Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER

BOARD ROOM

600 SE 3RD AVENUE

FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 2025 9:35 A.M. - 12:33 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 3 DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH, Chair MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN, Vice Chair 4 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH MR. ANTHONY DE MEO, CPA 5 MS. MARY FERTIG - TEAMS MR. MATTHEW FRIEDMAN 6 MR. OLEG GOROKHOVSKY, CPA MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, CPA 7 MR. LEW NAYLOR MR. PETER TURSO 8 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: MR. DAVE RHODES, Chief Auditor 10 MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director, via Teams MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Assistant Director IT Audits 11 MS. NAKIA GOULDBOURNE, Acting Manager, Internal Accounts MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Task-Assigned Manager, Operations 12 MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II MR. DEREK TILLMAN, Executive Secretary 13 MS. OCTAVIA ALLEN-HARDAWAY, Clerk Spec C 14 DISTRICT STAFF: 15 MS. GERRILYN ARLOTTA, Assistant Director, Accounting & Financial Reporting 16 MR. OMAR R. SHIM, Director, Capital Budget MR. JEFFREY WHITNEY, Budget Director 17 MS. KIM PUNZI-ELABIARY, Director, Behavioral Threat Assessment JENNIFER ANDREU, Director, Procurement & Warehousing Services 19 INVITED GUESTS: 20 MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting MR. BEN KINCAID, CPA, CRI 22 PUBLIC SPEAKERS: 23 MR. ARMANDO HASSUN, ESQ., Shutts & Bowen, LLP 24 25

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay, everybody. I would like to call the meeting to order. Oh, I forgot to put that on there, at 9:35 a.m. I'll call the January -- Thursday, January 16th, 2025 meeting of the audit committee to order at 9:35 a.m.

Everyone please rise for the pledge of allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Happy New Year everybody. It's a little gloomy out there, so thank you all for coming. We have a packed agenda today. What else is new? I expect Internal Funds we'll have some conversation. Caps & Gowns we have a public speaker. hopefully, we can get through the Behavioral Threat Assessments. We have both a staff response and guidance from the state related to the Fund Balance Motion. I did not and we'll have to defer to February the Intercom Audit Motion. Something had to give and it was that. So I'll have that for February because I was just about to do it yesterday and I got sidetracked by Internal Funds. We'll have an OIG Update.

Audit, I put together a matrix that I'll go over when we get there. The Chief Auditor Evaluation Tool was discussed at the workshop, Tuesday?

Okay. So -- and you'll have an update for where that stands for us?

MR. RHODES: Yes.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Agenda Planning, as you know, normally, we would have had the ACFR, but we don't have it, So we need to see when that's coming.

All right. So that's everything that's on the agenda. Like I said, I'm deferring Item 12. Everything else we're going to endeavor to get through. So we did Pledge of Allegiance. And next up is roll call and then approval of the agenda.

MR. RHODES: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, Happy New Year to everybody and welcome back.

So Ruth Carter-Lynch?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here.

MR. RHODES: Rebecca Dahl?

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: She -- I thought she was off.

MR. RHODES: Until further notice. Yeah, I'm going to update this document because I think we

do have that verification, by the way.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: And beyond her resigning -- it shuts off. I'm doing this again.

So beyond her resigning, because she hasn't been here, I as the chair am saying, after three in a row, four total, you're off anyway.

MR. RHODES: Okay.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's no longer relevant whether she resigns or not. Per Policy 1070 she would need to be reappointed.

MR. RHODES: I will have staff update this meeting list and we will identify -- we'll identify her.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: She's off; right.

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

So, resuming, Anthony De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Here.

MR. RHODES: Mary Fertig?

(No response.)

MR. RHODES: Do we have a connection yet?

MR. ERHARD: No, she's not in here.

MR. RHODES: Matthew Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Here.

MR. RHODES: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Okay. I need a second.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH:

25

1 MR. MAYERSOHN: Second.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Mayersohn second. Any discussion?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor of approving of the January 16th, 2025 Audit Committee Agenda say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: No? Okay. That is approved.

I'm aware we have public comment for a specific item. So we agreed a couple of years ago that they would be able to speak at the time that item comes up. Do we have any general public speakers?

MR. RHODES: None that I'm aware of. That's the only one.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okey-dokey. We have the minutes to approve for the November 14th, 2024 audit committee meeting.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Mayersohn.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Second.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Ruth Carter-Lynch. Any discussion?

(No response.)

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Hearing none, all in favor of approving the minutes from the November 14th, 2024 audit committee meeting please say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: None opposed. All right. Minutes are approved.

Mr. Rhodes, do we have any housekeeping items from your side of the house?

MR. RHODES: The only thing that I wanted to mention was I have identified some no responses for today's meeting and then some declines. I'll focus on the declines because we have Ernie Lozano and Ms. Romaneir Johnson, they are in negotiations and so they could not make it, although, they were invited. So Ms. Johnson has sent some representatives in her stead.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And I think I see them behind you.

Okay. And that's BTA and then for Caps -- so

Caps & Gowns and Internal Funds there are going to be staff here for that?

MR. RHODES: Yes. Yes. The answer to that is, yes. I was just double checking to see if the representative from CRI was here yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, I wasn't worried -- oh, okay. And then Internal Funds?

MR. RHODES: I'm sorry, could you repeat that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I was thinking on the district side, but, okay.

MR. RHODES: No, there is also someone from the district side. I just wanted to make sure the CRI rep was hear as well. And, also, Bryan just informed me that Ms. Fertig has joined the meeting via Teams.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Alrighty. So I guess we'll get started with the BTA. We have two BTA audits. We have the Process for School Year 23-24 and Student Support Management Plan. This is -- these are Items 7 and 8.

MR. RHODES: And I will ask Ali to preface those and we will start with -- select which one we want to start with and go ahead and preface that and then move along to the second.

MS. ARCESE: Good morning. So first report, let's make sure I -- Item Number 7, Behavioral Threat Assessment Process for School Year 23-24, I believe I had mentioned that we would be bringing this item forward. These are the attributes that were discussed at length regarding the process. As you can see, there are 31 attributes that were tested. One, two, I think there are six findings that we identified, some at different levels. Some are high, some are low, some are medium, or one medium.

Management's responses have been included. And I can take any questions that there may be regarding this process.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Does anyone have any questions on the first -- on this audit? I'm realizing that if I'm sharing my screen I'm going to have to get on Teams. Actually, if I'm sharing -- yeah, what -- oh, hold on, let's not -- so they switched to that. And we are recording on Teams, as well; right? Just to make sure.

MR. ERHARD: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So anyone questions on this first audit?

1

Oh, Mr. De Meo?

2

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, just a few general.

3

Who is the coordinator for this program?

4

MS. ARCESE: The director is Kim Punzi.

5

She's right behind us. Oh, right beside me.

6

Sorry.

7

MR. DE MEO: I thought the superintendent was

8

to appoint a coordinator.

9

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Yes, I have been

10

appointed and trained as the district threat

11

management coordinator. I was trained at the

12

same time as Mr. Lozano. We were trained

13

together. I was his backup.

14

MR. DE MEO: Thank you.

15

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: You're welcome.

16

MR. DE MEO: How was the sample determined?

17

MS. ARCESE: So I believe that is on page 9

18

of the report. And I will defer to Ms. Pritykina

19

since she was the one who has the intricate

20

details on how that sample size was determined.

2122

manager, audit manager of the Office of the Chief

MS. PRITYKINA: Yes, hello, Elena Pritykina,

23

Auditor. So we ran the sample originally for the

24

quarter 3 period from January 1st including, and

through March 31st. And we ran the sample based

25

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

on the important available data available at the The important features at the time did not include certain low-level assessments. So the sample was ran from the high, medium, lower and unfounded assessments in the report. Also, at the time when we ran the report, since the system was new and different from the way it was done in the last audit, the report was returned with a lot of duplicative items for each student because there is preliminary level assessments involved and then the final level. So we have to run all the assessments -- all the student IDs with the high level assessments first in order to identify all the high levels as was required, requested by the board and the audit committee. And after the review we were determining if some of them changed to a lower level after the assessment was completed. So, therefore, as we explain on page 9 at the time of quarter 3 we had a little bit of higher number of high levels and after the review they were changed to -- we were concerned that they changed to medium. So we did run the whole -- we tried to base our sample based on high level and then we had -- we selected 35 all different levels randomly from the available

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information.

After we completed the review for quarter 3 the -- as one system was going away and we were trying to make a quick assessment whether the -- any areas that we identified with system issues were alleviated and therefore we ran a sample of 16 from the high level only for the quarter 4.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you. So I -- I -- I thought I read there were 147 high level assessments, but I don't see the total reported assessments, which would be the population from which we select the samples; is that right? I mean, were there 300, a thousand? It says a thousand 52 BTM assessments, but what were the total for the -- for that period? I guess it was six months, third and fourth quarter, what were the total threat assessments?

MS. ARCESE: So I believe the answer to that is the first -- the 1,275 first number was through March and then as time went on we knew that there were some delays and so we continued to pull to add to the sample size. Because we knew that there was a delay, we wanted to make sure that we were covering the entire third and fourth quarter. And so we don't have a

breakdown, I don't believe, of all of those samples.

MR. DE MEO: Well, is the total 1,052 plus 1,275 or is it -- I'm not clear what the total number of threats were.

MS. PRITYKINA: Okay. So on page 2 we listed the total number for the quarter 3 was a total of 1,275 assessments of all levels and for quarter 2 there was a total of 1,052 assessments for all levels.

MR. DE MEO: So those two would be added to get the total?

MS. PRITYKINA: Yeah.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. I thought in previous meetings we had decided as a committee that we were going to select for inspection 100 percent of the high level threats. Is that -- did we change that?

MS. ARCESE: Do you have the number of how many high level between quarter 3 and quarter 4 total?

MR. DE MEO: It says 147.

MS. PRITYKINA: Well, we have, I did list the total number of high for quarter 3, but I did omit the total number for quarter 4, I'm sorry.

So I can -- I'll look it up this week. Then
we -- yes, we did pull all the high levels for
quarter 3. It's just with the quarter 4 we
weren't able to do the same level of assessments
for all high levels, again, because the system
was -- the system became unavailable on June
17th. So before the system became unavailable we
wanted to get all the information from there.
And the only way to do that was just to use a
smaller sample. Because we would have the
conversion process, all the data just was moved
to Focus but it took time, and we just wanted to
continue that assessment at least at some level.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. So I thought I read there were 147 high level assessments.

MS. PRITYKINA: Oh, this was for the period -- this was a different audit. We pulled samples differently for that one. So this was for the period from February through June. So it was a little bit difficult to reconcile between the two audits because we had used a different way how we pulled the information, different periods.

MR. DE MEO: So is it our intention to examine 100 percent of the high levels going

forward?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is. I just know that there MS. ARCESE: are times where there could be spikes in the process which would delay us; the amount of work that it takes to vet through the information. you saw in our recommendation there's a lot of documents that are still not uploaded into the system. That slows the process down for us and we are constantly requesting that documents be provided. So we would love to do 100 percent so as long as the documents are made available to us and we are able to turn these reports around quicker. But until that's a mandated requirement we depend on the schools to provide us that information and there are times where there are delays.

MR. DE MEO: You know, perhaps from a reporting and auditing standpoint, something to consider, if the documents aren't available, I would consider that an exception. And specifically note why, you know, get the reason why they weren't available and move on.

Two other quick questions about the testing.

MS. ARCESE: Sure.

MR. DE MEO: I couldn't find so I'm asking,

was there any testing around, and I realize it might be difficult, any testing around whether or not the categorization as high, medium or low was appropriate based on the information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: So I will defer to you.

MS. PRITYKINA: We actually do not have an This is -- the behavioral expertise for this. threat assessment department is evaluating and Kim can speak to that. This is a part of the process required by the state. Each threat management assessment level is -- of high is evaluate -- must be evaluated by the behavioral threat management team, district level threat management team, and medium levels and some other levels could be also interpreted between the reviewers. So this process is built in, the reviews are built in, and these reviews are done by trained professionals who have expertise in this area.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I just want to get clarity on what you're asking.

Are you asking if there -- if there's a criteria for high, medium and low, are they auditing to ensure that if they're categorized as high, medium and low that they're meeting the

criteria?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: Well, it's subjective. Yeah. No, I'm aware that there are prescribed, you know, there's definitions for each category. I just thought maybe it might be something for us to look at to see if the classification seems reasonable.

In other words, you know, these kids, you know, if you're working at a school level with the kids and you might know the kid's not a bad kid but got into some trouble, you know, human nature you might want to minimize that problem and categorize it as something less than high or less than medium. And although those good intentions are -- are something, you know, that may or may not be appropriate, it really has no place in classifying the threat. So I just thought from the standpoint -- I appreciate what you're saying that we have a team comprised of the four disciplines that the law requires to look at these things. I just thought maybe it would be something to look at maybe just from a reasonableness -- in other words, if there was violence involved and it's unfounded, you know, that's kind of -- right? That's not going to

fly. That doesn't pass the smell test. I wouldn't expect you all to be law enforcement or mental health experts, but something as obvious as that, you know, should kind of be caught. So I would ask you to consider that.

Last -- last thing, last question, and this applies to both reports, very difficult, how do we determine completeness? In other words, how do we -- how do we know that every event under our policy and the law that should have been reported was in fact reported? Is there any -- you know, I can't think of a way to determine completeness other than to randomly look at --

MS. PRITYKINA: Per law everybody is responsible for reporting any concerning behaviors. But how can we ensure that everybody who saw the concerning behavior actually reported it, I don't see the way how we can audit that.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. RHODES: We're operating under a slightly different model today and we don't have Ms.

Fertig on the big screen but she apparently has her hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. She'll be after Mr. Mayersohn.

MR. DE MEO: So I would ask you to consider, and I don't have any good ideas about that, but just consider the completeness. Maybe there's some record or some inquiries, occasionally, that could be made to make sure that everything that should have been reported was reported. I don't know if there's a way to do that.

That's all I have.

MS. ARCESE: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There are times where the parents at the school know that things occurred and it's documented -- you know, well, the kids aren't supposed to have phones and that's one of the reasons we're taking them away, but someone may have sent an email, you know, it may be on social media. But that, obviously, is not feasible to go combing through all of that to see if somebody reported something that didn't show up at the other end.

MS. ARCESE: The only thing I would encourage is that if someone has any information for us we do have the hotline set up and they can call our hotline for that type of information and we'll

vet through those scenarios if that is the case and they feel that something was not treated correctly. We can follow suit through that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Mayersohn and then Ms. Fertig.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- so a couple of things. The behavioral threat assessment was, I quess, evolved from the Marjory Stoneman Douglas Safety Committee and then morphed into the Office of Safe Schools from the state. And I know some of the issues that they had was the disparity between different counties. So, you know, initially when this threat assessment came through the reports of let's say Osceola County were very low with, you know, X amount of numbers of documented threat assessments and then something like Miami-Dade was also very low with the number of threat assessments, yet Orange County may have been high with even less. was trying to figure out where the disparity was.

So to your point, Mr. De Meo, I would ask for guidance from the Office of Safe Schools, especially regarding the high, medium and low; are there different qualifying factors? Do they do, you know, periodic audits just from, you

know, another perspective? Not to say that anything that we do is not forthright, but I think just taking a look at that may give us another layer to ensure that, you know, we're doing something with fidelity. So that would be my recommendation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing, just looking at this, and, you know, and I appreciate the office of the chief auditor providing responses in the sense of, you know, for the principals, recommends that school principals review the policy, if management, you know, again, document it in the same way we do Internal Funds and Property and Inventory, something where there's a documented, whether it's a quarterly review or a biannual review, so if there are exceptions and somebody says, well, we reviewed that with staff, well, when did you review it with staff? You know, whether it's training or whatever it is, something that the principal or staff can respond and say, yes, we are required to review or retrain every six months, whatever it may be, and follow-up with that so we get another layer of the validity of it.

MR. RHODES: If I -- if I could follow up

with that, I think that what I just heard you say was, this is something that management should be doing before we can actually verify. I think that I can -- I can pass along this information once we get a determination from the Office of Safe Schools so I can have some data and some criteria to report back to the superintendent and ask if it might be possible that what you just described could happen at a management level, then we could start to work in audit steps to verify that that's happening. Because we wouldn't have the authority to ask or to require them to develop any kind of a quarterly report or semiannual report, but certainly the superintendent and the folks that oversee the BTA would be able to, so we can talk to them about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, just so, again, very similar with what we do with Internal Funds, every, you know, quarter you're supposed to review the property and inventory or review training methodology.

MR. DE MEO: Are you -- are you saying that we'd like some record of how management -- that management did, in fact, review it?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, something that there's accountability. You're giving the recommendation that says the principals should review. Where does that go?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah. I mean it should go to the highest level; right?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, wherever. I mean, the principal ends up saying, yes, we had a training on Thursday, January, you know, 22nd, whatever -- I don't even know if that's a Thursday, but whatever day it is, we've had the training, it's documented, so when there may be an issue, yes, we reviewed, you know.

MR. RHODES: If I may, we do have someone here from management that would like to address some of these comments that you're making.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Sure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I would also like to remind the group that there's a policy -- this was something else that I think we're going to have to shun until February, Policy 1003, Follow-Up on Audit Recommendation, I have the blue line copy on my screen right now. So there is a process and a policy regarding follow-up and I'll be reading that while the person -- who's

responding? Oh, you would like to respond.
Okay. Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Thank you. So my team -- personally, myself, my manager and my team meet with chairs monthly, the last Wednesday of every month. Those meetings are recorded. retrain. And this month we're actually retraining on the audit exceptions that are -that were identified in this audit. But we retrained in August. The state issued a refresher training for anyone that was trained before July 1, 2024, and that had to be completed by October, I believe it was 11th, of 2024. Myteam is rolling out to schools doing team training customer service initiatives. So we do retrain. Everything that we share we have in a Canvas course for anyone to review.

I guess to address the concern about the high, medium and low, so every high level threat has to be reviewed by the district teams and we do take into account the behavior of the student. So let's say the student was arrested for making a social media threat but the student may have no discipline history, academics is 3.0 GPA, teachers report no behavior concerns, we do take

that into consideration. So if the school based team levels it as a high, we may, depending on behavior and whatever's identified in the records review, we typically lower the level of concern. So there are instances where the school based team feels that the BTA level should be high but the district team feels that that -- we're not in agreement and vice versa. So we've had instances where the school based team may have leveled it as medium but we bring it -- I make that determination, bring it to the team, I feel it's a high, the team is in agreement, and then it becomes a high level of concern. So it works both ways.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can I go to Mary?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm good.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're good? Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: By the way, just for the record, he's asking more questions than I am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That is true. Yes, Mr. De Meo.

MR. DE MEO: To follow up on Mr. Mayersohn's focus I think of his question is, and who sees these reports, what level -- who sees these reports, these audit reports, and the threat

1 assessment reports?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: So the threat assessments are in Focus. So the school based team has access. So that means your administrators, mental health professionals.

MR. DE MEO: No, beyond the school based.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Beyond the school based? The district team, we have not had to provide threat assessment -- well, let me correct myself. There was one threat assessment that we did have to provide to the state. When the students transfer we have to provide those documents to the receiving school.

MR. DE MEO: I'm not being clear. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

Does the superintendent see these reports?

Does the board member -- do the board members see these reports? Does the chief of staff see these reports? Who sees these reports?

MS. ARCESE: The audit report or the BTA?

MR. DE MEO: Both. Both. Who sees these reports?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: So the audit reports the superintendent sees, chief of safety & security, the school board sees. The actual threat

assessment documents are not seen by the board.

I have yet to share one with the board. I share information with the superintendent and Chief Lozano and they meet biweekly. And quarterly

data numbers are provided.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So just to tie up this --

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: The cabinet. Sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- this particular loose end, the reason I mentioned the Policy 1003, and when we talk about this, which I have it under agenda planning because I think we're going to need a special meeting, these were the short ones that Cowart & Cowart went over, but there are things in there that are cause for concern.

However, this isn't one of them. Within 60 days following issuance of the audit report the head of the organizational unit reviewed will furnish a progress report on the action taken on each recommendation in the audit report to the superintendent with a copy to the office of the chief auditor.

I'm going to go out on a limb and say that never happens.

The progress report shall contain, it says will currently, for each accepted recommendation

on which action has been completed, a brief description of the action taken. For each accepted recommendation on which further action is necessary, a brief description of the action plan and the established target date for completion. For each rejected recommendation, and that becomes problematic when they're compliance related. For each rejected recommendation a statement of the specific reasons why the recommendation is not to be adopted and a description of any alternative course of actions that have been taken or considered. Recommendations which have not been implemented or considered open recommendations, progress reports shall be submitted quarterly until action on any open recommendation is completed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So who's monitoring this piece to ensure that this is happening?

MR. RHODES: Currently, the way that we obtain the information that you just described is through the actual follow-up process. And, as you mentioned, there is not a routine reporting on the status of these items to the office of the chief auditor.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I would -- I -- I know we have to go to Mary, but I think this needs to be sort of a motion and you guys need to be tracking whether you've gotten responses or not. And I'm not saying this was ever done. I've been on here since 2015, some people have been on longer. I don't know that even Pat Riley had a track mechanism. So -- but, clearly, it's necessary and this has been in place, this policy, since it was adopted in 1981 and has not been amended since 1985. So -- but it exists.

Sorry. Ms. Fertig, I know you've been waiting.

MS. FERTIG: Thanks, Nathalie. I just, real quickly, on the finding on the taken case disposition, I know a lot of these are probably more complicated, but I would think that somebody would be reviewing every single case to make sure that it was done in a timely fashion. You had seven high school high level, for example, that did not comply with timeframe. How hard is it to look at every high level threat in a -- in a -- you know, at a review and I don't know what levels this would occur, but reviewing what the school did, I don't think it should be that hard

to determine whether they were done in a timely manner or not. And if you're not reviewing them in a timely manner, that's a real concern.

So my question is, is it possible to put something in there just to make sure that every high level threat -- well, every threat, but I would just particularly say every high level threat is reviewed in a timely manner?

MR. RHODES: We could probably develop steps to make a quick determination as to whether or not that's happened and then do the deeper dive looking at all the other attributes and a sample of those. Because right now between resources, and, again, some of the availability of documentation, it either delays or prohibits us from being able to do the full 100 percent review.

MS. FERTIG: And, Dave, I wasn't speaking so much about the audit committee, but I would think in this process that automatically if something is not done within the one day that it's supposed to be done on these high level threats there would be a list of those and some kind of plan in place to cure the problem. I don't know why we have to wait for an audit to find out that 11

percent of the samples, and it could be higher if you looked at them all, were not done within the timeframe specified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: And to Mr. De Meo's earlier point is that there could be motivation in different situations for management to give a pass because of the things that you described. And I don't know that we could rely on that information, but we still could establish some audit steps to ensure that we look at 100 percent of the highs just to determine if they were timely reported, even though we wouldn't go through the details that we would of all the others that we'll be looking at in these two reports today. That's something that we could talk about. But at the moment I think that that's what our resources would allow us to do if it's the will of this committee to have that kind of information available audited as opposed to simply in a management report.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, and I would also say that at a management level if they're not being done, are they -- what are you doing at a management level if you find these reports are not being done within 24 hours?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Anything else?
Because then I have Matt ready to go.

MS. FERTIG: I just wanted to add, Nathalie, that Teams is a much better format. Not only are you getting the recording, but the audio is wonderful.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, good. Yeah, I'm trying to get on Teams.

MS. ARCESE: Do you want an answer to that question?

MR. DE MEO: Can we get an answer?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry. I was distracted trying to get on Teams. Yes, go ahead, and then Matt.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Okay. So I have 11 -sorry, 10 people that work with me going through
the threat assessments. So they're not deemed
high until the team meets. So the chair can't
necessarily identify a high level concern without
referring to the full team. The delay could be
where the state or there may be a delay in the
notification to the chair and that delays the
process or it's a court ordered BTA for an arrest
that the school was not aware of. So we try to
catch them. But by the time they are entered

that's when my team starts unless we are notified via Teams, because we have a Teams channel that we watch and advise a BTA is required, for this incident a BTA is required and you need to do the intake form. So when we're aware, those are typically in compliance as far as entered within 24 hours. But if my team is not aware and we're working off of the intake form or the event being open in Focus, currently, that's where at that point it's already delayed. So then it's a conversation with the chair, this should have been — and the principal, that this was not entered and it's out of compliance and we document all of that when we speak to the school.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary, does that answer your question?

MS. FERTIG: Well, actually, it raises another one. If that's the case, if you've got documentation of all of them, is the number of incidents where this has happened greater than the 11 percent of the samples?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you. All right. So we need to look at how to resolve that. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: So, you know, obviously, manpower is of concern with how to go over the threat assessments, but, you know, I think looking at the high threat assessments is a good, you know, start, but keep in mind earlier you had mentioned that some of the mid level ones had then been upgraded to high ones, so we can't necessarily ignore those. You know, there needs to be focus on those, too, because they could be miscalculated and put on a lower level when really they should be at a higher level. So, you know, just something to consider as well.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: So to that point, I will add that the district team as we review our BTA data we have identified other students that may not have a high or a medium, they may have multiple low level and we discuss that as the district team and provide support. So I have on my district team we have mental health professionals, we have law enforcement officers, we have educators. So we do watch those. I just, you know, we are required by state rule to go through every high, but we definitely cover more than just the highs when we meet.

MS. PRITYKINA: This is actually -- we didn't

do that in our report. As I explained earlier, when we pulled the reporting we go with all the high levels and then if they change to the medium we did actually identify that in the last audit. Because we pulled the data after the fact, so we have all the information in the data.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Right. No, I was just saying like in terms of if there are highs that went to mediums, there are mediums that actually should be highs, as well, so the vice versa of those.

MS. PRITYKINA: Okay. Got it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. There we go, Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: A brief question. I notice that there's a couple references where there were some difficulties in obtaining some of the information due to changes in the system. My question is, has that all been resolved so at this point the access to all the information and reports is much more efficient?

MS. ARCESE: So keep in mind this is EdPlan. So EdPlan is no longer. So the issues of getting -- we worked with the IT department who was tasked to work with the vendor. When he realized that there was information missing or

not provided to us from some sort of data dump they provided us originally we went back to the IT department and requested more information. There were delays in trying to get that information, but we did get it. There are still, like some of the things that we depended on were like date and timestamps by certain members of the district within EdPlan that wasn't initially provided, but we did have enough information. Luckily, we did start before EdPlan went down so a lot of the attributes that required that concentration and understanding, what took place was done prior to the system going down. But the BTA notes were the biggest component that we had been missing. We requested it, they provided it eventually, and now it is also available to schools as well as it's now uploaded in Focus. So IT was very instrumental in helping us get that information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: So going forward the next audit is going to be a lot easier.

MS. ARCESE: No, because now we have Focus. So now we have to understand how Focus was built and what attributes -- there is just going to be a whole new process. And this is what happens

when they are changing software; right? have to now look at what Focus's availabilities are, what data is available, what date and timestamps, who perform those tasks, because that's also very important to understand, who within that team performed this task. it's going to definitely take us time. So that's why I say there's, if we had the documentation readily available -- as of right now it's not a requirement that they be uploaded, it's recommended. So we are hoping that that becomes a requirement so that we're not having to continuously request documents and we could help this process by being able to get through some of these things a lot quicker. So those are just areas of concerns that I know we're walking into as we start pulling data for the next audit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are delayed. I don't know if the audit committee's aware, but we did lose two of our auditors in this area at the very beginning of this process. So we had to pull other resources from the office to help us complete these two audits. We have now filled those positions. We have been training. As you know, it takes time, especially with this program it takes time for

them to get trained, understand what they're doing, guide them through a lot of these processes. But we're getting there and we're trying to get those reports out for this fiscal year to the audit committee as quickly as possible.

MR. MEDVIN: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So thank you.

I had that as a question and now I'm glad we got clarity that we're talking about the EdPlan to Focus migration. Because that's going to be a problem for a while.

All right. So if there's no other comments on number 7, I need a motion to transmit

Behavioral Threat Assessment Process for School

Year 23-24.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Moved by Mayersohn.

MR. MEDVIN: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second by Medvin.

Any further discussion?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor,

24 aye?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion carries.

Item number 7 is transmitted.

Now we're up to item number 8, the OCA

Behavioral Threat Assessment - Student Support

Management Plan for School Year 23-24 Q4.

Are there any questions?

I know, Mr. De Meo, yours were for both, essentially.

MR. DE MEO: Correct. Are we saving any money by taking this process inhouse?

MS. ARCESE: Considering you were going to be charged -- I think it was over 300,000, I believe. So I haven't done a cost analysis, but we're producing more reports, more information. We're able to work with the district. In my opinion, we are. But I could do a cost analysis for you, if you'd like?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That would be great.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, that would be. And I know this is not the case but no one has been the recipient of any pressure from anyone about these reports; have they? You would report that to us; right?

1 MS. ARCESE: I'm sorry, can you repeat your 2 question?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: Has any -- has anyone tried to exert any pressure on your team or your team with regard to the behavioral threat management?

MS. ARCESE: Not that I'm aware of; no.

MR. RHODES: And none that I'm aware of either.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Was your hand up?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Oh, no. Sorry. Sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to transmit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Mayersohn has made a motion to transmit item number 8,

Behavioral Threat Assessment - Student Support

Management Plan for School Year 23-24 Q4.

MR. TURSO: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Turso. Any further discussion?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion carries unanimously. We are now up to item number 9, the Carr Riggs Ingram Caps, Gowns, Announcements and Diplomas Second Follow-Up Forensic Analysis Report. This was a follow-up that we actually requested. I think our timeframe was December, so this is pretty close to that. And we have -- we have a public speaker on this item.

MR. HASSUN: Good morning everyone, members of the audit commission. My name is Armando Hassun, thank you for the opportunity to address you on behalf of Jostens. I'm here as counsel for Jostens. Jostens takes immense pride in their longstanding partnership with Broward County Public Schools and in their role in celebrating the achievements of students across the district.

As reflected in the latest forensic analysis report Jostens has demonstrated consistent compliance with the terms of agreement at Fiscal Year 22-204 in key areas, ensuring transparency, value and high quality service to the district and its students.

25

Specifically, we'd like to focus on pricing compliance, data retention and reporting, support and transparency and commitment to training. pricing compliance Jostens provided caps, gowns, tassels and medallions at the agreed pricing of \$30 including taxes for the school year 2023 and This was verified through the audit with no exceptions noted. Additionally, all three items outlined in the agreement, such as honor cords, faculty regalia and valedictorian and salutatorian medallions were provided as required. As to data retention and reporting Jostens fulfilled data retention obligations by maintaining and providing requested documentation related to BCPS and parent/student purchases for the school year. Although a reporting delay was noted for certain periods, they submitted detailed cumulative reports covering student purchases. As to support and transparency, Jostens took proactive steps to ensure school personnel were informed about their options. This included providing clear documentation, free item order forms and responsive customer service to facilitate efficient operations and maintain alignment with agreement requirements.

with commitment to training, Jostens collaborated with BCPS to ensure that all aspects of agreement FY22-204 were clearly communicated to school personnel. This was supported by PWS and teaching and learning division training sessions and resources which were available both on-line and in person. The report also highlights areas where systemic issues in tracking and monitoring were identified. Jostens is committed to working with BCPS to enhance these processes and further strengthen compliance. Jostens' unwavering focus remains in upholding the trust placed in them and on providing exceptional service to Broward County Public Schools.

Thank you for allowing Jostens and myself to reaffirm our commitment to the district and the students.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HASSUN: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Does anyone have any questions or comments on the audit committee?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. On this one on page 28, number 17, there is a comment, Original Effect: BCPS may have been overcharged by Chuck

Puleri and Associates, Inc., Herff Jones and Jostens. We resolved that in prior periods Jostens didn't. That's been resolved. And this item is identified as resolved. But from the Herff Jones perspective the two prior reports, which I painstakingly went through, there is a matter of the 16,000 that I believe staff management was looking into trying to resolve, which would be challenging if you don't have a current contract. Because the proposed treatment was something in-kind, which suggests you have some sort of relationship with the vendor. of our last -- the original -- the first time there was an audit we went from something like 63,000 to 16,000. And I don't necessarily see the 16,000 and I'm concerned that this is saying it's been resolved. Because if you're trying to resolve something, that means it's not resolved. If your last -- if the update prior to this said that they're trying to resolve the issue with Herff Jones management, then, to me, it's unresolved, but that's just my interpretation of the word resolved and unresolved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So where are we with that?

And then I also noticed in DemandStar that

it's under -- this contract is under evaluation and Herff Jones has been added, but there's no board date for when the contract would go to the board.

So two questions. Where are we on the 16,000? And when will that be going to the board, since in DemandStar it says it's under evaluation? That would be a pending contract where we added Herff Jones.

MR. RHODES: Would you like me to address the 16,000 part first and then Procurement can talk about the second part of your question?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure. We could -- let's go in order and I think we're going to have to circle back.

MR. RHODES: So in addition to what I'm going to say and after the Purchasing folks provide some input, I'm going to hand this all over to Ben Kincaid from CRI to provide --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now you're not talking into your microphone.

MR. RHODES: I usually make it sound like it's distorted. I apologize.

So on the same day that the engagement letter for the second follow-up audit was -- was sent to

us from CRI there was an email that was sent out by our former COI, Dr. Joe Phillips, and it was very short, I was cc'd on it then, I was not as aware of the situation then as I am now. But he said, we are close to a settlement with Herff Jones where they will do, as you mentioned, a 20,000 in-kind. I'm working with regional superintendents to map out what that can look like.

Again, as you mentioned, Herff Jones did not have a contract at the time that statement was made. Dr. Phillips ended up leaving in the middle of June and I don't know exactly who that settlement negotiation would have been handed off to, but right now I do know that, based on -- and this is another clarification I want to make, beginning on page 44 of this report from CRI we attached the DemandStar document for the committee's review, but I just wanted to clarify that that is not a part of CRI's report, their report ends and then that document was added to this for review from the committee.

So the idea of it is that there was an initiative in place to retrieve those funds and it was actually going to be in excess of

16,000-plus that's listed in the report. And that, I will turn it over to Purchasing and then we can, if it's okay with the chair, we can talk to Mr. Kincaid.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Ms. Andreu?

MS. ANDREU: Good morning. Jennifer Andreu, Executive Director Operations. Happy New Year. Yes, that item that is now under evaluation is tentatively slated for the Wednesday January 22nd school board meeting. And if it does not make Wednesday as an added item it will go to the February board meeting. But we expect it to make Wednesday.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So how -- so where are the ongoing discussions? Because a year ago the former chief auditor had -- there's a letter to Joris from Dr. Phillips and it ends with -- and this was included in what we would have seen in this first follow-up, this was the very last page. So how would we be having discussions, ongoing discussions with Herff Jones leadership to come to a resolution, have the resolution be an in-kind arrangement but have no -- how would Herff Jones honor that if there's no contract?

1 cutting a check for 16 grand?

MS. ANDREU: I was not part of those discussions so I have no information on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. RHODES: I'm currently trying to track that as well, but in the course of the work that Mr. Kincaid and his team did he may have a little bit better understanding of that than I.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So since that's where we left off and it's clear that there is an obligation on the part of Herff Jones, how did that factor into the contract? Because if it's almost going to the board, I would imagine that that would be part of your executive summary to remind the board that we left off with Herff Jones owing money and that there has to be some mechanism by which the district can recoup that money; correct?

MS. ANDREU: No, that is not part of this new item. That is not part of the executive summary that we are submitting to the board. This is a brand new RFP. And, again, so that is not included.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I guess the only way the board will be reminded, since we have so

many new board members who need to be brought up to speed, is if we were to attach this letter, the email from Dr. Phillips, and the prior -- the original cap and gown audit that established the liability, the reduced amount that establishes the 16,000, this letter that clearly indicates that there were ongoing discussions so that the board -- so that there can be an agreement. I mean, if you're about to enter into a contract the board should be aware that there's an outstanding obligation. Or maybe I'm not understanding how outstanding obligations work.

MR. RHODES: May I respond to that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure.

MR. RHODES: Attaching this to someone else's item, I'm not certain that that would be appropriate, but I would certainly be happy to forward off this information to the board members and make them aware of that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I mean attach it to -- remember, we're going to transmit this audit and this audit is saying that number 17, which used to be number 10, has been resolved. So if you're a board member you're not thinking back that, hey, Herff Jones owes us 16,000. So what

I'm saying -- I'm not talking about attaching it to their item.

MR. RHODES: That was my misunderstanding.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I'm talking about attaching the prior -- prior period information, in particular, if nothing else, so that they don't get too confused, the board, sending them this letter from Joris -- I'm sorry, to Joris from Dr. Phillips, that would include -- and, actually, this is from Carr Riggs, the January 17th, 2024 Carr Riggs update, which includes the letter, and then also that email that you just mentioned. Those two items would then clarify what's really going on in terms of the status of the prior audit findings.

So if I need to make a motion to include the 1/17/24 CRI update --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: While you go ahead can I say something?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: My question is -- it's a fact that they owe us the money; right? Is that a fact, that we're owed the money by Herff Jones?

1 MR. RHODES: It's my understanding it is or 2 Dr. Joe would not have been in negotiations with 3 them.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Well, my question is, this can be resolved easily if they just write us a check.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Friedman, then Turso, then I'm being told Mary has her hand up.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Let me finish.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, go ahead.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: So is it possible for them to just write a check and we not have to belabor this for another two years before we get \$16,000?

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, may I answer that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: My understanding, and this is anecdotal, I have not verified or confirmed this, is that part of the reason that Herff Jones wanted to work out an in-kind agreement to make the district whole was because to write a check would have been akin to an admission of some wrongdoing with regard to the overbilling.

Again, I don't know that that's a fact, but that's what I was given in the way of a general update in my research of this as follow-up number

2 was completed.

I can do some additional factfinding and follow up with the committee if the information that goes to the board that the chair is recommending doesn't automatically provide us with an answer to that. Because at the moment I do not know who or if anybody has picked up the ball after Dr. Joe left during the process of settlement negotiations on this item.

So there's more questions than answers on this and I wish I could give you a solid answer on this, but I'd be happy to follow up.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Well, I would just suggest that the cleanest way, this in-kind stuff just kind of gets on my nerves, that's just me, I don't know about anybody else. The cleanest way to do that would be for them to just give that money back. It's just that simple. That's just Ruth Lynch's situation. I'm done.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I have Mr. Friedman and then Mr. Turso and then Ms. Fertig.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, so I definitely understand what you're saying Ms. Carter-Lynch, that would be the cleanest way. Obviously, they don't want to do it that way. So, one, why

wouldn't it be in the contract going forward, at least, okay, you owe us this like-kind thing? I mean, I know it's a new negotiation, a new contract, but my concern is that it's listed as resolved. Resolved to me means completed. So, yes, there was some type of agreement, but that was a year and a half ago and nothing has happened. So there's -- it's on pace to be resolved, but it's not. And so I think that's the concern that I had with the report, that something's listed as completed, but it's not. So, you know, if it's in the contract, fine, then, yes, and they're signing it, then at that point it would be resolved, but right now it is not resolved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Turso? And if BECON I'll share my screen just to show everybody where we left off here. That's the last page of the prior update. The district is currently conducting ongoing discussions with Herff Jones leadership to come to a resolution of these amounts. The next piece would be the email from Dr. Phillips.

Yes, Mr. Turso?

MR. TURSO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So I have a couple of questions here that are extremely disturbing with this vendor and I want to know how they relate to number 17 in this document. This is the same vendor, correct me if I'm wrong, that back in 2023 Broward County Public Schools had to issue a demand letter for \$300,000; is that correct?

MR. RHODES: Jennifer?

MS. HARPALANI: No, it's not the same vendor.

And I will have Ben add to it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is that because it's Chuck Puleri?

MS. HARPALANI: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because it's Chuck Puleri.

MR. TURSO: Okay. But they were the sole vendor for Herff Jones.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But technically everyone's going to jump on, it's not the same company even though Chuck Puleri -- technically, yes.

MR. TURSO: So did we ever -- I don't understand why we're rewarding this vendor. It's clearly a shell game that they owe the money.

Did we ever collect that 300,000, by the way?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't think, because --

MS. HARPALANI: No, legal did follow up with Chuck Puleri and the company technically is not -- you know, is not in business.

MR. TURSO: And now we're in a situation where another let's call it facet of Herff Jones owes us money, they don't want to pay the money because more than likely as indicated it would be an admission. So I guess the easy part is, does Herff Jones sell something that nobody else sells?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think that's a rhetorical question.

MR. TURSO: Do they sell -- does Jostens sell the same stuff that Herff Jones does and has Jostens ever had a history of owing us through one entity or another 300,000, 16,000? I think it should go on the record that it's clear, at least to me, with nearly 40 years in business is that the reason that they didn't want to pay the 16,000 they want to do it in like-kind is because they want to stay in our -- in our graces to get the bigger dollars for as long as possible. Perhaps if they had just paid us the 16,000 we could have kicked them. Although we didn't do

that after Puleri owed us 300,000 so we probably wouldn't kick them out.

MR. RHODES: And, Madam Chair, if I can make a recommendation? The person who has the greatest level of detailed information in this is the auditor sitting to my left who may be able to answer some of these questions if we would be able to give him a couple of minutes?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, first we're going to go to Mary because most of these are kind of management, what-is-management-doing questions.

MR. TURSO: It just seems mind boggling that we keep doing the same thing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think it's very telling that an item is going to the school board that does not mention at all the outstanding amounts, but Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I was just going to go to another one if it's okay, but I can wait,

Nathalie, if you want me to. I want to talk about number 13 on page 22 purchase of goods without obtaining a purchase order. And the payment for Internal Funds of these items as opposed to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you want to --

MS. FERTIG: So I can just wait on that if
you want to. I just am wondering why this
continues to go on and not be resolved and how

systemic this problem is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah, since all of the other questions have to do with 17, yeah, let's close that out and then we'll pop to your question.

MS. FERTIG: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, Mr. Kincaid, do you have any -- to me, most of this is not an audit issue other than why is it listed as resolved. Because management is choosing to not mention this outstanding amount while going to Herff Jones and then that would lose leverages. You have leverage right up until you approve the contract. But if you can add -- and answer or address any of the concerns that were mentioned?

MR. KINCAID: Yes, Madam Chair. Just to add clarification, so I'm looking at page 28 of the report, finding number -- or item number 17, the honor cord overbills. So just by way of brief background, we prepared two separate reports back in November of 2022 that were presented to the board, one was related to Agreement 16-052N which

was with Chuck Puleri, the other was for Agreement FY22-204, which was with Herff Jones and Jostens at the time, which is the current contract that's at issue here.

When we were asked to, engaged to do the what I'll call the first follow-up which is the report dated January 17th of 2024, which Madam Chair had referenced earlier, in that report we had reviewed item 17 and if you'll see there on the top of item 17 that's that School Year 22 through 2023 status. We also listed that as resolved. The reason why it was listed as resolved is we were specifically looking at that specific school year and determining whether or not there was honor cord overbilling in relation to school year 22 and 2023.

The same applied to this second follow-up which is school year 2023 through 2024. We were specifically looking at the data specifically for Jostens and whether there was any overbillings by Jostens for this most recent school year. There was no overbillings identified, particularly in relation to the honor cords, and so we listed that as being resolved. Not that the overbilling situation with Herff Jones or Chuck Puleri was

resolved, but that the issue itself, the underlying issue that there was overbillings to the school district, that that did not occur in either school year 22-23 or school year 23-24.

And then just to add some additional clarity, in relation to the Chuck Puleri issue, Chuck Puleri was a contractor for Herff Jones. The agreement 16-052N, which is where the \$330,000 of overbillings related to was related to Chuck Puleri as a contractor of Herff Jones. That agreement did not relate to Herff Jones.

When the issue started coming out with Chuck Puleri, the agreement with Chuck Puleri went away, Herff Jones came in as the vendor for FY22-204. In that first year Chuck Puleri was still servicing that contract on behalf of Herff Jones.

When our reports came out in November of 2022
Herff Jones terminated their relationship with
Chuck Puleri and subsequently Chuck Puleri
dissolved his corporation. So I just wanted to
add that information to the document.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yes, Ruth?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah. You know, I keep hearing people say Chuck Puleri worked -- was a

contractor for Herff Jones. Anywhere in the world, whenever -- you have the responsibility to be responsible for your subcontractors. Am I not correct?

So, I mean, we're still trying to separate that. Somebody needs to fix the issue and it needs to be Herff Jones. That's just my assessment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I think you can see that no one is on board with -- and we get that the overbilling for the honor cords, but the thing is, that is a vehicle by which the 16,000 originated, because it used to be item number 10. Then we had this update on January 23rd, 2023. I think both things came to us at the same time. And that one is where we got the 16,000, when you guys did that update. It's at the end, there's a table. We got to 16,325.66. And then the next time around everything is resolved.

So there needed to be some other way to highlight that because it kind of gets itself buried, and then at the end of the day they're going to the board with an item that fails to mention this open amount.

So I think I mentioned wanting to transmit

I don't know -- I know Mary has -- we have this. to get to number 13 and then before we transmit I want to make sure we transmit this with all the supporting documents that are necessary for the board to make an informed decision. Because they do not have to approve the contract. They could amend the contract to include dealing with the open item. They can do a lot of things either on the 22nd or in February. And I am not a board appointee. Most -- there's nine board members, there should be, I think, eight appointees. Please have a conversation with your board member about this item so that they know to ask about it. Especially if it pops up like a, you know, unexpected bonus on the 22nd's agenda.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, with that, let me go pull up number 13.

Mary, you wanted to ask about the purchase of goods and services prior to obtaining purchase orders.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, actually, I'll just include all of this together in the interest of time. If you take all of these that are not -- that are still not resolved, have never been resolved, 13, 14, 15, I'm scrolling down, 16 and I think -- no, 16. So all of those. And it

starts with 13 which is not having prior authorization. What is being resolved -- what is the district doing to resolve these continuing business practices? Purchase of goods prior to obtaining purchase order; the inaccurate charges allocations; inaccurate spending authority; and insufficient review of purchase orders; what is being done to resolve this? Because it seems to be a continuing issue and I'm wondering beyond caps and gowns how systemic it is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Ms. Andreu?

MS. ANDREU: Thank you for the question. So I can clarify because item number 13 was a domino effect. So we went to the board for an additional spend request and that was approved. And the way that it was reviewed and utilized in procurement we never went over the threshold amount. However, when the audit was done they went back and looked at, I think, the same dollar amount in question now, the -- if it's 16,000, and added that, which was charged under a different number, added that back in. So that is how it was over the threshold.

So, to me, it is a technicality. We are not allowed to go over. We will be stopped. The

user department, Ms. Brown, would be notified as soon as she gets close to her threshold that the board has approved. This, again, was a gray area because of the way it was coded two years ago.

MS. FERTIG: And that includes the purchase of goods with Internal Funds instead of with BCPS funds?

MS. ANDREU: I'm sorry. I didn't understand your question. Can you repeat that?

MS. FERTIG: Well, reading down here it says, CRI also identified eleven schools, and I'm assuming those are high schools, user departments purchased diplomas, diploma covers, certificates with Internal Funds rather than BCPS funds. Is that -- and it says it's separate from the approved 515,000.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This is on page 23? Page 23; right?

MS. FERTIG: Yes, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That's what Mary's referring to.

MS. ANDREU: Which paragraph are you --

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: The last one on page 23.

MS. FERTIG: I'm sorry, last paragraph.

I just want to -- you know, this is for

23-24. I'm hoping when we see 24-25, which I think it's really important that we see at the appropriate time, that we're not going to see these same purchasing issues -- these same issues with purchasing.

MS. ANDREU: So I would like to state for the record that this last audit I thought went very well and we worked, as you heard the public statement earlier, we've worked very closely with Ms. Brown's office and with the vendor to rectify and heed the advice from the previous audit.

So if you look at some of the items, in particular one is .33 percent, .33. There's another one that was mentioned where, I believe it's item number 16, BCPS, and I asked for this to be a recommendation and not an exception, but it was made an exception, but we do not pay the shipping costs. So an initial human error may have been made in issuing that initial purchase requisition, but it was corrected, self-corrected and we never paid the shipping cost.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry. What page are you on?

MS. ANDREU: I'm on page 27, which was item number 16 marked as not resolved. But if we

self-correct an issue, I'm not quite sure why that's still an exception.

So I just want to be very clear that I thought several improvements have been made, again, based on the last audit that I was not a part of and we worked very hard and diligently again with the vendor and the end user to rectify the past audit exceptions. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Kincaid, because I know sometimes non-accountants and certainly non-auditors don't understand, sometimes audit is confusing. Can you explain why that was still a finding?

MR. KINCAID: Specifically in relation to the shipping costs?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. KINCAID: Yes. So in our review, and this is particularly in relation to item number 16, which is, again, page number 27, we identified six different purchase orders that included shipping costs.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we can have that up if you guys can share my screen.

Sorry. Thank you.

MR. KINCAID: Those -- out of those six

purchase orders there were two, ultimately, through discussion with PWS, that were self corrected. There was four that were not corrected and were still listed as purchase orders. Ultimately the vendor, being Jostens, did not inventory the district for those and those PO amounts were still outstanding during our review for those shipping costs. And by virtue of that those purchase orders were, in fact, issued with shipping costs meant that there was insufficient review of those purchase orders. And four of the six were still not resolved, which is why we listed this as a continued finding as it is similar to the finding that existed in the first to follow-up as well as in the original two forensic examination reports.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. That satisfies my curiosity.

MS. FERTIG: If you could add the same comments on 13, I'd appreciate it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait. Hold on. I'm hearing a voice from somewhere. You want him to respond to why 13 --

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah, Nathalie, I think that was a good question you asked so I'm

1 asking --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The same for 13? Okay.

Why -- and that was on page 23?

MS. FERTIG: I mean, I've read the explanation, I would just like him to say.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay. And on page 22 Mr. Kincaid and 23.

MS. HARPALANI: I'm sorry, I just want to have people go to pages 33 -- pages 33, 34, 35 because what Mr. Kincaid is speaking to is referred, like, for example, finding number 13 is referenced as finding number 2 on page 33 and the updated department responses are on there. Same goes with finding number 14, you know, the subsequent --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But in the interest of time we're going to do it this way where Mary has asked the question and Mr. Kincaid can respond and at some point we need to have a discussion, if you have a finding follow it all the way through. Because sending us to different pages gets a little confusing, at least for me.

So, Mr. Kincaid, as far as number 13 still being open, can you respond to Ms. Fertig's question?

MR. KINCAID: Yes. So this is page 22 and 2 23.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Correct.

MR. KINCAID: So we identified 11 separate invoices that were paid during the most recent school year that were received by the district prior to any purchase requisitions or purchase orders being implemented. When that occurs the process is supposed to -- there's a noncompliance form that the district is supposed to fill out and complete prior to the purchase order being issued and prior to that invoice being paid. Out of those 11 that were paid there was only three instances where there's no compliance forms were actually completed and filled out prior to the payment of the 11 invoices that were, you know, received prior to the purchase requisition or purchase order being issued.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Give me one second. When did you have to leave?

MR. MAYERSOHN: 11:00.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 11? Because we -- will we still have quorum?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I have to leave at 11:45.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 11:45. So in the next 45

minutes we need to pass any motions and transmit anything that needs to be transmitted. FYI, everybody.

MS. FERTIG: And, Nathalie, can I just make one last comment to Ms. Andreu. I think we all recognize the number, you know, that you've made great strides, this audit looks so much better than what we originally saw. And so I did want to make that comment as we're moving to transmit this.

I don't know if anybody has any other questions, but I just still have some concerns about that one section. It sounds like you're moving quickly to resolve them, and, hopefully, next year's audit will look different or will show them as resolved.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So we're losing Mr. Mayersohn, we still have quorum.

Any other questions, comments or concerns before I make a motion or I guess the motion to transmit happens?

(No response.)

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because my motion would be to transmit the CRI Caps, Gowns,

Announcements and Diplomas - Second Follow-Up

Forensic Analysis Report to the board with prior CRI updates from 2023 and '24 and Dr. Phillips' email regarding the open \$16,000.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, may I ask for some clarification?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait. Before we discuss I need a second.

MR. NAYLOR: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Seconded. So moved by me, seconded by Lew.

Okay. Discussion? Yes, Mr. Rhodes.

MR. RHODES: So when Mary's asking for the 24-25 follow-up on this I'm trying to tie this together for clarification with a point you made, which is keeping the items together in line, meaning whether it's management responses following the recommendations as well as if there is any, in this case, collapsing of findings from two separate reports that had sufficiently similar findings and recommendations, I just want to clarify so I know how to make sure that I give them instructions when the next audit happens of this that we see to it that we have all the necessary information from the follow-up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Per finding.

MR. RHODES: Through the recommendation and the management responses so everything is in one area at a time.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Accounting and audits are like one of the few places we rely on people to be linear in thinking and not bouncing all over the place. And when we're reading each finding the idea is that everything we need to know about it is in one place, as opposed to us having to go elsewhere to go find updated responses and all of that. Unless it's going to be a table that clearly indicates that that's how this is set up, which there isn't, so you would have to just kind of keep reading, and reading, and reading, and reading, and reading, and then mapping it all back. And we're all volunteers.

Okay. So I've moved that we transmit this report, the Caps, Gowns, Announcements and Diplomas - Second Follow-Up from CRI report to the board with the prior CRI update from 2023 and '24 and email from Dr. Phillips related to the open amount owed by Herff Jones to the district of 16,000. It's been moved, seconded.

Any further discussion?

25 (No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, all in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. No opposed. Passes unanimously.

And that concludes item number 9. Thank you very much, Mr. Kincaid, Ms. Andreu.

And we're moving on to Internal Funds, which is item number 10. It's the OCA Internal Funds Audit of Selected Schools.

Short break everybody.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I believe we're all back. We're ready to go.

All right. Next up now that we're back from our short break is the Office of the Chief
Auditor Internal Funds Audit of Selected Schools.
Before we get started, there's usually a status report that tells us, you know, how many are being audited this year, where they are. We had it last time.

MS. ARCESE: It's getting printed now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay.

MS. ARCESE: So everyone will have a copy of

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

l it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I did see in here that the, what you guys normally put in about who, that list is in here. And so we have 8, 8 schools that were --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, 8.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 8? And of the 8 we have a breakdown on page 3 of who's responsible for those schools somewhere here.

Okay. So who is going to -- Ms. Arcese, is this you or --

MR. RHODES: May I start this off? So we received a late response that we wanted to make sure that the record reflected for the Western High audit in here. We had saved places for it on page, I think it was like 55 or 59, but I just wanted to let you know that we did receive those responses and we -- do we have those --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- okay. To a point that was made earlier, if you've completed audit and you don't have a response, the fact that there's no response, that's what's in the audit. And now they're trying to give us a response the day of our meeting?

MR. RHODES: Ryan, what was the date that

1 that was sent.

MR. SMITH: The 14th.

MR. RHODES: The 14th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, even two days, because we don't have it yet. These were sent --

MR. RHODES: It would be -- it would be my preference to include it and incorporate it in there because it does belong in there regardless of if it was late. I think the fact that we have one in time for the audit committee to review would be something that we should consider amending this at the same time that we transmit it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I don't know that it's going to help any because there's repetitive things in here.

Which set of bulletins is it that -- this keeps mentioning standard practice bulletins.

Which is the one that was being updated that we haven't heard -- and Cherry Bekaert?

MR. RHODES: That would be a question for --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Was it standard or business practice bulletins.

MR. SMITH: Standard practice.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So is standard

practice bulletin I-305 updated?

MR. SMITH: I-305 is in process.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And -- okay. Well, we'll see how that comes into play was we go through this.

Okay. Anyone want to start asking -- this is not our first Internal Funds audit. Anyone have questions, comments or concerns? Because I've got one or two.

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I will start.

I made myself a note because one of the recurring themes that I seem to be seeing in here is going back to that policy about follow-up from management. What seems to be happening is the vehicle by which the activities of staff are being monitored is these audits, which is not the purpose of an audit. Because the people that should be monitoring staff, meaning staff, other staff, are not monitoring what is happening. And so the time -- it becomes a repeat finding because there's no mechanism to monitor anyone's activities even though we have a policy. So, hopefully, Policy 1003 will come into play for these findings.

So to begin, 6 out of the 8 are coming from 1 2 schools that are under the Business Support 3 Center, which amounts to 75 percent. It is what it is. Atlantic Technical College is the first 4 5 school on the list. On page 5, and this is -well, actually, this one is a little bit 6 7 different. On page 5, because they had a --8 during our review of disbursements it was noted 9 that the school paid an invoice in the amount of 10 9,902 for Support Plus software subscription via 11 an automated clearinghouse transaction, so ACH. 12 And it was signed by the principal in 2014 and 13 then it just kept automatically renewing. There's a reason why you don't want to do this in 14 your private life. And it just kept renewing 15 16 year in, year out.

So my first question is, has this been an exception before? Because if it's been getting automatically renewed for 11 years or 10 years has this been an exception before?

MS. ARCESE: Not that I'm aware of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. What exactly is the Support Plus software for?

MR. RHODES: Ms. Gouldbourne?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GOULDBOURNE: We're not sure. And I

don't know if it was actually in use. The principal said or the director said that once they saw this, this was actually a cancelation and they had to make a payment for it. So I think it was made obsolete by another software that the district had implemented.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The 9,000, is that an annual amount?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Automatically renewed. So 9,000 each year was coming out of this -- out of Atlantic Tech. Okay. How does that relate to the purchasing policy; which funds was this coming out of; and has this the been same principal this whole time are my next three questions.

MS. GOLDBOURNE: I don't believe it was the principal who actually signed the initial contract.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's a different principal now?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I believe so.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: The other question was

which fund?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which fund; yeah.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I cannot remember which actual account this came out of in the internal fund accounts. And the last question was? I can look that up for you. And your other question?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So as far as purchasing policy -- I'm trying to understand how you can have 9,000 coming out of a school, granted, it's Atlantic Tech where, you know, money is no object, I guess. But \$9,000 can do a lot for one student, for a number of students, and that was just coming out of their account for something that they may not have even used or needed?

MS. GOLDBOURNE: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Don't they look at their budget? How was that missed is -- there's a lot of follow-up here.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Right. I think Atlantic
College has about 80 million in disbursements and
receipts a year. So in the grand scheme of
things the movement from their accounts are quite
large and it takes a lot to create a change in
fund balance that we would review. This popped
out because of the ACH payment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I see that that's been fixed, so that going forward -- going forward that should no longer be an issue. But that just, I'm still trying to understand, are there no reconciliations? They don't -- again, don't they review their budget on a regular basis? I thought they did that annually, at least.

MS. GOLDBOURNE: That would be a question for the principal and their bookkeeper.

MS. ARCESE: Are you referring to the budget versus internals? Because that's two different processes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Whichever. Don't ever hang me on semantics, because I'm not a principal.

I'm saying, basically, how do you not know you're spending nine grand a year on something you don't need at a school level, regardless of what process we would use to determine that? Even a penny can matter, especially if it indicates something that's going wrong that's bigger than a penny. But they're looking at a budget, they're planning, and they can just you lose nine grand at a school level. This isn't at a district level. This is -- again, I know it's Atlantic Tech, okay, but from a management perspective do

we have anything that -- because we just talked about standard practice bulletin. Is there anything in the purchase policy or the standard practice bulletin that should have caused them to catch this?

MS. ARCESE: So the principal has to, every year, and correct me if I'm wrong --

MS. GOULDBOURNE: So principals should not be signing contracts that are more than one year. So part of the purchasing problem with this is that it's an ongoing reoccurring contract. So that's part of the purchasing issue.

MS. ARCESE: But the ACH wasn't every single year, so there would have been a disbursement of a check?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: It would have been disbursement of a check. It came out of -- yeah, it came out of an account called person view, which is their receipts from person view testing that they get. And that's the account that it came out of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Person view?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Person view. So person view testing is kind of testing for all sorts of certifications. And when they allow Atlantic

Tech to use their facility, there's a payment that they receive, so that's part of how -- that's the account that they paid it out of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we don't -- can we find out what this software was for? I mean, I get that it was cancelled, but just in purely a contextual thing. I see where they say they were encouraged to do ACH. There's a lot wrong with this. So we'll see where that goes.

MR. NAYLOR: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

MR. NAYLOR: Could we add to that question whether -- when did the software stop being useful? I know that they had a principal change within the last two years, I think.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. When did they stop using the software?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, when did it stop actually being applicable to the functions for Atlantic Technical College? It may have been an ongoing thing and it may have still been used, it's just a violation of the approval process.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. NAYLOR: It could be.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So for this item,

finding out what it was, when it stopped being useful, and how -- and you mentioned that from a purchasing policy standpoint that should have caught the issue. But those are some of my follow-ups there.

And then the next one up is Cypress Bay.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I have something.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you have a question? Sorry. Go ahead.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Piggybacking off of Lew's question, what do -- what year did they stop using it and were we still paying for something that was not in use? And, if we were, how much were we paying?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So those are all the questions. The question, yeah, when did they stop using it; when was it no longer useful; how long were we paying for something; how much?

9,912 times how many years?

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, sir.

MR. RHODES: With regard to your request for some follow-up information, I just wanted to make the point that with Internal Funds audits we're

sampling funds and looking at them for compliance with the standard practice bulletins and Red Book requirements. It would not be our normal course of action to look into and answer the kind of questions that are being done in the course of that normal audit. But to look at it from a separate scope would be something that we could I just wanted to let you know, this isn't something that we would normally look at in the course of an audit. We would be looking at and finding, for example, the lack of compliance or the violation by using this ACH account more so than identifying what's the function; how long was it used? Those things that as a -- I just want you to have an understanding that per the audit program for how we look at Internal Funds, this isn't that typical thing that we would have tracked down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I get that. But since the door has been opened, we're walking through it and asking questions of management.

Okay. So that's on page 5. Then we start with all the high schools and a recurring theme -- although, again Cypress Bay has, before we get to one of the recurring themes, on page 12

they talk about an examination of the trial 1 2 balance revealed that collections pertaining to 3 account 6591, Cambridge Assessment Testing, had a beginning balance of 20,553.18 and an ending 4 5 balance of 44,080.77. Actually, I don't think 6 they were the only school that did this. 7 charged students to retake their Cambridge Assessment exam. And if they were a no-show or 8 9 need to retake, so then what happened here is it 10 was paid by the school using the district general 11 fund budget and they were paid -- they were not 12 paid from the proceeds collected for that 13 purpose. So that's why that was a finding. And 14 in the response -- so they -- let's see, 15 recommendation, blah-blah, look at this, that and the other. In the response, which is on page 16, 16 17 there is a response from the Business Support 18 Center at the bottom of page 16. It is important 19 to note that the remittance of retesting and 20 cancelled testing fees, processed through 21 Internal Funds, have not been remitted back to 22 the district in the recent past. The remittance 23 forms in use by Treasury did not include these 24 fees as an option, prior to our intervention. For this reason, we expect other high schools to 25

1 be impacted by this issue as well.

Can you explain that statement, Mr. Smith?
Because you wrote the letter.

MR. SMITH: Absolutely. I think what we're stating is that the audit function and BSC are working better together. So as we get stronger their ability to find things that have been overlooked in the past also gets stronger. And we've noticed a gap across the district where these fees were residing in Internal Funds and they are indeed supposed to be transferred back to the district since the district covered the original expense. So we worked with all of our high schools, communicated this out, and, hopefully, addressed this issue moving forward.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Hold on. So you're saying across the district -- because I'm trying to translate this sentence or two. So what that translates to is, not just at Cypress Bay and a couple of others where there is an account called Cambridge Assessment Testing that is -- where funds are collected for the purpose -- for a specific purpose, but that fund is not the one that's been paying Cambridge, it's been coming out of the district general fund budget. You're

saying across the district everybody has been doing this, paying it out of the wrong account despite having an account that clearly is for that purpose, and what I'm trying to understand, because that's an error, it says Treasury did not include these fees as an option prior to -- the remittance forms in use by Treasury did not include these forms as an option, prior to our intervention. What does that sentence mean?

MS. ANDREU: This has been a district-wide practice for several years. Hence, we will see this probably come up in a majority of the high schools as they continue to go through their high school audits. So the intervention was that the BSC works closely with Treasury and the regional offices so that now everybody is aware. They have revised the form. And now we are transmitting those funds back. But this was an oversight for several years that was not taking place.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm still trying to understand. So there's a trial balance with an account called Cambridge Assessment Testing and somehow those funds being collected were not the funds being used to pay Cambridge. Why is that?

MR. SMITH: So the reason being is these students pay the school directly and in order to receive funds from the students directly that will occur within Internal Funds student activities. So even though the expense is incurred by the district, we receive the funds and then we remit the funds back to the district to cover the expenses that were paid for by the district.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school receives the funds from the students.

MR. SMITH: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And who's paying Cambridge, the district?

MR. SMITH: The district; yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: When you say "the district", can you be --

MR. SMITH: From the budget.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, who -- which

department?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Teaching and Learning Academics.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the bill from Cambridge is going to a central office, to accounts payable?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: The schools receive -- I'm sorry, through the Chair, the schools receive the invoices for their particular schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And they're unable to pay out of their Internal Fund.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: No, they paid it through their budget. So this is a budget items, they get the invoice, it comes out of their district budget. Not all of them are retakes. Only the retakes are charged. So if you have to retake or you miss your initial one, then you have to pay for it. So the retakes should be remitted back to the district because the district also paid for the retakes as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And there was no mechanism to do that.

MS. GOLDBOURNE: There's a remittal form that the district has.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is that included in here as backup, the remittance, the remittal form?

MS. GOLDBOURNE: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can we get that as follow-up? Because, basically, what it sounds like I'm hearing is, we had -- there was a

requirement to reimburse the district but because it wasn't a line item on a form for years we just haven't been doing that.

MS. ARCESE: So on the remittance transmittals, and we can probably pull it up on line if we have a chance, there are specific areas that are identified for certain topics, like before and after care is a big remittance. I think that has its own remittal form.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Where is the form?

MS. ARCESE: Under Treasury.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Under Treasury? Okay.

I'll go there while you --

MS. ARCESE: So for remittances that are generally recurring in the district either yearly or monthly, they -- the treasurer's office has created a drop-down menu in a specific area of the remittance for those remittals to take place. However --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Under Treasury where would I find it?

MS. ARCESE: It could be --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If I could share my screen, BECON? Here we go. Well, that's the treasurer's office, so not there?

MS. ARCESE: Can you scroll down?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's all I got there.

Let me go back.

MS. ARCESE: It may be part of our share point.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay.

But it is referenced in the MS. ARCESE: standard practice bulletin as exhibits. But there is a component in the standard practice bulletin, I'm trying to find it, where remittance is -- it directs staff that if there is not something on the remittance transmittal that they can always contact the treasurer's office or the budget department for assistance in remitting funds. So the mechanism's there with the remittance transmittal, it's just there's no written procedures, per se, for schools to really understand that when they're collecting these dollars or -- other than just remitting funds for budgeted items. So I think it needs to be clearly identified that any time -- and, again, it's through the training component, that any time there is funds that are paid that are collected to offset budget expenses, those dollars should be remitted to offset the expense

1 that was incurred by the district.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I don't want us to run out of time before we transmit this. So I'm going to move on to, the next issue was prom for Cypress Bay. And, again, these are going to be recurring themes to some extent.

The prom ticket price, I believe this is where they got reimbursements from the other classes. So did they get permission from the other -- there's documented, we agree to do -- to give -- to give this class -- and is this included in the response? Because I see that it says, blah-blah, recommendation.

MR. NAYLOR: I think there was.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The actual letter?

MR. NAYLOR: Not the letters, but I think there was notes about it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I saw notes, but I believe backup. So that's -- let's see, additional support. And then sales tax, is sales tax usually added to prom tickets?

MR. SMITH: So the district has received conflicting information from the Florida

Department of Revenue in the past on what is a taxable event and what is not. Receiving their

initial advice in writing, again, provided another conflict. So the assistant director of internal funds and I reached out to the supervisor for the Florida Department of Revenue. They did provide us another written explanation that prom should not be a taxable event going forward for us as long as we don't do any additional expenses during the event. If it's a ticketed event and all the costs of the event are included in the cost of the ticket going forward it will be a tax-free event.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. If we were to look at prom tickets in the past I suspect we were not charging sales tax. I'm not sure how this suddenly became a thing.

But parking, I wanted to harp on a bit.

Because, yes, that was one policy I was unaware of, Policy 5307, which has to do with student parking and a \$60 limit. So my first question is, everybody knows you pay 60 bucks for a parking decal. Where does the other 60 that you pay to pick your spot and paint it go? Because all of these schools do that. And I'm speaking as a mother of a Western High School student, and I have the receipts where we paid a total of 120.

So where's the other 60 going?

MS. GOLDBOURNE: Through the Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I believe that second 60 is a fundraiser.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, remember, this is all you listed under fundraising.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Right. But parking is specific because we're renting a space, that's a different -- that's why it goes into parking. There's a different, I guess, allocation for that. But the separate to that is, I guess, a senior fundraiser for them to pick their spot and to be able to paint it. What we've seen is that that's a different fundraiser specifically for the senior class.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And does that also go into Internal Funds?

MS. GOLDBOURNE: It depends on the school and where they allocate -- where they designated those funds, what account those funds go into.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I can tell you that the receipt that I have for my child does not, you can't tell which one is the district and which one is the senior class. It does not --

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Both of them go to the school.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get -- what I'm saying is, it is not clearly identified that one is -- it's clear that one is for the parking decal. And understand that you're not required to pay to pick your spot and paint it, but if you don't do that you're going to end up with a spot in the hinterlands. So what they do is they have you pick your spot and it's a whole big thing. If you're a parent of a high school student who drives you paid it at all of these schools. Because I went and started asking around.

The point being, that gets us back to the policy. It says you may not be charged parking fees exceeding \$60 to park on a school campus.

And yet -- maybe they assume that if you can pay 60 -- you're driving a car and you can pay \$60 that it's no big deal to ask you to pay another 60 so that you can request a spot and have the fun of painting your curb, but everybody's comment that I've talked to is that it's considered expensive.

So when we say a \$60 limit, was the intent to have that be all and that would include first

come first serve? Because there are people that do this in order. Or is it clear to everybody that the other 60 is, in fact, a fundraiser? And I ask this because when we get to Western it gets a little muddy. Because at Western they purchased 249 shirts for staff in the amount of 6,449. I was looking at this last night and my daughter came over and she goes, what kind of shirts were those? They're 25.89 each. I go, good question, I don't know. Probably something with their name or the school's name on it. And that is part of the parking fees, which I thought were supposed to benefit the kids.

So clarity on the first 60 and the second 60 in terms of the how it relates to the policy would be helpful.

Yes, Mr. Rhodes.

MR. RHODES: Mr. Naylor had his hand up, but I just wanted to let you know that Mary Fertig also has her hand up in the Teams.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And Mr. De Meo -- wait, you have to leave at 11:45. Okay. So we should transmit this. It's 11:38. And then we can talk about it ad nauseam. I think we may still have quorum even potentially after you

1 leave. But just to be safe.

Okay. Mr. Naylor?

MR. NAYLOR: My question is -- yes. Are students required to pay the extra 60? No? So it's optional.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: In theory it's optional, but everybody -- you know, most people do it.

Because if you don't do it your spot is, you know, wherever.

MR. NAYLOR: At some schools, but other schools there's not enough students to worry about driving. I know at Northeast High School their parking lot is three-quarters empty, so -- this occurs at certain schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Western, Cypress, MSD; yes, absolutely.

Okay. So we covered that.

MR. NAYLOR: And Mary had her hand up.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry, and Mary. Mary?

MS. FERTIG: And I simply had my hand up.

There's so much to this audit, Nathalie. And great job to the people who did it, but I just wanted to just say maybe we need to have that motion to transmit so we can get this sent to the board.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Well, you can't see all my tabs. I'm just checking to make sure I covered all of them.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I know, I had the same thing, but I just figured maybe we just need to get this one in. There's just a lot here, we could probably go on for hours.

MR. RHODES: And Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: Just as a reminder, as you're making the motion to transmit, I just wanted to reiterate the fact that I would like it to also include the Western High response.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I'm looking at that now to see if there's anything -- so my issues were, how did we not know that we needed to pay back the district and whether the treasury not -- you know, treasury for was an issue or not, and then getting clarity on what's being charged for parking. Because while the second 60 is optional, it doesn't come across that way. And then what are they using it for? Because at Western they used it for shirts and golf carts.

So this update mentions -- what's the takeaway from this? I see that we're going to

pay back -- is this for the buses, the 21,401.11?

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then that one will eventually close out, they're paying it back in three -- well, over three years? Sort of like the charter school settlement.

Okay. So -- all right. So we have that update. So if we can get clarity on that parking policy, that would be great. Because that's what most of my tabs had to do with each school, bank reconciliations.

MS. ARCESE: Are you specifically asking for the additional \$60?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, there's a policy that says you can be charged \$60.

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- up to 60. And then there were issues where they were overcharging by a couple of bucks. I actually looked and noticed that, I think Cypress there was a claim that the sponsor didn't know that the fee was included.

And I'm looking at an email where it says 50 -- I think it was under 60, what went out to parents.

So I'm not sure how the sponsor didn't know because what went out to parents was clearly less

than 60 as far as what they were charging. So it sounds like somebody didn't do what they were supposed to do there.

But, anyway, to Mary's point, what -- if it's 60 and just so the board is aware, because most students are feeling obligated to pay the second 60. And to make sure that if the second 60 is a fundraiser for the senior class, that all of that money, like they're not getting commingled, all of that money is being used for the benefit of the students.

MS. ARCESE: I think Ms. Gouldbourne, are you aware? Do you know?

MS. GOLDBOURNE: I have seen that, but, like I said, the second 60 is optional. You don't have to pay that to get a parking place. That is just if you'd like to decorate it. And from what I have seen, it's separate, it doesn't go into the same parking, the moneys are not --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It goes into a different account?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Yes. From what I have seen; yes.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: And Ms. Gouldbourne, you can clarify and verify this. My understanding is that standard practice bulletin and the \$60 is for the actual parking decal, so that is a different thing than the \$60 for the specific picking of a parking spot and/or decorating it.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Correct. That \$60 is for you to have a parking space and the decal for that; yes.

MS. ARCESE: And they are tracked, so there are numerical -- a lot times they actually have an actual decal that they give the student. So those are also tracked as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. My point being, if you were trying to get the spot closer to the exit versus the one that requires you to walk al the way over here, that's where the other 60 comes in.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Can I ask you?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah. Yeah.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Are you almost done?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I was going to make a motion to transmit, but you have a--

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I just wanted to say I see that you and Mary both, you all go through the

documents with a fine-toothed comb, is there any way possible that some of the things that -- and they're pertinent, I get it, that we could get answers to before we spend so much time on each and every little item?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, like I said, it was a recurring theme with the parking.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Oh, okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's why I didn't mention it for each and every school, because I started noticing, we started with -- because they were in order. So an executive summary that summarizes all the -- you know, there are similar findings across schools would be helpful. I only read this last night, so --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah. And I understand that, but we spend a lot of time in the weeds. And everybody's trying to go?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, we're trying to transmit this one so we can move on. The BTA went on a bit more than -- but, you know, it's important. So --

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I'll come up with something.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. So I need a

motion to transmit. This will be item 10, the OCA Internal Funds Audit of Selected Schools.

MR. NAYLOR: So moved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moved by Naylor.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seconded by Carter-Lynch.

Any further discussion?

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, do you need to amend the addition of Western High?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To transmit it with the updated January 14th, 2025 response from Western High School. Are you good with that, Lew?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Motion passes unanimously. That's number 10. And we did get the status report so we have that.

All right. Old Business, the Fund Balance
Motion. And, actually, before I move on, those
of you who have been on here for a while will
remember that when we did Internal Funds we would
have Teaching and Learning represented here.

1

What happened with that, Mr. Rhodes?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They were invited and we had no MR. RHODES: response from two of the regionals. We had a tentative from one of the other regionals. then we had a confirmed for another one of the regionals who did not show up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

All right. So how many people do we have and how many do we need for quorum? Because I think we still have quorum. And we are on item 1, the Fund Balance Motion, which we have responses from both staff and from the state in terms of a response to our request for guidance.

So we do have responses to both of those. have staff here to speak to that.

And, Madam Chair, I just wanted MR. RHODES: to point out that there was a three-page document that when we were having a meeting including MSL audits and the accounting group that they offered those three documents as a part of what's supporting the information in the ACFR and I wanted to make sure that we understood that that was something that they offered up to the audit committee and that we provided it promptly as we received it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But hold on. Let me
-- so the staff response, though, officially,
would be this letter from the chief financial
officer and then the response from the state.
What three-page thing are you referring to?

MR. RHODES: Hang on. My computer -- sorry, my computer is not showing what I'm trying to pull up. Hold on one second, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And do we have the response from the state in here?

MR. RHODES: We do have the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is that attached and I'm just not able to find it?

MR. RHODES: Hold on. So we have a December 12th, 2024 letter from chief financial officer Romaneir Johnson regarding the charter school settlement. That was one of the attachments. And the other attachment was the information that came from the state auditor general pursuant to a request that you had made from them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And does everybody have the response, the email from the state? I know they were sent electronically. Do you guys have it?

MR. RHODES: Just so that there is no

confusion for anybody who may not have seen it, they were put together as one large exhibit that includes starting with the CFO's letter, then you get down below that and we see the documents that I had mentioned that Accounting had provided to the audit committee for review. And then after that there is the letter that was issued -- I'm sorry, the email that was sent by you, received back after you made a request and the responses from Ted Waller that includes the different references to all things pertinent to the charter settlement agreement.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I'm going to pull up on my -- yeah, staff response and request for guidance. So the response, does anyone have -- do you guys want to do the response from staff or the guidance from the state first? I can go either way.

So what we got back, we had asked for an explanation from staff, I guess, I'll go in chronological order, on what they were doing and why. And the response that we received, and I have it up on my screen, if BECON could share my screen, is -- I found to be rather generic.

Because it kind of sounded like we were quoting

from -- from an audit -- you know, from GASB or something, hypothetically. Timing of the recognition, government accounting often follows the modified accrual basis which recognizes revenues when they're measurable and available and expenditures when they are incurred. If the settlement liability is not due or payable within the current fiscal year it may not meet -- so I don't know where within the current fiscal year is coming from, it may not meet the criteria for recognition in the current period. The obligation contractually is to be paid in the next fiscal year.

To be clear on the timing, this was -- it was clear in March of 2024 that this amount was to be paid. And only because the district claimed that it didn't have the funds did it then become a payment plan arrangement. But the intent from the state was to have them pay it upon receipt of the letter from the Commissioner of Education in March because it pertained to a referendum that had occurred in the past. So that was as of March 2024.

They agreed to paying it, settled for most of -- with most of the charter schools and the

amount was known and agreed upon in June of 2024.

Only the payment -- the first payment was made, I think, July 10th of 2024.

So I'm going to be looking for an explanation of the timing of recognition. There is the budgetary basis, what's written here, government entities typically operate on a budgetary basis that may not require the recognition of certain liabilities until they are formally appropriated in the next fiscal year's budget. Well, we know that didn't happen because they weren't doing anything about the pending settlement.

And let's see, legal and regulatory framework, if the standards allow for deferral until the obligation is formally recognized in the next fiscal year, then it would not be -- it would be acceptable not to record it immediately.

All of this is hypothetical. Intent to settle, if there is uncertainty about the timing or amount of the settlement or if the government has not made a formal commitment to settle the obligation it may not be appropriate to recognize the liability until those factors are clear. Although the district agreed to this settlement the intent was not to recognize this obligation

1 until the next fiscal year.

I don't think it matters what they want to do, it matters what you're required to do. I don't think that's what the government, what GASB means or what anyone means by intent. When you intend to pay it is not relevant. You settled in fiscal year '24.

So I don't even know what to do with this. So then I got a response from the state and there's a lot cited here as far as guidance like GASB 6 is mentioned in here and there are a couple bullet points in there. And then also there's a state law that says that the financial statements are to be prepared in accordance with GAP, which I believe that statute was sent to everybody.

So, Ms. Arlotta, as the CPA representing, and I think you're -- are you task assigned as the director currently?

MS. ARLOTTA: Currently.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So can you explain each of these bullet points as to why this is -- we get that it's going in government-wide, but can you kind of summarize the district's position here? Because a lot of this is hypothetical and

then you included there's a -- it says, no journal entry necessary, the information below is an entry to report entry on the government-wide financial statements. That's on page 2. Does that mean that -- because this would seem to contradict itself. If there is no journal entry necessary, it says the information provided below is an entry to record entry on the government-wide financial statements. What does that mean?

MS. ARLOTTA: So, excuse me, our ACFR is, we have an Excel, which is our ACFR template, which the forms are created in and then saved on PBS and that's how we actually like format the ACFR. So we have conversion entries, a number of them, that numbers are added in and they flow into the different sections on the financial statements. So we had to add the entry that I gave you a snip of into the Excel for those to flow into the financial statements, the statement of activities and statement of net position. It's not a journal entry into SAP.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. It would have been helpful, and I think I requested and have requested over and over, if we're getting

numbers, as the audit committee, that we get the Excel version.

MS. ARLOTTA: Well, you would have gotten the whole ACFR template then. It wasn't what we really needed here. We needed to show exactly how it was being entered.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But that would have shown this; correct? This would have been part of if we got it out from Excel directly?

MS. ARLOTTA: You probably wouldn't even have known where to find it, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we can manage.

MS. ARLOTTA: So we are showing you what exactly pertained to the subject.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I think we could manage. This is the audit committee; right? So I think we can manage to find some account numbers. Especially if you tell us which ones we're looking for.

What is Charter School Referendum Settlement Special Item? What does that mean?

MS. ARLOTTA: So that's the expense being recognized on the statement of activities.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But why is it being called "special item"?

MS. ARLOTTA: Because that's what we were guided by -- by auditor general to call it. It has been called that on previous audits for the same reason.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- okay. Which -- can you be precise about when you say the "auditor general"?

MS. ARLOTTA: Well, we're currently being audited by the auditor general this year and there are staff on premises that we have had several meetings about with the treatment of this and this is how they guided us to do this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The staff that's here?

MS. ARLOTTA: Mm-hmm.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. The local staff?

MS. ARLOTTA: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. The reason I ask that is in the response from the state it says, while Section 11.45 Florida Statutes authorizes the auditor general to perform audits of district school boards, the auditor general has no authority to provide legal opinions or opine on the operations of a governmental entity outside of an audit engagement. In addition, since the auditor general cannot be a participant in the

internal control structure of an audit team, we must refrain from providing journal entries that we would subsequently audit. Notwithstanding, we can provide accounting guidance that may be helpful.

Because what we were told prior is that they had guided you guys to make all of these decisions even though they're auditing you. And this is coming from the state level. So that's a little confusing to me, because it would seem to contradict.

I'm at the point where they're going to do what they want to do. It's clear from prior meetings that the entire point of how they are going about recording this was so that the fund balance would not dip below the 3 percent threshold. On next week's agenda, however, there are budget reports and there is a fund balance that's negative. Can you speak to that?

MS. ARLOTTA: No, I can't. The CFO has been involved in creating those financials. I have not been involved in those.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you for that.

So I don't have -- it's been documented, from what I've read from the state, I think -- my next

move would be to just give the state everything in addition to what they're reporting, give them, you know, sort of the background. Because it's clear that there is a motivation for why they're doing it, and I think they keep looking for a loophole. And if the state only has one perspective, they may go, oh, okay. But I'm having trouble getting past fund liabilities that are normally expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources. Because we've seen documents that speak to a referendum, we've seen work papers that clearly indicate that there was money being set aside to make this payment, because it all matches up, and they knew that they were going to pay it within 10 days of the next year. So I'm not understanding how the current portion at a minimum would not have made it into a fund -into a fund liability and not on government-wide where it won't impact the fund balance, but --MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: Staff has asked me to see if they can address some of the comments that you made and share some other information.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which staff?

MR. RHODES: Omar.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Are you a CPA?

No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you do the financial reporting?

MR. SHIM: No.

MR. SHTM:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Then I'm -- what would you like to add?

MR. SHIM: You asked about budget reports.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For next week.

MR. SHIM: Well, then we can address them then. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, no, I just want to make sure we're all clear on the item. Because there is an item on next week's agenda, if I'm not mistaken, where it says the fund balance is negative.

MR. SHIM: Well, that's what it looks like. Those things can be addressed when you go to the meeting.

But I just wanted to say that these reports have been reformatted from what we had been producing in the past to reflect the CFOs, you know, wanted to show a new perspective on the

budget and on the actual actuals, how they go against the budget in the report. So you'll see that in the upcoming meetings and those questions that you have can be addressed at the meeting when they come up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you're assuming I'm going to those? I'm not. Other than doing my report, I'm not sitting there all day.

So we'll just put this on our next agenda once she gives the explanation for that.

So does anyone else have any questions; comments; or concerns? Because we do have other things that have occurred that we need to talk about, not the least of which is they're going to try to water down the director of accounting and financial reporting job description.

MR. DE MEO: So, for the record, based on the information provided, and a little bit of research of my own speaking with this learned individual, I don't see the basis, the support for reporting the short-term portion outside of a fund -- one of the funds and into the government-wide financial statements. It seems clear here the guidance, to me, that a claim that was incurred prior to the end of the fiscal year

for which the amount is known should be recorded as a short-term liability in the appropriate fund, not the government-wide. The long-term portion, okay, maybe that should be in the government-wide. But I still, for my own edification, have not received an explanation as to why that recording has not occurred, one, and, two, the support for the recording of the liability as it is presented in the statements provided by, I presume, the chief financial officer.

Also, I don't understand why the interest, which can easily be calculated, was not accrued.

So I don't know how this is going to be resolved. I guess we're going to get an audit from our external auditors and it will be reflected in there.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the state, because the state is also -- the auditor general is also auditing the same. And that's at that point I plan on sending every issue we've had to the state so that they have that as they are considering what they're doing.

MR. DE MEO: And the trouble with this is is that, if there are two acceptable positions or

ways to record this, that's one thing, and we should be able to explain that. But absent any cogent explanation it could be viewed as obfuscation, and that's not a good thing with financial statements.

So one thing we might consider is the inspector general of Broward County. I don't know if they have the capability or the willingness, but perhaps we could refer this to them and they could weigh in. Since they don't audit us they wouldn't be auditing their own recommendations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: True. I guess, would this fall under the purview of the Broward County OIG?

MR. RHODES: They do have an audit function and they would be providing their full slate of services to us as they do under their current purview.

Just you one other thing I wanted to mention,
I was notified that Mary had her hand up again.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Yeah, I agree, I can't get past -- it isn't making any sense to me, but I've resolved that that is what they intend to do and I'm not sure if the MSL audit is going to have an issue because they basically

came in here and said they were all on the same page. I think it will -- what happens when it presumably gets reviewed at the state level, because I think that person is responsible for these audits, that's where what they did versus our concerns might come into play.

MR. DE MEO: If they explain -- if they take the position that it's not material --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, there's that.

MR. DE MEO: -- from a qualification standpoint, that may be true, but claims and settlements, typically, are -- have a different weight in terms of determining whether it's material or not. And the weight given to it is, is this important to the reader of the financial statements? And in this case a settlement of that magnitude, though the current portion might not be material for financial statement presentation purposes, I -- I, in my opinion, believe that it is material because it does reflect on management's positions and use of funds and how they view certain bond issues.

So I don't know if it's worth going to Broward County Inspector General or not.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All we can do is try. We

were talking about Barry Manilow before the meeting, that one song, One Voice, all we can do is sing.

MS. FERTIG: Can I weigh in on that, Nathalie?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: I believe that we're getting to a point where we have advised the board of our concerns. Our minutes, if you read our minutes, all of the information is there. But I don't believe as a committee that we -- that it's appropriate for us as a committee to go to the inspector general. Obviously, any member can do what they want to do. But I believe our responsibility is to advise the board, and I think we have, about any concerns we might have. And they have access to our minutes and all of our backup information and I know our auditors are also advising them and we have people from the CFO's office here.

So I just would, respectfully, request that we provide the information that we've received and they're going to reach their own conclusions. What the auditor general or any other body does, they're going to do in the normal course anyways.

I just don't think it's appropriate for us to be a reporting body outside of going to the board with recommendations.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I would just suggest that the staff, particularly CPA staff, maybe inform the current CFO regarding GASB interpretation number 6. I think that's what we're getting at. It's highly applicable in this area. It has pretty clear, to me, guidance how this needs to be treated. So I would suggest to do that.

I agree with my colleague here, the CFO is the representative of the district when it gets to financial matters. Whatever decision she makes, that's her prerogative and they will do that. That's the district's responsibility.

Whether -- when an item comes to the committee for my approval, as one audit committee member, I think I said it before, if it's not in compliance with generally accepted accounting principals I simply wouldn't be able to support it. But the district and the CFO will decide what they will do with this. And assuming that the external auditor is in agreement to it and the AG doesn't

have any issue with it, then that's basically how it's resolved.

MS. ARLOTTA: May I respond to that, please?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sure.

MS. ARLOTTA: I assume that I am the CPA staff that you're referring to and I just want to say that I have done my due diligence over and over again. I have had meetings, we have two sets of auditors here this year, independent of each other, and one was the auditor general representing the state.

So it is not like we are preparing these financial statements as we personally wish. We have gotten confirmation from several meetings from two independent auditors on how to properly prepare this. So, I mean --

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I don't think I was referring in any way that you did something wrong.

MS. ARLOTTA: Okay. Well, I just want to say that I have totally done my due diligence and I am going along with how I have been guided.

Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Duly noted.

The issue is this is the audit committee and

you have multiple members with concerns. And sometimes it's all in how you present something. And because the district pays our external auditors, they, in theory, are independent, but, in fact, cannot be because they are paid. So that's something to consider there.

And we're not present for the conversations with the staff from the auditor general's office, so we're not privy to how much documentation they've been given so that they're aware of the entire situation.

So, anyway --

MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: I would just like to add to what Oleg just said. I don't think -- I don't want to be sitting here saying anything and criticizing the staff. We have been provided a lot of information from a variety of sources. We've made recommendations. I think we've talked this to death at this point. And I certainly -- I certainly don't -- I mean, they're having the conversations with the auditor general staff. We're not going to be in those. We're going to rely on the reports.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I was just going to add, based on, is it Ms. Arlotta?

MS. ARLOTTA: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: What Ms. Arlotta had mentioned, that she has done her due diligence, and I -- I think that -- I take that at its face value and I value it. But you did mention that you consulted with both the external independent auditors and the auditor general. And I think in the past this committee has asked for the guidance that you were provided. And I'm not sure, do we have that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, and I think that would have cleared this up right from the beginning. So, it's hard for us, as Mary points out, from our viewpoint and not being part of management, we shouldn't be making those decisions either. That's not for us. We just want to have the benefit of the information, that, for example, you relied on to come up with that conclusion. I think at this point though, we're going to have two independent bodies weigh in on this and, you know, we -- we can find out more later, I think.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Right.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: And, really, the auditor general made it clear, as you stated, Madam Chair, that they will not provide an opinion or they will not give any recommendation on the material they're auditing themselves. So that was clearly disputed by them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And to Mr. De Meo's point, the guidance that we got that was very specific and pointed to specific sections of GASB, we did not get that same level of clarity in the management response, which is what we were looking for so that we could understand why staff was doing what it was doing. So we'll wait.

All right. So that is item number 11. We are done with that.

We deferred 12 to the next meeting.

Number 13 we mentioned the OIG. Mr. Rhodes, just very, very briefly, when are they going to go live?

MR. RHODES: Yesterday I received a copy of the fully executed contract from general counsel's office and my understanding is that at the February 11th workshop a representative from legal staff of the OIG will be in this room to give a presentation to the board and my

understanding is that they are ready to mobilize as soon as they can get through those -- those steps.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So do they have a tentative go-live date?

MR. RHODES: The way that I understood it was just after the February 11th presentation. In the meanwhile, they're still trying to work out some of the details of the payment -- the payment process for their services.

MS. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. So I guess not really; we don't have a --

MR. RHODES: I don't have a fixed date.

MR. DE MEO: A quick comment?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. DE MEO: So that contract, I think you provided it in our packet, at least it's on-line, it shows that the inspector general plans actually presented that they are going to have about seven -- or six or seven full-time equivalents dedicated to this audit. In total they list about 10 or 11 people. So I would ask, you know, how -- how does that mesh with what we're doing, and if there are any duplicate -- duplicative efforts, maybe we could eliminate

them, maybe we could save some money. If they're going to go ahead and spend that money and we're going to pay them, maybe they can do some things that you would otherwise be doing and we could save some time and money. I'm not sure how practicable that is, but it might be something for you to consider.

MR. RHODES: To that point I'm going to dig back into the contract. At the time that I was really looking at it, originally, it was all still conceptual. It hadn't been approved by all the parties. But the thing that I think that they're looking at is providing us with their overall investigative and audit services, when possibly needed, to use their subpoena powers, which is the thing over and above what we have, as well as a complete independence of those at the board level, that, if needed, that type of investigation could happen as well.

So I hear what you're saying, but I don't think that they would come in to be a resource multiplier for our department.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I just want to, if I can bring it up on screen, what's been put on-line as far as the staffing, this seems like it's still

the preliminary list where they have one FTE for investigations manager, one for special agent, one for senior auditor, the inspector general, themselves -- general counsel, deputy inspector general. Did this change because I could have sworn -- oh, the inspector general point 25.

So I concur with Mr. De Meo, but also in looking at this I'm kind of looking at it from the flip side, where if they're just opening a shop, unless they know this place to have far more issues than even we do, why -- you know, are they overstaffing? You know, are they in theory kind of planning to be overstaffed initially?

MR. RHODES: I don't think they know exactly how they're going to staff. I think that this was their best estimate at the time that they put together their -- their ILA. And so for that reason I don't know. But I'm glad you pulled that up because we saw three full-time FTEs and the others are support staff that are going to be participating primarily I think from an administrative standpoint more so than operational. But we'll find that out as we go along, but we do what was also clarified since we're doing a partial year with them, it's 640 or

\$670,000 for the first portion that they're doing, because their fiscal year goes through October, but their actual annual cost to the district has now been determined to be at \$1.3 million per year. So, to be seen, and we'll start to figure out how this is going to work. But, like I said, I think that their purview is going to be a good bit different than from this department's purview is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we'll have even more information next time. It should be fairly -- when did you say they're going 2/11? And we meet next on 2/13, so should know more then.

MR. RHODES: We have a hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, sorry, Mr. Naylor?

MR. NAYLOR: A question, on Exhibit A it talks about the exclusions of what's not covered. It doesn't -- it mentioned School Advisory Forum.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, can we pull that back up, BECON? What page are you on again?

MR. NAYLOR: Page 14.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, 14. Got it.

MR. NAYLOR: It doesn't mention anything about district advisory or area advisories.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They are not excluded. And refresh my memory --

MR. NAYLOR: But why would SAF be excluded then?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the SAC it cannot by definition be excluded.

MR. NAYLOR: No, that's SAC, SAF, School Advisory Forum.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Rhodes, do you remember that whole conversation because I -- I fought before this thing turned into, at the county commission level, we tried to get this put back in.

MR. RHODES: I -- I can only tell you that I was not present during the meetings when they were hammering this stuff out, but I did call and speak with the inspector general herself and I said, you know, because I was asked by a board member to follow up on this and I provided them with an analysis on that, an analysis that I could certainly share with the audit committee. But the idea is, the items that were identified as being excluded, when I talked to Ms. Breece about this, she felt that they didn't have a concern about whether or not they would have

access to investigate these groups that are listed as excluded if there is allegations of fraud or some kind of violation of law, that they would still have the ability to use the full force of their office if they had good cause and they could use their subpoena power for the same. Or the district could also refer to the State Attorney's Office. But she wasn't interested at that time in revisiting, trying to get these exclusions bifurcated to determine what could go into the inclusion list or what would remain in the exclusion list. So, again, I wasn't there to know the actual conversation that was had, how that exclusion list got put together, but I did do an analysis, and, again, I'll follow up with you guys and provide that for you.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Madam Chair.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: I have to go at 12:30.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You have to go at 12:30?

Okay. You, too? Okay. And at that point we'll lose quorum, so there's one other thing, item 14, so this was more of an FYI. Are you good, Lew?

MR. NAYLOR: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And Mary doesn't have her

hand up or -- yes or no?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: She's good.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

So let me move on to 14 real quick since I In your packet you should have eight minutes. have gotten -- whoops, not Copilot. And we're going to focus, I'm trying to do it timely, but, basically, for those of us that have been here a while, we got the board to agree when we had a motion to do an audit of HR Internal Controls. The board agreed. It made it into the audit The prior chief auditor did a preliminary plan. scope and we looked at it on Teams, gave feedback, he came back with an updated scope, and then we started having transitions, so then we --Carr Riggs Ingram did a proposed scope and I looked at it and I said, but, this doesn't follow, it needs to follow what the board is required to do per Florida Statute 1001.42 Number 5, Personnel, which identifies specific areas of school board responsibility. So those specific areas that they are responsible for -- hold on, are these areas, which is to designate positions to be filled, prescribe qualifications for those positions, and provide for the appointment

compensation, promotion, suspension and dismissal I am concerned that an audit of of employees. the HR processes, of the internal controls over HR will not capture all the weaknesses and the issues that we've seen over the years if it does not tie back to specifically what the board is responsible for overseeing. And case in point, next week the job description, and if we scroll down -- so what I did is, I confess, I had Copilot, I prompted Copilot and said what are some of the processes that tie back to what the board's responsible for, and then I tried to map the areas that Carr Riggs in their proposed scope identified. And Copilot actually did pretty good. So at a minimum I would expect that everything that they identified centered around, number one, designate positions to be filled, number two, prescribe qualifications for those positions, number three, appointment of employees, number four, compensation, number five, promotion, number six, suspension, number 7, dismissal. Those are all the items that are listed in the state law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So next week we're going to see one of the risks involved in number two when you don't

specify the degree or certification needed in a job description, you can end up hiring unqualified candidates with skill gaps. week's item, which is H6, staff is recommending the school board remove the CPA requirement in a job description that lacks accounting as a specific degree requirement for a position that specifically requires compliance with GASB and GAP in its first essential performance responsibility. So I included the executive summary and the job description as links and you were also sent, I think, those. But just to crack those open real quick for H6 to demonstrate what I'm saying, because I'd like to make a motion that the scope of work for the audit includes the areas that the board is responsible for in Florida Statute 1001.42 number 5, but let's just go to item H6 for next week so that you can see -- I think you were sent a copy of the job description. Let's see.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Yeah, we were.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because, of course, this speaks -- and, remember, it's not a requirement for the CFO. This is the person, the Director of Accounting and Financial Reporting. What staff

is saying is the reason for what they're doing to revise this is they want to make recommendations to the job description to better align the qualifications of the position based upon the expected scope of work being performed district-wide and broaden the pool of candidates. Okey-dokey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, in reality -- let me pull up the actual job description. In reality they're taking out the CPA requirement? And think about if you have a CPA what that would imply as far as your qualifications and experience. They are proposing to take out, under minimum qualifications, notice it just says an earned bachelor's degree from an accredited institution, which that previously was not as concerning, because a certificate in public accounting, CPA in the State of Florida being required would mitigate the chance of you getting somebody with a degree in underwater basket weaving, or education, or anything that has nothing to do with the task at hand. So that was not a problem. They're also -- they're putting it into preferred. And then notice that it doesn't -the experience in public accounting, financial

reporting, auditing or governmental financial accounting preferred, also probably wasn't as big a problem when you required a CPA, because what is a CPA going to be doing? That should be a minimum. And they need to specify an accounting degree if you're going to take out the CPA.

The first bullet as far as what this job is responsible for, ensure the district remains in compliance with external legal requirements and internal policies by remaining current with Florida Department of Education regulations, GASB -- GASB and generally accepted accounting principles, identifying opportunities for improvement or additions to school board policy, standard practice bulletins and/or procedure changes applicable -- I can't even talk anymore -- applicable to accounting and reporting procedures and developing recommendations relating changes to existing accounting and reporting guidelines and procedures.

How are you going to take out the very things out of a job description that would make you competent enough to do that first bullet? But that's what they're proposing next week.

MR. NAYLOR: What is your plan for this? Do

we need to vote on something because we have two people who are leaving.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: If -- well, is anyone else concerned that they're taking out the CPA requirement on specifying accounting or finance and experience in public accounting financial reporting, auditing or governmental financial accounting preferred? Would we suggest leaving the CPA in?

MR. NAYLOR: No, I think if you move the requiring accounting degree and experience --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Up to minimum?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then not have CPA?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: No, I have done this for some time. So what I can tell you is, it's very imperative. I mean, a lot of questions that we'd have to seek the guidance from the auditor general's office would have been answered if we had a qualified person who is knowledgeable in accounting. There's a certain level that CPAs bring to the table. I mean, I always noticed that the person that is a CPA could sit in front of the board and say whatever he or she wants to say. But if they are licensed would be

restricted to stick to the codes and to the edits. So I think it's imperative it stays. I'm not sure what action we can take.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We can pass a motion that gets transmitted to the board. That's all we can do, we can't -- and you can talk to your appointing board member.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: That's true as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So -- but real quick, since it's now 12:30 and I just wanted to really quickly get an update on the Chief Auditor Evaluation Tool from Mr. Rhodes, because that also occurred on Tuesday.

So we have a motion to retain the CPA requirement in minimum qualifications?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: So moved.

MR. NAYLOR: Second.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Any further discussion?

(No response.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hearing none, retain the CPA requirement in the Director of Accounting and Financial Reporting job description. All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Any opposed?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Rhodes, they're going, we're one minute past when they said they had to go. The highlight as far as it relates to this committee for your evaluation, what was the thing that --

MR. RHODES: So I had to come up with three objectives that would represent 25 percent of my evaluation. The remainder of my evaluation was put together by the HR group that is responsible for evaluations. In fact, they worked with me on developing the three objectives that I did. of them I wanted to bring to them was a -- it was ultimately going to be a survey tool that would -- since this is a big part of my job, working with the audit committee, to get the audit committee's overall feedback on my value and my ability to do this job. I thought that that sounded important at the time that I was putting it together, but when the board discussed it they identified that they felt that that was not a strong enough objective and that it was -if it was going to do anything it would have to include more than just the audit committee and it

was recommended that it might even include the principals for their survey of this as well. And I was trying to ultimately explain that this is where I spend the time together with the direct appointees of the nine of them and others as well as provide follow-up and information.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, everything that you do culminates in an audit report that comes through here. So, in a nutshell, the board did not support having audit committee input?

MR. RHODES: No, no, they didn't. And so there were two other objectives, one is, per contract I'm required to have a succession plan, so I put one of the objectives together to develop a succession plan. And then the third one was to continue to learn about and train my staff on the use of AI to improve efficiencies and thoughtfully implement that as well as take a look at how the district's been using it for policy purposes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, thank you.

So, yeah, I hate to end it on that note, agenda planning, we probably need -- I hate to ask you for a special meeting, but we'll be in touch so that we can tackle those policies and

the ACFR should be coming at the next meeting, I'm thinking?

MR. RHODES: That's my understanding.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All we can do from now until the end of the year is do our job that we agreed to do. Thank you all very much.

MR. NAYLOR: Motion to adjourn.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So moved. I think we just lost quorum, but we're adjourned as of 12:33.

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: You don't need a motion to adjourn.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What?

MS. CARTER-LYNCH: You don't have to motion to adjourn.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Especially when you no longer have quorum. We're adjourned at 12:33. Thank you guys.

(Meeting was concluded at 12:33 p.m.)

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD 3 4 I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary 5 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 7 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and 8 that the transcript is a true and complete record of 9 my stenographic notes thereof. 10 Dated this 27th day of January, 2025, Fort 11 Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. 12 13 14 TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

A	actual 27:25 29:22	agree 92:10 118:21	64:8,22 65:6,24	approving 7:6 8:5
a 101:23	79:3 92:15 101:4,12	121:13 132:9	70:5 73:8 87:10	Arcese 2:10 10:1 11:4
a.m 1:14 3:5,7	116:1 129:3 131:13	agreed 7:14 43:5	Andrew 2:6 6:5	11:17 13:18 14:19
ability 86:7 131:4	135:8	107:24 108:1,24	Andrews 1:24	16:2,24 17:5 20:11
139:19	actuals 116:1	132:11 141:6	anecdotal 52:17	20:22 27:20 33:9
able 7:15 15:4 16:12	ad 96:24	agreement 26:8,12	Announcements 42:5	36:21 37:22 40:13
23:16 31:16 38:14	add 13:22 33:3 35:14	42:22 43:9,25 44:2	70:25 72:18	41:1,6 73:23,25
40:17 57:6,8 94:14	55:10 58:17,19 60:5	50:8 52:19 54:6	annual 78:8 129:3	74:10 77:21 80:10
105:13 118:2	60:22 67:19 82:12	58:25 59:2 60:8,11	annually 80:7	81:6,13 90:4,11,14
121:22	110:18 115:9	60:13 106:12	answer 9:3 13:18	90:22 91:1,4,7 99:2
absent 118:2	123:15 124:1	121:25	33:9,11 34:15 52:14	99:12,16 100:12
absolutely 86:4 97:16	added 14:11 46:2,9	ahead 9:24 25:2	53:6,11 57:7 58:17	101:10
academics 25:24	47:21 48:11 63:21	33:14 51:20 52:10	84:4	area 17:19 38:20 64:3
88:22	63:22 92:21 110:16	83:10 127:2	answered 137:19	72:3 90:17 121:10
	addition 46:16 103:9	AI 140:17	answers 53:10 102:4	129:25
acceptable 108:17	112:24 114:2	akin 52:21	Anthony 2:4 5:16	areas 13:5 38:16
117:25	additional 53:2 60:5	al 101:16	anybody 53:7,16	42:23 44:7 90:7
accepted 28:25 29:3	63:15 92:20 93:8	Ali 2:10 9:22	70:11 106:1	132:20,22,23
121:21 136:12	99:13	align 135:3	anymore 136:16	132:20,22,23
access 27:4 36:19	Additionally 43:8	alignment 43:25	anyone's 76:22	Arlotta 2:15 109:17
120:17 131:1	additions 136:14	allegations 131:2	anyway 5:6 100:4	109:20 110:11
account 25:21 79:3	address 24:15 25:18	allegiance 3:9,10 4:14	123:12	111:3,10,13,22
79:12 81:18,20 82:3	42:13 46:10 58:18	ALLEN-HARDA	anyways 120:25	111.5,10,15,22
84:12 85:3 86:20	114:24 115:12	2:13	apologize 46:23	
87:2,3,23 94:21	addressed 86:15	alleviated 13:6		113:20 122:3,5,20
100:21 111:17	115:20 116:4	allocate 94:20	apparently 19:24	124:2,3,4 Armando 2:23 42:12
accountability 24:2			applicable 82:19	
accounting 2:15 72:4	adjourn 141:7,12,15	allocation 94:11	121:10 136:16,17	arrangement 48:23
104:19 106:5 107:3	adjourned 141:9,17	allocations 63:6	applied 59:17	107:18
113:4 116:15	ADMINISTRATI	allow 32:17 81:25	applies 19:7	arrest 33:23
121:21 134:6,25	1:11	108:14	appoint 11:8	arrested 25:22
135:17,25 136:2,5	administrative	allowed 63:25	appointed 11:10	aside 114:13
136:12,17,19 137:5	128:22	allowing 44:15	appointee 62:10	asked 59:5 65:15
137:6,8,11,21	administrators 27:5	Alrighty 9:17	appointees 62:11	67:25 68:18 106:19
138:22	admission 52:21 56:9	alternative 29:11	140:5	114:23 115:10
accounts 2:11 79:4,22	adopted 29:11 30:10	amend 62:7 103:9	appointing 138:7	124:10 130:18
88:24	advice 65:11 93:1	amended 6:19,21	appointment 132:25	asking 16:25 17:21
accredited 135:15	advise 34:3 120:15	30:11	133:19	17:22 26:19 68:1
accrual 107:4	advised 120:8	amending 75:12	appreciate 18:18	71:12 76:6 84:21
accrued 117:13	advising 120:19	amount 16:4 21:15	22:8 67:20	95:13 99:12
ACFR 4:8 104:21	advisories 129:25	50:5 58:14 61:24	appropriate 17:4	aspects 44:2
110:11,12,14 111:4	advisory 129:19,25	63:18,20 72:22 77:9	18:16 50:17 65:3	assessment 2:17 10:3
141:1	130:8	78:8 96:6 107:15	108:22 117:2	12:17 13:4 15:13
ACH 77:11 79:25	AG 121:25	108:1,20 117:1	120:12 121:1	17:8,11 21:7,13
81:13 82:8 84:12	agenda 3:14 4:7,12	amounts 54:22 57:16	appropriated 108:9	27:1,9,10 28:1
achievements 42:18	4:16 6:15 7:7 28:11	67:7 77:3	approval 4:15 82:22	39:15 40:6 41:18
Acting 2:11	62:15 113:17	analysis 40:15,18	121:19	61:8 85:3,8 86:21
action 28:18 29:1,2,3	115:16 116:9	42:6,20 71:1 130:20	approve 6:14 7:21,23	87:23
29:4,16 84:4 138:3	140:23	130:20 131:15	58:16 62:6	assessments 3:18
actions 29:12	agent 128:2	and/or 101:6 136:15	approved 7:12 8:12	12:3,5,10,12,13
activities 76:16,23	ago 7:15 48:15 54:7	Andreu 2:18 48:5,6,6	63:15 64:3,16	13:10,11,14,17 14:8
	I 24.4	10 0 10 60 11 10	107.11	14.0 15.4 15 21.16
88:5 110:20 111:23	64:4	49:2,19 63:11,12	127:11	14:9 15:4,15 21:16

	 I	Ì	 I	l
21:18 27:3 33:17	audited 32:19 73:21	bachelor's 135:15	behaviors 19:16	bodies 124:23
35:3,4	112:9	back 4:18 23:7 37:2	Bekaert 75:20	body 120:24 121:2
assigned 109:18	auditing 16:18 17:24	46:15 50:24 53:18	belabor 52:12	boggling 57:12
assistance 91:14	113:8 117:20	55:5 58:23 63:19,22	believe 10:4 11:17	bond 119:22
assistant 2:10,15 93:2	118:11 125:5 136:1	72:15 73:14,16	13:18 14:1 25:13	bonus 62:15
Associates 45:1	137:7	76:14 85:21 86:11	40:15 45:7 65:14	Book 84:2
assume 95:17 122:5	auditor 2:8,9 4:2	87:18 88:7 89:12	73:14 78:17,22 92:7	bookkeeper 80:9
assuming 64:12 116:6		91:3 95:14 98:17	92:19 94:4 109:15	bottom 85:18
121:24	29:25 48:16 57:6	99:1,4 106:9,19	119:20 120:7,11,14	bouncing 72:6
Atlantic 77:4 78:12	73:18 105:19 112:2	127:9 129:20	belong 75:8	Bowen 2:23
79:9,19 80:24 81:25	112:6,9,20,21,25	130:12 132:14	Ben 2:21 46:19 55:10	brand 49:22
82:19	117:19 120:24	133:6,11	benefit 96:13 100:10	break 73:12,17
attach 50:2,20	121:25 122:10	background 58:23	124:20	breakdown 14:1 74:8
attached 47:18	123:8,23 124:9	114:3	best 128:16	Breece 130:23
105:12	125:1 128:3 132:12	backup 11:13 89:20	better 33:4 49:8 70:7	brief 29:1,4 36:14
attaching 50:15 51:1	137:18 138:11	92:19 120:18	86:6 135:3	58:22 73:13
51:5	auditors 38:20	bad 18:10	beyond 5:2,4 27:6,7	briefly 125:18
attachment 105:18	117:16 120:18	balance 3:20 79:24	63:9	bring 26:10,11
attachments 105:17	122:9,15 123:4	85:2,4,5 87:22	biannual 22:15	127:24 137:22
ATTENDANCE 2:2	124:8	103:21 104:11	bifurcated 131:10	139:14
Attorney's 131:8	audits 2:10 9:19	113:16,18 114:20	big 19:24 90:8 95:10	bringing 10:5
attributes 10:6,8	15:21 21:25 38:23	115:17	95:19 136:2 139:16	broaden 135:6
31:12 37:11,24	72:5 76:17 83:25	ball 53:8	bigger 56:23 80:20	brought 50:1
audio 33:5	87:14 104:19 112:3	bank 99:10	biggest 37:14	Broward 1:3 42:16
audit 1:4 2:10 3:7,21	112:20 119:5	Barry 120:1	bill 88:23	44:13 55:5 118:7,14
4:1 6:15,22 7:6,22	August 25:10	base 12:23	bit 12:19 15:20 49:8	119:24 142:3,11
8:6 10:16,25 11:22	authority 23:12 63:6	based 11:25 12:23	77:6 93:16 102:21	Brown 64:1
12:8,15 15:17 19:18	112:22	17:4 26:1,5,9 27:3,6	116:18 129:8	Brown's 65:10
23:10 24:22 25:8,9	authorization 63:2	27:7 47:15 66:5	biweekly 28:4	Bryan 2:12 9:14
26:25 27:20,23	authorized 142:6	116:17 124:2 135:4	blah-blah 85:15	BSC 86:5 87:15
28:16,19 31:19,25	authorizes 112:19	basically 80:15 89:24	92:13	BTA 8:25 9:18,19
32:10 36:4 37:20	automated 77:11	118:25 122:1 132:8	blue 24:23	23:15 26:6 27:20
38:17,18 39:5 42:12	automatically 31:20	basis 80:6 107:4	board 1:3,11 12:15	33:23 34:3,4 35:14
43:7 44:21 45:14	53:5 77:13,19 78:10	108:6,7 116:20	27:17,17,25 28:1,2	37:14 102:20
46:25 50:4,21,22	availabilities 38:2	basket 135:20	46:3,4,7 48:10,12	BTM 13:14
51:15 58:11 63:18	availability 31:14	Bass 1:23,24 2:20	49:13,15,21,25 50:1	bucks 93:20 99:19
65:7,11 66:5,8,11	available 12:1,1,25	142:4,14 P 92:4 94:24 94:10	50:8,10,18,24 51:7	budget 2:16,16 79:17
70:7,15 71:22 73:11	16:11,19,22 32:19	Bay 83:6 84:24 86:19	53:4 57:15 58:25	80:6,10,21 85:11
73:18 74:15,20,22	37:16 38:3,9 44:6	92:5 PCDS 42:15 44:2 10	61:10,23 62:5,9,10	86:25 88:18 89:7,7
75:10 76:7,18 84:6	107:5 114:10	BCPS 43:15 44:2,10	62:12 63:14 64:3	89:9 91:14,24
84:10,16 86:5 97:21	Avenue 1:12,24	44:25 64:6,14 65:15	71:1 72:20 97:25	108:10 113:18
103:2 104:23 106:6	aware 7:13,18 18:3	BECON 54:17 90:24	100:5 120:8,15	115:10 116:1,2
107:1 111:1,16	33:24 34:5,7 38:19	106:23 129:21	121:2 125:25	budgetary 108:6,7 budgeted 91:20
112:24 113:1,3	41:6,7 47:4 50:10	beginning 38:20	127:18 130:18	<u> </u>
117:15 118:11,15	50:19 77:21 87:16	47:17 85:4 124:15	132:9,11,18,21	built 17:16,17 37:23
118:24 121:19	100:5,13 123:10	behalf 42:14 60:16	133:6 134:5,16	bullet 109:12,22
122:25 126:21	aye 7:7,8 8:7,8 39:24	behavior 19:17 25:21	136:14 137:24	136:7,23
127:14 130:21	39:25 41:25 73:2	25:25 26:3	138:5,7 139:21	bulletin 76:1 81:2,4
132:10,11 133:2	103:15 138:25	behavioral 2:17 3:17	140:9	91:8,10 101:3
134:15 139:17,18	B	10:2 17:7,12 21:7	board's 133:12 boards 112:21	bulletins 75:17,18,23
139:25 140:8,10		39:15 40:6 41:5,18	DOATUS 112:21	84:2 136:15
	l	l	l	I

clearinghouse 77:11 **buried** 61:22 categorize 18:13 committed 44:9 85:7 89:10 95:15 **buses** 99:1 **clearly** 30:8 44:3 50:6 categorized 17:24 98:19 99:15 **committee** 1:4 2:2 3:7 category 18:4 business 56:4,19 63:4 charges 63:5 55:24 72:12 87:3 6:16 7:7.8.22 8:6.8 75:22 77:2 85:17 caught 19:4 83:4 **charging** 93:14 100:1 91:21 95:4 99:25 12:15 14:15 21:9 103:21 cause 28:14 131:5 **charter** 99:6 105:16 114:12 125:6 31:19 32:18 39:5,25 caused 81:4 106:11 107:25 **Clerk** 2:13 41:25 44:21 47:22 \mathbf{C} 111:20 close 42:9 47:5 58:7 cc'd 47:3 53:3 73:2 75:10 C 2:13 celebrating 42:18 check 49:1 52:6,12,20 64:2 99:4 103:15 104:24 cabinet 28:7 Center 1:11 77:3 81:15,17 closely 65:9 87:15 106:6 111:1,16 calculated 117:13 85:18 **checking** 9:4 98:2 **closer** 101:15 120:11,12 121:18 **call** 3:4.5 4:15 20:24 centered 133:16 **Cherry** 75:20 **coded** 64:4 121:19 122:25 56:6 59:6 112:2 **chief** 2:8,9 4:2 11:22 **central** 88:24 **codes** 138:1 124:10 130:21 130:16 certain 12:3 37:7 22:9 27:18,24 28:3 **cogent** 118:3 138:25 139:6,17,25 called 81:18 86:20 43:17 50:16 90:7 28:21 29:25 48:16 **COI** 47:2 140:10 87:23 111:24 112:3 97:14 108:8 119:22 73:17 105:3,15 collaborated 44:1 committee's 38:19 Cambridge 85:3,7 117:10 132:12 collapsing 71:18 47:19 139:18 137:21 86:21,24 87:23,25 **certainly** 23:14 50:17 colleague 121:13 138:11 communicated 44:3 88:14,23 66:10 123:21,22 collect 55:25 86:14 **child** 94:23 **campus** 95:16 130:21 choosing 58:13 **collected** 85:12 86:22 **company** 55:20 56:3 cancelation 78:3 certificate 135:17 chronological 106:21 87:24 91:24 compensation 133:1 **cancelled** 82:6 85:20 142:1 Chuck 44:25 55:11 collecting 91:18 133:20 candidates 134:3 55:14,20 56:3 59:1 collections 85:2 certificates 64:13 competent 136:23 135:6 **College** 77:4 79:20 certification 134:1 59:25 60:6,6,9,12 **complete** 38:22 69:11 **Canvas** 25:17 certifications 81:25 60:13,15,20,20,25 82:20 127:17 142:8 cap 50:4 **circle** 46:15 comb 102:1 **completed** 12:18 13:2 certify 142:6 capability 118:8 **CFO** 113:20 121:8,13 cited 109:10 **combing** 20:19 25:12 29:1,17 53:1 Capital 2:16 121:23 134:24 claim 99:20 116:24 come 48:22 54:21 54:5,11 69:15 74:20 caps 3:16 8:25 9:1 **CFO's** 106:3 120:20 **claimed** 107:16 76:24 87:12 96:1 completeness 19:8,13 42:5 43:4 63:10 20:5 CFOs 115:24 claims 119:11 98:21 102:23 116:5 70:24 72:18 **chair** 2:3,3 4:17 5:5 clarification 47:16 119:6 124:21 completion 29:6 capture 133:4 58:20 71:5,14 19:20 33:18.22 127:21 139:8 **compliance** 29:8 34:6 car 95:18 comes 7:16 76:4 89:8 34:13 42:22 43:2,4 34:11 48:3 51:18 clarified 128:24 care 90:8 52:14 53:4 54:25 **clarify** 47:19 51:13 101:18 121:18 44:11 69:14 84:1.11 Carr 42:5 51:10.11 57:3 58:19 59:7 63:13 71:21 101:2 140:8 121:20 134:8 136:9 132:16 133:13 71:4 82:10 83:7,21 **clarity** 17:20 39:10 **coming** 3:13 4:10 complicated 30:17 carries 40:3 42:3 89:2 94:2 98:8 60:5 96:14 98:19 60:12 77:1 78:11,14 **comply** 30:21 Carter-8:1 100:24 103:8 component 37:14 99:8 125:10 79:8,12 86:24 Carter-Lynch 2:4 104:16 114:21 **class** 92:11 94:16,25 107:10 113:9 141:1 91:9,22 4:19,20 7:25 51:18 121:4 123:13 125:3 100:8 **comment** 7:13 44:24 comprised 18:19 51:20,23 52:4,9,11 131:17 classes 92:9 70:5,9 95:22 126:14 **computer** 105:6,7 53:13,23 60:24 74:6 chairs 25:5 classification 18:6 comments 24:16 concentration 37:12 83:8,11 101:19,21 challenging 45:9 classifying 18:17 39:13 44:21 67:20 conceptual 127:11 101:24 102:8,16,23 chance 90:6 135:19 cleanest 53:14,16,24 70:19 76:8 114:24 concern 25:18 26:4 103:5,6 131:17,19 **change** 14:18 36:3 clear 14:4 27:14 116:12 26:13 28:14 31:3 134:21 141:11.14 79:23 82:14 128:5 43:22 49:10 56:18 commingled 100:9 33:19 35:2 54:4,10 carts 98:23 **changed** 12:17,21,22 66:3 95:5 96:2 commission 42:12 130:25 case 21:1 30:15,18 **changes** 36:17 136:16 107:14,15 108:23 130:12 concerned 12:21 34:18 40:22 71:18 136:19 113:13 114:4 Commissioner 45:16 133:2 137:4 119:16 133:7 changing 38:1 115:15 116:24 107:20 **concerning** 19:15,17 catch 33:25 81:5 channel 34:2 121:11 125:2 commitment 43:3 135:16 categorization 17:3 charged 40:14 63:21 **cleared** 124:15 44:1,16 108:21 concerns 25:25 38:16

58:18 70:12,19 76:8 delay 13:23 16:4 61:1 142:4,14 46:3 74:25 126:5,13 116:12 119:6 120:9 contracts 81:9 33:20,21 43:16 cover 35:23 88:8 dated 59:7 142:10 120:16 123:1 covered 86:12 97:17 daughter 96:8 **delayed** 34:10 38:18 contractually 107:12 concluded 141:19 contradict 110:6 98:3 129:18 Dave 2:9 31:18 delays 13:21 16:16 concludes 73:7 113:11 covering 13:24 43:18 day 24:11 31:21 31:15 33:22 37:4 conclusion 124:21 **control** 113:1 **covers** 64:13 46:24 61:22 74:24 demand 55:6 controls 132:10 133:3 conclusions 120:23 **Cowart** 28:13,13 116:8 142:10 DemandStar 45:25 **concur** 128:7 conversation 3:15 **CPA** 2:4,6,6,21 days 28:16 75:4 46:7 47:18 conducting 54:20 34:11 62:12 130:10 109:17 115:3 121:7 114:16 demonstrate 134:13 confess 133:9 131:13 **De** 2:4 5:16,17 11:1,2 122:5 134:5 135:10 demonstrated 42:21 conversations 123:7 confirmation 122:14 135:11,17 136:3,4,6 11:7,14,16 13:8 department 17:8 confirmed 52:17 123:23 137:4,9,14,23 14:3,11,14,22 15:14 36:23 37:3 64:1 104:5 conversion 15:11 138:14,22 15:24 16:17,25 18:2 68:13 88:20 91:14 conflict 93:2 20:3 21:21 23:23 92:24 93:4 127:22 110:15 CPAs 137:21 conflicting 92:23 coordinator 11:3,8 crack 134:13 24:5 26:20,22 27:6 136:11 confused 51:7 27:14,21 32:4 33:11 department's 129:9 11:11 **create** 79:23 confusing 66:12 **Copilot** 132:6 133:10 created 90:17 110:13 40:9,11,21 41:3,9 departments 64:12 68:22 113:10 **depend** 16:14 133:10,14 creating 113:21 96:21 116:17 confusion 106:1 copy 24:23 28:20 CRI 2:21 9:5,14 117:24 119:7,10 depended 37:6 connection 5:20 73:25 125:20 46:19 47:1,17 51:17 123:13 124:1,4,14 depending 26:2 64:11 70:24 71:2 **depends** 94:19 **consider** 16:19,20 134:19 125:7 126:14,16 19:5 20:3.5 35:12 cord 58:22 59:15 **deputy** 128:4 72:19.20 128:7 **DEREK** 2:12 75:11 118:6 123:6 cords 43:10 59:23 CRI's 47:20 deal 95:19 127:7 criteria 17:23 18:1 dealing 62:7 **described** 23:9 29:21 61:11 death 123:21 consideration 26:1 corporation 60:21 23:7 107:10 32:7 **considered** 29:13,14 decal 93:21 95:6 correct 27:9 40:11 criticizing 123:17 **description** 29:2,4,11 95:23 49:18 55:4,7 61:4 culminates 140:8 101:4.8.12 116:16 133:8 134:2 considering 40:13 December 42:8 69:3 79:15 81:7 cumulative 43:18 134:6,11,20 135:3,9 88:12 101:7 111:8 curb 95:21 105:14 117:23 136:22 138:23 consistent 42:21 112:16 cure 31:24 **decide** 121:23 designate 132:23 constantly 16:9 corrected 65:20 67:3 curiosity 67:18 decided 14:15 133:17 current 45:10 59:3 **decision** 62:5 121:15 designated 94:20 consulted 124:7 67:4 **contact** 91:13 correctly 21:3 107:8.9.11 114:17 decisions 113:8 despite 87:3 **contain** 28:24 cost 40:15,18 65:21 118:17 119:17 124:18 **detailed** 43:18 57:5 contextual 82:7 93:10 129:3 121:8 136:10 **declines** 8:17,18 details 11:20 32:13 **continue** 15:13 87:13 costs 65:18 66:15,21 currently 28:25 29:20 decorate 100:17 126:9 140:16 34:9 49:5 54:19 determination 23:5 67:8,10 93:9 decorating 101:6 continued 13:21 counsel 42:14 128:4 109:19,20 112:8 dedicated 126:21 26:11 31:10 67:13 counsel's 125:22 **customer** 25:15 43:23 **deemed** 33:17 **determine** 19:8.12 continues 58:3 cutting 49:1 **deeper** 31:11 counties 21:12 31:1 32:11 80:18 continuing 63:3,9 county 1:3 21:14,19 **Cypress** 83:6 84:24 **defer** 3:21 11:18 17:5 131:10 continuously 38:13 42:17 44:14 55:5 86:19 92:5 97:15 **deferral** 108:14 **determined** 11:16,20 **contract** 45:10 46:1,3 99:20 129:4 118:7,14 119:24 deferred 125:16 46:8 47:11 48:24 130:11 142:3,11 deferring 4:12 determining 12:16 D 49:12 50:9 54:1,4 couple 7:14 21:6 **definitely** 35:23 38:7 59:14 119:13 **Dahl** 4:21 54:12 58:17 59:4 36:15 55:1 57:8 53:22 **develop** 23:13 31:9 data 12:1 15:11 23:6 definition 130:6 60:16 62:6,7 78:19 86:20 99:19 109:12 140:15 28:5 35:15 36:5,6 81:11 125:21 course 25:17 29:12 definitions 18:4 developing 136:18 37:1 38:3,17 43:2 126:16 127:9 49:6 84:3,5,10 **degree** 134:1,7 139:13 43:12,13 59:19 140:13 120:25 134:22 135:15,20 136:6 **different** 10:10 12:7 date 29:5 37:7 38:3 **contractor** 60:7,10 court 1:23 2:20 33:23 137:11 12:25 15:17,21,22

19:23 21:12,24 32:6	11:10 17:13 25:20	double 9:4	114:22 115:1,3,5,8	else's 50:15
63:22 66:20 68:21	26:7 27:8 35:14,18		114:22 113:1,3,3,8	
		Douglas 21:8	•	email 20:17 47:1 50:3 51:12 54:22 71:3
70:15 77:7 78:20	35:19 37:8 40:17 42:19,24 44:16	Dr 2:3 5:24,25 7:5,9	117:18 118:13,21	72:21 99:22 105:22
80:11 94:10,11,15 100:20 101:5	49:17 52:20 54:19	8:9,11,23 9:6,10,17 10:15,24 17:20	119:9,25 120:6	106:8
	60:3 63:3 67:6 69:6	•	121:5 122:4,24	
106:10 110:17 119:12 129:8	69:10 72:22 78:6	19:21 20:1,12 21:4	123:14 124:13,25 125:7 126:4,15	employees 133:2,20
		24:18 26:15,17,20	127:23 129:10,16	empty 97:13
differently 15:18 difficult 15:20 17:2	80:23 85:10,22 86:9 86:12,12,17,25 87:1	28:6,8 30:1 33:1,7 33:12 34:15,25	127:23 129:10,16	encourage 20:22 encouraged 82:8
19:7	, , ,	,		endeavor 4:13
difficulties 36:16	88:6,7,9,14,15,17	36:12 39:8,18,20,23 40:1,3,20 41:10,12	131:18,20,25 132:3 134:22 137:3,12,14	ended 47:12
	89:8,13,13,18 90:1	1		ends 24:8 47:21
dig 127:8	90:15 92:1,22 94:24	41:14,16,21,24 42:1	138:4,9,18,21 139:1	48:17
diligence 122:7,21 124:5	98:17 107:16	42:3 44:18,20,23	139:3 140:7,21	
	108:24 112:20	46:13,20 47:2,12	141:4,8,13,16 drives 95:12	enforcement 19:2 35:20
diligently 66:6	121:14,23 123:3	48:5,14,17 49:4,9		
dip 113:16	129:4,25 131:7	49:24 50:3,14,20	driving 95:18 97:12	engaged 59:5
diploma 64:13	136:8	51:4,9,19,22 52:2,7	drop-down 90:17	engagement 46:24
diplomas 42:6 64:13 70:25 72:19	district's 109:24	52:10,15 53:8,20	due 36:17 107:7	112:24 enhance 44:10
direct 140:4	121:17 140:19 district-wide 87:10	54:16,23 55:11,14 55:18 56:1,12 57:9	122:7,21 124:5	
		,	Duly 122:24	ensure 17:24 19:16
directly 88:2,3 111:9	135:6	57:14,25 58:5,10	dump 37:1	22:4 29:18 32:10 43:20 44:2 136:8
director 2:10,10,15	disturbing 55:2 dive 31:11	60:23 61:9 63:11	duplicate 126:24	
2:16,16,17,18 11:4		64:17,20 65:22 66:9	duplicative 12:9 126:25	ensuring 42:23 enter 50:9
48:7 78:2 93:2	division 44:5	66:16,22 67:17,21	120:23	
109:19 116:15	document 4:25 22:12	68:2,6,16 69:3,19		entered 33:25 34:6,13
134:24 138:22	34:14 47:18,21 55:4 60:22 104:17	69:22,25 70:17 71:2	earlier 32:4 35:5 36:1	111:6
directs 91:11		71:6,9,25 72:4,21	59:8 65:9 74:20	entire 13:24 113:14 123:11
disbursement 81:14 81:17	documentation 31:15	73:1,3,5,14,24 74:2	earned 135:14	entities 108:7
disbursements 77:8	34:19 38:8 43:14,22 123:9	74:7,19 75:4,14,22 75:25 76:3,11 77:22	easier 37:21	entity 56:17 112:23
79:20	documented 20:14	78:7,10,20,23 79:1	easily 52:5 117:13	entries 110:15 113:2
discipline 25:24	21:16 22:14 24:12	79:6,16 80:1,13	easy 56:9	entry 110:2,3,3,6,8,8
disciplines 18:20	92:10 113:24	81:22 82:4,11,16,23	edification 117:6	110:18,22
discuss 35:17 71:6	documents 16:7,9,11	82:25 83:9,16,22	edits 138:2	equivalents 126:21
discussed 4:3 10:6	16:19 27:12 28:1	84:19 86:16 87:21	EdPlan 36:21,22 37:8	ERHARD 2:12 5:21
139:21	38:13 62:4 102:1	88:10,13,16,19,23	37:10 39:10	10:23
discussion 7:3 8:2	104:20 106:4	89:4,15,19,23 90:10	education 107:20	Ernie 8:18
39:21 41:22 67:2	114:11	90:12,20,23 91:2,6	135:21 136:11	error 65:18 87:5
68:20 71:11 72:24	doing 5:3 22:5 23:3	92:2,15,18 93:12	educators 35:21	especially 21:23
103:7 138:19	25:14 32:23 39:2	94:3,6,17,22 95:3	effect 44:25 63:14	38:25 62:14 80:19
discussions 48:15,20	57:13 63:3 87:2	96:21 97:6,15 98:9	efficiencies 140:17	111:18 141:16
48:21 49:3 50:7	90:3 106:21 108:11	98:14 99:3,14,17	efficient 36:20 43:24	ESQ 2:23
54:20	114:5 116:7 117:23	100:20,25 101:14	efforts 126:25	essential 134:9
dismissal 133:1,22	125:13,13 126:24	101:20,22 102:6,9	eight 62:11 132:5	essentially 40:10
disparity 21:11,20	127:4 128:25 129:2	102:19,25 103:4,6	either 31:15 41:8	establish 32:9
disposition 30:16	135:1 136:4	103:10,14,16,18	60:4 62:8 90:15	established 29:5 50:4
disputed 125:6	dollar 63:19	104:7 105:1,9,12,21	106:18 124:18	establishes 50:5
dissolved 60:21	dollars 56:23 91:19	106:13 109:21	electronically 105:23	estimate 128:16
distorted 46:23	91:25	110:23 111:7,12,15	Elena 2:11 11:21	evaluate 17:12
distracted 33:13	domino 63:13	111:24 112:5,13,15	eleven 64:11	evaluated 17:12
district 2:14 9:11,13	donino 03.13 door 84:20	112:17 113:23	eliminate 126:25	evaluating 17:8
		112.17 113.23		
	I	1	1	<u>'</u>

evaluation 4:2 46:1,8 expect 3:14 19:2 follow-ups 83:5 41:24 73:1 103:14 66:13 67:14,14 48:8 138:12 139:7 48:12 85:25 133:15 **following** 3:1 28:16 138:24 68:11,12,14,20 **expected** 114:9 135:5 139:10,10 feasible 20:19 71:25 72:8 76:21 71:17 expendable 114:10 evaluations 139:12 **follows** 107:3 features 12:2 83:1 84:11 85:13 event 19:9 34:8 92:25 expenditures 107:6 **February** 3:21,23 **findings** 10:9 51:15 **force** 131:5 expense 86:13 88:5 93:6,8,9,9,11 15:19 24:21 48:12 71:18,20 76:25 foregoing 142:7 91:25 111:22 eventually 37:16 99:4 62:9 125:23 126:7 102:13 forensic 42:6,20 expenses 88:8 91:24 everybody 3:3,12 fee 99:21 **fine** 54:12 67:16 71:1 4:18 19:14,16 54:17 93:8 feedback 132:14 fine-toothed 102:1 forgot 3:4 expensive 95:23 70:3 73:12 87:1,16 139:18 **finish** 52:9 **form** 34:5,8 69:10 **experience** 135:12,25 93:20 96:2 97:7 feel 21:2 26:11 **first** 10:1,16,25 12:13 87:17 89:17,21 90:2 105:21 109:16 137:6,11 **feeling** 100:6 13:19,19 45:13 90:9.10 everybody's 95:21 expertise 17:7,18 feels 26:6,7 46:11 48:19 57:9 formal 108:21 102:18 experts 19:3 fees 85:20,24 86:10 59:6 60:15 67:15 formally 108:9,15 explain 12:18 66:12 87:6 95:16 96:12 **format** 33:4 110:14 evervone's 55:19 76:7 77:4,17 93:19 evolved 21:8 86:2 109:21 118:2 **felt** 130:24 139:22 95:25 96:1,14 former 47:2 48:16 exactly 47:13 77:22 119:7 140:3 **Fertig** 2:5 5:18 9:15 106:17 108:2 129:1 forms 43:23 69:14 111:5,14 128:14 explained 36:1 85:23 87:7,8 110:13 19:24 21:5 30:12,14 134:9 136:7,23 exam 85:8 **explanation** 68:5 93:5 31:18 32:21 33:3 fiscal 39:4 42:22 Fort 1:12,25 142:10 examination 67:16 106:20 108:4 34:17,23 53:21 107:8,9,13 108:10 forthright 22:2 85:1 108:16 109:1,7 Forum 129:19 130:8 116:10 117:6 118:3 57:17,18 58:1,9 116:25 129:2 examine 15:25 extent 92:6 62:20 64:5,10,19,24 forward 10:5 16:1 example 30:20 68:11 external 117:16 67:19,24 68:4 70:4 **five** 133:21 37:20 50:18 54:1 84:11 124:20 121:24 123:3 124:8 96:19 97:20 98:4 fix 61:6 80:2,2 86:15 93:7 exceeding 95:16 120:4,7 123:15 **fixed** 80:2 126:13 136:9 93:10 Excel 110:12,19 extra 97:4 Fertig's 68:24 fought 130:10 **FL** 1:25 111:2.9 fidelity 22:5 **flip** 128:9 **found** 106:24 extremely 55:2 **figure** 21:20 129:6 exception 16:20 Florida 1:12 92:23 **four** 5:6 18:20 67:3 \mathbf{F} 65:16,17 66:2 77:18 figured 98:5 93:4 112:19 132:19 67:12 133:20 **face** 124:6 77:20 **fill** 69:10 134:17 135:18 fourth 13:16.25 **facet** 56:6 exceptional 44:13 filled 38:23 69:15 136:11 142:2,5,11 framework 108:14 facilitate 43:24 **exceptions** 22:16 25:8 **fraud** 131:3 132:24 133:17 **flow** 110:16,19 facility 82:1 43:8 66:8 final 12:11 fly 19:1 free 43:22 fact 19:11 23:25 36:5 **excess** 47:25 **finance** 137:5 focus 8:18 15:12 Friedman 2:5 5:22,23 51:24,25 52:23 **excluded** 130:1,3,6,23 **financial** 2:15 105:3 26:23 27:3 34:9 34:25 35:1 36:7 67:10 74:21 75:9 105:15 109:13 35:9 37:17,22,23 41:13 52:7 53:20,22 131:2 96:3 98:12 123:5 **exclusion** 131:12,14 39:11 43:1 44:11 110:4,9,17,20 69:24 139:12 exclusions 129:18 114:10 115:5 132:7 front 137:23 factfinding 53:2 131:10 116:16,23 117:10 Focus's 38:2 **FTE** 128:1 **factor** 49:12 folks 23:15 46:17 **excuse** 110:11 118:5 119:15,18 **FTEs** 128:19 factors 21:24 108:23 executed 125:21 121:15 122:13 **follow** 21:3 22:25 fulfilled 43:13 **faculty** 43:10 134:25 135:25 26:22 53:3.12 56:2 full 31:16 33:20 **executive** 2:12 48:7 fails 61:23 136:1 137:6,7 49:14,20 102:12 68:20 130:19 118:16 131:4 fairly 129:12 138:23 134:10 131:15 132:18,18 **full-time** 126:20 **fall** 118:14 exert 41:4 financials 113:21 **follow-up** 22:23 128:19 far 34:6 68:23 79:6 **exhibit** 106:2 129:17 **find** 16:25 31:25 24:22,24 29:22 42:6 fully 125:21 100:1 109:10 32:24 72:10 82:4 42:7 46:25 48:19 exhibits 91:8 fun 95:21 127:25 128:10 existed 67:15 86:7 90:21 91:10 52:25 59:6,17 67:15 **function** 84:13 86:5 135:11 136:7 139:6 **existing** 136:19 105:13 111:11,17 70:25 71:13,24 118:15 **fashion** 30:19 **exists** 30:11 124:24 128:23 72:19 76:14 79:18 functions 82:19 **favor** 7:5 8:5 39:23 exit 101:16 **finding** 30:15 58:21 83:24 89:24 140:6 **fund** 3:20 78:25 79:1

70.4 24 95.11 96.22	gonowia 106.24	57.10 50.14 61.22	GOVER 50.4	127.10
79:4,24 85:11 86:23	generic 106:24 GERRILYN 2:15	57:18 58:14 61:23	gown 50:4	127:19
86:25 89:5 103:21		65:3 68:17 72:11 74:10 75:15 76:14	gowns 3:16 9:1 42:5	happened 31:11
104:11 113:15,18 114:8,18,19,20	getting 33:5 36:23 39:3 73:23 77:18	80:2,2,20 88:24	43:4 63:10 70:24 72:18	34:20 54:8 85:9 104:1
115:17 116:22	98:19 100:9 110:25	92:4,5 93:6,10 94:1	GPA 25:24	happening 23:11
117:3	114:8 120:7 121:9	95:8 98:25 101:22	graces 56:22	29:19 76:15,20
fundraiser 94:5,13,15	135:19	106:13 108:4	grand 49:1 79:21	happens 28:23 37:25
96:3 100:8	give 3:22 22:3 32:6	109:23 113:12,15	80:16,22	70:21 71:22 119:2
fundraising 94:7	53:11,17 57:8 69:19	114:15 116:7,14	granted 79:9	happy 3:11 4:18 48:7
funds 3:15,25 9:1,7	71:21 74:23 92:11	117:14,15 118:25	gray 64:3	50:17 53:12
22:13 23:19 47:24	92:11 101:12 114:1	119:23 120:23,25	great 40:20 70:7	hard 30:21,25 66:6
57:23 64:6,7,14,14	114:2 125:4,25	121:2 122:22	97:22 99:9	124:16
73:9,10,18 76:7	given 52:24 119:14	123:24,24 124:1,22	greater 34:20	harp 93:16
78:13 83:25 84:1,16	123:10	125:18 126:19	greatest 57:5	HARPALANI 2:10
85:21 86:10,22	gives 116:10	127:2,3,8 128:15,20	group 24:19 104:19	55:9,13 56:2 68:8
87:18,24,25 88:3,4	giving 24:2	129:6,8,12 132:7	139:11	Hassun 2:23 42:11,13
88:6,7,11 91:15,19	glad 39:9 128:18	133:24 136:4,6,21	groups 131:1	44:19
91:23 93:3 94:18,21	gloomy 3:12	139:4,15,24	guess 9:18 13:15 21:7	hate 140:22,23
94:21 103:2,24	go 4:1 6:14 9:24	GOLDBOURNE	25:18 49:24 56:9	head 28:17
107:17 116:22	20:19 24:4,5 25:2	78:17 79:15 80:8	70:20 79:10 94:11	health 19:3 27:5
119:22	26:15 28:22 30:2	89:17,22 94:2,19	94:12 106:20	35:19
furnish 28:18	32:12 33:2,13 35:2	100:14	117:15 118:13	hear 9:14 127:20
further 4:24 29:3	35:23 36:2,12 46:3	golf 98:23	126:12	heard 23:1 65:8
39:21 41:22 44:10	46:13 48:11 51:20	good 10:1 18:14 20:4	GUESTS 2:19	75:20
72:24 103:7 138:18	52:10 56:18 57:10	26:16,17 33:7 35:4	guidance 3:19 21:22	hearing 7:5 8:4 39:23
FY22-204 44:3 59:2	57:18 58:3 62:16	42:11 48:6 67:25	104:13 106:15,17	41:24 60:25 67:22
60:15	63:25 68:9 72:10,10	96:10 103:12 118:4	109:10 113:4	73:1 89:25 138:21
FYI 70:2 131:23	73:15 76:4 83:10	129:8 131:5,23	116:24 121:11	heed 65:11
	87:13 90:13,24 91:3	132:2 133:15	124:10 125:8	hello 11:21
<u>G</u>	93:22 94:17,21 95:1	goods 57:21 62:18	137:18	help 38:13,22 75:15
game 55:24	96:9 98:7 100:18	63:4 64:6	guide 39:2	helpful 96:16 102:14
gap 86:9 109:15	101:25 102:18	Gorokhovsky 2:6 6:1	guided 112:2,12	110:24 113:5
134:9	106:17,20 114:7	6:2 121:4,6 122:17	113:7 122:22	helping 37:18
gaps 134:3 GASB 107:1 109:4	115:20 116:1	125:1 137:15 138:8	guidelines 136:20	Herff 45:1,5,21 46:2
109:11 121:8	120:12 125:19	138:16	guys 30:3 61:17	46:9 47:5,10 48:21
125:10 134:8	127:2 128:23	gotten 30:4 111:3	66:23 74:3 105:23	48:24 49:11,15
136:11,12	131:10,19,20	122:14 132:6 Gouldbourne 2:11	106:16 113:7 131:16 141:18	50:25 51:25 52:18 54:20 55:17 56:6,10
general 7:16 11:2	134:18 139:5 go-live 126:5	77:24,25 78:9,22,24	131:10 141:18	56:15 58:14 59:2,25
52:24 85:10 86:25	goes 53:4 68:14 82:9	79:2,19 81:8,16,23	Н	60:7,10,11,14,16,19
105:19 112:2,7,9,20	94:10 96:8 100:20	88:21 89:1,6 94:4,8	H6 134:4,13,18	61:1,7 72:22
112:21,25 117:19	129:2	95:1 100:12,22	half 54:7	hey 50:25
118:7 119:24	going 4:13,25 9:1	101:1,7	hammering 130:16	high 10:10 12:4,13,14
120:13,24 122:10	10:17 13:3 14:16	government 107:3	hand 19:25 41:12	12:20,24 13:7,9
123:23 124:9 125:2	15:25 18:25 24:20	108:6,20 109:4	46:18 52:8 96:18,20	14:17,20,24 15:2,5
125:21 126:18	28:11,22 33:16	government-wide	97:18,20 118:20	15:15,25 17:3,11,23
128:3,4,5,6 130:17	37:13,20,21,24 38:7	109:23 110:3,9	129:15 132:1	17:25 18:13 21:19
general's 123:8	39:11 40:13 46:6,14	114:19 116:23	135:22	21:23 25:19,19 26:2
137:19	46:16,18 47:25	117:3,5	handed 47:14	26:6,12,13 30:20,20
generally 90:15	49:13 50:21 51:14	governmental 112:23	hang 80:13 105:6	30:22 31:6,7,22
121:21 136:12	54:1 55:19 57:9,15	136:1 137:7	happen 23:9 108:11	33:18,19 35:4,7,16

indicates 50:6 72:12 49:20 50:16 51:2 35:23 36:3 42:24 12:13 33:19 36:4 117:12 80:19 64:12 74:15 84:23 identifying 84:13 interested 131:8 53:9 57:15 58:21 individual 116:20 85:25 86:14 87:12 136:13 internal 2:11 3:15.25 59:9,10 61:13,23 87:13 93:24 95:11 **IDs** 12:12 **inform** 121:7 9:1,7 22:13 23:19 62:8,13 63:13 65:15 97:12 98:13 103:9 ignore 35:8 information 13:1 57:23 64:6,14 73:9 65:24 66:18 73:7,10 103:12 **II** 2:12 15:8,22 16:5,15 73:10,18 76:7 79:3 82:25 90:2 102:5 **ILA** 128:17 higher 12:20 32:1 83:25 84:16 85:21 17:4 20:23,25 23:4 103:1 104:10 35:11 **imagine** 49:13 28:3 29:21 32:9,19 86:10 88:4 89:5 111:21,25 115:15 highest 24:6 immediately 108:17 36:6,16,19,25 37:3 93:3 94:18 103:2,24 115:16 121:18 **highlight** 61:21 139:5 **immense** 42:15 37:5,9,19 40:16 113:1 132:10 133:3 125:14 131:22 highlights 44:7 **impact** 114:20 49:3 50:18 51:5 136:10 134:4.18 **highly** 121:10 impacted 86:1 53:3 57:5 60:22 internals 80:11 items 8:13 9:21 12:9 highs 32:11 35:24 imperative 137:17 71:24 83:24 92:23 interpretation 45:22 29:24 43:9 51:13 36:8,10 138:2 104:21 105:18 121:8 57:23 65:12 71:15 hinterlands 95:9 **implement** 140:18 110:2,7 114:25 interpreted 17:15 89:7 91:20 130:22 **hiring** 134:2 implemented 29:14 116:18 120:10,18 interrupt 27:15 133:22 intervention 85:24 **history** 25:24 56:16 69:8 78:6 120:22 123:19 J **imply** 135:11 87:9,14 hold 10:19 67:21 124:20 129:11 **January** 1:14 3:6,6 86:16 105:1,8,14 **important** 12:1,2 140:6 intricate 11:19 6:15 7:6 11:24 24:9 132:22 38:5 65:2 85:18 **informed** 9:15 43:21 inventory 22:14 62:5 23:21 67:6 48:9 51:10 59:7 honor 43:9 48:24 102:22 119:15 61:14 103:11 Ingram 42:5 132:16 58:22 59:15,23 139:20 investigate 131:1 142:10 61:11 **improve** 140:17 **inhouse** 40:12 investigation 127:19 **hopefully** 3:17 70:14 improvement 136:14 initial 65:18,19 78:18 investigations 128:2 **JEFFREY** 2:16 **Jennifer** 2:10,18 48:6 investigative 127:14 76:24 86:15 improvements 66:4 89:11 93:1 55:8 hoping 38:11 65:1 invited 2:19 8:21 **in-kind** 45:11 47:7 initially 21:13 37:8 **hotline** 20:24,25 48:23 52:19 53:14 128:13 104:2 **iob** 97:22 116:16 133:8 134:2,6,11,20 hours 32:25 34:7 98:7 invoice 69:12 77:9 inaccurate 63:5.6 initiative 47:24 135:3,9 136:7,22 incident 34:4 initiatives 25:15 89:8 **house** 8:14 138:23 139:16,19 housekeeping 8:13 incidents 34:20 **input** 46:18 140:10 invoices 69:5,16 89:3 141:5 HR 3:25 132:10 include 12:3 51:9,16 inquiries 20:6 involved 12:10 18:24 inspection 14:16 **Joe** 47:2 52:2 53:8 133:3,4 139:11 62:7,21 75:7 85:23 113:21,22 133:25 **Johnson** 8:19,21 **human** 18:11 65:18 87:6.8 95:25 98:13 inspector 118:7 issuance 28:16 105:16 hypothetical 108:18 139:25 140:1 119:24 120:13 issue 24:12 45:20 **ioined** 9:15 109:25 **included** 10:12 43:22 126:18 128:3,4,6 55:6 58:12 59:4 hypothetically 107:2 **Jones** 45:1,5,21 46:2 48:18 49:23 66:21 130:17 60:1,2,6,12 61:6 46:9 47:6,10 48:21 89:19 92:12 93:10 instances 26:5,8 63:9 66:1 80:3 Ι 48:24 49:11,16 99:21 110:1 134:10 69:14 81:12 83:4 86:1,15 I-305 76:1,2 50:25 51:25 52:18 **includes** 51:11 64:5 institution 135:15 92:4 98:18 117:21 idea 47:23 72:8 54:20 55:17 56:6,10 106:3,10 134:16 instructions 71:22 118:25 122:1,25 130:22 **including** 11:24 43:6 56:15 58:15 59:2,25 instrumental 37:18 issued 25:10 67:10 **ideas** 20:4 60:7,10,11,14,17,19 104:18 insufficient 63:7 69:12.18 106:7 **identified** 8:16 10:9 61:1,7 72:22 inclusion 131:11 67:11 issues 13:5 21:11 13:5 25:9 26:3 Joris 48:17 51:8,8 incorporate 75:7 **intake** 34:5,8 36:22 44:8 65:4,4 35:15 44:9 45:4 **Jostens** 42:14.15.15 incurred 88:6 92:1 **intend** 109:6 118:24 98:15 99:18 119:22 59:22 64:11 66:20 42:21 43:4,13,20 107:6 116:25 intent 95:24 107:18 128:11 133:5 69:4 90:7 91:21 44:1,9,15 45:2,3 independence 127:17 108:18,25 109:5 **issuing** 65:19 95:4 130:22 133:14 56:14,16 59:3,20,21 **independent** 122:9,15 intention 15:24 item 4:12 6:20,22 133:16 139:22 67:5 123:4 124:8,23 intentions 18:15 7:14,16 10:2,5 40:4 identifies 132:20 Jostens' 44:11 **indicate** 114:13 **Intercom** 3:21 6:22 40:5 41:17 42:4,10 **identify** 5:12,13 journal 110:2,6,22 indicated 56:8 interest 62:21 68:16 43:23 45:4 48:8,11

113:2 72:9 73:20 74:17 25:22 46:13 56:6 little 3:12 12:19 15:20 **Lozano** 8:19 11:12 **July** 25:12 108:3 75:14 78:1 79:9 58:7 85:14 92:19 49:7 68:22 77:6 28:4 **jump** 55:19 96:5 102:5 113:10 **Luckily** 37:10 80:15,24 82:14 84:8 108:13 134:18,20 **June** 15:6,19 47:13 96:10,19 97:7,9,12 **letter** 46:24 48:16 116:18 Lynch 8:2 108:1 98:4,16,18 99:21,24 50:2,6 51:8,12 55:6 live 125:19 Lynch's 53:19 100:13 102:13,21 86:3 92:15 105:3,15 **LLP** 2:23 Lynch-Walsh 2:3 3:3 K 105:23 107:1,9 106:3,7 107:20 **local** 112:15 3:11 4:7,22 5:2,8,14 **KC** 1:11 108:10 109:8 114:3 **letters** 92:16 long 16:11 56:23 5:24,25 6:13,18,21 keep 35:5 36:21 115:25 117:14 **level** 12:10,11,13,17 83:19 84:13 93:7 6:25 7:2,5,9,11,20 57:13 60:24 72:14 118:8 119:23 12:24 13:7,9 14:17 **long-term** 117:3 7:24 8:1,4,9,11,23 114:5 120:18 124:24 14:20 15:4,13,15 **longer** 5:8 30:7 36:22 9:6,10,17 10:15,24 **keeping** 71:15 126:23 128:10,11 17:11,13 18:9 23:9 80:3 83:18 141:17 17:20 19:21 20:1,12 keeps 75:18 128:12,14,18 longstanding 42:16 24:6 25:19 26:4,6 21:4 24:18 26:15,17 **kept** 77:13,15 129:13 130:18 26:13,24 30:20,22 look 15:1 18:6,21,22 26:20 28:6,8 30:1 key 42:23 131:13 19:13 22:3 30:22 31:6,7,22 32:22,24 33:1,7,12 34:15,25 kick 57:2 knowledgeable 33:19 35:6,10,11,17 32:10 34:24 38:2 36:12 39:8,18,20,23 **kicked** 56:25 137:20 57:5 80:17,23,24 47:8 65:12 70:15 40:1,3,20 41:10,12 kid 18:11 known 108:1 111:11 113:9 119:3 125:10 79:5,16 84:4,6,9,16 41:14,16,21,24 42:1 **kid's** 18:10 127:18 130:12 85:15 93:12 140:19 117:1 42:3 44:18,20,23 **kids** 18:8,10 20:14 knows 93:20 137:21 looked 32:2 63:19 46:13,20 48:5,14 96:13 leveled 26:9 99:19 132:13,17 49:4,9,24 50:14,20 \mathbf{L} **Kim** 2:17 11:4 17:9 **looking** 22:7 31:12 levels 10:10 12:14.20 51:4,19,22 52:7,10 lack 84:11 **Kincaid** 2:21 46:19 12:25 14:8,10 15:2 32:14 35:4 45:8 52:15 53:20 54:16 48:4 49:7 58:10,19 lacks 134:6 15:5,25 17:14,15 58:20 59:13,19 55:11,14,18 56:1,12 66:9,14,17,25 68:7 large 79:23 106:2 80:21 84:1,10 96:7 57:9,14,25 58:5,10 26:2 30:24 36:3 68:10,18,23 69:1,4 142:5 leverage 58:16 98:14 99:22 108:4 60:23 61:9 63:11 73:8 **Lastly** 43:25 leverages 58:15 111:19 114:5 64:17,20,23 65:22 **kind** 18:25 19:4 23:13 late 74:13 75:9 **Lew** 2:7 6:7 71:10 125:12 127:10,13 66:9,16,22 67:17,21 31:23 32:18 53:15 **latest** 42:20 103:12 131:23 128:8,8 68:2,6,16 69:3,19 Lauderdale 1:12,25 57:10 61:21 72:14 Lew's 83:11 looks 6:14 70:7 69:22,25 70:17,23 81:24 84:4 96:8 142:11 **liabilities** 108:9 114:8 115:19 71:6,9,25 72:4 73:1 106:25 109:24 **law** 18:20 19:2,10,14 **liability** 50:5 107:7 loophole 114:6 73:3,5,14,24 74:2,7 35:20 109:13 131:3 128:8,13 131:3 108:23 114:19 **loose** 28:8 74:19 75:4,14,22,25 knew 13:20.23 133:23 117:2,9 **lose** 38:19 58:15 76:3,11 77:22 78:7 114:15 layer 22:4,23 **licensed** 137:25 80:22 131:22 78:10,20,23 79:1,6 **know** 4:8 16:2,17,21 leadership 48:21 losing 70:18 **life** 77:15 79:16 80:1,13 81:22 18:4,8,9,10,11,15 54:21 **like-kind** 54:2 56:21 **lost** 141:9 82:4,11,16,23,25 **learn** 140:16 18:24 19:4,9,12 limb 28:22 **lot** 12:9 16:6 30:16 83:9,16,22 84:19 20:9,13,14,17 21:10 **learned** 116:19 limit 93:19 95:24 37:11,21 38:15 39:2 86:16 87:21 88:10 21:12,15,25 22:1,4 **learning** 44:5 88:21 **line** 24:23 71:15 90:2 62:8 79:11,18,23 88:13,16,19,23 89:4 22:8,10,12,18 23:20 103:25 90:6 82:8 97:13 98:6 89:15,19,23 90:10 24:9,10,13 30:1,7 leave 69:20,24 96:22 linear 72:6 101:11 102:17 90:12,20,23 91:2,6 30:12,16,23,23 97:1 links 134:11 109:10,25 123:18 92:2,15,18 93:12 31:24 32:8 35:1,3,5 leaving 47:12 137:2,8 liquidated 114:9 137:17 94:3,6,17,22 95:3 35:8,12,22 38:16,18 **left** 49:10.15 53:8 **list** 5:12 14:23 31:23 **love** 16:10 96:21 97:6,15,19 38:24 40:9,21 47:13 54:18 57:6 74:4 77:5 126:22 **low** 10:11 17:3,23,25 98:1,9,14 99:3,14 47:15 52:23 53:7,16 legal 56:2 108:13 128:1 131:11,12,14 21:15,17,23 25:19 99:17 100:20,25 54:3,12 55:3 56:4 112:22 125:24 **listed** 14:6 48:1 54:4 35:17 101:14,20,22 102:6 60:24 62:1,1,13,14 136:9 54:11 58:12 59:11 low-level 12:3 102:9,19,25 103:4,6 64:25 66:10 68:14 **length** 10:6 59:12,23 67:4,13 lower 12:4,17 26:4 103:10,14,16,18 69:16 70:6,11 71:21 **let's** 10:2,19 21:14 94:7 131:2 133:23 35:10 104:7 105:1,9,12,21

141:4,8,13,16
139:3 140:7,21
138:4,9,18,21 139:1
134:22 137:3,12,14
131:18,20,25 132:3
129:20,23 130:1,5,9
127:23 129:10,16
125:7 126:4,11,15
123:14 124:13,25
121:5 122:4,24
119:9,25 120:6
117:18 118:13,21
115:11,14 116:6
114:22 115:1,3,5,8
112:17 113:23
111:24 112:5,13,15
110:23 111:7,12,15
106:13 109:21

M

ma'am 51:19,22 **Madam** 4:17 19:20 51:18 52:14 54:25 57:3 58:19 59:7 71:4 82:10 83:7,21 98:8 100:24 103:8 104:16 114:21 121:4 123:13 125:2 131:17 magnitude 119:17 maintain 43:24 maintaining 43:14 majority 87:12 making 24:16 25:22 98:11 118:22 124:18 manage 111:12,16,17 management 9:20 11:11 17:11,13,14 22:12 23:2,9,24,25 24:15 32:6,20,22,23 40:7 41:5,19 45:8 45:21 57:11 58:13 71:16 72:2 76:15 80:25 84:21 124:17 125:11 management's 10:12 119:21 manager 2:11,11

11:22,22 25:4 128:2

mandated 16:13

Manilow 120:1 manner 31:2.3.8 manpower 35:2 map 47:8 133:12 **mapping** 72:15 March 11:25 13:20 107:15,21,23 Marjory 21:8 **marked** 65:25 Mary 2:5 5:18 26:15 30:2 34:15 52:8 57:10 62:1,17 68:17 96:19 97:18,19,19 101:25 118:20 124:16 131:25 Mary's 64:20 71:12 100:4 **matches** 114:14 material 119:8,14,18 119:20 125:5 matrix 4:1 Matt 33:2.14 matter 45:7 80:19 matters 109:2,3 121:15 Matthew 2:5 5:22 **Mayersohn** 2:3 6:3,4 6:19 7:1,2,23,24 20:2 21:4,6 23:18 24:1,7,17 26:16,18 39:17,18 41:11,15 41:16 69:21 70:18 Mayersohn's 26:22 mean 13:13 24:5,7 50:9,20 54:3 61:5 68:4 82:5 87:9 110:5,10 111:21 122:16 123:22 137:17.22 meaning 71:16 76:19 means 27:4 45:18 54:5 109:5.5 meant 67:10 measurable 107:5 mechanism 30:8 49:17 76:22 89:15 mechanism's 91:15 medallions 43:5,11 media 20:18 25:23 medium 10:11.11

12:4,22 17:3,14,23

17:25 18:14 21:23 25:19 26:10 35:16 36:3 **mediums** 36:9.9 **Medvin** 2:6 6:5,6,17 6:18,24 36:13,14 37:20 39:7,19,20 meet 25:5 28:4 35:24 107:8,10 129:13 meeting 1:4 3:4,6 5:12 6:16 7:22 8:6 8:17 9:16 17:25 28:12 48:10,12 74:24 104:18 115:21 116:4 120:2 125:16 140:24 141:1.19 meetings 14:15 25:6 112:11 113:14 116:3 122:8.14 130:15 meets 33:18 member 27:17 50:24 62:12 120:13 121:19 130:19 138:7 members 2:2 7:8 8:8 27:17 37:7 39:25 41:25 42:11 50:1,18 62:10 73:2 103:15 123:1 138:25 memory 130:2 mental 19:3 27:5 35:19 mention 8:16 57:16 58:13 61:24 102:9 118:19 124:7 129:24 mentioned 10:4 28:9 29:23 35:6 47:6,10 51:13 58:18 61:25 65:14 83:2 106:5 109:11 124:4 125:17 129:19 mentioning 75:18 mentions 98:24 menu 90:17 **Meo** 2:4 5:16,17 11:1 11:2,7,14,16 13:8

24:5 26:21,22 27:6 27:14,21 33:11 40:9 40:11,21 41:3,9 96:21 116:17 117:24 119:7,10 123:13 124:1,4,14 126:14,16 128:7 Meo's 32:4 125:7 mesh 126:23 methodology 23:22 Miami-Dade 21:17 microphone 46:21 mid 35:6 **middle** 47:13 migration 39:11 million 79:20 129:5 mind 35:5 36:21 57:12 **minimize** 18:12 **minimum** 114:18 133:15 135:13 136:5 137:12 138:15 **minute** 139:4 minutes 7:21 8:5,12 57:8 70:1 120:9,9 120:17 132:5 miscalculated 35:10 missed 79:17 missing 36:25 37:15 mistaken 115:17 misunderstanding 51:3 mitigate 135:19 Mm-hmm 112:14 mobilize 126:1 model 19:23 modified 107:4 moment 32:16 53:6 money 40:12 49:16 49:18 51:24,25 53:18 55:24 56:7,7 79:10 100:9,10 114:13 127:1,2,5 moneys 100:19 **monitor** 76:22 monitored 76:17 monitoring 29:18 44:8 76:19,20 month 25:6,7

20:3 21:21 23:23

monthly 25:5 90:16 months 13:16 22:22 morning 10:1 42:11 48:6 morphed 21:9 mother 93:24 **motion** 3:20,22 6:14 7:23 30:3 39:14 40:3 41:11,15,17 42:3 51:16 70:20,20 70:23 97:24 98:11 101:23 103:1,18,22 104:11 132:10 134:15 138:4,14 141:7,11,14 **motions** 6:23 70:1 motivation 32:5 114:4 move 6:24 9:25 16:22 92:4 102:20 103:22 114:1 132:4 137:10 moved 6:17.18 7:24 15:11 39:17,18 71:9 72:17,23 103:3,4 138:16 141:8 movement 79:22 **moving** 70:9,14 73:9 86:15 **MSD** 97:15 **MSL** 104:18 118:24 **muddy** 96:5 **multiple** 35:17 123:1 multiplier 127:22 N

NAKIA 2:11 name 42:12 96:11,11 **Nathalie** 2:3 5:24 30:14 33:3 57:20 67:24 70:4 97:21 120:5 **nature** 18:12 **nauseam** 96:24 **Naylor** 2:7 6:7,8 71:8 82:10.12.18.24 92:14,16 96:18 97:2 97:3,10,18 103:3,4 103:13 129:16,17 129:22,24 130:3,7 131:24 136:25 137:10,13 138:17

14:3,11,14,22 15:14

15:24 16:17,25 18:2

	Ī	i	l	ı
141:7	122:24	57:22 62:18 63:5	68:2,6,16 69:19	opportunity 42:13
nearly 56:19	notes 37:14 92:17,18	obvious 19:3	70:17,23 71:9,11	opposed 7:9 8:9,11
necessarily 33:19	142:9	obviously 20:18 35:1	72:17 73:5,24 74:10	32:19 40:1 42:1
35:8 45:15	notice 4:24 36:14	53:24 120:13	74:19 75:14,25 76:3	48:25 57:24 72:9
necessary 29:4 30:9	135:14,24	OCA 40:5 73:10	76:6,11 77:22 78:12	73:3,5 103:16 139:1
62:4 71:24 110:2,7	noticed 45:25 86:9	103:2	78:23 79:16 80:25	option 85:24 87:6,8
need 4:9 5:10 6:14,25	99:19 137:22	occasionally 20:6	84:22 86:16 87:21	optional 97:5,6 98:21
28:12 30:3 34:4,24	noticing 102:11	occur 30:24 60:3 88:4	88:13 89:19,23	100:15
39:14 50:1 51:16	notification 33:22	occurred 20:13	90:12 91:6 92:2	options 43:21
68:19 70:1 71:7	notified 34:1 64:1	107:22 116:13	93:12 94:22 96:21	Orange 21:18
72:8 80:17 85:9	118:20	117:7 138:13	96:22 97:2,17 99:3	order 3:4,7 12:13
97:23 98:5 102:25	Notwithstanding	occurs 69:8 97:14	99:7 102:8 103:4,18	43:23 46:14 57:22
103:8 104:9 116:13	113:3	OCTAVIA 2:13	104:7 105:1,21	63:5 69:11,18 88:2
136:5 137:1 140:23	November 7:21 8:5	October 25:13 129:3	106:13 109:21	96:2 102:12 106:21
141:11	58:24 60:18	offered 104:19,23	110:23 112:5,15,17	ordered 33:23
needed 61:20 79:14	number 10:2 12:20	office 2:8 11:22 21:9	113:23 114:7 115:8	orders 62:19 63:7
98:16 111:5,5	13:19 14:5,7,19,24	21:22 22:8 23:5	117:4 118:21	66:20 67:1,5,9,11
127:15,18 134:1	14:25 21:18 34:19	28:20 29:24 38:22	122:20,24 126:11	69:8
needs 30:2 35:8 61:6	39:14 40:4,5 41:17	65:10 73:17 88:24	129:10 131:21,21	organizational 28:17
61:7 70:2 91:20	42:4 44:24 50:22,23	90:16,25 91:13	132:3 138:18 139:3	original 44:24 45:13
121:11 132:18	52:25 55:3 57:21	120:20 123:8	140:21 141:4,8	50:4 67:16 86:13
negative 113:19	58:21,21 61:13 62:2	125:22 131:5,8	Okey-dokey 7:20	originally 11:23 37:2
115:18	62:16 63:13,22	137:19	135:7	70:8 127:10
negotiation 47:14	65:15,25 66:18,19	officer 105:4,15	Old 103:21	originated 61:13
54:3	68:11,12,14,23 70:6	117:11	Oleg 2:6 6:1 123:16	Osceola 21:14
negotiations 8:20 52:2 53:9	73:7,10 79:11	officers 35:20	Omar 2:16 115:2	outlined 43:9
	103:19 110:15	offices 87:16	omit 14:25	outside 112:23 116:21 121:2
nerves 53:15 net 110:21	121:9 125:14,17 132:19 133:17,18	officially 105:2 offset 91:24,25	on-line 44:6 126:17 127:24	outstanding 50:11,12
net 110:21 never 28:23 62:23	132:19 133:17,18	oh 3:4 6:21 9:6,6	once 23:5 78:2	57:16 58:14 67:7
63:17 65:21	133:19,20,20,21,21	10:19 11:1,5 15:16	116:10	overall 127:14
new 3:11,14 4:18	numbers 21:15 28:5	25:1 33:7,12 41:13	ones 28:12 35:6,7	139:18
12:7 37:25 48:7	110:16 111:1,18	73:24 83:9 91:6	111:18	overbilling 52:22
49:19,22 50:1 54:3	numerical 101:11	97:19 102:8 114:7	ongoing 48:15,21	59:15,24 61:11
54:3 115:25	nutshell 140:9	116:6 118:21 128:6	50:7 54:20 81:11	overbillings 59:20,22
night 96:7 102:15	nutshen 140.)	129:16,20,23	82:20	60:2,9
nine 62:10 80:16,22	0	OIG 3:25 118:14	open 29:14,16 34:9	overbills 58:22
140:5	obfuscation 118:4	125:17,24	61:24 62:8 68:24	overcharged 44:25
no-show 85:8	object 79:10	okay 3:3 4:4,7 5:7	71:3 72:22 134:13	overcharging 99:18
non-accountants	objective 139:23	6:22,25 7:11,24 8:4	opened 84:20	overlooked 86:8
66:10	objectives 139:9,13	8:23,25 9:7,11,17	opening 128:9	oversee 23:15
non-auditors 66:11	140:12,14	14:6,14 15:14 20:1	operate 108:7	overseeing 133:7
noncompliance 69:9	obligated 100:6	25:2 26:15,17 33:1	operating 19:22	oversight 87:19
normal 84:3,6 120:25	obligation 49:11	33:15 34:25 36:11	operational 128:23	overstaffed 128:13
normally 4:8 74:3	50:11 107:12	36:12 39:8 40:3	operations 2:11	overstaffing 128:12
84:9 114:9	108:15,22,25	41:9,10,16 42:3	43:24 48:7 112:23	owe 51:24 54:2 55:24
Northeast 97:12	obligations 43:13	44:18,23 48:3,5,14	opine 112:22	owed 51:25 57:1
Notary 142:4	50:12	49:4,24 52:7 53:20	opinion 40:18 119:19	72:22
note 16:21 76:12	obsolete 78:5	54:2,16 55:14,16	125:3	owes 50:25 56:7
85:19 140:22	obtain 29:21	57:19 58:5 60:23	opinions 112:22	owing 49:16 56:16
noted 43:8,17 77:8	obtaining 36:16	64:20 66:9 67:17,24	opportunities 136:13	
<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
		-	•	•

	59:22 66:18 121:7	122:13	125.0 127.0 120.6	nwonowod 59:22
P	parties 127:12		125:8 127:8 128:6 131:21 133:7	prepared 58:23 109:14
p.m 1:14 141:19	partnership 42:16	personnel 43:21 44:4 132:20		
pace 54:8	partnership 42.10 pass 19:1 23:4 32:7	perspective 22:1 45:5	pointed 125:9 points 109:12,22	preparing 122:12 prerogative 121:16
packed 3:13	70:1 138:4	80:25 114:7 115:25	124:16	prescribe 132:24
packet 126:17 132:5	passes 73:5 103:18	pertained 107:21	policies 136:10	133:18
page 11:17 12:18	Pat 30:7	111:14	140:25	prescribed 18:3
14:6 44:23 47:17	pay 56:7,20 65:17	pertaining 85:2	policy 5:9 19:10	present 123:2,7
48:20 54:18 57:21	87:25 88:2 89:4,11	pertinent 102:3	22:11 24:19,21,24	130:15
58:20 64:17,17,23	93:20,22 95:7,17,18	106:11	28:9 30:10 76:14,23	
65:22,24 66:19 68:3	95:19 97:4 98:16	Peter 2:7 6:11	76:24 78:13 79:7	presentation 119:19 125:25 126:7
68:6,12 69:1 74:8	99:1 100:6,16	Phillips 47:2,12 48:17	81:3 83:3 93:17,18	presented 58:24
74:16 77:5,7 84:22	107:19 109:6	50:3 51:9 54:23		117:9 126:19
84:25 85:16,18	114:15 127:3	72:21	95:15 96:15 99:9,14 136:14 140:20	
110:4 119:2 129:21				pressure 40:23 41:4
129:22	payable 88:25 107:7	Phillips' 71:2 phones 20:15	pool 135:6	presumably 119:3
pages 68:9,9,22	paying 83:13,15,19 86:24 87:2 88:13	Phyllis 6:9	pop 58:7	presume 117:10 pretty 42:9 121:11
paid 56:24 65:21 69:5	99:4 107:24	pick 93:22 94:13 95:7	popped 79:24	133:14
69:12,13 77:9 82:3	payment 57:23 69:16	95:10	pops 62:14	previous 14:14 65:11
85:10,11,12 88:8	78:4 79:25 82:1	picked 53:7	population 13:11	112:3
89:6,13 91:23 93:25			portion 114:17 116:21 117:4	
95:12 107:12,16	107:18 108:2,2	picking 101:6	116:21 117:4	previously 135:16 price 92:7
123:5	114:14 126:9,9	piece 29:18 54:22 Piggybacking 83:11		price 92:7 pricing 43:1,4,5
painstakingly 45:6	pays 123:3	000	position 109:24 110:21 119:8 134:7	
paint 93:22 94:14	PBS 110:13	place 18:17 30:9 31:24 37:12 47:24	135:4	pride 42:15
95:7	pending 46:8 108:12 penny 80:19,21	72:7,9 87:20 90:18		primarily 128:21 principal 22:20 24:8
painting 95:21	penny 80.19,21 people 30:6 33:16	100:16 128:10	positions 38:23 117:25 119:21	34:12 77:12 78:2,15
papers 114:12	60:25 68:9 72:6	placed 44:12	132:23,25 133:17	78:18,21 80:9,14
paragraph 64:22,24	76:18 96:1 97:7,22	places 72:5 74:15	133:19	81:6 82:14
parent 95:11	104:8 120:19	plan 9:20 29:5 31:23	possible 23:8 31:4	principals 22:10,11
parent/student 43:15	126:22 137:2	40:7 41:19 107:18	39:6 52:11 56:23	24:3 81:8 121:21
parents 20:13 99:23	percent 14:16 15:25	117:21 132:12	102:2	140:2
99:25	16:10 31:16 32:1,10	136:25 140:13,15		
park 95:16	34:21 65:13 77:3	planning 4:7 28:11	possibly 127:15 potentially 96:25	principles 136:13 printed 73:23
parking 93:16,19,21	113:16 139:9	80:22 128:13	power 131:6	*
94:8,10 95:5,15	perform 38:4 112:20	140:23	powers 127:15	prior 37:13 45:2,5,19 50:3 51:5,5,15
96:12 97:13 98:20	performance 134:9	plans 126:18	practicable 127:6	54:19 62:18 63:1,4
99:8 100:16,19	performed 38:6	play 76:4,24 119:6	practice 75:18,23,24	69:7,11,12,15,17
101:4,6,8 102:7	135:5	please 3:8 8:6 62:12	76:1 81:2,4 84:2	71:1 72:20 85:24
part 17:9 46:11,12	period 11:24 13:15	105:8 122:3	87:11 91:8,9 101:3	87:6,8 113:6,13
47:20 49:2,11,14,19	15:17,19 51:5	pledge 3:8,10 4:14	136:15	116:25 132:12
49:20 52:18 56:9	107:11	plus 14:3 77:10,23	practices 63:4	Pritykina 2:11 11:18
66:6 81:10,12 82:2	periodic 21:25	PO 67:7	precise 112:6	11:21,21 14:6,13,23
91:4 96:12 104:20	periodic 21.23 periods 15:23 43:17	point 21:21 32:5	preface 9:22,24	15:16 17:6 19:14
111:8 124:17	45:2	34:10 35:13 36:18	preference 75:7	35:25 36:11
139:16	permission 92:9	54:14 68:19 71:14	preferred 135:24	private 77:15
partial 128:25	person 24:25 44:7	74:19 83:25 91:5	136:2 137:8	private 77.13 privy 123:9
participant 112:25	57:4 81:18,19,22,23	95:14 100:4 101:14	preliminary 12:10	proactive 43:20
participating 128:21	81:23 119:4 134:24	104:17 113:12,14	128:1 132:12	probably 30:16 31:9
particular 28:8 51:6	137:20,23	117:20 120:8	premises 112:10	57:1 87:12 90:5
65:13 89:3	personally 25:4	123:21 124:22	prepare 122:16	96:10 98:7 111:10
particularly 31:7	personany 25.4	123.21 124.22	prepare 122.10	70.10 70.7 111.10
	I	I	I	I

p.
1010110
136:2 140:23
problem 18:12 31:24
39:12 58:4 81:10
135:23 136:3
problematic 29:7
procedure 136:15
procedures 91:17
136:18,20
· ·
proceedings 3:1
142:7
proceeds 85:12
process 9:19 10:3,7
10:14 15:11 16:4,8
17:10,16 24:24
29:22 31:20 33:23
37:25 38:14,21
39:15 40:12 53:8
69:9 76:2 80:18
82:22 126:10
processed 85:20
processes 39:3 44:10
80:12 133:3,11
procurement 2:18
46:11 63:17
producing 40:16
115:24
professionals 17:18
27:5 35:20
program 11:3 38:25
84:16
progress 28:18,24
29:15
prohibits 31:15
prom 92:4,7,21 93:6
93:13
promotion 133:1,21
prompted 133:10
promptly 104:24
properly 122:15
property 22:13 23:21
proposed 45:10
132:16 133:13
proposing 135:13
136:24
provide 16:14 27:8
27:11,12 35:18
46:17,19 53:5 93:5
112:22 113:4
120:22 125:3
131:16 132:25
140:6
170.0

provided 16:10 28:5 37:1,2,9,15 43:4,11
93:1 104:24 106:5 110:7 116:18
117:10 123:18 124:11 126:17 130:19
providing 22:9 43:14
43:22 44:13 113:2 118:16 127:13
public 2:22 3:16 7:13 7:17 42:10,17 44:14
55:6 65:8 135:17,25 137:6 142:5
Puleri 45:1 55:12,15 55:20 56:3 57:1
59:1,25 60:6,7,10 60:13,13,15,20,20
60:25 pull 13:22 15:2 38:21
62:16 90:5 105:8 106:13 129:20 135:8
pulled 15:17,22 36:2 36:5 128:18
pulling 38:17 Punzi 11:4
PUNZI-ELABIARY 2:17 11:9,15 25:3
27:2,7,23 28:7 33:15 34:22 35:13
purchase 57:21,22
62:17,18 63:4,5,7 64:5 65:19 66:20
67:1,4,9,11 69:7,7 69:11,17,18 81:3
purchased 64:13 96:6 purchases 43:15,19
purchasing 46:17 48:2 65:4,5 78:13
79:7 81:10,12 83:3
purely 82:6 purpose 76:18 85:13
86:22,23 87:4 purposes 119:19
140:20 pursuant 105:19
purview 118:14,18 129:7,9
10511011

put 3:5 4:1 31:4

35:10 74:3 106:2

116:9 127:24 128:16 130:12 131:14 139:11 140:14 **putting** 135:23 139:21 **PWS** 44:4 67:2 O **Q4** 40:7 41:19 qualification 119:10 qualifications 132:24 133:18 135:4,12,14 138:15 qualified 137:20 qualifying 21:24 quality 42:24 **quarter** 11:24 12:19 13:2,7,16,25 14:7,8 14:20,20,24,25 15:3 15:3 23:20 quarterly 22:15 23:13 28:4 29:15 **question** 19:6 26:23 31:4 33:10 34:16 36:14,17 39:9 41:2 46:12 51:23 52:4 56:13 58:8 63:12,20 64:9 67:25 68:18,25 75:21 77:17 78:24 79:4,5 80:8 82:12 83:9,12,17 93:19 96:10 97:3 129:17 **questions** 10:13.16.25 16:23 26:19 40:8 44:21 46:5 53:10 55:1 57:7,11 58:6 70:12,19 76:8 78:16 83:17 84:5,21 116:3 116:11 137:17 quick 13:4 16:23 31:10 126:14 132:4 134:13 138:9 quicker 16:13 38:15 quickly 30:15 39:5 70:14 138:11 quite 66:1 79:22 **quorum** 69:23 70:18 96:25 104:9,10 131:22 141:9,17

R **R** 1:23 2:16 142:4,14 **raises** 34:17 ran 11:23,25 12:4,6 13:6 randomly 12:25 19:13 reach 120:23 reached 93:3 read 13:9 15:14 68:4 102:14 113:25 120:9 reader 119:15 readily 38:9 reading 24:25 64:10 72:7,14,14,15 ready 6:14 33:2 73:15 126:1 reaffirm 44:16 real 30:14 31:3 132:4 134:13 138:9 reality 135:8,9 realize 17:1 realized 36:25 realizing 10:17 really 18:16 35:11 51:14 65:2 91:17 111:5 125:1 126:12 127:10 138:10 reappointed 5:10 reason 16:21 28:9 52:18 56:20 59:12 77:14 85:25 88:1 112:4,17 128:18 135:1 reasonable 18:7 reasonableness 18:23 reasons 20:16 29:10 Rebecca 4:21 receipt 94:23 107:19 receipts 79:21 81:19 93:25 receive 74:17 82:2 88:3,6 89:1,2 received 69:6,17 74:13 92:22 104:25 106:8.22 117:6 120:22 125:20 receives 88:10 receiving 27:13 92:25 recess 73:13

recipient 40:23 recited 3:10 **recognition** 107:3,11 108:5,8 recognize 70:6 108:22,25 recognized 108:15 111:23 recognizes 107:4 recommendation 16:6 22:6 24:2,22 28:19,25 29:3,6,9 29:10,16 57:4 65:16 72:1 85:15 92:13 125:4 recommendations 29:13,14 71:17,20 118:12 121:3 123:20 135:2 136:18 recommended 38:11 140:1 recommending 53:5 134:4 recommends 22:10 reconcile 15:20 reconciliations 80:5 99:11 **record** 20:6 23:24 26:19 56:18 65:7 74:14 108:17 110:8 116:17 118:1 142:8 recorded 25:6 117:1 **recording** 10:21 33:5 113:15 117:7,8 records 26:3 **recoup** 49:17 rectify 65:10 66:7 recurring 76:13 84:23,25 90:15 92:6 102:7 **Red** 84:2 reduced 50:5 refer 118:9 131:7 referenced 59:8 68:12 91:7 references 36:15 106:11 referendum 107:21 111:20 114:12

referred 68:11

quoting 106:25

_
referring 33:20 64:21
80:10 105:5 122:6
122:18
reflect 115:24 119:21
reflected 42:20 74:14
117:17
reformatted 115:23
refrain 113:2
refresh 130:2
refresher 25:11
regalia 43:10
regard 41:5 52:22
83:23
regarding 10:7,14
21:23 24:24 71:3
105:16 121:8
regardless 75:8 80:17
regional 47:7 87:15
regionals 104:3,4,6
regular 80:6
regulations 136:11
regulatory 108:13
reimburse 90:1
reimbursements 92:8
reiterate 98:12
rejected 29:6,8
relate 55:3 60:11
78:12
related 3:19 29:8
43:15 58:25 60:9,9
72:21
relates 96:15 139:6
relating 136:19
relation 59:15,23
60:6 66:14,18
-
relationship 45:12
60:19
relevant 5:8 109:6
relied 124:21
rely 32:8 72:5 123:25
remain 131:11
remainder 139:10
remaining 136:10
remains 44:12 136:8
remember 50:21 79:2
94:6 103:24 130:9
134:23
remind 24:19 49:15
reminded 49:25
reminder 98:10
remit 88:7
1

remittal 89:17,20 90:9
remittals 90:18
remittance 85:19,22
87:7 89:20 90:4,8
90:18 91:10,12,16
remittances 90:14
remitted 85:21 89:12
91:25
remitting 91:14,19
remove 6:20 134:5
Removing 6:22
renewed 77:19 78:10
renewing 77:13,15
renting 94:9
reoccurring 81:11
rep 9:14
repeat 9:8 41:1 64:9
76:21
repetitive 75:15
report 10:1 11:18
12:5,6,8 23:7,13,14
25:25 27:20 28:16
28:18,19,24 32:20
36:1 40:24 42:7,21
44:7 47:17,20,21
48:1 54:10 58:21
59:6,8 71:1 72:18
72:19 73:20 103:20
110:3 116:2,8 140:8
142:7
reported 13:10 19:11
19:11,17 20:8,8,20
32:12
Reporter 1:23,23
2:20 142:4,14
REPORTER'S 142:1
reporting 1:24 2:15
2:20 16:18 19:15
29:23 36:2 43:2,12
43:16 114:2 115:6
116:16,21 121:2
134:25 136:1,17,20
137:7 138:23
reports 16:12 19:7
21:14 26:24,25,25
27:1,16,18,19,19,22
27:23 29:15 32:15
32:24 36:19 39:4

40:16,24 43:18 45:5

58:23 60:18 67:16

E4 40 442 42
71:19 113:18
115:10,22 123:25
represent 139:9
representative 9:5
121:14 125:23
representatives 8:22
represented 103:25
representing 109:17
122:11
request 38:13 63:15
83:23 95:20 104:13
105:20 106:9,14
120:21
requested 12:14 37:3
37:15 42:8 43:14
110:24,25
requesting 16:9
require 23:12 108:8
required 12:14 17:10
22:21 34:3,4 35:22
37:11 43:12 95:6
97:4 109:3 132:19
135:18 136:3
140:13
requirement 16:13
38:10,12 90:1 134:5
134:7,23 135:10
137:5 138:15,22
requirements 43:25
84:3 136:9
requires 18:20
101:16 134:8
requiring 137:11
requisition 65:20
69:17
requisitions 69:7
research 52:25
116:19
residing 86:10
resigning 5:2,4
resigns 5:9
resolution 48:22,22
54:21
resolve 34:24 45:8,18
45:20 63:3,8 70:14
resolved 36:18 45:2,3
45:4,17,18,23 50:23
52:5 54:5,5,9,14,15
58:3,12 59:11,12,24
60:1 61:19 62:23,24
63:2 65:25 67:12

70:16 117:15 118:23 122:2 resource 127:21 resources 31:13 32:17 38:21 44:6 114:10 respectfully 120:21 **respond** 22:20 25:1 50:13 67:23 68:19 68:24 122:3 responding 25:1 **response** 3:19 5:19 6:10 7:4,10 8:3,10 39:22 40:2 41:23 42:2 44:22 70:22 72:25 73:4 74:13,21 74:22,23 76:10 85:14,16,17 92:12 98:13 103:11,17 104:3,13 105:2,4,9 105:22 106:14,15 106:16,22 109:9 112:18 125:11 138:20 139:2 responses 8:16 10:12 22:9 30:4 68:13 71:16 72:2,11 74:18 104:11.14 106:9 responsibility 61:2 120:15 121:17 132:21 134:10 responsible 19:15 61:3 74:8 119:4 132:22 133:7,12 134:16 136:8 139:11 responsive 43:23 restricted 138:1 resuming 5:16 retain 138:14,21 **retake** 85:7,9 89:10 retakes 89:9,10,12,14 retention 43:2,12,13 retesting 85:19 retrain 22:22 25:7,16 retrained 25:10 retraining 25:8 retrieve 47:24 returned 12:8 revealed 85:2 **Revenue** 92:24 93:4

revenues 107:5 review 12:16,20 13:2 22:11.15.16.18.21 23:21,21,25 24:3 25:17 26:4 30:23 31:17 35:14 47:19 47:22 63:7 66:17 67:8,11 75:10 77:8 79:24 80:6 106:6 reviewed 22:17 24:13 25:20 28:17 31:8 59:9 63:16 119:3 reviewers 17:16 **reviewing** 30:18,24 31:2 reviews 17:17,17 revise 135:2 revised 87:17 revisiting 131:9 rewarding 55:23 RFP 49:22 rhetorical 56:12 **Rhodes** 2:9 4:6,17,21 4:24 5:7,11,15,18 5:20,22,24 6:1,3,5,7 6:9,11 7:18 8:13,15 9:3,8,12,22 19:20 19:22 22:25 24:14 29:20 31:9 32:4 41:7 46:10,16,22 49:5 50:13,15 51:3 52:1,14,16 55:8 57:3 71:4.11.12 72:1 74:12,25 75:3 75:6,21 77:24 83:7 83:21,23 96:17,18 98:8,10 100:24 101:1 103:8 104:1,2 104:16 105:6,11,14 105:25 114:21,23 115:2 118:15 125:17,20 126:6,13 127:8 128:14 129:15 130:9,14 132:2 138:12 139:4 139:8 140:11 141:3 **Riggs** 42:5 51:10,11 132:16 133:13 **right** 3:11 4:11 5:14 6:13 8:11 10:21,24 11:5,5 13:12 18:25

24:6,23 31:13 34:23 **saying** 5:5 18:19 90:23 106:23,24 senior 94:13,16,25 70:16 104:6 111:5 36:7 38:1.9 39:13 23:23 24:8 30:5 127:24 100:8 128:3 115:25 36:7 45:16 50:22 sense 22:9 118:22 40:25 41:14 47:15 scroll 91:1 133:8 showing 105:7 51:24 54:14 55:18 51:1 53:23 80:15 scrolling 62:24 sent 8:22 20:17 46:25 111:13 58:16 64:18 68:6 86:17 87:1 95:4 se 1:12 91:17 47:1 75:1,5 97:24 **shown** 111:8 70:17 72:4 73:16 123:17 127:20 **second** 6:25 7:1,2,25 105:23 106:8 shows 126:18 74:2 79:19 82:23,25 134:14 135:1 9:25 39:19,20 41:20 109:15 134:12,19 shun 24:21 94:8 99:7 101:14 says 13:13 14:22 42:6 46:12,25 59:17 **sentence** 86:18 87:9 **shuts** 5:3 102:25 103:14,21 22:17 24:3 28:24 69:19 70:25 71:7,8 separate 58:23 61:5 **Shutts** 2:23 104:8 111:7,15,16 46:7 64:10,15 87:5 72:19 94:4 96:14 64:15 69:4 71:19 side 8:14 9:11,13 84:7 94:12 100:18 124:15,25 125:7,14 92:13 95:15 99:15 98:20 100:6.7.15 128:9 126:11 132:3 99:22 109:13 110:1 103:5 105:8 138:17 serve 96:1 sidetracked 3:24 **Riley** 30:7 110:7 112:18 seconded 8:1 41:21 service 1:24 25:15 signed 77:12 78:18 **rise** 3:8 115:17 135:14 71:9,10 72:23 103:6 42:24 43:23 44:13 **signing** 54:13 81:9 risks 133:25 similar 23:19 67:14 scenarios 21:1 Secretary 2:12 services 2:18 62:18 **Robert** 2:3 6:3 **section** 70:13 112:19 118:17 126:10 71:20 102:13 **scheme** 79:21 role 42:17 **school** 1:3 9:19 10:3 sections 110:17 125:9 127:14 **simple** 53:18 servicing 60:16 **simply** 32:20 97:20 roll 4:15 18:9 20:13 22:11 security 27:24 **rolling** 25:14 26:1,5,9 27:3,6,7,13 see 4:9 8:23 9:4 10:7 sessions 44:5 121:22 Romaneir 8:19 27:25 30:20,25 13:10 18:6 19:18 set 20:24 72:13 75:17 sing 120:3 single 30:18 81:13 105:16 33:24 34:14 39:15 20:19 27:16,17,18 114:13 sir 82:11 83:22 room 1:11 125:24 40:7 41:19 43:6.16 45:15 59:9 61:9 sets 122:9 **routine** 29:23 43:20 44:3 48:10 65:1,2,3 71:23 74:2 settle 108:19,21 sit 137:23 57:15 59:10,13,15 76:4 80:1 82:7,9 settled 107:24 109:6 **sitting** 57:6 116:8 row 5:6 rule 35:22 59:18,21 60:3,4,4 85:14 87:11 92:12 **settlement** 47:5,14 123:17 92:19 98:1,15,25 53:9 99:6 105:17 run 12:11,22 92:3 69:6 77:5,9 79:8 **situation** 47:4 53:19 **Ruth** 2:4 4:19 8:1 56:5 59:25 123:11 80:17,23 85:6,10 101:24 106:4 106:12 107:7 53:19 60:23 87:14 88:2,10 93:24 108:13 114:23 108:12,20,24 situations 32:6 **Rvan** 74:25 94:19 95:2,11,16 116:2,20 133:24 111:20 119:16 six 10:9 13:16 22:22 97:12 99:6,10 134:19,20 settlements 119:12 66:20,25 67:12 \mathbf{S} 102:10 103:12 **seeing** 76:13 seven 30:20 126:20 126:20 133:21 **SAC** 130:5,7 105:16 111:20 seek 137:18 126:20 size 11:20 13:22 **SAF** 130:3,7 112:21 129:19 seen 28:1 48:18 94:14 **share** 25:16 28:2.2 skill 134:3 safe 21:10.22 23:6 130:7 132:21 134:5 100:14,18,23 106:1 54:17 66:23 90:23 **slate** 118:16 97:1 136:14 114:11,12 129:5 91:4 106:23 114:25 **slated** 48:9 safety 21:8 27:24 school's 96:11 133:5 130:21 slightly 19:22 sales 92:20,20 93:14 **sharing** 10:17,19 schools 16:14 21:10 sees 26:23,24 27:19 **slows** 16:8 salutatorian 43:11 21:22 23:6 25:14 27:21,24,25 **Shaw** 6:9 **smaller** 15:10 **sample** 11:16,20,23 37:17 42:17 44:14 select 9:23 13:12 She'll 20:1 **smell** 19:1 11:25 12:4,23 13:6 55:6 64:11,12 73:11 **Smith** 75:2,24 76:2 14:16 shell 55:24 13:22 15:10 31:12 73:18 74:5,9 77:2 **selected** 12:24 73:11 **SHIM** 2:16 115:4,7 86:2,4 88:1,12,15 samples 13:12 14:2 84:23 85:25 86:14 73:18 103:2 115:10,12,19 88:18 92:22 15:18 32:1 34:21 **self** 67:2 87:13 89:1,2,3 **shipping** 65:18,21 **snip** 110:18 sampling 84:1 91:17 93:23 95:12 self-correct 66:1 66:15,21 67:8,10 social 20:18 25:23 **SAP** 110:22 97:10,11,14 102:14 self-corrected 65:20 **shirts** 96:6,9 98:23 **software** 38:1 77:10 satisfies 67:18 103:2 107:25 sell 56:10,14,14 **shop** 128:10 77:23 78:5 82:5,13 save 127:1.5 **scope** 84:7 132:13,14 sells 56:11 **short** 28:12 47:3 82:17 saved 74:15 110:13 132:16 133:13 semantics 80:14 73:12,17 sole 55:16 **saving** 40:11 134:15 135:5 semiannual 23:14 **short-term** 116:21 solid 53:11 saw 16:6 19:17 70:8 screen 10:17 19:24 sending 51:7 68:21 117:2 solution 48:25 78:3 92:18 128:19 24:23 54:17 66:23 117:21 show 20:20 54:17 somebody 20:20

	1	1		1
22:16 30:17 61:6	spending 63:6 80:16	111:23 119:18	subsequent 68:15	63:10
100:2 135:19	spikes 16:3	statements 109:14	subsequently 60:20	
something's 54:11	sponsor 99:21,24	110:4,9,17,20	113:3	
song 120:2	spot 93:22 94:13 95:7	116:23 117:9 118:5	succession 140:13,15	table 61:18 72:12
soon 64:2 126:2	95:8,10,20 97:8	119:16 122:13	suddenly 93:15	137:22
sorry 9:8 11:6 14:25	101:6,15	stating 86:5	sufficiently 71:19	tabs 98:2 99:10
27:14 28:7 30:12	staff 2:8,14 3:18 5:11	status 29:24 51:14	suggest 53:13 121:6	tackle 140:25
33:12,16 41:1,13,13	9:2 22:17,18,20	59:11 73:19 103:20	121:12 137:8	take 10:13 25:21,25
51:8 64:8,19,24	27:18 45:7 76:16,19	statute 109:15 132:19	suggests 45:11	38:7 62:22 90:18
65:22 66:24 68:8	76:19,20 91:11 96:6	134:17	suit 21:3	119:7 124:6 135:13
83:10 89:2 97:19	104:12,15 105:2	Statutes 112:19	Suite 1:24	136:6,21 138:3
105:6 106:8 129:16	106:14,16,20	stay 56:22	summarize 109:24	140:18
sort 30:3 37:1 45:12	112:10,13,15	stays 138:2	summarizes 102:12	takeaway 98:25
99:5 114:3	114:23 115:1 121:7	stead 8:22	summary 49:14,20	taken 28:18 29:2,12
sorts 81:24	121:7 122:6 123:8	stenographic 1:23	102:12 134:11	30:15 73:13
sound 46:22	123:18,23 125:12	142:9	superintendent 11:7	takes 16:5 38:24,25
sounded 106:25	125:24 128:15,20	stenographically	23:7,15 27:16,24	42:15 79:23
139:20	134:4,25 140:17	142:7	28:3,20	talk 23:16 28:10
sounds 70:13 89:24	staffing 127:25	steps 23:10 31:9	superintendents 47:8	32:16 46:11 48:3
100:2	standard 75:18,22,24	32:10 43:20 126:3	supervisor 93:4	57:20 85:1 96:24
sources 123:19	75:25 81:2,3 84:2	stick 138:1	support 2:12 9:20	116:13 136:16
South 1:24	91:8,9 101:3 136:15	Stoneman 21:8	35:18 40:6 41:18	138:6
space 94:9 101:8	standards 108:14	stop 82:13,16,18	43:2,19 77:2,10,23	talked 81:1 95:22
speak 7:15 17:9	standpoint 16:18	83:12,18	85:17 92:20 116:20	123:20 130:23
34:14 104:15	18:18 83:3 119:11	stopped 63:25 83:1	117:8 121:22	talking 39:10 46:20
113:19 114:11	128:22	strengthen 44:11	128:20 140:10	51:1,4 120:1
130:17	stands 4:5	strides 70:7	supported 44:4	talks 129:18
speaker 3:16 42:10	start 9:23,24 23:10	strong 139:23	supporting 62:4	target 29:5
speakers 2:22 7:17	35:5 37:10 38:17	stronger 86:6,8	104:21	task 38:6 109:18
speaking 31:18 68:10	74:12 76:6,11 84:22	structure 113:1	supposed 20:15 23:20	135:22
93:23 116:19	129:6	student 9:20 12:9,12	31:21 69:9,10 86:11	Task-Assigned 2:11 tasked 36:24
speaks 134:23	started 9:18 60:12	25:21,22,23 40:6	96:13 100:3	tasks 38:4
Spec 2:13	73:19 95:13 102:10	41:18 43:18 79:11	sure 9:13 10:2,22	
special 28:12 111:21	102:11 132:15	88:4 93:18,24 95:11	13:24 16:24 20:7	tassels 43:5
111:25 128:2	starting 106:3	101:12	24:17 30:18 31:5	tax 92:20,21 93:14 tax-free 93:11
140:24	starts 34:1 63:1	students 27:11 35:15	46:13 50:14 62:3	taxable 92:25 93:6
Specialist 2:12	state 3:19 17:10	42:18,25 44:17	66:1 71:21 74:14	taxes 43:6
specific 7:14 29:9	21:10 25:10 27:11	79:11 85:7 88:2,3	77:25 93:14 98:2	teachers 25:25
59:13 86:23 90:6,17	33:21 35:22 65:6	88:11 97:4,11 100:6	99:24 100:7 104:22	teaching 44:5 88:21
94:9 101:5 125:9,9	104:12 105:4,10,19	100:11	115:15 118:24 122:4 124:11 127:5	103:25
132:20,21 134:7	105:22 106:17	stuff 53:14 56:15		team 17:13,14 18:19
specifically 16:21	107:19 109:9,13	130:16 subcontractors 61:3	138:3	25:4,5,14,14 26:2,6
43:1 59:13,19,19	112:18 113:9,25		survey 139:15 140:2 suspect 93:13	26:7,9,11,12 27:4,8
66:14 94:15 99:12	114:1,6 117:18,19	subject 111:14	_	33:18,20 34:1,7
133:6 134:8	117:22 119:3	subjective 18:2 submitted 29:15	suspension 133:1,21 switched 10:20	35:14,18,19 38:6
specified 32:3 specify 134:1 136:5	122:11 131:7 133:23 135:18	43:17	switched 10:20 sworn 128:6	41:4,4 49:7 113:1
specifying 137:5	142:2,5	submitting 49:21	system 2:12 12:6 13:3	teams 2:5,10 9:16
speed 50:2	stated 125:2	subpoena 127:15	13:5 15:5,6,7 16:8	10:18,21 25:20 33:4
speed 50.2 spend 63:15 102:4,17	statement 29:9 47:11	131:6	36:17 37:13	33:8,13 34:2,2
127:2 140:4	65:9 86:2 110:20,21	subscription 77:10	systemic 44:8 58:4	96:20 132:13
127.2 170.7	00.7 00.2 110.20,21	sabscription //.10	Systemme TT.0 JU.T	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>

Tech 78:12 79:9 37:6 38:15 61:15 **three** 5:5 43:8 69:13 14:3,4,7,7,9,12,21 119:11 138:8 142:8 80:25 82:1 62:8 75:16 79:22 78:15 99:5,5 104:20 14:24,25 93:25 trust 44:12 **Technical** 77:4 82:20 84:14 86:7 102:2 128:19 133:19 126:21 **try** 33:24 116:15 technicality 63:24 106:11 115:20 139:8,13 totally 122:21 119:25 technically 55:18,20 116:13 127:3 three-page 104:17 touch 140:25 **trying** 13:4 21:20 56:3 136:21 105:5 track 30:8 49:5 33:7,13 37:4 39:4 **tracked** 84:18 101:10 **Ted** 106:10 think 4:25 8:23 10:9 three-quarters 97:13 45:8,17,20 49:5 tell 94:22,24 111:18 19:12 22:3 23:1,3 threshold 63:17,23 101:13 61:5 71:13 74:23 130:14 137:16 24:20 26:23 28:11 64:2 113:17 tracking 30:4 44:8 79:7 80:4 86:17 **telling** 57:14 30:2,17,25 31:19 **Thursday** 1:14 3:6 train 140:16 87:4,21 91:10 32:16 35:3 40:14 tells 73:20 24:9.10 trained 11:10.11.12 101:15 102:18.19 **template** 110:12 42:8 46:14 54:9 ticket 92:7 93:10 17:18 25:11 39:1 105:7 126:8 131:9 56:1,12,17 57:14 ticketed 93:9 **training** 22:19 23:22 132:7 140:3 111:4 tentative 104:4 126:4 61:9,15,25 62:11,25 tickets 92:21 93:13 24:8,11 25:11,15 **Tuesday** 4:3 138:13 tentatively 48:9 63:19 65:2 67:24 tie 28:6 71:13 133:6 38:24 43:3 44:1,5 turn 16:12 48:2 91:22 terminated 60:19 70:5 74:16 75:9 133:11 turned 130:11 TILLMAN 2:12 terms 36:8 42:22 78:5 79:19 82:15 transaction 77:11 Turso 2:7 6:11,12 51:14 96:15 104:12 85:5 86:4 90:9 **TIM** 2:20 transcript 142:8 41:20,21 52:8 53:21 119:13 91:20 92:14,16 time 7:15 11:12 12:2 transfer 27:12 54:16,24,25 55:16 test 19:1 96:24 99:20,23 12:2,6,19 13:20 transferred 86:11 55:22 56:5,14 57:12 **tested** 10:8 100:12 104:9 108:3 15:12 33:25 38:7,24 transitions 132:15 two 9:19 10:8 14:11 109:2,4,18 110:24 38:25 45:13 47:11 translate 86:18 15:21 16:23 32:14 testing 16:23 17:1,2 81:19,24,24 85:3,20 111:12,15,17 59:3 61:15,19 62:22 translates 86:19 38:19,22 45:5 46:5 86:21 87:23 113:25 114:5 119:2 65:3 68:17 72:3 **transmit** 39:14 41:11 51:13 52:13 58:23 thank 3:13 4:17 119:4 120:16 121:1 73:22 75:10,12 64:4 67:1,16 71:19 41:15,17 50:21 11:14 13:8 20:11 121:9,20 122:17 76:21 78:15 91:21 61:25 62:2,3 70:1,9 75:4 76:9 80:11 91:23 92:3 102:4,17 25:3 34:23,24 39:7 123:16,20 124:6,9 70:21,24 72:17 82:15 86:18 104:3 39:8 41:9 42:13 124:14,22,24 127:5,9 128:16 75:12 92:3 96:23 117:8,25 122:8,15 44:15,18,19 54:25 126:16 127:12,21 129:11 131:9 97:24 98:11 101:23 124:23 133:18,25 58:9 63:12 66:8,24 128:14,15,21 129:7 137:16 139:20 102:20 103:1,10 137:1 140:12 67:17 73:7 104:7 134:12,19 135:10 140:4 **transmittal** 91:12,16 **type** 20:25 54:6 113:23 115:13 137:10 138:2 141:8 timeframe 30:21 32:3 transmittals 90:5 127:18 122:23 139:3 thinking 9:10 50:24 42:8 **transmitted** 40:4 70:2 **typical** 84:17 140:21 141:6,18 72:6 141:2 timely 30:19 31:1,3,8 138:5 **typically** 26:4 34:6 **Thanks** 30:14 **third** 13:16,24 140:15 transmitting 87:18 108:7 119:12 32:12 132:7 theme 84:24 102:7 **thought** 4:22 11:7 times 16:3,15 20:12 transparency 42:23 U themes 76:13 84:25 13:9 14:14 15:14 83:20 101:11 43:3.19 ultimately 67:1,5 92:6 18:5,18,21 65:7 **timestamps** 37:7 38:4 treasurer's 90:16,24 139:15 140:3 theory 97:6 123:4 66:4 80:7 96:12 timing 107:2,14 91:13 **unable** 89:4 128:12 139:19 108:5,19 treasury 85:23 87:5,7 unanimously 42:4 **thereof** 142:9 thoughtfully 140:18 **Timothy** 1:23 142:4 87:15 90:11,12,20 73:6 103:19 thing 8:15 19:6 20:22 **thousand** 13:13,14 142:14 98:17.18 unavailable 15:6,7 22:7 54:2 57:13 **threat** 2:17 3:18 10:3 today 3:14 19:23 **treated** 21:2 121:12 unaware 93:17 61:12 82:7,21 84:17 11:10 13:17 17:8,10 32:15 treatment 45:10 uncertainty 108:19 93:15 95:10 98:5 today's 8:17 112:11 17:13,13 18:17 21:7 underlying 60:2 101:5 105:5 118:1,4 21:13,16,18 25:19 **told** 52:8 113:6 trial 85:1 87:22 understand 37:23 25:23 26:25 27:2,9 tool 4:3 138:12 tried 12:23 41:3 118:6,19 127:12,16 38:5 39:1 53:23 130:11 131:22 27:10,25 30:22 31:6 139:15 130:12 133:12 55:23 64:8 66:11 139:7 31:6,8 33:17 35:3,4 top 59:10 **trouble** 18:11 114:8 79:7 80:4 87:4,22 things 18:21 20:13 39:15 40:6 41:5,18 **topics** 90:7 117:24 91:18 95:6 102:16 21:6 28:14 32:7 threats 14:5,17 31:22 **total** 5:6 13:10,15,17 true 26:20 118:13

117:12 125:12 understanding 37:12 49:8 50:12 52:1,16 84:15 101:2 114:17 125:22 126:1 141:3 understood 104:22 126:6 underwater 135:20 unexpected 62:15 unfounded 12:5 18:24 **unit** 28:17 United 2:20 unqualified 134:3 **unresolved** 45:22,23 unwavering 44:11 upcoming 116:3 **update** 3:25 4:4,25 5:11 45:19 51:11,17 52:25 54:19 61:14 61:17 72:20 98:24 99:8 138:11 **updated** 68:13 72:10 75:19 76:1 103:11 132:14 updates 71:2 upgraded 35:7 upholding 44:12 **uploaded** 16:7 37:17 38:10 use 15:9 78:1 80:18 82:1 83:14 85:23 87:7 119:21 127:15 131:4,6 140:17 useful 82:14 83:2,18 user 64:1,12 66:7 usually 46:22 73:19 92:21 **utilized** 63:16

valedictorian 43:10 validity 22:24 value 42:24 124:6,7 139:18 **variety** 123:19 vehicle 61:12 76:16 **vendor** 36:24 45:12 55:2,4,9,17,23 60:14 65:10 66:7 67:5

verification 5:1 verified 43:7 52:17 verify 23:3,11 101:2 versa 26:8 36:10 version 111:2 versus 80:11 101:16 119:5 vet 16:5 21:1 vice 2:3 26:8 36:10 view 81:18,19,22,23 81:24 119:22 **viewed** 118:3 viewpoint 124:17 **violation** 82:22 84:12 131:3 violence 18:24 **virtue** 67:9 voice 67:22 120:2 volunteers 72:16 vote 137:1

W

wait 6:19 31:25 57:19 58:1 67:21 71:6 96:22 125:13 **waiting** 30:13 **walk** 101:16 walking 38:16 84:20 **Waller** 106:10 want 9:24 17:20 18:12 33:9 47:16 53:25 55:2 56:7,20 56:21,22 57:20,20 57:25 58:2 62:3 64:25 66:3 67:22 68:8 70:8 71:20 76:6 77:14 84:15 92:2 106:16 109:2 113:13 115:14 120:14 122:6,20 123:16 124:19 127:23 135:2 wanted 8:15 9:13 13:23 15:8,12 33:3 47:19 52:19 60:21 62:17 74:13,17 83:24 84:8 93:16 96:19 97:23 98:11 101:24 104:16,22 115:22,25 118:19 138:10 139:14

wanting 61:25 wants 137:24 Warehousing 2:18 wasn't 9:6 31:18 37:8 81:13 90:2 111:4 131:8,12 136:2 watch 34:3 35:21 water 116:15 way 5:1 12:7 15:9,22 19:12,18 20:9 22:13 26:18 29:20 49:25 52:24 53:14,16,24 53:25 55:25 58:22 61:20 63:16 64:4 68:17,21 98:21 101:17 102:2 106:18 122:18 126:6 ways 26:14 118:1 **we'll** 3:15,20,25 5:12 9:18 20:25 32:14 58:7 76:3 82:9 116:9 125:13 128:23 129:5,10 131:21 140:24 we're 4:13 19:22 20:16 22:4 24:20 25:7 26:7 28:11 34:5,7 38:12,16 39:3,3,10 40:5,16 40:17 46:14 50:21 51:25 55:23 56:5 57:9 61:5 65:3 68:17 70:9,17 72:7 72:16 73:9,14,15,16 77:25 83:25 84:20 86:4 94:9 98:25 102:19 110:25 111:19 112:8 115:15 117:15 120:7 121:9 123:7,9 123:24,24 124:22 126:24 127:2 128:25 132:6 133:24 139:4 141:9 141:17 we've 24:11 26:8 65:9 86:9 94:14 114:11 114:12 117:21

weaknesses 133:4 weaving 135:20 **Wednesday** 25:5 48:9 48:11,13 weeds 102:17 week 15:1 115:11 133:8,24 134:18 136:24 week's 113:17 115:16 134:4 weigh 118:10 120:4 124:23 weight 119:13,14 welcome 4:18 11:15 went 13:20 28:13 36:8 37:2,10 45:6 45:14 60:13 63:14 63:17,19 65:7 95:13 99:23,25 102:21 weren't 15:4 16:22 108:11 Western 74:14 93:24 96:4,5 97:15 98:13 98:23 103:9,11 what-is-manageme... 57:11 whatever's 26:3 Whichever 80:13 **WHITNEY** 2:16 **whoops** 132:6 willingness 118:9 wish 53:11 122:13 wonderful 33:6 **wondering** 58:2 63:9 word 45:23 words 18:8,23 19:8 work 16:4 23:10 33:16 36:24 40:17 49:6 50:12 52:19 114:12 126:8 129:6 134:15 135:5 worked 36:23 60:25 65:8,9 66:6 86:13 139:12 working 18:9 34:8 44:9 47:7 86:6 139:17 works 26:13 87:15 workshop 4:3 125:23

worry 97:11 worth 119:23 wouldn't 19:2 23:12 32:12 54:1 57:2 111:10 118:11 121:22 **WRIGHT** 1:11 write 52:5,12,20 writing 93:1 written 91:17 93:5 108:6 wrong 55:5 80:20 81:7 82:8 87:2 122:19 wrongdoing 52:22 **wrote** 86:3

X

X 21:15

Y **yeah** 4:24 5:15 6:21 10:19 11:2 14:13 18:2 19:21 23:18 24:1,5 32:21 33:7 40:21 53:22 57:18 58:5,6 60:24 62:20 67:24 74:6 79:1 81:17 82:16,18 83:17 92:18 98:1,4 101:20,20 102:16 103:13 106:14 118:21 124:1,14 126:15 134:21 137:13 140:22 year 3:11 4:18 9:20 10:3 39:5,16 40:7 41:19 42:23 43:6,16 48:7.15 54:7 59:10 59:14,15,18,21 60:4 60:4,15 69:6 73:21 77:16,16 78:11 79:21 80:16 81:7,9 81:14 83:12 107:8,9 107:13 108:16 109:1,7 112:9 114:16 116:25 122:9 128:25 129:2 129:5 141:5 year's 70:15 108:10 yearly 90:15

120:22 123:19,20

133:5

world 61:2

worried 9:6

					1
years 7:14 52:13	132:4	23 64:17,18,23 68:3,7	6 77:1 109:11 121:9		
56:19 64:4 77:19,19	132 :4 147 13:9 14:22 15:15	69:2	6,449 96:7		
82:15 83:20 87:11	14th 7:21 8:6 75:2,3	23-24 9:20 10:3 39:16	60 28:15 93:19,20,21		
87:19 90:2 99:5	103:11	40:7 41:19 60:4	94:1,4 95:16,18,18		
133:5	15 62:24	65:1	95:20,24 96:3,14,14		
	16 1:14 13:7 49:1	23rd 61:14			
yesterday 3:24			97:4 98:20 99:13,15		
125:20	62:24,25 65:15,25	24 32:25 34:7 71:2	99:17,23 100:1,5,7		
$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	66:19 85:16,18	72:21 109:7	100:7,15 101:3,5,7		
	16-052N 58:25 60:8	24-25 65:1 71:13	101:17 600 1:12		
0	16,000 45:7,15,16	249 96:6			
	46:6,11 50:6,25	25 128:6 139:9	63,000 45:15		
1	52:13 56:17,21,24	25.89 96:9	633 1:24		
1 25:12 104:10	61:12,16 63:20 71:3		640 128:25		
1,052 14:3,9	72:23	27th 142:10	6591 85:3		
1,275 13:19 14:4,8	16,000-plus 48:1	28 44:24 58:20	670,000 129:1		
1.3 129:4	16,325.66 61:18	3	7		
1/17/24 51:17	16th 3:6 6:15 7:6	3 11:24 12:19 13:2			
10 33:16 50:23 61:13	17 44:24 50:22 55:3	3 11:24 12:19 13:2 14:7,20,24 15:3	7 9:21 10:2 39:14		
73:10 77:19 103:1	58:6,21 59:9,10	, , ,	40:4 133:22 75 77:3		
103:19 114:16	17th 15:7 51:11 59:7	74:8 113:16	15 / /:3		
126:22	1981 30:10	3.0 25:24	8		
100 14:16 15:25	1985 30:11	30 43:6	8 9:21 40:5 41:17		
16:10 31:16 32:10	1st 11:24	300 13:13	74:5,5,6,7,7 77:1		
1001.42 132:19		300,000 40:14 55:7	80 79:20		
134:17	2 14:6,8 53:1 68:12	55:25 56:17 57:1	00 79.20		
1003 24:21 28:9	· ·	31 10:8	9		
76:24	110:4	31st 11:25	9 11:17 12:19 42:4		
1070 5:9	2/11 129:12	33 65:13,13 68:9,9,12	73:7		
10th 108:3	2/13 129:13	330,000 60:8	9,000 78:7,11 79:8,10		
11 31:25 33:15 34:21	20,000 47:7	33301 1:25	9,902 77:10		
69:4,13,16,22 77:19	20,553.18 85:4	34 68:9	9,912 83:20		
125:14 126:22	200 1:24	35 12:24 68:9	9:35 1:14 3:5,7		
11.45 112:19	2014 77:12	3RD 1:12	7.55 1.14 5.5,7		
11:00 69:21	2015 30:6	4			
11:38 96:23	2022 58:24 60:18 2023 43:6 55:5 59:11	4 13:7 14:20,25 15:3			
11:45 69:24,25 96:22		40 56:19			
11th 25:13 125:23	59:16,18 61:14 71:2 72:20	44 47:17			
126:7	2024 7:21 8:6 25:12	44,080.77 85:5			
12 4:12 6:20,22 84:25	25:13 43:7 51:11	45 69:25			
125:16	59:7,18 105:15	10 07.23			
12:30 131:19,20	107:15,23 108:1,3	5			
138:10	2025 1:14 3:6 6:15	5 77:5,7 84:22 132:20			
12:33 1:14 141:10,17	7:6 103:11 142:10	134:17			
141:19	21.401.11 99:1	50 99:22			
120 93:25	21,401.11 99:1 22 57:21 59:10,16	515,000 64:16			
12th 105:15	68:7 69:1	52 13:14			
13 57:21 62:2,16,24	22-204 42:23	5307 93:18			
63:1,13 67:20,23		55 74:16			
68:2,11,23 125:17	22-23 60:4	59 74:16			
14 62:24 68:14	22nd 24:9 48:9 62:9				
129:22,23 131:22	22nd's 62:15	6			
147.44,43 131.44				l	