of priority but still in the same area, we're not -- we're not hogtied to a specific set of objectives to look at for a line item, but we have the ability to go look into a certain area and develop that audit program as we get there and still be able to say we have completed that part of the audit plan, and where an item is nonnegotiable we would stick

Page 76

Page 73

with that to be, you know, much more, written in a more static manner.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I think I saw SAP somewhere, I know we're on page 87, because SAP, finance uses SAP but does SAP fall under IT? Is that to come?

MR. RHODES: SAP, Ariba, so that's --DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but, no, but that's not the only -- Ariba is for procurement. SAP is a whole system. I keep hearing it's outdated. So going back to Appendix A, is it listed under -- because I don't think -- did we get to

MR. RHODES: It did not appear in my search to be in here, so I don't think that it's listed as a specific line item in IT.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So --MS. HARPALANI: Through the Chair? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Hold on. Wait. Jennifer, I think started talking first and then I'm not sure who the other voice was. .lennifer?

MS. HARPALANI: Yes, through the Chair. I just -- my -- if we're going to list systems, like, for example, Focus is a system, Ariba is a

application?

3

1.0

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HARPALANI: Yes, it's an ERP application. MR. RHODES: Is somebody like feeding back or is that something I'm hearing outside near me?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It might be near you. It's not in my mouse.

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I think, to Jennifer's point, enterprise applications, it says high, but no checkmark for when it would be audited, and then what -- it's almost like you need to cross reference, but then we're also getting back to Mr. De Meo's point about the top five controls. And I guess what's the concern with SAP?

Jennifer, are there specific concerns with SAP?

MS. HARPALANI: Yeah, I mean, one of the things -- I mean, when I look at Focus and SAP, they hold data. And Focus holds student data and SAP holds employee data. And when this -- so we need to look at logical access, for example, who has access to this information? Is the access appropriate? Has the onboarding and the offboarding process -- I mean, you know, there's -- there's that whole area of logical

Page 74

system, I think it was -- what I found, SAP has various modules and those modules address various functions in the district. Like, you know, the hiring module, like Success Factors, it's an SAP module, the payroll module, the finance budget module, I think those also have to be listed, you know. Because I don't think, like you said, this is just a grocery list, and, you know, if we have a breakdown of, okay, here's the function, here's the area, here's the system associated with this area, that will also help us. And then, for example, when I look at like TERMs, when we did the audit of TERMs, we took the system and then we broke it down by, okay, we're going to look at logical access, cyber security, web application, and then we can break it down further, you know, to include in the audit. So those were my thoughts. And I was surprised that SAP and its modules were not listed in here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think they, potentially, are but just not identified. Ariba as one of the modules is, but wouldn't SAP -- what is SAP considered?

MS. HARPALANI: It's a system.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Is it an enterprise

access. Then there's the cyber security concerns, you know, as far as, you know, how secure, you know, because a lot of these are cloud applications. Are they hosted in -internally; are they hosted externally? And then, you know, web application testing, a lot of it is accessed, you know, online. You know, are they doing penetration testing; are they doing vulnerable testing? Then there's also the issue about data. Is it garbage in, garbage out? Are they cleaning the data? You know, because these are database systems, and -- and, you know -- so I can go through a whole litany of things and, you know, I mean --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They have it here, and maybe they were trying to keep it high level because they do have logical security. And maybe that's why there was no checkmark next to Enterprise Applications, now that I'm looking at it, that they would look at that in the third year. But that's all things in terms of prioritizing.

So I think the things are captured there, they just are not specifically mentioned. Like next to Enterprise Applications it doesn't list

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

2

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of them, like the ones you just rattled off. The only one we see when you do a search is Ariba, and that's certainly not the only one. And yet accounts payable was listed as high risk, but why? Is it the system? Is it the controls?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think, to Mr. De Meo's point, and maybe if they -- we're going to have this discussion again with Carr Riggs Ingram, that they then take a list and then before the proposed audit plan comes out -- I would've preferred if they'd sort of grouped it this way for next week's meeting, like take the feedback from today and apply it so that we're not asking them for it fresh next week, but they're responding to the comments from today and trying to add to it for next week's meeting.

MR. RHODES: Well. I think we have to also consider the idea that this is intended to be a high level, because when it comes to the details and identifying and selecting the controls necessary for testing, that would be something that we would necessarily do and that would be something that I don't know that this -- the scope of work that we had asked them to do, I don't think that it asked them or required for

Page 79

at the end of the day, like I said, the idea that this is an assessment of risk across the district, we get the ability as an audit department to be able to put together an audit plan that's going to be working off of these areas that they've identified in addition to other areas that we've identified previously.

And to go back to, just for a moment, a sidebar, to Jennifer Harpalani's comments, she was talking about various different things, but, for example, an HR audit would be just as likely to look at offboarding and the controls that would be in place for an offboarded employee to make sure that we would remove any of their accesses to any of our systems as much as it would be a function of a specific IT audit that might be looking at roles and responsibilities that are -- that are given to employees while they're here. There are several different ways that these things could be captured because these things, they kind or -- they kind of intersect with one another in various different areas. And so the idea of -- where I agree that the idea is that there are areas of different modules that intersect with SAP, an SAP audit would likely be

Page 78

them to provide us with -- although I agree it's important, but I don't know that we asked them or should have asked them for the top areas of -the top five internal controls per functional area specifically for a line item in that functional area. I think that's something that now that we have an idea of where these areas of risk have been identified to us by staff, by audit committee, by board members, that we now have the ability to take these areas, identify them, put together an audit program that would have objectives that would include testing for the top five or whatever number of internal controls that we would need to do. I think that's where our work starts and their work ends.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it does say prioritize -- I'm looking at their procedures. Prioritize, identify risks, including likelihood and impact, and you would have to have some idea of -- you'd have to have something to -- some details to understand the impact. So, you know, how many dollar amounts, number of employees, things like that.

MR. RHODES: And I think that's -- those are going to be reasonable questions to ask them, but Page 80

looking at a sample of the modules that intersect with SAP while we would be looking at the security and the functionality of SAP as opposed to having just a SAP line item. SAP is the hub of many different modules that we use to run the operations of the district. So I think that it's necessary that we would break it out by SAP and Ariba, SAP and Focus, SAP and any of these other areas that they look at as they're identified as an area of risk.

So I just want to try my best here to -- to provide a little bit of confidence and comfort to the audit committee that where these areas of risks are concerned, that is the starting point for our office to put together a solid audit program to get out and look at these areas of risk and identify the main internal controls and the main criteria being the laws, rules and policies that govern the actions or activities that are associated with these areas of risk.

So, with that in mind, I really do see this as a high-level starting point. I understand the conversation that's been happening here. I think that we can get some additional information from CRI out of that, some up front and some in, you

Page 81

3

5

6

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1 know, a question and answer session that we would have with them next week. But I do think that they're -- they went into this with an understanding that they were going to poll the appropriate individuals within the district and the district functions and provide us with the risk as they see it. Because, again, risk assessment always comes down to the one simple question, it's only one question, and that is, 1.0 what keeps you up at night? These are the areas 11 that keep these people up at night and/or they've identified as areas of risk that keep them from 13 achieving their management objective. And so if 14 we're going to provide them with any type of 15 assistance as well as identify places where there

are weak internal controls that could lead to

would put together. I don't think CRI really

fraud, waste and abuse, these are things that we

knows the internal workings of these areas that

they've identified as risk well enough to be able

to put those areas of internal control together.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, hold on. I think, just like this appendix sort of provides a summary, what it doesn't have that I think would help in terms of Mr. De Meo's point, if you go to slide

methodology.

MR. DE MEO: It's on page -- it's magnitude and risk likelihood, page 17.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah. Inherent risks, they go through the risk type and description. That's on slide 15. And the one you just mentioned, 16, and then magnitude -right. So that gets you to the magnitude and risk likelihood, which the two multiplied together give you overall risk assessment.

So the risk type, safety, reputational, fraud, financial, operational, regulatory and IT, that's identified for the high-risk areas. And I think having that as a summary -- somebody has to leave. Who's leaving? Oh, Ruth. That, as a summary, would probably -- because, right now, it's on each -- it's in each section, but if they could summarize that just like they did -- just like they did for the Appendix A, do something similar to summarize the -- I'm getting all my screens going. The -- I've got to go look at my copy. So just like they did in the individual areas, pluck that out and do a summary. So like the summary that they did for governance, it shows what type of inherent risk. That's, again,

Page 82

25, back to Governance, because I think they do this for all the high-risk areas, so notice how

it checks off the inherent risks, safety,

reputational, fraud, financial, operational,

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regulatory, IT, I think a summary, if they have a way, like, have by row, you have governance

module and then you have the inherent risk and a

checkmark identifying. So you could look for the

most common ones. Do we have, you know, the 10 inherent risk is mostly fraud or financial? I

11 think this gets at providing -- well, this, I

12 think, would only apply, they only did this for 13

the high-risk items. If you go back to the 1.4 beginning of their presentation they do talk

about what they looked at in determining the risk

level. I think it's on the inherent risk. Yeah, on page -- let's see.

MR. DE MEO: Inherit risk and likelihood.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What slide?

MR. RHODES: What slide is that?

MR. DE MEO: It's -- well --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know I saw it, too, and I

lost it. Executive summary, yada-yada, risk process, executive summary systemic risks,

organizational risks, proposed audit,

Page 84

on page 25. And do that for each area. Because now that we have a definition -- it's sort of to consolidate and summarize, so that at a glance if we're looking at the highest risk areas we know where the inherent risks lie.

We know that there's 90 slides here, so if we're going through each of the functional areas we then have to flip through the individual slides to look at the inherent risks that are applicable to that high-risk area, where they could just pluck that out and put it into a summary on a summary page like they did with Appendix A.

Does that make sense?

MR. RHODES: It does make sense.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. RHODES: I think -- I think off-line I might talk to you a little bit more about that to make sure that my notes line up with what you just said because we're going quickly and I also want to make sure I get this information before we would necessarily get the court reporter's information from this meeting.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because I think that addresses the more details request. It sort of

2

3

5

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't -- it doesn't give internal controls, but it gets us to -- it does identify the operational processes at risk. It shows you that they're high risk. It gives you more details as to why they're at risk and where the inherent risk is, summarized. Because it's in here, it's just that it's 90 slides that you've got to flip through to find it. So that would just summarize, I think.

Would that work, Mr. De Meo?

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think I'd still like to see the controls. Yeah, they have described it, but I'd like to see it associated on that matrix so that one could easily associate, you know, what is being tested. As far as the judgment goes it's hard to supplant our judgment for those that are part of management and deal with this every day. But certainly questioning is a good thing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh. Okay. So I think we're good on that. Yeah, we can talk more off-line.

I wanted to pull up -- good Lord. I had another -- yeah, the audit committee bylaws since we don't have a policy yet. In our bylaws we, of course, have to approve the audit plan. We

Page 87

2019 and the response. I think this was communicated via email so that's why it looks like this. The motion was, we moved that the audit department -- this is from District Advisory Council. We moved that the audit department review the School Advisory Council school accountability funds when they audit the school's internal accounts. It passed unanimously in 2019. I then think it fell into a black hole. This was also during COVID when things tended to fall into a black hole.

So the response was that, you know, it could be -- it could be added to the School Year 22 audit plan where it can be evaluated versus other areas that need to be audited. And nothing ever happened. It never got added to an audit plan. So these are the school accountability funds and DAC brings this up periodically.

MR. RHODES: With regard to the School Advisory Council accountability fund, is there a policy or a set of bylaws that I'm not familiar with that I could begin to look into that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So let me pull up everything. So the answer is, of course, yes. So we have State Statute 1001.42, number 18,

Page 86

review the office of -- so that would be more in June when we've got the proposed plan. I'm just pulling this up real quick. Yeah, I'm sure now that I'm thinking about it Mr. Bass probably wants to strangle us, but -- so the audit committee review and -- blah-blah, financial reports, financial reports. Review the Office of Chief Auditor's plan for the annual audits and make recommendations concerning areas to be emphasized and then periodically evaluate the effectiveness of the internal audit plan from the standpoint of how effectively the Office of the Chief Auditor's available time is being utilized and make appropriate recommendations to the superintendent and the board.

So that -- that's what applies to the audit plan in that policy -- or in our bylaws. Sorry.

All right. So, if we're good, I think we're losing Ruth at 11:30 she said, and the only other thing we have on here is from 2019, those of us who were on here may remember this -- if we're all good, I don't see any hands raised or comments in the chat regarding the risk assessment. So the next thing we had, last thing is -- let's see. This was the DAC motion from

Page 88

1008.345, 1001.452. And then locally there is 1403 and 1403(a). So from the state statute level we're going down to -- hopefully, I plucked the right number, number 18, it's down there somewhere --

MR. RHODES: And this will probably answer, but my initial question was, who controls the -those funds?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: SAC. I don't know where they live. Presumably, I'm guessing they live at the school level.

MS. ARCESE: I believe they live on the school budget.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: School budget? MS. ARCESE: Yeah, they're not in the internal funds. These are funds that are provided to the school through the state. So those are not part of the internal funds, but we can talk about -- Mr. Rhodes, you can talk about it as far as -- because you also need to keep in

COURT REPORTER: Ali, I'm having a hard time understanding you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I caught about every eighth word.

Page 89

3

5

9

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: I'm sorry. Apparently, I have some speaker issues. Sorry. I apologize.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. So this statute speaks to the general powers of the school board and the school improvement plan, school improvement and accountability, maintain the system, school improvement, educational accountability. I think it mentions the School Advisory Council improvement plan or that might be the next one. So it goes into school improvement funds, the district school board shall provide funds to schools for developing and implementing school improvement plans. Such funds shall include those funds appropriated for the purpose of school improvements pursuant to state statute 24.121.

Yeah, so this -- the implementation of School Advisor Council member training, staff training. And so this is establishing the School Advisory Council. It starts here in this statute.

MR. RHODES: Now, with that in mind, I think that the idea of looking at it at a time different than looking at the internal accounts, because it's not synonymous with internal accounts based on what I'm hearing and I just saw speaks to the composition. There is procedures for how you go about spending the money that you get. So these are all the rules there.

Then 1403, School Accountability and Improvement, that's the local policy. And then there's guidelines. And, again, this should mirror -- there shouldn't be anything in here that is in conflict with those state statutes. This is just at the local level.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, this looks like that it would necessarily require a deeper dive and more time on-site than any kind of an internal fund. And I know we've already kind of agreed on this point before, the fact that we got it through a motion that happened to include, you know, looking at it at the same time as internal funds, I understand that that was just the thought at that moment, but this is something that it could surely be looked at as it's own audit line item.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. On two fronts. MR. RHODES: The question that I'll have to, like, ponder is how -- how would we get the greatest coverage to develop a full understanding of how this works since it's done at the school level and each school would have their own

Page 90

a note that was put into the chat, as well, it might be something that just should merit its own look.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. It was just, I think when they passed the motion, because that was the only sort of most closely related type of fund, that's the only reason. So this gets more into detail of how you implement the state system of school improvement and educational accountability. I want to say in here it might say that the SAC is the vehicle that's -- you know, the vehicle responsible for implementing the school improvement plan. Review the School Advisory Councils of each district. Blah-blah-blah.

So there are all these rules that are supposed to be happening that speak to compliance with the school improvement plan and per statute before we even get to -- and here's this one, District and School Advisory Councils. This says, the School Board shall establish an advisory council. The School Advisory Council shall be the sole body responsible for final decision making at the school related to the implementation of those or two statutes. It

Page 92

account for this? I guess that would have to be done based on like a sample of locations to be able to look at that particular fund for that sample of occasion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, yeah. So I'm noticing both Lew and Robert have their hands up. I guess Lew's first and then Robert and they've both been involved in this.

So, Lew, do you have a question or a comment? I was sharing my screen and when I share I can't see peoples' hands.

MR. NAYLOR: No, no, no, that's fine. The question I have is, how do the funds come? How do they flow? Do they flow to the district and then 100 percent of what the district is provided goes to the schools or does the district retain a portion of that money for other -- another purpose, such as funding the school accountability office?

MS. ARCESE: So as far as funding the school on that, I don't want to answer until I'm able to actually look into it, but there is guidance in the school budget guidelines. So the dollars come from the state through the FEFP funding. It is passed -- there is a categorical separation of

these funds. They do not commingle with the regular school budget. And so once whatever the decision may be based on, the SAC committee or the school's voting, whatever their decisions are, then the funding would correspond with the SAC funding.

MR. NAYLOR: I understand. I've been on SAC teams for 30 years, so I understand how that works. My question is whether the funding -- 100 percent of the funding that comes from the state for this purpose goes to the schools? That's the question. Thank you.

MS. ARCESE: And that would be something that we would look at as part of the audit guidelines to ensure that, if that's the requirement of the state based on the statute, we need to ensure that the schools are using those funds consistent with the expectation of the state. I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think Lew is asking, is that how it works? Not from an audit perspective but asking. So that's sort of a question we need --

MR. NAYLOR: Well, that would be part of the audit though; right?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That is once we establish

Page 95

balance, the ending balance, where the funds are going, you know -- and, again, everybody deals with it a different way, but there should be a district template very similar to a district bylaw template that the reporting of the funds are, here's our beginning balance, here's what was spent, here's what was put aside for reserves, and how that money is being utilized and how it then meets the school improvement plan, not that they're paying for, you know, tickets for -- airline tickets for a booster club group to go to Las Vegas for some convention.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Linda Ferrara who has been on DAC for a minute since last night is typing into the chat some additional information. If there are funds remaining after the disbursement of recognition awards the district can allocate up to \$5 per unweighted full FTE student to be used at the discretion of SAC for implementing the school improvement plan, which starts with \$100 per student for qualified schools. That's under state -- Florida Statute 1008.36.

MR. NAYLOR: Is that a misstatement? Because she said recognition funds, we're talking about

Page 94

what the rule is; yeah.

1.0

1.8

1.4

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So I think someone needs to confirm that that's the rule and then you would be able to audit to make sure that's happening. And beyond funds, the funding issue, which is what the motion was about, there's also compliance with the school improvement statutes and policy. Because that's not audited, it's more of an operational audit, but it's a compliance audit and I've never seen one of those done. Because there are things other than funds that are of concern.

Robert, you had your hand up, too?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah. I recall this motion and I remember the main issue was that it wasn't about the amount of money, how it gets funded. I think the issue was, how the money is being spent. So, again, the SAC is supposed to approve an expenditure, and there were some expenditures that weren't approved by the SAC, nor was there any, I'll call it detailed accountability of where those funds were going. So, for example, I think -- I think it was changed to that the SAC is supposed to have on their agenda the beginning

Page 96

accountability.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Linda? She says, oops. Okay.

MS. FERRARA: I grabbed the wrong one, because that was listed under accountability. Sorry about that. I will find.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. FERRARA: But it included the \$5 and the \$5 is what the student allocation is.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. I think the \$5 goes directly to the school and they're not allowed to take anything off the top, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So the compliance, just from an audit standpoint, the compliance is with, you know, the funds reported -- you know, and are they reporting them? They could do budget to actual. It's not like they don't have that information. And then also compliance with the school improvement plan requirements. So it's both monetary and operational. And I just wanted to -- what is this, who -- oh, Ali, is that you?

MS. ARCESE: Yes, I just provided the snippet from the school -- can you hear me better, by the way? Is this better?

Page 9	7 Page 99
DIV. L'INCH-WALOH. 165. 165.	to a million dollars of motions that were made
MO. ANOLOL. Okay. Great.	that did not correlate back to the school
All right. This is a shipper from the	improvement plan.
scribors budget guidelines on what they should be	DIX. L'INCH-WALOH, OKAY.
following as far as the school they call it	IVIIN. IN IODES. And, IVIAGAITI CHAIL!
accountability, but it's for the Florida school	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.
Recognition Funds that they receive.	MR. RHODES: Is anybody either on this
B DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.	committee or in this meeting aware of this having
9 MS. ARCESE: Okay?	been audited at any point in the past?
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And if you guys	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Linda? I'm not.
click on it you can blow it up. So	MS. FERRARA: No, no. It's been it's been
MS. FERRARA: I copy and pasted from there.	swept under the carpet.
That's what mine said exactly.	MR. NAYLOR: And one of the things that
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.	Parent Community Involvement Task Force has been
MR. NAYLOR: But that's not that's not	looking into is reviewing SAC minutes and seeing
given to every school. It's only when they	if the budget is being presented to the SAC team
earned when they have the they meet the	for approval. So that could be also a component
required criteria for earning recognition funds.	of an audit.
Because that's 100 dollars per student. That's	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The compliance piece.
the school recognition dollars.	MR. NAYLOR: Right.
MS. ARCESE: Correct.	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, Mr. Rhodes, why don't
MS. FERRARA: No, they changed to up to \$100	). we get together off-line and then DAC can sort of
Because the allocation used to be higher and when	consolidate their observations and maybe submit
they changed the language they left the	that and then have a discussion at their next
flexibility to allow additional less funds to	meeting at the end of the month so we can sort of
Page 9	-
	-
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this	Page 100
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.	Page 100  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like	Page 100  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a	page 100  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe	page 100  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years	page 100  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look  the rules that are in place to see what would  drive a compliance audit and then also as far as  the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so  we would have because it sounds like we have a
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look  get more clarity on exactly what issues and look  the rules that are in place to see what would  drive a compliance audit and then also as far as  the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get  information from DAC, if they happen to know, so  we would have because it sounds like we have a
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also-
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look  the rules that are in place to see what would  drive a compliance audit and then also as far as  the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get  information from DAC, if they happen to know, so  we would have because it sounds like we have a  pretty solid answer from this group that no audit  of this area has been done before. But if DAC  has a definitive answer to that, that might be  something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also-  MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look  the rules that are in place to see what would  drive a compliance audit and then also as far as  the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get  information from DAC, if they happen to know, so  we would have because it sounds like we have a  pretty solid answer from this group that no audit  of this area has been done before. But if DAC  has a definitive answer to that, that might be  something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also-  MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also- MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also - MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing,	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also- MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.  MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also - MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.  MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also - MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.  MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were made to allocated funds did not correlate to the	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also- MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.  MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were made to allocated funds did not correlate to the school improvement plan. So when you're spending	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also - MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.  MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the audit committee, too, so, yeah, she would be
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were made to allocated funds did not correlate to the school improvement plan. So when you're spending \$5,000 on a microphone to assist 10 students,	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also - MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no. MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the audit committee, too, so, yeah, she would be involved.
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before?  MS. FERRARA: No, it's up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes?  MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were made to allocated funds did not correlate to the school improvement plan. So when you're spending \$5,000 on a microphone to assist 10 students, that's where all this came up in conversation	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no. MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the audit committee, too, so, yeah, she would be involved.  MR. NAYLOR: Right. But as far as the
be appropriated. Because it says up to. So this is not the other one.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So it sounds like we need to do some fact finding. DAC has a meeting on the 30th of this month. And maybe they can revisit the motion for 2024, five years later. Because, actually, the motion was passed May 8th, 2019. So five years and a day. So maybe revisit, fine tune it, expand, if necessary. Because if we're considering that for the audit plan and they have another motion for this year that would help.  Linda, is your hand up now or from before? MS. FERRARA: No, it's up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? MS. FERRARA: Okay. I am the maker of motion. What we were finding in reviewing, particularly, high schools, because they received the most money, is that the motions that were made to allocated funds did not correlate to the school improvement plan. So when you're spending \$5,000 on a microphone to assist 10 students,	get more clarity on exactly what issues and look at the rules that are in place to see what would drive a compliance audit and then also as far as the funds go.  MR. RHODES: Is it possible that we would get information from DAC, if they happen to know, so we would have because it sounds like we have a pretty solid answer from this group that no audit of this area has been done before. But if DAC has a definitive answer to that, that might be something that they could transmit to us as well.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And that can also MR. NAYLOR: Nathalie?  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.  MR. NAYLOR: I'm sorry for interrupting you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no. MR. NAYLOR: Could I suggest that you include Mary in this conversation because she's she's been looking at this.  DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, she is part of the audit committee, too, so, yeah, she would be involved.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MS. FERRARA: And I've been on DAC for 28 years. And this has -- it's come up time after time but no audit has ever been done.

1

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay. Maybe DAC needs to survey the SAF chairs. Because SAF chairs sit on SAC. And that could be another exercise.

Okay. So I just wanted to bring that up because that's something that got put on a back burner or even taken off the stove altogether and that motion was passed five years ago. So it seemed relevant right now. And it sort of is related to -- it's those funds that you have at schools that impact schools directly. They're not material individually, but collectively they are and related to sort of the booster club issues. So, hopefully, we can get some -- some audits and some structure and some compliance in place for those.

Agenda planning for May 16th.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I just have a follow-up question on this.

So if these funds are held within the school's budget, are they audited when they audit the school's budget? And are they -- or are

Page 103

what it is that they're going to look at in addition to those areas that they're required to look at. And I don't know that these funds would rise to the level of being looked at. But if they are required to be reported to the state someone has to be auditing them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that sounds like a good follow-up.

So for next week, because of the timing of this meeting, I had to have the agenda done for next week. Hold on. Where did I throw it? I thought I had it open. One second. Sleep depravation is starting to take effect. Because I had it open two seconds ago.

Agenda for next week. So -- and then you should have an agenda planning document as part of the backup for this meeting and that also has May and June in it. So next week we have minutes from April 11th, the follow-up, which includes this discussion, the list of booster clubs by school. If we have any motions related to the BTA training, that would be the time to bring them. We won't rehash everything we discussed today, but if there are any motions, you know, that need to come out of it, then we can vote

Page 102

they -- does the state have the ability to audit it or -- because I saw at one point in time, and, again, legislation may have changed, where the accountability piece of this was required to be reported to the Department of Education.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I don't know if that still exists in statute, whether those -- because I know everything has morphed and change from what it used to be to what it is now even to the point of, you know, SAC's changed from SAC to SAF, back to SAC.

So I'm wondering, in that line, whether or not these funds are auditable by the state.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think that's a good question. Are they audited with the schools' budgets? Do the schools' budgets get audited? Does anyone from OCA know?

MR. RHODES: I don't know that, but what I can do is I can take a look at some of the prior reports and find out if this has ended up in their scope. Because what I think they generally do is they -- some of these different state and federal audits they look at it by the size of a fund when they're putting together their sample,

Page 104

next week. Same here, see if we get some answers to that question about the SAC funds being audited as part of the school's budget.

Mr. Rhodes, is it looking like we're getting the list of booster clubs for next week?

MR. RHODES: I haven't heard anything back yet. I'll follow up on that after this meeting. My recollection is they were supposed to provide at least the list by the 7th. I will reach back out to Dr. Fulton and find out where that is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah. I just want to make sure so we don't get to next week without even so much as a list.

And then the general fund, the proposed policy changes. I think by tomorrow you'll know so that can be sent out?

MR. RHODES: I'm sorry?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So when the agenda goes out we'll either have the one that they worked on prior or if there's any updates you'll know by tomorrow; for the general fund policy?

MR. RHODES: Yeah, I'm going to know that by tomorrow.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then internal funds and Carr Riggs Ingram. I put the

Page 105

3

5

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

district-wide risk assessment at the end in case anything sort of percolated up out of the discussions having to do with the guarterly RSM audit, the Big 3 Audit, the PCG follow-ups. It's a tight agenda so that we can knock these out because they've been, you know, in the pipeline for a while. That's what's on the docket for next week.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then June we have -- let's see. June, there shouldn't be any surprises there. So May 16, that's all the same stuff. We would discuss the proposed audit plan, the last of internal funds, RSM roofing. This is starting to sound pretty packed. So we may, like with the BTAs and the other items, need like one last special meeting and then we would be all caught up and ready to roll to take the rest of the summer off and get rolling again in August. So that's what we have on June. You should have -- this document is linked online in case there's anything you can think of that was missed.

MR. RHODES: Just a follow-up to that question about the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and then Lew has his hand up.

later date receiving the revenues and expenditures? This was the most current response that says June 27th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But you're going to follow up? Because June 27th --

MR. RHODES: I am going to follow up on that and see if there is anything that we can get prior to that date.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah, because I thought I saw something in the atmosphere that they needed to get it sooner. They were serving schools or something.

And then we have -- our motions, so when we do a motion, if we transmit it they have 21 days to respond. But that's been problematic, especially since they're down clerical help in OCA and the timing of minutes. So we'll have to talk about how we -- what we put forward as a motion versus a follow-up. Because we are also covered under the chief auditor's policy, and I just want to make sure we're not getting hung up in this bureaucratic process when we don't have to be for certain things. Because if we're asking for something for the agenda, that 21 days doesn't come into play and we don't need a motion

Page 106

MR. RHODES: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. NAYLOR: No, go ahead, finish. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, finish your thought.

MR. RHODES: So I pulled up the email and I think I had discussed this with you previously, that we did receive a response back and I thought it said something different than what I'm reading again. It said, we are happy to comply with your request and after careful review with my team we have developed a strategic plan to gather the requested information and prepare it in a transferable format. We anticipate being able to provide this information by June 27th. That will probably ring a bell with you, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, with me? MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Wait, but that -- so is it going to be the 7th or not?

MR. RHODES: No, that was the previous request, and that was what I was recalling. And this response was to my email to them advising that we had previously had an agreement that it was going to be delivered by the 7th. Remember, there was discussion about breaking it into two parts, the list of the schools and then at a

Page 108

for it. But, anyway -- sorry. Lew, you had a question or a comment and then we need to wrap

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, just -- well, a general question. As a new member, I'm trying to get my feet on the ground and I'm trying to understand when the material for the next meeting is available. I'm asking for a hard copy, but if it's also available online, it could allow me to start reviewing the information ahead of time. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So, Mr. Rhodes, do you want to answer that?

MR. RHODES: Yeah. One, we can definitely provide you a hard copy. Those generally go out the Thursday before the meeting. But then we also link up the information on the Office of the Chief Auditor's website with all of the electronic documents available on the Friday before the meeting. So for the meeting for the 16th we will have the electronic information available on the Office of the Chief Auditor's website on May 10th. That generally happens somewhere around 2 to 3 p.m. And the other information, if you're requesting it, it sounded

Page 109

2

5

1.0

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like you did, I will put you down for a hardcopy packet and we'll use the information we have on file to make sure that gets out to you.

Oh, I just got notified that the hardcopy packets do go out on Friday as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that would be tomorrow. MR. NAYLOR: Right. So that means it would probably be delivered on Monday for a Thursday

meeting?

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: Yes. I think -- I can't -- I don't know when they actually deliver, but I know that as long as we get them out on time we haven't had any complaints in terms of the timeliness of the delivery.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, sir. MS. ARCESE: We can try to get them to everyone by -- I'm sorry, this is Ali Arcese, we can try to get them to everyone by Saturday so it gives you more time to be able to go through those materials going forward.

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you. MS. ARCESE: You're welcome.

MR. TURSO: Just a note -- just a note for Lew Naylor. Lew, in the end, they mail them out, generally, in my experience, on Friday, and they

guess, substitute the laptop for sending FedEx and paperwork. So I'm just throwing that out there. We can discuss that later and come up with a possible solution.

MS. ARCESE: Mr. Mayersohn, I believe that was being looked at. I believe that there was something on the follow-up agenda at one point where Dr. Phillips had attended and I think he was going to share information. But I believe that was one of those meetings where we ended up pushing that item to the next meeting and I think it just kind of got dropped. I will make sure that -- or I will, through Mr. Rhodes, we'll reach out to Dr. Phillips again to see if there's a way that they can accommodate that. Because I don't believe it's just the audit committee that's requested that. I think that there are other committees that have requested that. So I think they were looking at possibly providing that service to all committee members throughout the district. So more to come. MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I would support rolling

it out to the audit committee first as a pilot program.

MS. ARCESE: Got it.

Page 110

come FedEx next day on Saturday.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, Peter.

MR. TURSO: You're welcome.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If everyone's good --MR. MAYERSOHN: There's one other thing that I just want to add to this, and I don't know how committee members feel and we can discuss it further or whatever next week, but I support the idea of us, and I discussed this Ali and I discussed this with Mr. Jabouin, we have been using a lot of paper. And, obviously, if we're looking at costs, you know, to save that paper would be greatly appreciated. So I don't have a problem using my laptop, but I don't want to sit there and have 20 million gigabytes stored somewhere. So the discussion was to get a device from the district that would cost X amount of dollars and over the course of two years not utilizing paper would get your money back, somewhere where we could write on a screen, write notes, do whatever it is, whether it's, you know, a Microsoft tablet or something. And I don't

know how everybody feels. There are some, I know

like Rebecca Dahl, that wants paper and pencil.

But there may be others that may be willing to, I

Page 112

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think there was only one other committee. And, remember, you guys got asked about, you were given an email address and I actually had two, one of which I didn't even know I had, because that's never been formally rolled out. And that's not on the audit department, that's whoever's in charge of -well, whoever's in charge of advisories, which appears to be no one. So I believe they may even have laptops or whatever device available and ready to hand them off to the audit committee, they just -- and they could, you know, just do this -- just send a written update, we have the laptops, shall we come to your next meeting and give it to you, now that it's June and the end of the year. But I believe that everybody was sent an email. This happened a few months ago, with an email address and that was step 1 to them handing out the devices.

So I guess we'll -- we'll look -- we'll look at that. So, Robert, you want one for the June meeting? Or for next year?

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I mean, I'll take one -if there's one in June, I'll take one in June.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I think there

	Page 113	
1	may be. They made it sound like it was imminent.	
2	But they had the email addresses set up and then	
3	the next step was to disseminate these devices.	
4	And they mentioned one other committee besides	
5	audit. Because, as you might well imagine, you	
6	know if you're giving them to everybody on DAC,	
7	that gets a little pricey.	
8	All right. Okay. So it's 11:56. If there's	
9	nothing else, I'm going to go ahead and adjourn	
10	the meeting. Thank you all very much and I'll	
11	see you next week in person at KCW.	
1.2	MR. RHODES: Have a great weekend everybody.	
13	(Meeting was concluded at 11:56 a.m.)	
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 114	
1		
1 2	Page 114  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA	
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary	
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,	
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did	
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and	
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 16th day of May, 2024, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	

A
<b>a.m</b> 1:13,13 3:19 113:13
ability 36:14 37:23
72:21 78:10 79:3
102:1
able 7:12,19 8:13
35:13,15 36:3 37:10
38:8 41:17 48:12
59:19 65:24 69:21
72:15,23 79:4 81:20
92:3,21 94:5 106:12
109:19
absolutely 47:14
63:24
absorbed 16:14
<b>abuse</b> 81:17
Academics 61:1,6
64:1,10
access 63:5 74:15
75:21,22,22 76:1
accessed 76:7
accesses 79:15 accommodate 31:10
111:15
account 36:10 37:4
55:19 92:1
accountability 55:19
64:4,5 87:7,17,20
89:6,8 90:10 91:4
92:19 94:22 96:1,5
97:6 102:4
accountable 18:12
accounts 2:10 67:19
67:20 68:3 77:4 87:8 89:23,25
87:8 89:23,25
accuracy 58:21
accurate 56:15
achieving 81:13
Acting 2:10
actions 80:19
active 20:18 21:18 24:4 25:18
24:4 25:18 actively 20:20
activities 17:2 60:21
62:4,8 64:11,14,15
80:19
activity 16:20 49:25
acts 33:15
actual 10:15 30:16
42:17 45:7 96:17

ad 68:24 add 16:19 17:24 19:19 26:16,21 77:15 110:6 added 87:13,16 addition 47:17 54:11 79:6 103:2
additional 6:23 12:2 17:24 26:10,16 51:18 54:3 62:10 80:24 95:15 97:25 address 49:1,5 70:4 74:2 112:4,18 addressed 17:9 31:20 addresses 84:25
addressing 40:7 adequate 63:15 adjourn 113:9 Admin 2:20 administrative 54:13 administrator 11:17
admit 19:2 Adobe 42:12 adopted 24:17 adopting 53:13 54:22 adults 18:16,17 advance 66:3 advice 16:22 53:24 advise 54:21
advising 106:21 Advisor 89:18 advisories 112:8 advisory 87:5,6,20 89:9,19 90:14,20,22 90:22 age-appropriate
13:20 agency 24:16 agenda 5:21,22,23 31:16 38:25 55:2 94:25 101:20 103:10,15,16 104:18 105:5
107:24 111:7 <b>agendas</b> 27:12 <b>ago</b> 101:11 103:14 112:17 <b>agree</b> 59:17 63:2 78:1 79:23

agreed 49:12 91:13

<b>agreement</b> 106:22 <b>ahead</b> 3:13,17 4:25
ahead 3:13,17 4:25
8:24 22:15 42:23
52:20 106:2 108:10
113:9
airline 95:11
<b>Ali</b> 2:8 6:23 7:12 9:3
42:9 88:22 96:21
109:17 110:9
aligned 71:13
alignment 34:15
<b>Alive</b> 19:10
Allegiance 3:20,23
alleviate 28:13,21
allocate 95:18
allocated 98:20
<b>allocation</b> 96:9 97:23
allow 97:25 108:9
allowed 96:11
altogether 63:6
101:10
<b>ambiguity</b> 22:17,18
amount 68:4 94:17
110:17
amounts 78:22
<b>analysis</b> 57:25 58:4
and/or 81:11
<b>Andrew</b> 2:4 4:15
Andrews 1:24
annual 46:20 86:8
annually 13:11
answer 12:11 25:8
37:7,19 66:17 81:1
87:24 88:6 92:21
100:8,10 108:13
<b>answers</b> 37:23 104:1
<b>Anthony</b> 2:2 4:7
anticipate 106:12
<b>anybody</b> 3:6 6:8
37:24 44:22 47:6
63:13 99:7
anyway 108:1
<b>apologize</b> 42:4 89:2
app 28:13
Apparently 89:1
appear 34:14 73:14
appears 8:7 112:9
appendices 19:19
appendix 45:2,4
55:14 61:4,4 65:6
65:25 73:11 81:23

83:19 84:13
applicable 84:10
application 74:15
75:1,2 76:6
applications 75:9
76:4,19,25
applies 86:16
applies 60.10 apply 77:12 82:12
appreciated 110:13
approach 30:21
approaching 35:1
appropriate 18:20
75:23 81:5 86:14
appropriated 89:14
98:1
approval 5:20,21
99:17
approve 85:25 94:19
approved 33:12
94:21
approximately 35:21
<b>April</b> 103:19
Arcese 2:8 7:4,17 9:8
25:25 27:21 28:2
30:2 31:7 32:1
88:12,15 89:1 92:20
93:13 96:23 97:2,9
97:21 109:16,17,22
111:5,25
area 27:4 50:1,2,6
52:19 53:7,9 58:22
59:13 61:22,24
64:16 65:2 66:20
69:5 70:8 72:19,22
74:10,11 75:25 78:5
78:6 80:10 84:1,10
100:9
areas 33:21,22 35:11
37:6 45:4 47:8,9
50:10,20 51:22 52:3
52:12,13,16,21,24
52.12,13,10,21,24
53:5 55:25 57:11,11 57:16 59:12,15 62:2
62:16 63:14 64:20
64:21 65:5,22 68:15
72:12 78:3,7,10
79:6,7,22,24 80:9
80:13,16,20 81:10
81:12,19,21 82:2
83:13,23 84:4,7
86:9 87:15 103:2
00.7 07.13 103.2

<b>Ariba</b> 67:1,14 73:7,9
73:25 74:21 77:3
80:8
aside 95:7
asked 10:1 56:12
62:21 66:13 77:24
77:25 78:2,3 112:3
asking 46:15 77:13
93:19,21 107:24
108:8
aspects 56:24
assailant 24:4
assess 41:17 65:14
assessment 2:16 7:23
8:20 19:24 26:2
32:6,13 34:12,13
35:12 36:19 37:2,17
40:18 41:7,11,16,25
42:11,18 44:16 45:8
45:13 46:3 52:8
55:9,12 66:21 79:2
81:8 83:10 86:24
105:1
assessments 9:12
17:2 30:16
asset 71:17,17 72:7,8
assets 71:17,22,25
72:4,6,8
assigned 10:8 27:15
assigning 9:16,17
assignment 9:22
10:13
assignments 10:4
assist 98:22
assistance 46:23
81:15
Assistant 2:9
assists 69:10
associate 85:13
associated 36:24
67:24 74:10 80:20
85:12
assurance 36:7
athletics 60:20 62:4,8
64:11,14
atmosphere 107:10
attempt 57:18
attendance 2:1 5:9
28:11,17
attendances 28:15
attended 5:9 111:8

Carter-Lynch 2:2 4:3

attention 36:7 38:24 68:4,11 attest 60:23 attributes 8:1 10:17 21:25 30:3 56:24 audio 4:24 31:1 audit 1:5 2:8 3:19 7:15 9:7 10:18,19 21:22,22 22:3 26:24 29:19 30:1 31:11 32:3,5,10,20 33:19 34:1,2,5,6,8,21 35:3 35:8,10,12 36:15,23 36:24 37:2,5,12,25 38:1,17 39:4,7,12 39:21 40:22,22 41:6 41:9,14,19,24 43:4 44:16,17 46:18,19 46:20,24 47:3,19 48:5,13 49:2,21,25 52:6,7,9,10 53:23 54:3,4,9,10,18,23 55:4 56:4 57:13 58:13,24 60:15 62:25 63:12 64:25 65:6 68:7,7 70:16 70:20 71:1 72:13,17 72:22,24 74:13,17 77:9 78:9,11 79:3,4 79:11,16,25 80:13 80:15 82:25 85:23 85:25 86:5,11,16 87:4,5,7,14,16 91:19 93:14,20,24 94:5,10,11 96:14 98:11 99:18 100:3,8 100:21 101:3,24 102:1 105:4,4,12 111:16,23 112:6,11 113:5 auditable 102:14 audited 75:10 87:15 94:9 99:9 101:24 102:16,17 104:3 auditing 103:6 auditor 2:7,8,12,12 2:13 43:15 62:24 auditor's 86:8,13 107:20 108:18,22 **auditors** 38:13.14 audits 2:9 7:24 35:16

38:13,14 41:9 44:4 44:7,9,9 50:22 55:11 57:23 67:21 72:2 86:8 101:18 102:24 August 11:24 105:18 authorized 114:6 available 24:25 31:8 37:20 38:17.25 41:13 58:7 86:13 108:8,9,19,22 112:10 Avenue 1:24 award 40:5 awards 95:17 aware 46:16 51:15 99:8 awareness 13:24 axis 48:17

B **B** 2:11 32:18 51:7,8 back 27:5 29:12 32:4 36:1 61:1,17,23 73:11 75:3,12 79:8 82:1,13 99:2 101:9 102:11 104:6,9 106:6 110:19 **backup** 19:12 103:17 backward 41:1 balance 49:13 95:1,1 95:6 based 8:3,8,10 36:18 44:4 47:8 48:4 50:22 53:17 64:3 65:19 89:25 92:2 93:3,16 **basically** 5:21 9:10 9:15 10:16 **basis** 68:5 Bass 1:23,23 2:19 86:4 114:4,14 **BECON** 2:20 began 9:20 33:14 **beginning** 8:25 9:14 9:23 15:4,9 17:20 30:10 46:12 82:14 94:25 95:6 behavior 19:23

**Behavioral** 2:16 7:22

8:19 11:8 26:1

66:21 behaviors 13:20 30:17,19 believe 24:12 27:4 30:2 88:12 111:5,6 111:9,16 112:9,16 believes 54:5 **bell** 106:14 best 37:23 70:19 80:11 **better** 20:10 37:10 49:19 60:10,13 62:21 65:8 66:11 67:4 69:5 96:24,25 beyond 14:23,25 16:16 94:6 Big 105:4 **bigger** 42:25 **bit** 42:25 43:7 45:23 48:1,2,3,9 50:17,21 57:4 59:8,10 60:9 60:13 68:18 80:12 84:18 black 28:4 87:10,11 blah-blah 86:6 90:15 blow 61:14 97:11 **board** 1:3 16:25 33:12 37:5 41:20 48:5 52:3,9,10 53:22 54:5,21 63:12 70:17 78:9 86:15 89:4.11 90:21 **body** 90:23 **bomb** 23:2,10,12 24:13,14,18 **bond** 34:25 Book 54:14,14 **booster** 60:24 95:11 101:16 103:20 104:5 box 48:11 **boxes** 70:11 break 74:16 80:7 breakdown 74:9 breaking 106:24 **brief** 51:4 **bring** 38:23 48:13

broke 74:14 **Broward** 1:3 11:9,16 13:25 14:15,21 17:25 19:21 114:3 114:11 **BRYAN** 2:10 **BTA** 2:12 6:13 9:12 9:17 10:1,15 11:4 12:15 13:9 23:3,18 30:13 39:4,12 56:23 57:3 103:22 **BTAs** 31:18 105:14 **budget** 71:6,7,9 74:5 88:13,14 92:23 93:2 96:17 97:4 99:16 101:24,25 104:3 **budgeting** 71:10 **budgets** 102:17,17 **build** 16:11 **Building** 67:8,9,16,17 **bullet** 58:19 **bunch** 3:5 bureaucratic 107:22 **burner** 101:10 **bus** 6:11 **business** 33:15 66:22 **bylaw** 95:5 bylaws 43:4 85:23,24 86:17 87:21

 $\mathbf{C}$ C 2:11 cadre 50:7 calculated 98:25 call 3:17 4:2 5:5 34:22 36:6 49:21 94:22 97:5 called 44:8 calls 46:14,19 50:7 capital 49:15 71:9 capture 35:13 captured 55:21 58:23 72:6 76:23 79:20 captures 63:18 careful 106:9 Carolyn 2:21 **carpet** 99:12 Carr 39:22 40:11,17 40:20 43:17 46:22 55:7 77:8 104:25 **CARTER 2:12** 

6:1 case 20:12 35:24 47:18 57:24 58:2 105:1,20 categorical 92:25 category 68:1 caught 36:1 88:24 105:16 **caused** 62:18 center 8:10 54:2 certain 7:3 10:2,5 72:22 107:23 certainly 48:4 54:21 56:11 62:24 70:21 77:3 85:17 **CERTIFICATE** 114:1 certified 12:6 certify 114:6 **CFO** 70:17 Chair 3:8 15:21 45:14 52:20 60:15 73:18,23 99:5 chairs 12:2,2,4,5 101:5,6 challenging 63:17 chance 10:23 59:6,18 **change** 12:22 19:19 36:6 102:9 **changed** 30:23 94:24 97:22,24 102:3,11 **changes** 104:15 **charge** 112:7,8 **chart** 52:5 71:16 charter 21:2,3,15 52:7 **chat** 30:25 31:2 38:3 50:14 86:23 90:1 95:15 chatter 50:14 checking 3:16 **checklist** 24:17,20 checkmark 75:10 76:18 82:8 checkmarks 13:11 57:16 checks 82:3 **chief** 2:7,8,17 43:15 51:21 62:23 86:8,13 107:20 108:18,22

101:8 103:22

**Broadcast** 2:20

**brings** 87:18

children 16:4 clarification 29:11 clarify 40:13 clarity 100:1 cleaning 76:11 clear 54:24 55:17 65:21,21 clearly 19:22 clerical 107:16 Clerk 2:11,11 click 97:11 Climate 14:2 **close** 98:25 closely 90:6 closeout 34:8 **cloud** 76:4 club 95:11 101:16 clubs 60:25 103:20 104:5 code 14:4 **codes** 54:13 Collaborative 27:11 collectively 101:15 college 14:20 **columns** 49:24 combination 27:14 come 25:10 37:1 59:24 73:6 92:14,24 101:2 103:25 107:25 110:1 111:3 111:21 112:14 comes 25:20 44:6 54:22 72:13 77:10 77:19 81:8 93:10 **comfort** 80:12 coming 5:12 18:1 29:12 30:13 41:7 **comment** 5:25 25:14 25:17 92:9 108:2 comments 28:10 29:24 45:15 77:14 79:9 86:23 commingle 93:1 committee 1:5 2:1 3:19 7:15 17:17 31:11 36:20 37:5 38:17 43:4 48:5 52:6,9,10 53:11 54:4,5,18 60:15 62:25 63:12 65:6 68:7,8 70:20 78:9

80:13 85:23 86:6 93:3 99:8 100:21 110:7 111:16,20,23 112:2,11 113:4 committees 5:11 111:18 **common** 82:9 communicated 25:19 36:21 87:2 communities 18:24 community 10:16 17:14 18:5,18 58:17 66:23 99:14 compared 29:9 comparison 26:23 complain 14:21 complaints 109:13 complete 22:25 37:10 114:8 completed 14:20 29:5 33:17 34:5,9 35:10 37:13 39:24 72:24 completely 70:11 completeness 58:21 completion 28:25 34:18 compliance 90:17 94:8,11 96:13,14,18 99:19 100:3 101:18 compliant 64:7 complied 8:12 **comply** 106:8 component 99:17 components 8:15 composition 91:1 comprehensive 46:3 concentrated 9:11 10:12.18 concentration 49:25 concern 67:24 75:14 94:13 concerned 18:10 71:22 80:14 **concerning** 30:17,19 86:9 concerns 37:5 75:15 76:2 concluded 113:13

concludes 5:4

conclusion 35:4

concrete 54:12

conduct 14:4 confidence 80:12 confirm 58:25 94:4 conflict 91:8 confluence 46:11 consider 13:14 22:13 22:19,22 27:18 47:16 62:24 77:18 consideration 57:8 58:20 considered 26:8 40:10 47:17 56:3 57:17 63:5 74:23 considering 39:1 98:10 consisted 26:11 consistency 8:17 14:13 **consistent** 9:18 10:4 14:9 44:18 53:15 93:17 consistently 22:17 35:17 68:11 consolidate 84:3 99:23 constantly 34:25 constraints 47:13 contact 23:20 contacted 6:5 contained 56:25 content 13:14,15,20 17:12,24 22:14 26:16 contention 58:15 continue 15:6 37:11 54:15 57:14 continues 27:4 Continuity 66:23 continuously 36:14 contract 40:6 Contracting 67:15 contribute 70:21 control 36:7 63:19 81:21 controls 49:3 70:8,23 70:24 75:13 77:5,20 78:4,14 79:12 80:17 81:16 85:1,11 88:7 convention 95:12 conversation 22:5 41:3 62:11 80:23

98:23 100:18 conversations 15:8 72:3 coordinated 18:23 coordinator 10:8 copy 83:22 97:12 108:8,15 copy's 28:3 **Correct** 15:20 29:16 97:21 correlate 98:20 99:2 correspond 93:5 **COSO** 53:15,15 cost 43:24 110:17 costs 110:12 council 87:5,6,20 89:9,18,20 90:22,22 **Councils** 90:14,20 counseling 27:13 counselor 11:18 counselors 15:17 County 1:3 11:9,16 13:25 14:15 114:3 114:11 couple 10:22 13:5 46:14 course 32:5 36:16 72:16 85:25 87:24 110:18 court 1:22 2:19 84:22 88:22 114:4,14 **cover** 30:1 coverage 91:23 covered 7:9 51:1 107:20 covering 59:1 **COVID** 87:10 **CPS** 27:11 cracks 59:24 created 13:23 creates 71:12 **creating** 13:14 14:7 22:13 **crews** 37:6 **CRI** 65:3 80:25 81:18 criteria 54:12 80:18 97:18 cross 75:11 curious 25:2,7 55:17 current 34:1 36:5,6 41:14 48:1 107:2

currently 33:24 35:19 35:20 40:2 Curriculum 61:2,7 64:1,11 customization 58:10 cyber 24:15 74:15 76:1

**D** 2:13 DAC 23:9 86:25 87:18 95:14 98:4 99:22 100:6,9 101:1 101:4 113:6 Dahl 4:5 110:24 daily 11:25 dangling 39:14 data 56:9 58:21 66:11 75:19,19,20 76:10 76:11 **database** 67:14 76:12 date 20:6 28:25 31:12 107:1.8 **Dated** 114:10 **dates** 17:8 **DAVE 2:8** day 12:5 20:21 79:1 85:17 98:9 110:1 114:10 days 9:21 14:6 27:18 65:10 107:14,24 **De** 2:2 4:7 39:16,19 39:20 40:9 44:25 45:1.2.9.14 51:3 55:13 69:23 70:1 75:13 77:6 81:25 82:18,21 83:2 85:9

deal 85:16 deals 95:2 December 6:15,16 8:2 11:24 26:4 29:9 decide 50:24 decision 90:24 93:3 decisions 93:4 deeper 40:19 50:17 59:8 91:11 define 19:22 definitely 108:14 definition 63:22,25

64:13 84:2

85:10

definitions 65:4,17 69:16 definitive 100:10 deliver 14:9 18:23 109:11 deliverable 44:2,13 delivered 106:23 109:8 **delivery** 13:13,15 17:11 109:14 department 10:1 20:14 23:18 30:13 46:19 67:9,16,18 70:17,18 79:4 87:4 87:6 102:5 112:7 depending 31:8 depravation 103:13 **Deputy** 2:15,17 describe 9:6 described 85:11 describing 48:10 description 83:6 descriptor 67:13 designated 43:15 designed 67:6 detail 7:19 8:14 50:21 70:6,6,12 90:8 detailed 51:19 59:15 94:22 details 77:19 78:21 84:25 85:4 determining 82:15 develop 16:18 70:14 72:22 91:23 developed 12:25 18:11 41:24 106:10 developing 17:18 89:12 development 100:24 **device** 110:16 112:10 devices 112:19 113:3 different 8:14 27:14 30:20,21 35:11 44:1 50:20,22 52:2 56:24 57:1 63:6,7,9 68:15 72:3,18 79:10,19,22 79:24 80:5 89:23 95:3 102:23 106:7 difficult 28:4 direct 23:1 directly 96:11 101:14

**director** 2:8,9,16 11:8 23:21,25 24:6 disagree 49:7 59:12 Disaster 66:23 disbursement 95:17 **Discipline** 23:9 39:9 discrepancy 28:21 discretion 95:19 discuss 39:6 55:8,9 105:11 110:7 111:3 discussed 20:17 43:18,22 103:23 106:5 110:9,10 **discussion** 44:15 54:3 58:5,11 62:13 69:3 77:7 99:24 103:20 106:24 110:16 discussions 105:3 dispense 41:23 disseminate 113:3 **district** 2:14 8:18 9:14 10:7,7 11:12 11:21 12:1,15 15:14 22:12,13,19 33:14 40:24 53:13 57:7,10 59:16 66:22 69:4 74:3 79:3 80:6 81:5 81:6 87:4 89:11 90:14,20 92:15,16 92:17 95:4,4,17 110:17 111:21 district's 5:10 8:4 44:18 52:4 district-wide 32:13 105:1 districts 12:19 17:19 19:17 43:20 44:20 46:14 districtwide 42:17 **dive** 91:11 **Diversity 14:2 docket** 105:7 **document** 7:9 19:23 20:4 21:1,3 37:17 37:19 45:6,6,11 103:16 105:20 documentation 20:24 27:10 30:15 **documented** 20:23,23 documents 108:19

doing 5:12 15:9 20:20

25:6 35:24 40:2,18 49:4 54:6 58:4 60:1 76:8,8 dollar 78:22 dollars 92:23 97:19 97:20 99:1 110:18 dovetail 57:21 dovetails 53:11 **Dr** 2:3,15,17 3:3,12 3:16,24 4:11,12 5:6 5:16 6:3,7,25 7:5 10:21,25 11:2,6 12:11 13:2,5 14:17 15:19 16:1,6,7,15 16:24 18:4,25 19:6 19:10 21:5,7,12 22:7 23:7,23 24:5,8 25:13,15,22 26:19 26:25 27:3,20,25 28:3,8 29:8,12,17 30:22 31:15 32:2,12 32:15,20,25 33:4,7 33:9 37:24 38:11,15 39:1,18 40:13 41:22 42:3,13,20 43:2,9 43:12 44:21,24 45:1 45:7,16,20 46:6 48:15,19 49:12 50:13,25 51:8 52:23 54:25 57:19 58:25 59:3 60:18 61:13,17 62:6 63:21 65:13 66:8 67:8 69:11,22 71:2 73:3,8,17,19 74:20,25 75:5,8 76:15 78:16 81:22 82:19,22 83:4 84:16 84:24 85:19 87:23 88:9,14,24 89:3 90:4 91:20 92:5 93:19,25 94:3 95:13 96:2,7,13 97:1,8,10 97:14 98:3,15 99:4 99:6,10,19,21 100:12,14,16,20 101:4 102:6,15 103:7 104:10,11,18 104:24 105:24 106:3,15,17 107:4,9 108:12 109:6 110:4 111:8,14 112:1,25

drill 49:18 59:7 drills 24:2,3,4,4 drive 6:11 54:15 100:3 driven 68:23 driving 25:23 49:19 51:2 drop 70:10 71:12 drop-down 70:10 71:12 dropped 111:12 drove 65:1 68:9 due 17:8

earlier 44:8 early 48:17 **earned** 97:17 earning 97:18 **easily** 85:13 easy 24:24 47:7 EDPlan 57:23,24 58:2,3,8 educated 64:2 education 64:22 102:5 educational 89:7 90:9 **effect** 103:13 **effective** 9:25 15:1 17:22 **effectively** 57:6 86:12 effectiveness 86:11 efficiency 71:23 efficiently 43:22 **eighth** 88:25 either 7:6 20:11 22:20 24:12 27:11 31:7 34:7 51:18 54:18 99:7 104:19 **electronic** 108:19,21 Elena 2:9 6:22,24 7:12 30:5 **ELIZABETH** 2:12 email 87:2 106:4,21 112:3,17,18 113:2 emergency 10:6 20:25 emphasized 86:10 employee 75:20 79:13 employees 78:22

79:18 employment 33:14 ended 102:21 111:10 ends 78:15 engagement 38:8 42:17,21,24 66:24 engagements 44:19 ensure 8:5 56:9 93:15 93:16 **enterprise** 74:25 75:9 76:19,25 entire 8:7 11:12 12:1 25:19 36:23 46:24 environment 47:2 Equity 14:2 **ERHARD** 2:10 Ernie 2:16 11:8 **ERP** 75:2 error 29:1 **ESE** 58:1,16 especially 59:20 67:13 107:16 essentially 65:10 establish 22:12 90:21 93:25 establishing 54:7 89:19 evaluate 23:4,5 86:10 evaluated 87:14 event 51:17 **everybody** 3:3 11:8 19:9 24:16 33:1 43:1,2,5 47:24 50:2 50:3 95:2 110:23 112:16 113:6,12 everyone's 24:23 55:17 110:4 exact 16:3,4 exactly 15:6,18 97:13 100:1 **example** 10:6 27:17 47:21 51:20 60:12 66:16 73:25 74:12 75:21 79:11 94:23 examples 27:23 exception 27:19 Excuse 3:8 **executive** 45:24 47:22 53:7 82:23,24 exercise 101:7 **exhibit** 51:6,7

exist 58:9,9 65:24 existing 47:1 54:11 **exists** 102:8 **expand** 98:9 expect 36:2 expectation 93:18 expected 17:5 expenditure 94:20 expenditures 94:20 107:2 experience 50:8,9 109:25 experiencing 59:21 explanation 27:3,22 exposure 52:12 external 44:10 52:7 69:1 externally 76:5 F

F 2:12 face 12:4.4 face-to-face 11:23 12:8 **Facilities 2:13 50:1** fact 43:19 45:25 46:18 47:6 48:7 64:3 71:4 91:14 98:4 factors 47:11 74:4 failed 27:6 failures 27:24 fair 64:18 **fairly** 65:21 fall 59:24 60:25 73:5 87:11 false 15:12 familiar 87:21 far 8:18 23:2 58:23 70:15 76:2 85:14 88:20 92:20 97:5 100:3,23,24 features 58:6 federal 71:10 102:24 FedEx 110:1 111:1 feedback 16:17,19 54:19 77:12 feeding 75:3 feel 50:16 59:9 110:7

**feeling** 47:24

feels 110:23

feet 108:6 FEFP 92:24 fell 49:10 58:3 87:9 felt 63:13 68:17 Ferrara 2:21 95:13 96:4,8 97:12,22 98:14,16 99:11 101:1 Fertig 4:9 fidelity 21:19 fieldwork 30:9 file 109:3 fillable 25:1 **filled** 29:13 final 38:22 43:14 90:23 finalization 30:3 **finalized** 30:2 38:23 **finance** 48:2,8 49:9 68:14 71:4,14,15,16 73:5 74:5 financial 52:15 82:4 82:10 83:12 86:6,7 find 15:1 26:13 40:24 56:1 58:6 62:22 85:8 96:6 102:21 104:10 **finding** 27:22 63:8 98:4,17 **findings** 26:14 30:14 38:21 fine 92:12 98:9 **finish** 30:14 106:2.3 finishing 14:19 fire 24:3 **firm** 36:12 37:1 firms 69:1 **first** 3:20 6:10,13,18 6:20 7:21,25 9:16 10:16 14:6,19 24:18 33:15 38:25 73:20 92:7 111:23 fiscal 32:3,5,17,20 38:1 39:13 fit 44:9 **five** 14:6 45:5 46:4 70:23 75:13 78:4,13 98:6,8 101:11

fix 24:24

FL 1:24

fixed 71:17 72:4,8

flag 3:25 Fleet 67:23 **FLEMING 2:15** flexibility 97:25 flexible 72:15 flip 84:8 85:7 Florida 11:13 12:20 12:20 13:1 17:22,23 30:11 46:1 95:22 97:6 114:2,5,11 flow 92:14.14 **Focus** 28:12,18 56:9 57:5,6,20 58:3,9,14 65:19 73:25 75:18 75:19 80:8 focused 26:2,12 41:25 45:3 65:23 72:14 focusing 44:11 61:25 folks 40:24 51:24 65:3 **follow** 13:8 25:7 70:13,25 104:7 107:5,6 follow-up 7:15 12:14 16:20 67:16 70:2 101:21 103:8,19 105:22 107:19 111:7 follow-ups 105:4 **following** 3:1 97:5 Force 99:14 foregoing 114:7 form 25:1 53:13 formally 112:6 format 13:16,21 106:12 forms 54:12 formulated 70:9 Fort 1:24 114:10 **fortune** 46:11 forward 31:13 36:4 42:1 48:13 66:7 69:21 107:18 109:20 forwarded 66:2 **found** 56:3 74:1 four 11:15 36:1 45:5 **four-hour** 12:3,6 four-year 35:25 framework 53:14

fraud 52:15 81:17 82:4,10 83:12 fresh 77:13 Friday 108:19 109:5 109:25 front 54:1 80:25 **fronts** 91:20 **FTE** 95:18 full 12:5 91:23 95:18 full-day 11:23 12:8 **fully** 12:6 **Fulton** 104:10 **function** 67:6,7 70:7 74:9 79:16 **functional** 47:9 50:20 52:3,21,24 78:4,6 84:7 functionality 80:3 **functions** 58:1 74:3 81:6 **fund** 49:13 87:20 90:7 91:12 92:3 102:25 104:14,21 funded 64:15 94:17 **funding** 47:13 63:3 64:22 69:10,20 92:18,20,24 93:5,6 93:9.10 94:6 **funds** 2:12 34:24 35:2 39:8 55:20 64:4 87:7,17 88:8,16,16 88:18 89:11,12,14 89:14 91:16 92:13 93:1,17 94:6,12,23 95:1,5,16,25 96:15 97:7,18,25 98:20 100:4 101:13,23 102:14 103:3 104:2 104:25 105:13 further 27:5 48:3 55:11 59:10 68:18 74:16 110:8 future 35:16 41:4,5 G **GABAY** 2:12 gap 57:25 58:4

garbage 76:10,10

gather 106:10

gathered 72:2

gauge 16:13,20

general 5:25 49:13 58:19 69:8 89:4 104:14.21 108:4 generally 72:14 102:22 108:15,23 109:25 generic 72:15 getting 30:8 33:22 60:25 68:10 69:18 75:12 83:20 104:4 107:21 gigabytes 110:15 give 7:19 8:14 9:8 10:23 26:17 30:5 34:4,18 37:23 50:21 59:5 83:10 85:1 112:15 given 25:3 49:12 79:18 97:16 112:3 gives 27:23 85:4 109:19 giving 57:3 72:11 113:6 **glad** 67:1 glance 84:3 **go** 3:12,17 4:25 6:20 6:21 8:24 22:15,20 27:5 30:7 31:18 32:18 33:16 34:17 37:11 39:11 40:19 41:18 42:23,24 45:20,21,23 49:2 50:17.20 52:19.20 52:21 53:20 55:12 55:15 56:6 60:4,9 60:11 61:10,13,16 61:17,21,23 65:8 66:9 70:15 72:21 76:13 79:8 81:25 82:13 83:5,21 91:2 95:12 100:4 106:2 108:15 109:5.19 113:9 **goal** 17:5 18:2 35:5 **goals** 52:5 goes 16:24 19:15 39:12 51:6 55:19 85:15 89:10 92:16 93:11 96:10 104:18 going 6:10,25 7:22 8:11 9:22 15:3,5

54:7

22:2,15,21 23:8 24:10 25:9 30:6,15 33:24,24 34:3,7,23 37:12,14,14,16 38:19 40:2 41:4,5 41:19,19 44:11 47:14 50:16 51:18 52:24 53:3 54:8,15 55:1,2,7 57:3 64:24 69:19,21 71:20,22 73:11,24 74:14 77:7 78:25 79:5 81:4,14 83:21 84:7,20 88:3 94:23 95:2 103:1 104:22 106:18,23 107:4,6 109:20 111:9 113:9 **good** 3:3 5:17,18 11:7 22:6,7 25:11,22,25 31:2,23,24 46:9,11 48:16,21 52:24 59:18,19 61:18 62:14 85:17,20,22 86:18,22 102:15 103:8 110:4 **Google** 24:13 Googling 24:15 gosh 14:1 Gotcha 11:6 **GOULDBOURNE** 2:10 govern 80:19 governance 47:12 53:9,18,21 54:16 55:15,16 82:1,6 83:24 grabbed 96:4 grabbing 59:3 grade 13:14 17:13 64:9 grade-specific 22:14 Gradebook 28:16 **grants** 71:11 graph 46:25 grasp 62:14 great 16:10,17,22 32:25 42:4 43:7 47:1 66:3 70:5,22 97:2 113:12 greater 48:25 greatest 52:12 91:23

**greatly** 110:13 grocery 71:4 74:8 **ground** 108:6 group 17:17 21:22 48:21 50:3,4,24 95:12 100:8 **grouped** 71:8 77:11 grouping 71:11 **groups** 57:9 guardrails 52:6 guess 3:4 13:6 21:20 21:21 25:7 31:24 36:5 52:25 62:6 75:14 92:1,7 111:1 112:20 guessing 88:10 **GUESTS 2:18 guidance** 11:17 15:16 92:22 **guidelines** 91:6 92:23 93:14 97:4 guys 6:12,18 10:21 20:9 50:16 52:23 59:5 97:10 112:3

## Н

hammering 41:18 hand 7:18 15:22,24 19:5,6 23:19 38:2 67:22 94:14 98:13 105:25 112:11 handful 24:10 **handing** 6:22 112:19 hands 14:24 22:8 29:22 50:13 54:23 86:22 92:6,11 hands-on 15:18 Hang 45:18 61:15 happen 63:2 100:6 happened 46:9 87:16 91:15 112:17 happening 80:23 90:17 94:6 happens 67:10 108:23 happy 37:19,22 106:8 hard 85:15 88:22 108:8,15 hardcopy 109:1,4 hardware 51:23 **HARPALANI** 2:9

73:18,23 74:24 75:2 75:17 Harpalani's 79:9 head 16:8 heads 70:19 Headstart 64:21 health 15:15 56:22 Healthy 39:8 hear 21:10 96:24 heard 62:18 104:6 hearing 9:3 53:2 73:10 75:4 89:25 heat 47:7,21 52:18 53:19 55:23 59:3,7 59:11 68:17 heavy 58:10 held 18:11 101:23 help 20:10 36:12 43:1 46:23 48:12 54:21 63:11 74:11 81:24 98:12 107:16 **helpful** 46:13 Hi 6:1 high 35:14 49:25 60:20,21 62:16,19 65:14,20 67:17 68:16,23 75:9 76:16 77:4,19 85:4 98:18 high-level 80:22 high-risk 61:7 82:2 82:13 83:13 84:10 higher 34:15 48:2,9 68:1 97:23 highest 44:4 55:25 62:1 65:18 84:4 highlights 53:8 **hiring** 74:4 historically 50:4 59:23 **hit** 16:7 **hogtied** 72:19 **hold** 7:7 24:21 45:14 55:5 61:17 73:19 75:19 81:22 103:11 holds 75:19,20 hole 87:10.11 Homeland 24:15 hop 20:9 **hopeful** 28:20 hopefully 88:3 101:17 hosted 76:4,5 housekeeping 28:24 HR 79:11 hub 80:4 hung 107:21

I idea 18:13 44:14 45:22 53:16 55:24 69:16 72:10 77:18 78:7,19 79:1,23,23 89:22 110:9 ideas 36:9 43:21 49:1 **identified** 26:9 33:22 34:11 35:14 41:7 49:6 52:11,16 56:1 56:25 61:3,11 62:16 65:5 74:21 78:8 79:6,7 80:9 81:12 81:20 83:13 identifies 51:6 identify 18:13 20:11 33:19 37:12.14 41:8 57:16 70:7,8 72:12 78:10,18 80:17 81:15 85:2 identifying 46:24 56:16 57:11 77:20 82:8 II 2:10 **III** 2:12,12,13 **imagine** 68:14 113:5 **Immediate** 59:16 imminent 113:1 impact 40:1 48:25 69:20 71:7 78:19,21 101:14 **implement** 12:20 90:8 implementation 17:22 28:12 56:9 57:5,20 67:1,15 89:17 90:25 implemented 46:4 57:6 67:3,5 72:5 implementing 21:18 89:13 90:12 95:20 implications 49:10 importance 15:12 25:4 important 11:19 15:11 50:17 78:2

**improve** 56:17 improvement 13:12 22:10 55:20 64:5,6 64:7 89:5,6,7,9,11 89:13 90:9,13,18 91:5 94:8 95:9,20 96:19 98:21 99:3 improvements 89:15 improving 36:13 include 10:1 13:24 74:17 78:12 89:14 91:15 100:17 included 11:16 30:20 35:3 44:1 51:15 56:19 96:8 includes 103:19 **including** 40:21,21 41:16 57:7 65:11 78:18 incorporate 39:22 incorporated 35:15 indented 66:18 independence 54:1 individual 51:16 83:22 84:8 individually 101:15 individuals 11:14 51:14 81:5 **inferring** 56:10,13 inform 55:11 information 2:17 6:24 8:11 26:14 27:16 34:20 38:24 40:11 41:13 51:11 51:19 56:16 58:6 61:9 62:10 72:1 75:22 80:24 84:21 84:23 95:15 96:18 100:6,25 106:11,13 108:10,17,21,25 109:2 111:9 **Ingram** 40:17 43:17 55:7 77:8 104:25 Ingram's 46:22 **inherent** 40:25 52:13 57:16 82:3,7,10,16 83:4,25 84:5,9 85:5 Inherit 82:18 initial 43:24 88:7 100:24

**initially** 31:17 67:5

hoping 30:14 31:7

**input** 54:4 62:25 **inputs** 58:21 insight 30:5 installing 42:6 instance 19:25 institution 17:1 instructional 57:9 integrity 57:10 **intended** 40:8 67:7 77:18 **Interactive** 15:19 internal 2:10,12 34:23 35:2 39:8 44:7,10 52:7 63:19 67:19,20 68:3 70:16 78:4,13 80:17 81:16 81:19,21 85:1 86:11 87:8 88:16,18 89:23 89:24 91:12,16 104:24 105:12 internalize 72:11 internally 76:5 Internet 24:25 interrupt 48:16 interrupting 100:15 **intersect** 79:21,25 80:1 intervene 20:8 **interviewed** 51:11,14 51:24 52:10,11 **interviews** 40:23 48:5 51:15 introduce 7:17 **inventory** 34:24 39:9 68:4 **INVITED** 2:18 **involve** 47:11 involved 92:8 100:22 **Involvement** 99:14 issue 42:10 76:9 94:6 94:16,18 issues 3:14 27:10 70:4,4 89:2 100:1 101:17 italicized 66:18 italics 65:19 66:14 item 6:10 31:25 35:19 42:18 62:19 66:25 72:21,25 73:16 78:5 80:4 91:19 111:11 items 9:13 33:18 34:9

34:11,13 35:7,9,11 35:13,15 50:5 60:5 62:1 72:14 82:13 105:15 iteration 22:4

J **Jabouin** 43:13 110:10 **Jabouin's** 36:18 Jaclyn 2:4 3:8 4:23 30:24,25 **January** 9:25 11:11 29:21 30:10 31:5 **Jennifer** 2:9 73:20,22 75:15 79:9 Jennifer's 75:8 **JEREMIAH** 2:12 **JERMAINE** 2:15 jockeying 49:16 **Johnson** 23:22,24 **Joris** 40:14 **JOSIAH** 2:17 **judges** 70:19 judgment 36:19 41:12 48:8 62:23 63:2 85:14,15 iudgments 70:15 **JUDITH 2:17** July 6:15,16 8:2 10:8 13:19 26:3 29:9 33:13 46:4 June 29:14 31:8,11 31:16 33:11 35:4 39:2,7,10 55:3 86:2 103:18 105:9,9,19

K KCW 113:11 keep 53:3,3 54:23 57:15 72:14 73:10 76:16 81:11,12 88:20 keeping 9:24 keeps 37:8 81:10 key 47:13 57:8 kicked 29:20 kicks 31:19

106:13 107:3,5

112:15,21,24,24

juxtaposed 53:19

**junior** 14:19

kids 15:1 16:9 18:16 64:1 67:23 Kim 23:21,23 kind 14:10,11 16:18 22:4 25:2 29:17 33:16 39:11 45:21 45:25 46:10 49:15 49:16 50:25 53:6,16 55:4,12,14,17,23 56:22 60:16 79:21 79:21 91:12,13 111:12 kinds 51:25 54:15 59:23 knock 105:5 know 7:8 12:15 18:8 18:16,17 20:10 21:23,24 24:14,18 25:16 26:15 29:20 31:9 32:21 36:20 37:3 38:12 39:3,16 40:9.25 41:23 42:9 42:15 44:6 49:14,17 53:14 54:25 55:13 55:15 58:13 60:22 62:16 64:16,19 65:16 67:13,18,19 68:3,25 69:22 70:15 71:5,18 73:1,4 74:3 74:7,8,16 75:24 76:2,2,3,6,7,7,11,12 76:14 77:23 78:2,21 81:1 82:9,22 84:4,6 85:13 87:12 88:9 90:12 91:13,15 95:2 95:10 96:15,15 100:6 102:7,9,11,18 102:19 103:3,24 104:15,20,22 105:6 109:11,11 110:6,12 110:21,23,23 112:5 112:12 113:6 knows 20:2 81:19 Krohn 2:21

L L 2:12 La 23:20 24:7 labeled 22:24 lack 49:14 71:23 landed 51:2

language 19:20 97:24 **laptop** 110:14 111:1 laptops 112:10,14 large 33:5 69:2 114:5 larger 43:7 Las 95:12 Lauderdale 1:24 114:11 **LAURA** 2:11 law 9:25 10:5 laws 80:18 lead 81:16 leadership 47:12 52:11 59:21 **learning** 17:1,3,6 55:18 56:8,18 61:1 61:22 leave 32:8 39:2 43:14 50:23 83:15 leaving 83:15 **left** 68:18 97:24 legislation 102:3 **let's** 3:12 6:14 42:7 45:16 51:20 53:2 61:10 82:17 86:25 105:9 letter 38:8 level 11:2 13:15 44:4 47:22 49:5 60:20 62:19 64:10 65:1 68:9 72:18 76:16 77:19 82:16 88:3,11 91:9.25 103:4 **levels** 17:13 Lew 2:5 4:19 10:25 11:2 12:12 28:8 92:6,9 93:19 105:24 108:1 109:24,24 Lew's 92:7 lie 47:8 84:5 life 72:16 **likelihood** 48:19,22 48:23,24 52:17 60:24 78:18 82:18 83:3.9 **limited** 70:20 Linda 2:21 95:13

96:2 98:13 99:10

line 35:19 57:7 72:14

72:21 73:16 78:5

80:4 84:19 91:19

102:13 **link** 32:7,7,11 108:17 linked 105:20 **list** 5:8 47:4 70:5 71:4 73:24 74:8 76:25 77:9 103:20 104:5,9 104:13 106:25 listed 33:18 35:11 49:23 53:18 68:16 73:11,15 74:6,19 77:4 96:5 lists 45:3 71:6 **litany** 76:13 little 22:22 40:19 42:25 43:7 45:23 47:25 48:2,2,9 50:17,21 57:3 59:8 59:10,25 60:9,13 68:18 80:12 84:18 113:7 live 88:10,10,12 local 91:5,9 locally 88:1 **locations** 8:9 92:2 logical 74:15 75:21 75:25 76:17 logs 27:11,17 long 34:10 109:12 look 7:22 8:3 10:14 16:18 21:23 29:4,20 32:4 34:3 35:6 37:21 41:4,5,5 42:16,19 47:23 48:7 49:2 50:8,9 52:14 52:22 56:8 59:6,19 60:2,4 61:10,24,25 63:8 65:11 66:10,11 67:2,20 69:2,6 72:10,20,21 74:12 74:14 75:18,21 76:20 79:12 80:9,16 82:8 83:21 84:9 87:22 90:3 92:3,22 93:14 100:1 102:20 102:24 103:1,3 112:20,20 **looked** 8:2,8,9 10:10 27:8,9 29:9 40:18 40:20 43:22 44:2 46:23 47:6 52:2,13

57:12 68:12,21,22

68:24 82:15 91:19 103:4 111:6 **looking** 19:12,18 28:1 29:25 31:4 32:10 34:12 35:8,25 36:4 36:17 41:1 47:9,10 47:11 52:19 53:7 56:14 57:5,22 61:6 62:2,7,13 63:16 64:4 68:15 76:19 78:17 79:17 80:1,2 84:4 89:22,23 91:16 99:15 100:19 104:4 110:12 111:19 looks 3:4 5:14 45:25 49:16 87:2 91:10 Lord 48:17 85:22 losing 55:6 86:19 lost 21:9 67:23 82:23 lot 31:9 40:20 53:17 59:22 60:24 63:7 68:11 76:3,6 110:11 love 3:24 low 65:15,22 **Lozano** 2:16 11:7,8 12:10,16,18,25 13:22 15:2,20,25 16:17 17:16 18:19 19:5,11,16 20:13,25 21:8,9,10,13 22:1 23:5,17,25 24:6 28:14 29:16 Lvnch-Walsh 2:3 3:3 3:12,16,24 4:11,12 5:6,16 6:3,7,25 7:5 10:21,25 11:2,6 12:11 13:2,5 14:17 15:19 16:1,6,24 18:4,25 19:6,10 21:5,7,12 22:7 23:7 23:23 24:5,8 25:13 25:15,22 26:19,25 27:3,20,25 28:3,8 29:8,12,17 30:22 31:15 32:2,12,15,20 32:25 33:4,7,9 37:24 38:11,15 39:1 39:18 40:13 41:22 42:3,13,20 43:2,9 43:12 44:21,24 45:1 45:7,16,20 46:6

48:15,19 49:12 50:13,25 51:8 52:23 54:25 57:19 58:25 59:3 60:18 61:13,17 62:6 63:21 65:13 66:8 67:8 69:11,22 71:2 73:3,8,17,19 74:20,25 75:5,8 76:15 78:16 81:22 82:19,22 83:4 84:16 84:24 85:19 87:23 88:9,14,24 89:3 90:4 91:20 92:5 93:19,25 94:3 95:13 96:2,7,13 97:1,8,10 97:14 98:3,15 99:4 99:6,10,19,21 100:12,14,16,20 101:4 102:6,15 103:7 104:11,18,24 105:24 106:3,15,17 107:4,9 108:12 109:6 110:4 112:1 112:25

# M

Madam 3:8 15:21 99:5 magnitude 48:20,24 83:2,7,8 mail 109:24 main 19:4 80:17,18 94:16 maintain 89:6 maintaining 54:1 maintenance 71:24 major 70:8,18,23 71:25 maker 98:16 making 8:21 15:12,13 32:15 56:14 62:14 90:24 **manage** 44:15 managed 44:12 45:10 management 10:8 11:9 37:7 49:4 52:8 56:17 57:24 58:2 71:17,18 72:7,9 81:13 85:16 manager 2:9,10 7:20 mandated 13:15 18:9

manner 54:9 73:2 manual 19:13,14,18 map 53:19 55:23 59:4 59:7,11 68:17 maps 47:7,21 52:18 62:15 March 28:25 29:6 30:10 31:5,6 **MARCIA** 2:13 marinate 31:22 57:4 mark 4:25 35:2,5 **MARTE** 2:17 Mary 4:9 100:18 material 16:21 101:15 108:7 materially 60:22 materials 109:20 matrix 85:12 matter 16:10 Maximo 71:19,20 72:5 **Mayersohn** 2:3 4:13 13:8 19:7,7,8,11,25 20:22 21:6,20 22:6 94:15 96:10 101:21 102:7 110:5 111:5 111:22 112:23 mean 16:25 20:1,4 42:22 45:7 46:25 47:14 61:18 63:14 63:18 64:1,13,14,21 64:25 65:16,17 66:15 75:17,18,24 76:14 98:25,25 112:23 meandering 60:17 means 8:15 66:19 69:9 72:3 109:7 meant 62:15 64:10 65:22 66:14 measure 16:16 48:19 48:20 **Medvin** 2:4 4:15,16 meet 20:3 36:14 97:17 meeting 1:5 3:18,18 6:6 19:24 20:4,9 30:12 31:17,22 35:4 39:10 55:8,10 56:12 63:23,24 66:3 77:11 77:16 84:23 98:5

99:8,25 103:10,17 104:7 105:16 108:7 108:16,20,20 109:9 111:11 112:14,22 113:10,13 meetings 20:2,7,15 21:4,16 53:12 111:10 meets 95:9 member 89:18 108:5 members 2:1 5:24 6:4 7:15 9:19,21 11:4,5 11:15 12:7 20:16 21:16 37:5,6 48:5 52:10 60:15 78:9 110:7 111:20 memory 29:25 mental 15:15 55:23 56:21 mentioned 23:10 33:10 51:5 66:13 70:3 76:24 83:7 113:4 mentions 89:8 **Menzul** 4:17 **Meo** 2:2 4:7 39:16,19 39:20 40:9 44:25 45:1,2,9,14 55:13 69:23 70:1 82:18,21 83:2 85:9,10 Meo's 51:3 75:13 77:6 81:25 merit 53:17 69:6 90:2 message 14:9,15 17:21 met 35:5 methodology 52:2 83:1 methods 13:13 17:11 microphone 3:11 98:22 Microsoft 1:11 110:22 **millage** 68:25 million 99:1 110:15 mind 9:24 56:20 57:15 59:14 80:21 88:21 89:21 mine 42:11 97:13 **minute** 95:14 minutes 5:8 99:15

103:18 107:17 **mirror** 91:7 missed 105:21 missing 3:5 27:12,16 27:18 71:22 mission 37:10 52:5 misstatement 95:24 mistake 7:11 model 11:13 12:20 17:23 30:11,18 53:21 54:7,16 moderate 62:4,7 65:14,21 67:17 68:9 module 57:24 74:4,5 74:5,6 82:7 modules 74:2,2,19,22 79:24 80:1,5 moment 79:8 91:18 **Monday** 109:8 monetary 96:20 money 67:23 69:4 71:24 91:2 92:17 94:17,18 95:8 98:19 110:19 monitor 20:14,14 21:13 monitored 21:21 **monitoring** 6:21 22:3 26:2,5,7,10 27:15 monitors 24:3 month 20:19 33:13 98:5 99:25 month-by-month 68:5 monthly 19:24 20:1,3 20:4,9,15 21:3,16 27:17 months 29:13 112:17 moot 29:18 morning 3:3 5:17,18 11:7 25:25 **morphed** 102:9 mother 14:18 motion 35:1 86:25 87:3 90:5 91:15 94:7,15 98:6,7,11 98:17,24 101:11 107:14,19,25 **motions** 25:10 98:19 99:1 103:21,24 107:13

mouse 75:6 move 14:14 28:19 31:12,24 50:11,12 50:15 52:1 56:4 57:14 59:14 moved 87:3,5 moving 19:21 25:11 41:25 42:1 66:7 Mtg 2:20 multiplied 83:9 mute 6:2 muted 5:17
N
NAKIA 2:10
name 51:10
<b>Nathalie</b> 2:3 4:11

10:24 28:7 100:13 **nature** 58:19 Naylor 2:5 4:19,20 10:24 11:1,3 12:9 12:13,17,23 28:7,9 28:23 29:4.10 33:3 43:6 92:12 93:7,23 94:2 95:24 97:15 99:13,20 100:13,15 100:17,23 106:2 108:4 109:7,15,21 109:24 110:2 near 75:4,5 necessarily 10:2 14:22 23:18 56:2 63:14,17 71:8,13 77:22 84:22 91:11 necessary 77:21 80:7 98:10 need 5:12 11:22 18:22 20:3,3,7,11 22:16 36:8 37:9 39:11 61:14 63:19 63:21 75:11,21 78:14 87:15 88:20 93:16,22 98:4 103:25 105:15 107:25 108:2 needed 9:19 11:22

33:21 40:25 107:11

needs 31:19 44:18

negotiated 43:24

54:17 57:8 67:12

70:4,6 94:4 101:5

never 87:16 94:11 112:5 new 9:21,24 10:5,10 11:12 14:5 28:12 29:19 30:11,18 33:14 34:7 37:15,21 46:16 59:24 108:5 **night** 23:9 37:9 81:10 81:11 95:14 nine 26:10 nobody's 24:20 **non-staff** 18:17 nonnegotiable 72:25 normally 35:23 52:14 not-to-exceed 43:25 **Notary** 114:4 notate 5:15 note 90:1 109:23,23 **Noted** 39:15 notes 84:19 110:21 114:9 **nothing's** 71:19 **notice** 17:6 82:2 noticing 92:6 notification 42:6 notified 109:4 **NRO** 2:20 number 27:22 35:20 78:13,22 87:25 88:4 88:4

Objections 53:2
objective 81:13
objectives 72:20
78:12
observation 17:11
27:22
observations 36:11
37:4 99:23
obviously 7:20 8:19
17:24 22:14 64:25
110:11
OCA 22:11,18,20
25:18 102:18
107:17

occasion 92:4

occur 40:17

occurred 5:7

October 26:3

off-line 84:17 85:21

99:22 offboarded 79:13 offboarding 75:24 79:12 offer 64:13 offered 11:1 office 2:7 25:21 80:15 86:1,7,12 92:19 108:17,22 Officer 2:17 oh 5:14 6:16 16:3 19:7 32:12 39:18 42:4 45:7 46:6 48:16 61:13 67:10 83:15 96:21 106:1 106:15 109:4 okay 3:16,24 4:5,25 5:6 6:3,7,25 9:10 12:23 13:2 14:17 16:1,6,23 18:4,25 19:6 21:20 22:6,7 24:5.8 25:22 27:20 28:3 29:10 32:15 33:1,8 38:2,11 39:1 39:15 41:22 42:2 43:9,12 44:21 45:10 45:21 52:22 53:4 55:22 59:5 61:15 63:10 66:8 67:11 69:22 73:17,19 74:9 74:14 75:7 83:4 84:16,24 85:19 96:3 96:7 97:2,8,9 98:16 99:4 100:12 101:4,8 103:7 104:11,24 106:17 107:4,9 108:12 109:15 110:2 113:8 old 65:10 **on-line** 5:24 on-site 91:12 onboarding 75:23 once 29:20 31:22 39:3 49:18 58:25 61:19 93:2,25 one's 24:22 one-off 68:13 ones 77:1 82:9 online 76:7 105:20 108:9

open 103:12,14 opened 7:9 operational 47:2 52:15 63:22 65:4,17 69:15 70:7,24 71:5 71:14 82:4 83:12 85:2 94:10 96:20 operations 2:9,17 59:10 67:1,9 80:6 opinion 20:5 opinions 63:7 opportunities 15:7 59:22 **opposed** 18:16 25:1 27:7 60:17 80:3 opposite 40:16 order 3:19 65:14 org 71:16 organizational 47:23 52:4 82:25 orient 13:10 14:4,6 **orientation** 15:5 17:7 17:12 orienting 17:10 outcomes 17:6 outdated 73:10 **outputs** 58:22 outside 50:7 63:4 75:4 overall 8:7 9:1 68:17 69:20 83:10 oversight 34:25 overview 9:9 45:23 51:5 overwhelmed 59:25 P

p.m 108:24 pace 7:14 packed 31:16 105:14 packet 109:2 packets 109:5 page 13:7 22:9 26:24 28:2 49:20 50:11 73:4 82:17 83:2,3 84:1,12 pages 27:25 45:5,5 69:23 70:12 paper 25:2 55:6 110:11,12,19,24 paperwork 111:2 parent 57:9 99:14 parents 58:7 part 16:18 21:25 22:3 40:4 42:16 55:21 56:10 62:12,12 66:24 68:17 72:24 85:16 88:18 93:14 93:23 100:20 103:16 104:3 participated 63:13 particular 10:18 57:18 60:6,7 65:5 66:16 92:3 particularly 98:18 parts 106:25 passed 46:2 87:8 90:5 92:25 98:8 101:11 **pasted** 97:12 pause 57:19 **Pavel** 4:17 payable 77:4 **paying** 95:10 payroll 74:5 PCG 57:23,23 105:4 peer 35:20,25 36:11 38:4,16 pencil 110:24 penetration 76:8 **people** 3:5 7:25 10:23 18:6 31:22 38:12 51:21 59:24 64:6 81:11 **peoples'** 92:11 percent 23:5 26:22 27:1,1 33:18 35:2,5 64:8 92:15 93:10 percentage 34:18 37:13 percolated 105:2 performed 58:2 **period** 11:13 26:3,10 29:25 30:9 36:22 43:15,16 44:5 periodically 86:10 87:18 permissions 3:11 person 11:21 113:11 perspective 69:18 93:20 pertained 58:1

Peter 2:5 5:2 15:24

oops 96:2

110:2
<b>Phillips</b> 2:17 111:8,14
_
phone 3:10
Phyllis 4:21
picked 68:25
piece 15:4 22:2 25:2
27:16 99:19 102:4
pilot 111:23
<b>Pinnacle</b> 28:11,16
pinpoint 60:16
pipeline 105:6
place 8:6 39:4 49:3
59:18 79:13 100:2
101:19
places 81:15
plan 6:21 19:15 20:18
21:19,22 22:3 27:15
32:3,5,10,20 33:19
33:21 34:2,2,5,6,8
34:16,21 35:3,8,10
36:15 38:1,1 39:7
39:21 40:10,22 41:6
41:9,14,19,24 44:17
46:20 48:13 49:2,21
55:4 56:5 59:16
64:6,7 66:22 70:9
70:13,15 71:1 72:13
72:17,24 77:9 79:5
85:25 86:2,8,11,17
87:14,16 89:5,9
90:13,18 95:10,20
96:19 98:11,21 99:3
105:12 106:10
planning 34:10
101:20 103:16
plans 14:24 20:19
21:18 26:2,5,7,10
47:19 55:20 59:18
59:23 89:13
<b>platform</b> 20:25 21:2
platforms 21:14
play 107:25
please 9:5 25:14
Pledge 3:20,23
pluck 83:23 84:11
plucked 88:3
point 5:11 20:1 21:21
23:20 29:18 51:3
58:12,18 75:9,13
77:6 80:14,22 81:25
01.14 00.0 102.2 10
91:14 99:9 102:2,10

111.7
111:7
points 58:15,19 policies 23:12,15
24:11 52:3 54:11
80:19
policy 9:23 10:3
18:10 24:9,13 46:18
46:19 64:5 85:24
86:17 87:21 91:5
94:9 104:15,21
107:20
poll 81:4
ponder 91:22
<b>pop</b> 24:21
popped 19:5
pops 24:16,19 72:17
population 64:9
portion 92:17
<b>position</b> 49:17 51:10
positions 47:13
possibility 69:19
possible 35:9 100:5
111:4
possibly 54:2 63:19
111:19
potentially 39:5 74:20
powers 89:4
PPO 67:15
preexisting 37:25
40:15
prefer 68:7
preferred 77:10
preliminary 36:3
38:9 55:1
preparation 10:9
prepare 11:11 34:20
66:7 106:11
prepared 65:3 66:5
68:6
present 7:14 20:17
21:16
presentation 6:12
14:8 18:1,23 82:14
presentations 14:12
16:21
presented 31:14
41:20 99:16
presenting 15:3
Presumably 88:10

pretty 9:8 11:25 12:5

20:20 47:25 49:24
50:5,6 67:19 100:8
105:14
previous 106:19
previously 41:23 79:3
106:5,22 pricey 113:7
primarily 59:12
64:25
principals 12:1,4
prior 6:6 7:24 8:23
10:17 18:2 26:24
31:20 32:10 33:17 33:22 34:1 43:14
102:20 104:20
107:8
prioritization 47:4
<b>prioritize</b> 65:25 78:17
78:18 <b>prioritized</b> 25:3
prioritizing 76:22
priority 34:15 72:18
Pritykina 2:9 7:19
8:13,24 9:3,10
26:15,23 27:2,9
29:3 30:6
<b>probably</b> 11:13 23:19 37:21 47:5 48:8
51:1 58:22 66:10
68:22 69:8 83:16
86:4 88:6 106:14
109:8
<b>problem</b> 16:5 27:11
110:14 problematic 27:5
107:15
problems 28:13
<b>procedure</b> 19:13,18
23:13 24:18
<b>procedures</b> 19:22 23:16 24:14 30:12
44:1 54:11 78:17
91:1
proceedings 3:1
114:7
<b>process</b> 9:12 13:12 19:22 22:9 30:8,15
19:22 22:9 30:8,15
36:2 38:19 56:19 70:14 71:6,7,9
75:24 82:24 107:22
nrocesses 19:21 36:8

56:18 70:24 71:5
85:3
<b>67:15</b> 73:9
orofessional 36:18
41:12 48:8 63:2
orogram 6:14,20
7:23 12:15 40:22
57:13 58:24 72:23
78:11 80:16 111:24
orograms 13:25 14:11 17:25 69:2,2
71:10
project 24:10
projects 7:21
proper 49:3
oroperly 72:5,6
<b>property</b> 34:24 39:9 68:4
propose 49:22
proposed 32:3 34:5,7
34:16,21 35:8 39:6
39:21 40:10 41:8,9
41:19 45:12 48:12
49:21 55:4 56:4
77:9 82:25 86:2
104:14 105:12
oroposing 50:1,22 orotected 56:15
proverbial 15:23
37:8
provide 8:16 13:19
15:7,18 17:21 38:9
38:21,22 51:18 65:4
78:1 80:12 81:6,14
89:12 104:8 106:13
108:15
provided 8:20 12:14
35:16 43:23,25 88:17 92:16 96:23
orovides 81:23
providing 6:23 82:11
111:19
osychologist 11:19
PTA 18:7
oublic 5:24 6:5 11:9
14:16 69:8 114:5
II 6.10 7.1 41 04
oull 6:12 7:1 41:24
42:5,10,13,20,23

**pulled** 106:4 **pulling** 32:9,16 43:2 86:3 purchased 67:5 **purpose** 50:18 89:15 92:18 93:11 purposes 14:13 53:25 pursuant 89:15 **pushing** 111:11 **put** 5:19 7:3,12 16:21 17:16,17 21:19 33:11 35:7 36:18 41:2 44:16 46:21 47:4,20 48:12 57:13 63:1 68:5 78:11 79:4 80:15 81:18,21 84:11 90:1 95:7 101:9 104:25 107:18 109:1 puts 24:1 **putting** 5:21 14:12 58:20,23 72:13 102:25

### Q

quadrant 49:17 **qualified** 64:17,20 95:21 qualifies 63:25 quality 9:4 36:7,7 quantified 64:17 quarterly 105:3 **question** 12:12,23 13:3,10 15:25 19:12 28:9,24 37:8 38:4 38:13 39:17 40:7 66:4,13 68:6,8 81:1 81:9,9 88:7 91:21 92:9,13 93:9,12,21 101:22 102:16 104:2 105:23 108:2 108:5

questioning 85:17 questionnaires 48:4 52:9 **questions** 7:16 10:20

10:22 13:6,9 19:1 26:20 28:6 29:23 37:18,22,25 44:22 46:15 50:12 56:12 60:11,14,19 62:20

8:16 13:18 22:10,23 62:3 65:9 114:7 results 9:9 26:13 65:7 69:24 78:25 19:25 20:22 22:6 quick 9:1 43:3 86:3 54:18 reported 96:15 102:5 29:14 30:8 31:6 23:23,25 25:11,23 26:19 27:20 29:17 auicker 60:9 recommendations 103:5 38:5 quickly 33:25 35:1 13:13 17:7 22:11,16 **Reporter** 1:22,23 **retain** 92:17 29:22 30:22 31:24 55:15 84:20 22:24 41:10,15 66:1 2:19 88:22 114:4,14 retrain 11:12,25 32:2 34:3,19,20 quite 38:19 61:2 72:4 86:9,14 reporter's 84:22 revenues 107:1 37:24 38:15 41:2 42:3 43:9 47:15 recommended 22:25 114:1 review 20:18 21:25 R recommends 22:11 **reporting** 1:23 2:19 24:9 35:21,25 36:12 48:3 49:12,14,17 R 1:23 114:4.14 22:18,20 25:18 13:24 18:12 95:5 38:4,16 86:1,6,7 52:23 53:2 54:25 RADCLIFF 2:11 87:6 90:13 106:9 58:25 59:2,11 62:6 **record** 114:8 raised 29:22 63:4 recordkeeping 28:22 reports 31:9 34:22,25 reviewed 21:17 32:22 63:21 65:13 67:12 86:22 records 28:11 35:2 36:13 40:21 33:11 52:3,4,5,6,7,8 68:21 69:11,13 73:3 ran 11:23 Recovery 66:23 52:8 69:1 86:7,7 52:9 74:25 83:8,16 86:18 range 34:10 red 54:14 59:13 102:21 reviewing 98:17 88:4 90:4 91:20 rate 47:2 reference 75:12 reputational 52:15 99:15 108:10 93:24 94:3 95:13 rattled 77:1 referrals 27:13 82:4 83:11 **revisit** 98:6.9 96:10,13 97:3,10,14 reach 65:2 104:9 reflects 63:15 request 57:25 84:25 RFP 40:5 98:3 99:20 100:23 111:14 106:9,20 refresh 29:25 **Rhodes** 2:8 3:7,14,15 100:24 101:4,12 reached 37:1 43:17 regard 36:6 41:6 requested 106:11 4:1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15 109:7 112:25 113:8 reactor 16:12 47:16 54:6 56:23 111:17,18 4:17,19,21,23,25 ring 106:14 read 19:2 33:6 46:25 87:19 requesting 5:10 5:4,15 6:4,10,19 7:2 rise 60:20 62:19 47:7 61:19 7:7 15:22 25:13,16 regarding 25:17 108:25 103:4 readily 24:25 37:25 53:13 54:3 require 66:20 91:11 31:10 32:7,9,14,17 risk 32:6,13 33:22 **reading** 64:9 106:7 86:23 required 9:13,18 10:7 32:19,23 33:1,5,8 34:12,13 35:12,14 ready 3:4 31:12 11:4,15 12:21 77:25 regular 31:22 93:2 33:10 38:7,12,18 36:24 37:2,16 40:18 105:17 112:11 regulatory 52:16 97:18 102:4 103:2,5 39:15,20,25 40:19 40:25 41:7,10,15,16 real 33:25 43:3 86:3 57:7 82:5 83:12 requirement 20:6 42:2,4,15,23 43:10 41:24 42:10,17 44:4 realized 7:8 48:23,25 rehash 103:23 46:2,17,21 93:15 43:11,13 45:10,18 44:16 45:8.12 46:3 really 9:11 31:1 reinvent 24:20,22 requirements 8:3,4 45:21 46:7 48:15,18 47:2,3 48:9,23 36:17 45:25 46:10 related 24:11 64:22 8:19,22 14:3 36:15 48:22 49:20 50:15 50:23 52:12,13,16 51:10 53:21 56:8 64:22,25 90:6,24 57:8 96:19 51:4.9 53:4 55:22 53:18 55:8,12,23,25 69:3 70:1,2,12 101:13,16 103:21 requires 8:5 70:14 58:18 59:2,5 61:9 57:17 60:20,24 61:6 80:21 81:18 relative 45:4 reserves 49:14 95:8 61:15,21 62:9 64:24 62:1,5,8,19 63:14 reason 23:7 37:1 60:2 relevant 101:12 resources 44:10 66:4,9 67:25 69:13 64:8 65:1,14 67:18 60:3 68:16 69:7 rely 13:8 49:22 50:9 72:1 73:7,14 75:3,7 67:24 68:1,12 69:12 90:7 remaining 95:16 respond 36:3 38:10 77:17 78:24 82:20 69:13,17 70:7,25 reasonable 78:25 remember 40:14 107:15 84:15,17 87:19 88:6 71:18,21 72:12 77:4 Rebecca 4:5 110:24 86:21 94:16 106:23 responding 77:14 88:19 89:21 91:10 78:8 79:2 80:10,17 recall 36:25 94:15 112:2 response 4:4,6,8,10 91:21 99:5,7,21 80:20 81:7,7,12,20 recalling 106:20 **remind** 15:11 100:5 102:19 104:4 4:14,18,22 8:20 82:7,10,15,16,18,23 receive 97:7 106:6 remove 79:14 13:4,18 22:21 44:23 104:6,17,22 105:22 83:3,5,9,10,11,25 received 35:12 46:14 repeat 9:6 87:1,12 106:6,21 106:1,4,16,19 107:6 84:4 85:3,4,5,5 98:18 107:2 108:12,14 109:10 replaced 58:15 86:23 105:1 receives 14:15 replacing 58:14 responses 13:18 111:13 113:12 risks 45:4 47:8,16,18 receiving 107:1 **report** 6:13,17 7:18 36:11 38:16,21 40:5 **Riggs** 39:22 40:11,17 47:23 49:5 52:17 recited 3:23 7:21 9:1 18:14 responsibilities 79:17 40:20 43:17 46:22 55:5,7 61:3 63:15 recognition 95:17,25 20:11 25:12,20,24 responsibility 53:22 55:7 77:8 104:25 70:19 78:18 80:14 97:7,18,20 26:1,15,17 31:13 responsible 23:14 **right** 3:12,17 4:1 5:4 82:3,24,25 83:5 recognizing 13:20 32:22 90:12,23 34:4,19 36:3 37:15 5:15,17,19 6:9 7:1 84:5,9 recollection 104:8 38:22,23 39:21 rest 105:17 13:5 14:2 15:9,10 Rob 4:13 recommendation 43:25 51:12 60:7 restating 53:6 15:11,12 16:3 19:16 Robert 2:3 92:6,7

94:14 112:21 role-specific 12:3 roles 79:17 roll 4:1 5:4 34:7 37:14 105:17 rolled 33:20 112:6 rolling 34:22 44:8 71:8 105:18 111:22 rollover 41:13 roofing 39:8 105:13 rookie 7:10 Rosa 23:21 24:7 **ROSENTHAL 2:13** routinely 50:6,6 row 82:6 **RSM** 39:8 105:3,13 rubric 17:2 rule 10:6,10 12:19 94:1,4 rules 80:18 90:16 91:3 100:2 run 64:8 80:5 **running** 11:25 Ruth 2:2 4:3 5:14 83:15 86:19 S

**SAC** 18:7 55:19 88:9 90:11 93:3,6,7 94:19,21,24 95:19 99:15,16 101:6 102:11,12 104:2 **SAC's** 102:11 **SAF** 18:7 101:5.5 102:11 safety 23:12,15,21 24:3,11 49:7 52:14 59:6,9 60:12 66:12 66:15,25 82:3 83:11 sample 8:8 26:5,11 80:1 92:2,4 102:25 **SAP** 73:3,4,5,5,7,9 74:1,4,18,22,22 75:14,16,18,20 79:25.25 80:2.3.4.4 80:7,8,8 Saturday 109:18 110:1 save 110:12 saw 26:22 73:3 82:22 89:25 102:2 107:10

saying 15:7 22:15 says 13:13,18 22:12 46:2 75:9 90:21 96:2 98:1 107:3 scanning 13:17 scenarios 14:24 scheduled 6:19 20:7 31:16 school 1:3 5:12 6:14 8:22 9:15,17,20,24 11:17 13:10 14:7,14 17:20 18:3,6,7,17 18:24 20:8 31:20 40:15 43:19 55:19 55:20 58:7 63:4 64:3,4,6,7 87:6,7,13 87:17,19 88:11,13 88:14,17 89:4,5,5,7 89:8,10,11,13,15,17 89:19 90:9,13,13,18 90:20,21,22,24 91:4 91:24,25 92:19,20 92:23 93:2 94:8 95:9,20 96:11,19,24 97:5,6,16,20 98:21 99:2 103:21 school's 87:8 93:4 97:4 101:24.25 104:3 school-base 15:17 school-based 11:21 12:7 27:12 schools 8:9,13 9:18 11:10 14:8,11,16,21 17:13 21:1,2,3,14 21:15 24:2 89:12 92:16 93:11,17 95:22 98:18 101:14 101:14 106:25 107:12 schools' 20:15 21:15 102:16,17 scope 50:18 70:20 77:24 102:22 **scopes** 35:16

**scoping** 58:20

110:20

screens 83:21

screen 7:1,2,4,9,10,13

23:8 32:24 41:1,3

42:11 45:12 92:10

scrivener's 29:1 scroll 8:11 26:6 33:25 scrolling 26:21 search 73:14 77:2 second 7:7 12:13 22:1 23:8 25:23 26:1 43:4 45:18,19 48:16 50:18 57:20 61:15 103:12 seconds 103:14 **section** 67:11 83:17 secure 76:3 security 23:12,15,21 24:11,15,16 49:8 51:22 59:6,9,16 60:12 66:12,16,22 66:25 74:15 76:1,17 80:3 see 5:16 6:8,15 7:2,4 7:5 13:23 20:8 21:23 22:8 25:7 28:9.24 29:3.22 30:23,24 31:2 33:1 33:16 35:7,19 38:2 38:2 41:6 42:8 43:5 47:24 50:13 51:1,13 55:20 58:1 59:8 60:14 61:18 66:5 70:23 77:2 80:21 81:7 82:17 85:11,12 86:22,25 92:11 100:2 104:1 105:9 107:7 111:14 113:11 **seeing** 99:15 seen 18:20 47:5 50:5 94:11 selecting 77:20 selective 40:3 send 112:13 sending 111:1 **senior** 52:11 sense 22:25 49:19 50:2 55:5 67:20 71:2 84:14,15 sent 104:16 112:16 separation 43:14 92:25 series 44:3 serious 26:8,9,11,12 26:12

service 1:23 67:23 111:20 services 55:24 56:20 65:18 serving 11:20 107:11 session 81:1 set 8:1 49:4 72:20 87:21 113:2 share 23:8 25:24 32:23 42:11 45:12 51:19 92:10 111:9 **sharing** 64:12 92:10 **Shaw** 4:21 sheets 55:6 **shifted** 36:25 **short** 36:22 **show** 20:24 26:7 34:5 47:8 49:11 56:13 **shows** 8:12 51:10 53:16 83:25 85:3 shrinking 69:19 side 24:7.7 sidebar 79:9 significant 60:22 similar 18:15 35:17 35:18 38:19 83:20 95:4 simple 46:25 81:8 simply 66:15 sir 109:15 sit 28:16,18 101:6 110:14 situation 48:1 six 45:5 65:10 size 26:5 43:1 102:24 slash 8:9 **Sleep** 103:12 slide 81:25 82:19,20 83:6 slides 84:6,9 85:7 slightly 30:21 63:9 72:18 slipped 46:7 slow 60:8 snapshot 55:23 **snippet** 96:23 97:3 **social** 11:18 software 51:23 sole 90:23 solid 80:15 100:8 solution 111:4

**Solving** 27:12 somebody 75:3 83:14 soon 30:14 34:12 sooner 107:11 **sorry** 6:16 9:3 29:3 32:9 45:9 86:17 89:1,2 93:18 96:6 100:15 104:17 106:1 108:1 109:17 **sort** 3:13 39:14 51:2 55:10.18 58:12 71:3 71:3 77:10 81:23 84:2,25 90:6 93:21 99:22,25 101:12,16 105:2 sought 44:3 sound 105:13 113:1 **sounded** 108:25 sounding 39:11 sounds 25:22 40:11 98:3 100:7 103:7 **South** 1:24 speak 17:7 35:21 37:12 42:12 50:3 63:8 90:17 speaker 89:2 speaks 60:24 64:5 89:4 91:1 **Spec** 2:11,11 special 1:5 3:18 31:17 39:3 105:15 Specialist 2:10 **specific** 5:25 9:7 13:15 17:8,25,25 19:21 27:23 28:6 44:17 51:21 56:19 60:5,11,14 64:19 66:19 71:14 72:20 73:16 75:15 79:16 specifically 50:10 53:8 57:12 66:14 76:24 78:5 spending 91:2 98:21 spent 94:19 95:7 **spot** 47:25 spread 44:5 **SSEP** 23:17 24:1 staff 2:7,14 6:11 15:3 15:8 17:10,14,19,21 18:15 20:2 25:20 26:20 48:6 51:17

57:10,10 63:12,16 **Strauss** 2:4 4:23 70:3 sure 8:21 14:13 15:13 talking 11:3 49:9 86:24,24 110:5 structure 101:18 78:8 89:18 25:15 32:16,19,22 53:20 55:13 56:7,23 things 9:7 10:2,6,9 staffing 47:12 student 10:15 14:2.14 42:21 43:11 49:3,4 61:22 64:12 66:12 18:9 19:4 22:9 56:14 59:20 60:1 73:20 79:10 95:25 **stand** 3:21 16:1 17:7,12 24:1 27:14 30:20 33:20 standards 54:13 55:24 56:19,21 61:2 62:14 73:21 talks 17:10 36:17 39:5,14 40:21 79:14 84:19,21 86:3 standpoint 53:24 57:21 60:21 62:4,8 Task 99:14 46:21 50:9 51:19,25 94:5 104:12 107:21 55:2 56:8 69:14 64:9,11,14 65:17,20 Task-Assigned 2:8,9 54:10,15 56:6,22 86:12 96:14 67:22 75:19 95:19 109:3 111:12 teachers 58:8 60:17,19 61:12 start 3:4,13 5:12 8:25 95:21 96:9 97:19 **surely** 91:19 **teaching** 17:5 55:18 62:18 63:1,16,18,22 18:3 31:20 32:4 students 13:10 14:4,6 surprised 48:1 49:15 56:7,18 61:1,21 65:16 68:20,21,23 15:5,8,11,13,15,20 68:2 74:18 39:13 50:19 52:21 Teaching/Learning 71:6 75:18 76:13,21 53:4 59:23 60:4 20:18,19 21:17 57:9 surprises 105:10 2:15 76:23 78:23 79:10 98:22 surprising 56:2 team 8:20 9:21 10:4 79:20,21 81:17 108:10 87:11 94:12 99:13 started 13:17 29:18 students/staff 18:22 **survey** 101:5 11:5,15,21,22 12:7 swept 99:12 73:20 **stuff** 29:1,5,7 63:9 23:4 27:12 99:16 107:23 **starting** 80:14,22 105:11 symptoms 28:18 106:9 think 5:13 6:9 14:23 103:13 105:13 **subjective** 47:18 48:6 synergies 35:9 teams 1:11 3:22,25 16:7 17:9 18:19 starts 78:15 89:20 subjects 14:22 synonymous 89:24 5:22 9:16,17,19 19:5 22:16 24:15 95:21 **submit** 99:23 10:13,14,15 15:17 25:11 29:6 30:23 synopsis 43:10 state 8:4 12:18,19,21 subsequent 98:25 synthesized 37:16 93:8 31:2,15 38:18 39:16 13:1 14:5 17:17,18 subset 66:15,20 51:12 52:18 technical 3:13 42:7,18 45:3 46:13 17:22 18:2 19:15.17 substitute 111:1 synthesizing 34:19 Technology 72:7 47:1,24 49:10 50:2 19:20 43:20 44:20 Success 74:4 63:1 teenagers 14:18 50:4,25 53:10,15 53:10 87:25 88:2,17 sudden 42:6 system 2:10 28:15,18 tell 14:25 34:21 43:17 54:17,20 55:16 89:16 90:8 91:8 sufficiently 35:17 29:19 73:10,25 74:1 56:16 57:12,21 58:18 92:24 93:10,16,18 suggest 29:23 100:17 74:10,13,24 77:5 **template** 95:4,5 60:18,23 61:9,18 95:22 102:1,14,23 suggesting 70:22 89:7 90:8 **tended** 87:11 62:20 64:18 65:11 103:5 114:2,5 **Suite** 1:24 20:25 systemic 82:24 terms 28:11,17 44:12 65:19,23 69:5,7,17 stated 38:8 suits 52:20 systems 67:3 73:24 58:13 71:23 74:12 70:1,3,5,10,13,16 **statement** 42:22 43:5 **summarize** 83:18,20 76:12 79:15 74:13 76:21 81:25 71:15 73:3,12,15,20 52:5 84:3 85:8 109:13 74:1,6,7,20 75:8 T **static** 73:2 summarized 85:6 tested 8:1 30:4 85:14 76:23 77:6,17,25 table 29:5 statute 12:18 46:1.17 testing 76:6,8,9 77:21 78:6,14,24 80:6,23 **summary** 8:12 9:1 tablet 110:22 46:21 87:25 88:2 26:17 45:24 47:22 78:12 81:2,18,22,24 82:1 tabletop 24:2 89:3,16,20 90:18 53:7 81:23 82:5,23 thank 3:24 5:6 6:7 82:5,11,12,16 83:14 take 21:23 36:10 93:16 95:22 102:8 82:24 83:14,16,23 12:9,24 14:17 16:23 84:17,17,24 85:8,10 50:12,18 59:6,19 83:24 84:12,12 **statutes** 14:5 54:13 26:19 28:23 29:10 85:19 86:18 87:1,9 60:2 61:10,24 63:9 90:25 91:8 94:8 summer 15:15 31:18 30:22 31:25 32:1 89:8,21 90:5 93:19 66:10 67:2 77:8,12 stenographic 1:23 105:17 42:3 43:7,12 44:21 94:3,18,24,24 96:10 78:10 96:12 102:20 114:9 superintendent 2:15 93:12 94:2 100:25 102:15,22 104:15 103:13 105:17 2:17 53:23 54:6 108:11 109:15,21 stenographically 105:21 106:5 112:23,24 70:18 86:15 109:10 111:8,11,17 114:7 110:2 113:10 taken 101:10 step 10:14 112:18 supplant 85:15 **Thanks** 6:1 12:13 111:19 112:1,25 **takes** 37:3 113:3 **support** 2:10 11:22 theme 64:19 thinking 86:4 talk 31:21 45:22 stick 72:25 15:14 24:1 51:17 theoretically 65:13 third 76:20 50:19 55:3 57:1 **stored** 110:15 55:24 56:20,21 thereof 114:9 **thought** 7:10 67:25 62:21 82:14 84:18 57:21 110:8 111:22 thermonuclear 16:11 91:17 103:12 106:3 **story** 63:6 85:20 88:19,19 stove 101:10 supports 15:14 21:19 they'd 77:10 106:6 107:10 107:18 straight-up 22:23 supposed 20:16 35:22 thing 18:13 22:25 thoughts 74:18 talked 53:12 55:16 strangle 86:5 90:17 94:19,25 24:19 35:6 66:22 threat 2:16 7:23 8:20 59:16 strategic 106:10 104:8 71:10 85:18 86:20 9:12 10:8 11:9

13:24,24 19:23 23:2 23:3,13 24:13,14,18 25:5 26:1 66:21 threats 15:12 23:2 25:6 26:9,11 30:16 30:19 three 13:11 35:23 49:23 68:13 three-year 44:5 throw 103:11 throwing 111:2 **Thursday** 1:13 3:18 108:16 109:8 tickets 95:11,11 ties 56:22 tight 105:5 **TIM** 2:19 time 10:3 11:13 27:6 29:8 36:19,22 41:17 43:16,16 47:10 56:4 57:4 59:19 60:10,13 61:24 62:3,23 86:13 88:22 89:22 91:12 91:16 101:2,3 102:2 103:22 108:10 109:12,19 timeframe 30:1 timeliness 109:14 timely 34:14 47:15 timing 17:8 46:9 103:9 107:17 **Timothy** 1:23 114:4 114:14 title 14:1 today 56:23 77:12,15 103:24 told 18:14 tomorrow 104:15,21 104:23 109:6 tool 63:10 72:12 tools 48:11 top 46:10,12 49:17 70:23 75:13 78:3,4 78:13 96:12 top-down 53:14 **touched** 23:13 traced 26:4 track 55:6 71:25 traditional 5:8 21:14 traditionally 7:24 train 11:15

trained 11:14,16 training 6:13,20 7:23 7:25 9:16,20 10:13 10:15 11:1,4,23 12:3,6,8,14 13:6,7 16:2 17:4,14,18 18:8,15 19:4 89:18 89:18 103:22 **trainings** 8:23 11:24 12:21,22,25 transcript 114:8 transferable 106:12 transition 10:10 **transmit** 100:11 107:14 transmitted 9:5 **Transportation** 67:22 treat 16:25 17:1 tried 8:5 triggered 23:11 trouble 20:9 **true** 114:8 try 50:16 72:14 80:11 109:16,18 trying 13:7 24:20,22 31:10 33:19 42:19 76:16 77:15 108:5,6 tune 69:15 98:9 turn 6:24 66:10 turnover 47:12 59:21 turns 54:8 Turso 2:5 3:8 4:24 5:2,3 15:21,23,24 16:3,7,23 21:9,11 109:23 110:3 two 14:18 21:14 22:9 27:17 48:16 68:13 83:9 90:25 91:20 103:14 106:24 110:18 112:4 type 16:19 23:3 25:5 34:25 44:8 81:14 83:5,11,25 90:6 types 25:6 62:18

typing 95:15

102:6

**Uh-oh** 21:5

U

Uh-huh 24:8 85:19

33:12 44:2 47:4 52:17 53:25 69:20 **unable 34:17** unanimously 87:9 unaware 6:4 undergoing 35:20 understand 15:13 16:12 68:10,20 72:4 78:21 80:22 91:17 93:7,8 108:6 understanding 16:20 20:10 47:1 62:14 63:11 65:8 67:4 69:9 81:4 88:23 91:23 understood 69:6 **uniform** 13:14,19 14:7 17:14,18,21 18:1 22:13 28:19 United 2:19 **unity** 8:18 universe 36:23,24 46:24 47:3 unmute 3:9 unmuted 6:1 unweighted 95:18 **upcoming** 8:21 9:24 10:5 18:3 34:4,16 34:20 35:8 39:25 40:5 44:17 **update** 42:7,12 112:13 **updated** 23:16 24:12 36:8 **updates** 57:22 63:20 104:20 use 13:25 14:8 17:19 19:16,17 21:1 25:17 40:3 41:11 44:10 46:22 50:7 60:10,13 69:21 80:5 109:2 user 57:9 uses 73:5 usually 7:25 13:8 57:15 **usurped** 34:10 utilized 86:13 95:8 **utilizing** 110:19  $\mathbf{V}$ 

ultimately 8:15 26:13

vacancies 47:13 **valorem** 68:24 variances 28:10 various 37:6 40:23 65:4 72:2 74:2.2 79:10.22 varying 17:12 Vegas 95:12 **vehicle** 90:11,12 Vending 39:8 **Vendor** 67:14 verbiage 25:18 verify 10:4 20:15,17 versus 87:14 107:19 vice 12:1,4 **video** 15:2,3 16:11,13 18:19 videos 13:22,23 14:21 14:23,25 16:8,9,10 16:16 view 70:16 voice 25:19 73:21 volunteer 18:6 volunteers 18:5,11,24 **vote** 5:19,22 103:25 **voting** 93:4 **VPK** 64:21 vulnerable 76:9 W

wait 27:7 69:24 73:19 106:17 walk 7:13 Walsh 16:7.15 **WANDA** 2:11 want 6:12,18 8:24,25 9:6 10:22 14:1,13 19:22 25:24 26:21 30:5,7 41:22 42:16 43:3,10 49:1,9 51:1 52:14 55:14 57:19 60:1,8 67:2 69:4,23 80:11 84:21 90:10 92:21 104:11 107:21 108:13 110:6,14 112:21 wanted 21:22 25:16 26:17 32:4 36:20 39:16 40:9 43:18,23 55:4 58:11 85:22 96:21 101:8

wants 26:15 86:5 110:24 wasn't 5:9 48:2 72:5 94:16 waste 81:17 wasted 67:23 71:24 watch 16:9,11,15 watching 16:9 way 9:4 31:18 36:13 37:16 38:18,20 40:7 44:19 49:7 54:10 60:11 63:13 64:15 66:11 77:11 82:6 95:3 96:25 111:15 wavs 36:21 48:10 79:19 we'll 3:13 6:20,21 22:4 25:7 34:11 45:22 49:18 50:15 52:1,18,21 53:3 57:14 58:5 61:21 69:14 104:19 107:17 109:2 111:13 112:20,20 112:20 we're 7:21 15:5,10 20:20 21:8 25:9,11 28:19 29:25 30:14 31:2,12,24 34:2,3 34:19 35:8,25 36:4 37:12,13 40:2,16 41:25 47:14,24 53:7 54:25 56:23 57:22 59:21 63:7 66:11 69:24 72:19,19 73:4 73:24 74:14 75:12 77:7,13 81:14 84:4 84:7,20 85:20 86:18 86:18,21 88:3 95:25 98:10 104:4 107:21 107:23 110:11 we've 30:9 50:5,25 51:13 55:16 72:2 79:7 86:2 91:13 weak 81:16 weaker 22:23 web 74:15 76:6 website 108:18,23 week 55:8 57:22 58:11 62:22 77:14

81:2 103:9,11,15,18

	1	1	1	
104:1,5,12 105:8	Y	<b>1008.36</b> 95:23	3	
110:8 113:11	yada-yada 82:23	<b>10th</b> 108:23	3 35:20 105:4 108:24	
week's 77:11,15	yeah 7:5 9:8 11:7	11 28:2,3	<b>30</b> 93:8	
weekend 65:11	12:18 15:23 16:3	<b>11:30</b> 86:19	30th 98:5	
113:12	18:19 19:11,16	<b>11:56</b> 1:13 113:8,13	31st 30:10 31:5	
weeks 15:10	20:13 22:1 23:17	<b>11th</b> 103:19	<b>33301</b> 1:24	
weird 31:1	24:8 29:12 30:6	<b>12</b> 36:23	<b>35</b> 26:5,7 27:9,10	
welcome 12:10	31:12,15 32:14,23	<b>1403</b> 88:2 91:4	33 20.3,7 27.3,10	
109:22 110:3	33:7 39:20 40:9,19	1403(a) 88:2	4	
went 19:6 33:19 42:5	42:23,24 43:6 45:9	<b>15</b> 44:9 83:6	4 46:6	
81:3	46:6,7 51:4,8 60:18	<b>16</b> 83:7 105:11	<b>4,000</b> 11:14	
weren't 11:20 94:21	67:25 71:3 73:8	<b>16th</b> 25:8,10 39:2	41 51:14,24	
wheel 24:22	75:17 82:16 83:4	55:3 56:13 101:20	<b>43</b> 26:22,25	
when's 29:24	85:10,11,20,23 86:3	108:21 114:10		
white 28:4	88:15,24 89:3,17	<b>17</b> 44:9 83:3	5	
whoever's 16:9 112:7	91:10 92:5 94:1,15	<b>18</b> 87:25 88:4	<b>5</b> 95:18 96:8,9,10	
112:8	100:14,21 104:11	<b>1991</b> 23:13	<b>5,000</b> 98:22	
willing 110:25	104:22 105:24	1st 9:25 10:9 11:11	<b>54</b> 64:8	
winds 39:3	106:3,16 107:9	30:10 46:4		
wondering 23:14	108:4,14 112:1		6	
24:9 28:12 102:13	year 5:12 6:14 8:22	2	<b>633</b> 1:24	
word 17:4 23:10	9:15,20,24 14:7,14	<b>2</b> 27:23 108:24	<b>6A.10019</b> 12:19	
88:25	14:19 15:4,6 17:20	<b>20</b> 27:1 32:21 110:15	7	
work 3:21 11:19 14:9	18:3 19:14 28:19	<b>2019</b> 86:20 87:1,9		
15:16 20:20 38:19	30:11 31:20 32:3,5	98:8	7 13:7 27:6,6,8,9,13	
38:20 39:21,23,24	32:17,21 33:17	<b>202</b> 1:24	7a 13:9	
40:1 42:22 43:5	35:21 36:16 37:20	<b>2023</b> 8:2,3 26:3,4,5	<b>7b</b> 13:9 17:9	
54:16 57:2 77:24	38:1 39:13,13,25	46:5	7c 13:9	
78:15,15 85:9	40:16 49:15 76:21	<b>2023-2024</b> 19:13	<b>7th</b> 104:9 106:18,23	
worked 104:19	87:13 98:12 112:16	<b>2024</b> 1:13 11:11 46:8	8	
worker 11:18	112:22	98:6 114:10	8 22:9	
working 14:1 65:15	years 35:24 36:1,23	<b>2024-2025</b> 19:14	<b>87</b> 69:25 73:4	
79:5	46:4 47:19 49:23	<b>20th</b> 35:4 38:6 39:2,7	8th 98:8	
workings 81:19	68:13 93:8 98:6,8	39:10	oth 76.6	
works 24:7 42:8	101:2,11 110:18	<b>21</b> 107:14,24	9	
91:24 93:9,20	Yellow 54:14	<b>21st</b> 29:6 <b>22</b> 87:13	9 1:13	
workshop 41:20	7	<b>226</b> 8:9	<b>9:31</b> 1:13 3:19	
would've 77:10 wouldn't 39:2 74:22	<u>Z</u>	<b>23</b> 6:15,16,16 28:25	90 9:21 35:1,5 69:25	
	<b>zone</b> 61:8	29:6,9,14 33:11,13	84:6 85:7	
wrap 39:12 108:2 wrapping 36:2	0	46:6,7	<b>90-page</b> 60:6	
WRIGHT 2:11	J ————	<b>23-24</b> 6:14	<b>900</b> 70:12	
write 110:20,20	1	<b>24</b> 6:15 32:5,17 38:1	<b>92</b> 33:17	
writing 66:6,7	1 13:18,19 26:3 31:5	<b>24-25</b> 19:21	9th 3:18	
writting 00.0,7 written 22:11,17	112:18	<b>24.121</b> 89:16		
36:13 56:11 73:1	10 98:22	<b>24th</b> 36:4 38:7		
112:13	<b>100</b> 23:5 92:15 93:9	<b>25</b> 32:3 82:1 84:1		
wrong 96:4	95:21 97:19,22	<b>26</b> 26:7		
	<b>1001.42</b> 87:25	<b>27th</b> 106:13 107:3,5		
X	<b>1001.452</b> 88:1	<b>28</b> 101:1		
<b>X</b> 110:17	<b>1008.345</b> 88:1	<b>29th</b> 41:21		